H igh E_T jets at pp collisions and triple gauge boson vertex

B A A rbuzov

Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Moscow region, 142284, Russia

A bstract

Triple gauge boson vertex, which is inherent to the model of a dynam ical breaking of the electroweak symmetry, leads to an electroweak four-fermion interaction of quarks. Calculation of the electroweak symmetry, leads to an electroweak four-fermion interaction of quarks. Calculation of the electroweak symmetry, leads to an electroweak four-fermion interaction of quarks. Calculation of the electroweak symmetry, leads to an electroweak for the model results in agreement with recent information on enhancement of inclusive high E $_{\rm T}$ jet cross section at $^{\rm p}$ $\rm \bar{s}=1.8\,T\,eV$. Thus this electroweak for the gauge boson vertex with coupling constant 0:7, which will clearly manifest itself at the soon forthcoming LEP II.

PASC: 12.15; 14.80 Er

K eywords: gauge boson vertex; four-ferm ion interaction; jets

In the recent Ferm ilab experim ent [1] the e ect of an enhanced cross section of inclusive jets production at $\bar{s} = 1.8\,\text{TeV}$ with $E_T > 200\,\text{GeV}$ is obtained. The authors state, that this e ect could be connected with consequences of quark compositeness, leading e.g. to e ective four-ferm ion interaction [2]

$$L_{eff} = \frac{4}{2 \binom{2}{C}} q_{L} q_{L} q_{L} q_{L}; \qquad (1)$$

where q corresponds to light quark pair u; d and currents in interaction (1) are isoscalar. The best agreement of data [1] with (1) is achieved for

$$_{C} = 1:6 \text{ T eV}$$
 : (2)

In this letter we would point out, that e ective four-ferm ion interaction appears not only in the case of quarks substructure but also in the case of an existence of triple gauge vertex ($^{\rm abc}W$ $^{\rm a}$ W $^{\rm b}$ W $^{\rm c}$), which appears in a selfconsistent way in the model of a dynam ical breaking of the electroweak symmetry [3 { 6]

where form factor F restricts the small momenta region of action of the vertex by an elective cut-o EW, which is of few TeV order of magnitude. A coording to estimates [5], [6], which are consistent with t-quark production cross section, EW by width and experimental limitations [7], [8]

$$4.5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$$
; 0.7: (4)

Note, that additional gauge boson vertices are repeatedly introduced basing on various arguments (see e.g. [9]).

Let us calculate e ective four-ferm ion terms in one loop approximation using vertex (3) and usual EW interaction

$$\frac{g}{2} q_L = {}^a q_L + {}^X Q_L^j = {}^a Q_L^j W^a;$$
 (5)

where j=1;2 marks generations of heavier quarks. We use here the electroweak interaction without symmetry breaking, because we deal with TeV energy region, corresponding to restoration of the symmetry.

We have two types of diagram s: s-type and t-type. They both contain triangle diagram with one vertex (3) and two vertices (5). Calculation of this diagram gives the following result (see also [10])

$$\frac{g^{3}}{64^{2}M_{W}^{2}} \ln \frac{\frac{2}{EW}}{M_{W}^{2}} q_{L}^{a} (k^{2} k\hat{k}) q_{L} W^{a};$$
 (6)

where k is the momentum of W . The second term in brackets is proportional to M $_{\rm q}$, so it is negligible. The rst one is proportional to k 2 , so being multiplied by W propagator (k 2 $M_{\rm W}^2$) 1 it leads to contact four-ferm ion interaction for su ciently large k 2 $M_{\rm W}^2$. We have two s-type diagrams (with triangle to the left and to the right) and two t-type ones. Now we obtain the elective four-ferm ion interaction, of which we write down terms, containing at least one qq factor and thus giving contribution to pp processes

$$\begin{split} L_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{G}{2} \ q_{L} & ^{a} q_{L} \ q_{L}^{0} & ^{a} q_{L}^{0} + 2 \overset{X}{\underset{j}{\text{V}}} Q_{L}^{j} & ^{a} Q_{L}^{j} + \\ &+ q_{L} & ^{b} q_{L}^{0} q_{L}^{0} & ^{b} q_{L} ; \\ G &= \frac{2}{M_{W}^{2}} \ln \frac{2}{M_{W}^{2}} ; & _{W} &= \frac{g^{2}}{4} = \frac{1}{\sin^{2} W} ; \end{split}$$
 (7)

Here we introduce primes to distinguish initial (not primed) and nal (primed) light quarks in our diagrams. Really the last term in Eq.(7) corresponds to t-type diagrams and it is convenient to perform Fiertz transformation

$$q_{L}$$
 ${}^{b}q_{L}^{0}q_{L}^{0}$ ${}^{b}q = \frac{3}{2}q_{L}$ qq_{L}^{0} q_{L}^{0} $\frac{1}{2}q_{L}$ ${}^{a}qq_{L}^{0}$ ${}^{a}q_{L}^{0}$: (8)

From Eqs. (7) and (8) we have nalform of the e ective interaction

$$L_{eff} = \frac{G}{2} \frac{3}{2} q_{L} q_{L} q_{L} q_{L} + \frac{1}{2} q^{a} q_{L} q_{L} ^{a} q_{L} +$$

$$+ 2 q^{a} q_{L} Q_{L}^{j} q_{L}^{j} (9)$$

The 1st term in Lagrangian (9) coincides with Eq.(1) provided

$$_{C} = \frac{8}{3G} = \frac{2M_{W}}{M} = \frac{3 \ln \left(\frac{10}{100}\right)}{3 \ln \left(\frac{10}{100}\right)} : \tag{10}$$

Substituting values (4) into Eq.(10) we have $_{\rm C}=2.87\,{\rm TeV}$, that is not so far from experimental value (2).

However other terms in Eq.(9) also give contribution to the cross section. For example, we may start reasoning in the following way. Interference terms with leading QCD diagrams, which are describing the main elect of experiment [1] in the region 200 GeV $\,<\,E_{\,\mathrm{T}}\,<\,300\,\mathrm{GeV}$, are caused by the institute two terms of Eq.(9) and the contribution of the second term is equal to that of the institute. This result is due to simple relation

Trace
$$a$$
 a = 3Trace I :

Therefore the result for the e ective $_{\rm C}$ has to be divided by $^{\rm p}$ $_{\rm C}$ (am plitudes of production of heavy quarks do not interfere with QCD terms)

$$_{C} = \frac{2.87 \text{ TeV}}{P \frac{\overline{z}}{2}} = 2.03 \text{ TeV} :$$
 (11)

Result (11) is really close to value (2) and agrees with data [1] within their uncertainties. Let us mention, that the boundary value of restrictions [8] = 0.85 leads instead of (11) to $_{\rm C}$ = $1.84\,{\rm T\,eV}$, that almost coincides with value (2).

To conclude we would state that exciting results [1] could be interpreted not only in term sofquark compositeness, but also in term sofanom alous gauge vertices, which also lead to an elective four-ferm ion interaction. Parameter in this case has to be suiciently large, just on the boundary of experimental limitations [7], [8]. Such "strong" triple gauge vertex without doubt can be detected at the soon forthcoming LEP II facilities in the reaction of Wightight production, so the way to verify the variant being discussed here is quite straightforward.

The present work is partially supported by the Russian Foundation of Fundam ental Researches under project 95-02-03704.

References

[1] F Abe et al. (CDF Coll), http://www-cdf.fnalgov/physics//pub96/pub96.html PhysRevLett., to be published.

- 2] E JE ichten, K D Lane and M E Peskin, PhysRevLett. 50 (1983) 811.
- [3] B A Arbuzov, PhysLett. B 288 (1992) 179.
- [4] B A Arbuzov, in: Advanced Study Conference on: HEAVY FLAVOURS, Pavia (Italy), ed. G Bellini et al., Frontiers, G if-sur-Y vette, 1994, p. 227.
- [5] B A Arbuzov and S A Shichanin, Pis'm a v ZhETF 60 (1994) 75; JETP Lett. 60 (1994) 79.
- [6] B A Arbuzov, PhysLett. B 353 (1995) 532.
- [7] F Abe et al. (CDF Coll.), PhysRevLett. 74 (1995) 1936.
- [8] F Abe et al. (CDF Coll.), PhysRevLett. 75 (1995) 1017.
- [9] K Hagiwara, R D Peccei, D Zeppenfeld and K Hikasa, NuclPhys. B 282 (1987) 253.
- [10] K. Hagiwara, S.Ishihara, R. Szalapski and D. Zeppenfeld, Phys.Rev. D 48 (1993) 2182.