The analytical value of the electron (g-2) at order  $^{3}$  in QED.

S Laporta <sup>x</sup> E Remiddi

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Bologna, and INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Via Imerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

## A bstract

We have evaluated in closed analytical form the contribution of the three-loop non-planar 'triple-cross' diagram s contributing to the electron (g-2) in QED; its value, om itting the already known infrared divergent part, is

 $a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{2} (3) \frac{55}{12} (5) \frac{16}{135} {}^{4} + \frac{32}{3} a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2$  $+ \frac{14}{9} {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2 \frac{1}{3} (3) + \frac{23}{3} {}^{2} \ln 2 \frac{47}{9} {}^{2} \frac{113}{48} :$ 

This completes the analytical evaluation of the (g-2) at order  $^3$ , giving

$$a_{e} (3 \quad loop) = - \frac{3}{72} \frac{83}{72} \frac{2}{3} (3) \frac{215}{24} (5) + \frac{100}{3} a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 \frac{1}{24} \frac{2}{14} \ln^{2} 2$$
$$\frac{239}{2160} \frac{4}{3} + \frac{139}{18} (3) \frac{298}{9} \frac{2}{10} \ln 2 + \frac{17101}{810} \frac{2}{2} + \frac{28259}{5184}$$
$$= - \frac{3}{11181241456...} :$$

PACS: 1220D s; 13.40 Em ; 0620 Jr; 1220Fv

K eywords: Q uantum E lectroD ynam ics; A nom alous m agnetic m om ent of the electron; A nalytical evaluation of 3-loop radiative corrections.

X E-m ail: laporta@bo.infn.it E-m ail: remiddi@bo.infn.it

Following the work of Ref. [1] we have completed the evaluation in close analytical form of the contribution to the electron anomaly in three-loop QED due to the triplecross graphs depicted in Fig. 1).



Fig.1. The  $\triple$ -cross" graphs.

The results are

$$a_{e} (3 \text{ cross; 1a}) = \frac{215}{24} (5) \frac{1}{3} {}^{2} (3) \frac{53}{2160} {}^{4} + 4 a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 \frac{1}{24} {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2$$
$$\frac{1229}{144} (3) \frac{7}{6} {}^{2} \ln 2 + \frac{4165}{2592} {}^{2} \frac{515}{864} + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$$
$$= 1.285068495 \dots + \frac{1}{2} \ln 3; \qquad (1)$$

$$a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}; 1b) = \frac{275}{24} (5) + \frac{29}{36} {}^{2} (3) + \frac{43}{1080} {}^{4} \frac{5}{6} {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{623}{144} (3) + \frac{35}{9} {}^{2} \ln 2 \\ \frac{1951}{648} {}^{2} \frac{493}{864} \\ = 0.878968171 \dots; ; \qquad (2)$$

$$a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}; 1c) = \frac{5}{12} (5) \frac{4}{9} {}^{2} (3) \frac{161}{1080} {}^{4} + \frac{8}{3} a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 + \frac{32}{9} {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2 + \frac{97}{12} (3) + \frac{20}{9} {}^{2} \ln 2 \frac{1043}{432} {}^{2} \frac{1}{48} = 0:026799490:..;$$
(3)

from which

$$a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}) = 2a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}; 1a) + 2a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}; 1b) + a_{e} (3 \text{ cross}; 1c) 
= \frac{1}{2} {}^{2} (3) \frac{55}{12} (5) \frac{16}{135} {}^{4} + \frac{32}{3} a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 
+ \frac{14}{9} {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2 \frac{1}{3} (3) + \frac{23}{3} {}^{2} \ln 2 \frac{47}{9} {}^{2} \frac{113}{48} + \ln 
= 0:785401156:::+ \ln :$$
(4)

As it is custom any in this kind of calculations, is the regularizing photon m ass (in electron m ass units), (p) =  $\prod_{n=1}^{p} 1=n^{p}$ ; (2) =  ${}^{2}=6$ ,  $a_{4} = \prod_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^{n}n^{4}}$ . The above results are in excellent agreement with the num erical results

 $a_{e} (3 \mod 1291(7));$   $a_{e} (3 \pmod 1291(7));$ 

where the ln 's are understood. As the previous graphs were the last graphs for which the analytical value of the anom aly was still missing, on account the previously known results [4,5,6,7] the complete analytical expression of the anom aly in three-loop QED can now be written as

$$a_{e} (3 \quad \text{loop}) = - \frac{3}{72} \frac{83}{72} \frac{2}{2} (3) \frac{215}{24} (5) + \frac{100}{3} a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{24} \ln^{2} 2$$
$$\frac{239}{2160} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{139}{18} (3) \frac{298}{9} \frac{2}{\ln} 2 + \frac{17101}{810} \frac{2}{4} + \frac{28259}{5184}$$
$$= - \frac{3}{(1:181241456:::)}:$$
(5)

By using the best num erical value of  $a_e (4 \text{ loop}) = 1:557(70)$ ; Ref.[8], and

$$1 = 137:0359979(32);$$

Ref.[9], one nds

 $a_e$  (th) = 11596522012(2:1)(27:1) 10<sup>12</sup>; (6)

to be com pared with the experim ental value, Ref.[10],

$$a_e (exp) = 1159652188:4(4:3) 10^{12}$$
;

conversely, by using Ref.[10] as an input, one obtains

$$1 = (a_e) = 137:03599941(56):$$
(7)

If p is the electron m om entum and the m om entum transfer of som e vertex am – plitude, the corresponding (g-2) contribution is extracted by keeping p on the m ass shell, expanding the vertex up to rst order in , multiplying it by a suitable spinor projection operator and then perform ing the appropriate traces [11]. The (g-2) is then expressed as the sum a few hundred term s all of the kind

Z 
$$d^4k_1d^4k_2d^4k_3\frac{N}{D}$$
; (8)

each term having its own speci c numerator N and denom inator D. The numerators N are in general simple monom ials in the scalar products of p and the loop momenta  $k_i$ , such as for instance (p k); ( $k_i$  k) etc, up to (p k)<sup>4</sup>; while the denom inators D have in general the form

$$D = D_{1}^{n_{1}}D_{2}^{n_{2}}D_{3}^{n_{3}}D_{4}^{n_{4}}D_{5}^{n_{5}}D_{6}^{n_{6}}D_{7}^{n_{7}}D_{8}^{n_{8}};$$

the exponents  $n_i$  ranging from 0 to 2 (0 m eans that the denom inator is absent; the powers 2 arise from the expansion in ; in each term there are at most two denom inators with  $n_i = 2$ ); in  $m_e = 1$  units the denom inators, see Fig.2), are denom as

$$D_{1} = (p \quad k_{1})^{2} + 1 \quad i; \qquad D_{2} = (p \quad k_{1} \quad k_{2})^{2} + 1 \quad i; \qquad D_{3} = (p \quad k_{1} \quad k_{2} \quad k_{3})^{2} + 1 \quad i; \qquad D_{4} = (p \quad k_{2} \quad k_{3})^{2} + 1 \quad i; \qquad (9)$$

$$D_{5} = (p \quad k_{3})^{2} + 1 \quad i; \qquad D_{6} = k_{1}^{2} \quad i; \qquad D_{7} = k_{2}^{2} \quad i; \qquad D_{8} = k_{3}^{2} \quad i:$$

Besides the 8 denom inators, there are in the problem 9 linearly independent scalar products  $p \quad k; k_i \quad k;$  etc; 8 independent identities between the scalar products and the denom inators are easily written, such as for instance

$$p \quad \underline{k} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{6} \quad D_{1});$$

$$k_{1} \quad \underline{k} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{2} + D_{3} \quad D_{4} + D_{7}) \quad p \quad \underline{k}:$$
(10)

The identities can be used to express the scalar products in the num erator as a com – bination of the denom inators, so obtaining a combination of integrands with a sm aller num ber of denom inators and therefore simpler from the point of view of the analytical

integration. It is to observed how ever that, as there are 9 scalar products and only 8 denom inators, one scalar product (which we choose to be  $p_k$ ) must remain anyhow in the num erator; furtherm ore, the simpler term s obtained in that way can be in general non convergent when taken separately.



Fig.2. The notation for the 3-loop integrations.

In Ref. [1] we gave the analytical value of the sim plest term

$$J_0 = \frac{(i)^3}{6} d^4 k_1 d^4 k_2 d^4 k_3 \frac{1}{D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4 D_5 D_6 D_7 D_8} = 4^{-2} \ln^2 2 - \frac{1}{6} d^4 :$$
(11)

W ith a straightforward extension of the results of Ref. [1] one can obtain, for instance

$$J_{1} = \frac{(\underline{i})^{3}}{6} d^{4}k_{1}d^{4}k_{2}d^{4}k_{3} = \frac{p \underline{k}}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}} = 5 \quad (5) \quad \frac{1}{2} d^{2} \quad (3) :$$
(12)

The extension to all the other terms appearing in the expression giving the (g-2) is in principle straightforward but in fact rather wearisom e (it im plies, am ong other things, to build, for each tensor in the loop m om enta, the scalar am plitudes free from kinem atical singularities for which unsubtracted dispersion relations can be written). It was found convenient to work out another approach, based on the integration by part identity m ethod mst developed in Ref.[12]. In our case the m ethod consists in writing

<sup>Z</sup> Y<sup>3</sup> 
$$d^{n}k_{i} = \frac{0}{0}k_{j}$$
  $v_{l}; \frac{N}{D} = 0;$  (13)

where  $v_1$  is either the external momentum p or one of the three loop momenta  $k_i$ , N =D stands for the ratio of all the pairs of numerators and denominators of the kind described above; note how ever that while in the original integrals the loop momenta have dimension 4, the identities are written for momenta having continuous n dimension, in which case their validity is obvious. The required n = 4 values are then recovered by means of a suitable limiting procedure. Needless to say, if the (g-2) from some graph is obtained as the n ! 4 limit of an n-dependent expression, this expression must be evaluated for consistency in n dimensions from the very beginning; in particular, the traces of the -m atrix must be evaluated in n-dimensions too.

By explicitly performing the derivatives, using the identities (10) and rearranging the obtained terms in an identity like Eq.(13), one obtains a relation between various integrals which amounts to express one of them as a linear combination of the others. The number of all the possible identities is large (a few thousands, as there are twelve identities for each of the several hundreds N =D possibilities); they form a huge linear system, whose coe cients are in turn polynomials in n, from which the overwhelm ing m a prity of the occurring integrals can be expressed as a linear combination of a few basic integrals. As we are interested in the n ! 4 lim it, we put n = 4 2! and then dealw ith the lim it by expanding everything in powers of ! for ! ! 0. Fortunately, the expansion and the extrem ely lengthy processing of the various identities can be carried out in an alm ost m echanical way.

A thorough investigation shows that all the three loop integrals (and therefore also the complete (g-2) expression in which we are interested) can be expressed in terms of the following 18 basic integrals:

$$I_{1} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{p k}{D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{5} D_{6} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{3} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{4} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{5} D_{6} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{5} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} D_{5} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{6} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{3} D_{5} D_{6} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{7} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{2} D_{4} D_{5} D_{6} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{8} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{2} D_{4} D_{5} D_{6} D_{7} D_{8}};$$

$$I_{9} = \frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{2} D_{3} D_{5} D_{6} D_{7} D_{7}};$$

$$I_{10} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{2}D_{4}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}};$$

$$I_{11} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{p k}{D_{1}D_{3}D_{5}D_{7}};$$

$$I_{12} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1}D_{3}D_{5}D_{7}};$$

$$I_{13} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{4}D_{5}};$$

$$I_{14} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{3}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}};$$

$$I_{15} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{3}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}};$$

$$I_{16} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}};$$

$$I_{17} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{3}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}};$$

$$I_{18} = -\frac{i}{n 2} \int_{a}^{3Z} d^{n} k_{1} d^{n} k_{2} d^{n} k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{3}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}};$$

Note that in the above list there is only one integral with all the 8 denom inators ( $I_1$ , the lim it of which is Eq.(12) above), while there are no integrals with 7 denom inators. With the exception of  $I_1$ , all the basic integral are divergent in the n ! 4 lim it; having put n = 4 2!, the divergences show up as 1=! singularities, so that evaluating them amounts to evaluate the coe cients of their expansions in !. As an example, the value of  $I_{18}$ , which perhaps the easiest to obtain as it factorizes in the product of three 1-loop integrals, is

$$I_{18} = C (!) \frac{1}{!^3} \frac{3}{!^2} \frac{6}{!} 10 15! 21!^2 28!^3 + O (!^4) ; (14)$$

where C(!), de ned as

$$C(!) = ((!) + (1+!))^{3};$$

is an overall norm alization factor, whose  $\lim in g value at ! = 0$  is 1.

A s an example of the kind of form ulae obtained by expressing a non-trivial integral in term s of the basic integrals, let us give the relation

$$\frac{(i)^{3}}{6}^{2} d^{4}k_{1}d^{4}k_{2}d^{4}k_{3} \frac{(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k})^{2}}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}} = \lim_{l \neq 0} I_{1} \\ + I_{2}(3!) + I_{3} \frac{1}{4} \frac{3}{4}! 2!^{2} 6!^{3} \\ + I_{4} \frac{3}{2}! + I_{5} ! 2!^{2} 4!^{3} + I_{6} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}! 3!^{2} 16!^{3} \\ + I_{7} 3! + 14!^{2} + 64!^{3} + I_{8} \frac{1}{6!} + I_{9} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} + ! + 4!^{2} + 176!^{3} \\ + I_{10} \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{2}! \frac{27}{2}!^{2} \frac{137}{2}!^{3} \\ + I_{11} \frac{1}{6!} \frac{139}{36} \frac{1249}{108}! \frac{19225}{324}!^{2} \frac{238333}{972}!^{3} \\ + I_{12} \frac{3}{64!^{2}} + \frac{13}{128!} + \frac{383}{128} + 2! \frac{353}{16}!^{2} + \frac{3041}{8}!^{3} \\ + I_{13} \frac{1}{12!} \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{6}! + \frac{29}{24}!^{2} + \frac{37}{4}!^{3} \\ + I_{14} \frac{1}{4!} + \frac{13}{8} + \frac{87}{8}! + \frac{439}{8}!^{2} + \frac{623}{2}!^{3} \\ + I_{15} \frac{7}{12!} \frac{11}{8} \frac{19}{24}! + \frac{55}{12}!^{2} + \frac{73}{2}!^{3} \\ + I_{16} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{7}{4} \frac{7}{4}! + \frac{9}{4}!^{2} + 196!^{3} \\ + I_{17} \frac{3}{2!} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{29}{6}! + \frac{50}{3}!^{2} \\ + I_{18} \frac{3}{64!^{2}} \frac{2}{3!} \frac{55}{36} \frac{1391}{864}! \frac{94423}{5184}!^{2} + \frac{3535507}{7776}!^{3} ;$$
(15)

Sim ilar expressions hold for all the other integrals contributing to the anom aly. In all those expressions, the coe cient of  $I_1$  is always nite (i.e. not singular for ! ! 0), so that only its ! = 0 lim it, given by  $J_1$  of Eq.(12), is required.

Besides  $I_1$ , we have already given the explicit analytical value of  $I_{18}$ , Eq.(14). In order to complete the calculation of all the basic integrals, we have evaluated directly only a subset of them (namely  $I_8$ ;  $I_9$ ,  $I_{10}$  and from  $I_{13}$  to  $I_{18}$ ). For the others, we found it more convenient to write a large number of identities of the form of Eq.(15), in which how ever the analytical value of the integral on the l.h.s. is already known from previous work; the relation is then expressing such a known result in terms of the still unknown

$$J_{0} = \lim_{\substack{1 \le 1 \ 0}} I_{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{15}{2}! + I_{3} \frac{3}{4} \frac{9}{4}! + I_{4} \frac{5}{4} + \frac{5}{4}!$$

$$+ I_{5} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2}! + I_{6} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4}! + \frac{3}{2}!^{2} + 6!^{3} + I_{7} \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{2}! 5!^{2} 20!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{8} \frac{2}{3!} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{16}{3}! \frac{64}{3}!^{2} 128!^{3} + I_{9} \frac{1}{8!^{2}} \frac{1}{1} + 1 + !$$

$$+ I_{10} \frac{1}{4!} + 1 + \frac{7}{2}! + \frac{39}{2}!^{2} + \frac{201}{2}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{11} \frac{7}{6!} \frac{49}{36} + \frac{11}{108}! \frac{1819}{324}!^{2} \frac{34249}{972}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{12} \frac{3}{128!^{3}} + \frac{59}{256!^{2}} \frac{203}{256!} + \frac{15}{8} \frac{37}{16}! \frac{53}{16}!^{2} \frac{113}{8}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{13} \frac{1}{3!} \frac{17}{24} \frac{211}{48}! \frac{423}{16}!^{2} \frac{1263}{8}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{14} \frac{1}{16!^{2}} \frac{49}{32!} + \frac{53}{32} \frac{3}{8}! + \frac{119}{16}!^{2} + \frac{427}{8}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{15} \frac{4}{3!} \frac{17}{6} \frac{211}{12}! \frac{423}{4}!^{2} \frac{1263}{2}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{16} \frac{1}{8!^{2}} \frac{13}{16!} + \frac{7}{16} \frac{5}{4}! \frac{9}{2}!^{2} \frac{67}{4}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{17} \frac{3}{4!^{2}} + \frac{23}{8!} \frac{69}{8} \frac{40}{3}! \frac{587}{6}!^{2}$$

$$+ I_{18} \frac{3}{128!^{3}} + \frac{7}{32!^{2}} \frac{29}{12!} \frac{3799}{576} \frac{140051}{3456}! \frac{1193035}{5184}!^{2} \frac{10388627}{7776}!^{3}$$

$$(16)$$

Sim ilarly, in Ref.[7] the follow ing 7-denom inator integral was evaluated

$$\frac{(i)^{3}}{6} \overset{Z}{d^{4}k_{1}} d^{4}k_{2} d^{4}k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1}D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}} = \frac{21}{12} \overset{2}{d^{3}} (3) \frac{45}{4} (5); \quad (17)$$

in terms of the basic integrals one has

$$\frac{(i)^{3}}{6}^{2} d^{4}k_{1}d^{4}k_{2}d^{4}k_{3} \frac{1}{D_{1}D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}} = \lim_{i \neq 0} I_{5} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{3}{2}$$

$$+ I_{6} \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{2} ! 4!^{2} 16!^{3} + I_{9} \frac{1}{4!} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$+ I_{11} \frac{2}{!} + \frac{14}{3} + \frac{445}{18}! + \frac{3104}{27}!^{2} + \frac{85177}{162}!^{3} + I_{12} \frac{3}{16} \frac{19}{32}! \frac{39}{16}!^{2} \frac{79}{8}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{14} \frac{11}{8!} \frac{53}{16} \frac{299}{16}! \frac{715}{8}!^{2} \frac{1667}{4}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{15} \frac{1}{4!} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8}! \frac{1}{4}!^{2} \frac{1}{2}!^{3} + I_{16} \frac{1}{4!} \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}! + \frac{1}{4}!^{2} + \frac{1}{2}!^{3}$$

$$+ I_{17} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{7}{12} \frac{10}{3}! \frac{40}{3}!^{2}$$

$$+ I_{18} \frac{3}{8!} + \frac{29}{48} + \frac{521}{144}! + \frac{7691}{432}!^{2} + \frac{111629}{1296}!^{3} :$$
(18)

It is to be stressed here that such relations have quite a broad generality, which extends to cover scalar am plitudes occurring in graphs with di erent topology; the l.h.s. integral of Eq.s(17), (18) was indeed evaluated in Ref.[7], dealing with \comer-ladder" graphs.

By exploiting our previous work, we can write a redundant number of such relations expressing unknown basic integrals in terms of already known integrals (they are not written here for the sake of brevity); in so doing we obtain a redundant set of linear equations, which are easily solved in terms of the unknown basic integrals (the redundancy provides with additional consistency checks). As a side remark, let us observe that for each basic integral one must evaluate the rst few terms (up to 7) of its expansion in !, see for instance  $I_{18}$ , so that the number of unknown quantities increases, but on the other hand each relation, being an identity in ! provides with several independent equations for the unknown.

As a result the following integral table is established

$$I_1 = C (!) 5 (5) \frac{1}{2}^2 (3) + O (!) ;$$

$$I_{2} = C (!) \quad 2 \frac{(3)}{!} \quad \frac{13}{90} \stackrel{4}{} \quad \frac{1}{3} \stackrel{2}{} + 10 \quad (3)$$

$$+ ! \quad \frac{385}{2} \quad (5) \quad \frac{85}{6} \stackrel{2}{} \quad (3) \quad \frac{7}{15} \stackrel{4}{} \quad 82 \quad (3) \quad 4 \stackrel{2}{} \ln 2 + 16 \stackrel{2}{} + 0 \quad (! \stackrel{2}{}) ;$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}_{3} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) \frac{1}{3!^{2}} + \frac{7}{3!^{2}} + \frac{31}{3!} - \frac{2}{15} 4 - \frac{4}{3} \left( 3 \right) + \frac{103}{3} + ! - 95 \left( 5 \right) - \frac{25}{3} 2 - \left( 3 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{15} 4 - \frac{184}{3} \left( 3 \right) - 8^{2} \ln 2 + \frac{44}{3} 2 + \frac{235}{3} + 0 \left( !^{2} \right) ; \end{split} \\ \mathrm{I}_{4} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) 2 - \frac{(3)}{!} - \frac{7}{90} 4 + 2 - \left( 3 \right) + \frac{1}{3} 2 \\ &+ ! - \frac{385}{2} \left( 5 \right) - \frac{85}{6} 2 - \left( 3 \right) - \frac{7}{15} 4 - 82 - \left( 3 \right) - 4^{2} \ln 2 + 16 - 2 + 0 \left( !^{2} \right) ; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{I}_{5} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) - \frac{1}{6!^{3}} + \frac{3}{2!^{2}} + \frac{1}{!} - \frac{1}{3} 2 + \frac{55}{6} - \frac{4}{45} 4 - \frac{14}{3} \left( 3 \right) - \frac{7}{3} 2 + \frac{95}{2} \\ &+ ! - \frac{2}{9} 4 - 44 - \left( 3 \right) - \frac{29}{3} 2 + \frac{1351}{6} + 0 \left( !^{2} \right) ; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{I}_{6} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) - \frac{1}{3!^{3}} + \frac{7}{3!^{2}} + \frac{31}{3!} - \frac{4}{45} 4 + \frac{2}{3} - \left( 3 \right) + \frac{1}{3} 2 + \frac{103}{3} + ! - \frac{45}{2} - \left( 5 \right) - \frac{7}{2} 2 - \left( 3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{11}{45} 4 + \frac{14}{3} - \left( 3 \right) - 4^{2} \ln 2 + \frac{14}{3} 2 + \frac{235}{3} + 0 \left( !^{2} \right) ; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{I}_{7} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) - \frac{1}{6!^{3}} + \frac{3}{2!^{2}} + \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{3} 2 + \frac{55}{6} - \frac{1}{15} 4 - \frac{8}{3} - \left( 3 \right) - 2^{2} + \frac{95}{2} + ! - \frac{45}{2} - \left( 5 \right) \\ &- \frac{17}{6} 2 - \left( 3 \right) - \frac{7}{9} 4 - 50 - \left( 3 \right) + 4^{2} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{3} 2 + \frac{1351}{6} + 0 + \left( !^{2} \right) ; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{I}_{9} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) - \frac{1}{!^{3}} - \frac{16}{3!^{2}} - \frac{16}{!!} + 2 - \left( 3 \right) - \frac{8}{3} 2 - 20 \\ &+ ! - \frac{3}{10} 4 - \frac{200}{3} - \left( 3 \right) + 16^{2} \ln 2 - 28^{2} + \frac{364}{3} \\ &+ !^{2} - 126 - \left( 5 \right) + 21^{2} - \left( 3 \right) + \frac{46}{15} 4 - 512a_{4} - \frac{64}{3}h^{4} 2 \\ &- \frac{80}{3} - ^{2}\ln^{2} 2 - 776 - \left( 3 \right) + 168^{2} \ln 2 - 188^{-2} + 1244 + 0 + \left( !^{-3} \right) ; \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{I}_{9} &= \mathrm{C} \left( ! \right) - \frac{2}{3!^{3}} - \frac{10}{3!^{2}} + \frac{1}{!} - \frac{1}{3} 2 - \frac{26}{3} - \frac{16}{3} - \left( 3 \right) - \frac{11}{3} 2 - 2 \\ &+ ! - \frac{13}{45} 4 - \frac{248}{3} - \left( 3 \right) + 16^{2} \ln 2 - \frac{73}{3} 2 + \frac{398}{3} \\ &+ !^{2} - 96 - \left( 5 \right) - \frac{8}{3} 2 - \left( 3 \right) + \frac{3}{5} 4 - 512a_{4} - \frac{64}{3}h^{4} 2 \\ &- \frac{128}{3} - ^{2}h^{2} 2 - \frac{1888}{3} - \left( 3 \right) + 160^{2} \ln 2 - 129^{-2} + 1038 + 0 + \left( !^{3} \right) ; \end{cases}$$

$$I_{10} = C (!) \qquad \frac{1}{3!^3} \quad \frac{5}{3!^2} + \frac{1}{!} \quad \frac{2}{3} \quad ^2 \quad 4 \qquad \frac{26}{3} \quad (3) \quad \frac{7}{3} \quad ^2 + \frac{10}{3} \\ + \ ! \qquad \frac{35}{18} \quad ^4 \quad \frac{94}{3} \quad (3) \quad \ ^2 + \frac{302}{3} \quad + \ O (!^2) \quad ;$$

$$I_{11} = C (!) \frac{1}{2!^3} + \frac{37}{24!^2} + \frac{43}{16!} + 2 (3) + \frac{139}{96} + ! \frac{1}{10} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{19}{3} (3) \frac{773}{64} + !^2 \frac{447}{2} (5) + \frac{53}{2} \frac{2}{3} (3) \frac{67}{60} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{235}{2} (3) + \frac{12}{2} \ln 2 \frac{18}{2} \frac{27869}{384} + O (!^3) ;$$

$$I_{12} = C (!) \frac{1}{!^3} + \frac{7}{2!^2} + \frac{253}{36!} + \frac{2501}{216} + ! \frac{64}{9}^2 + \frac{59437}{1296} + !^2 \frac{1792}{9} (3) + \frac{256}{3}^2 \ln 2 \frac{2272}{27}^2 + \frac{2831381}{7776}) + !^3 \frac{2752}{135}^4 \frac{8192}{3} a_4 \frac{1024}{9} \ln^4 2 \frac{3584}{9}^2 \ln^2 2 + \frac{63616}{27} (3) + \frac{9088}{9}^2 \ln 2 \frac{49840}{81}^2 + \frac{117529021}{46656} + O (!^4);$$

$$I_{13} = C (!) \frac{2}{!^3} + \frac{23}{3!^2} + \frac{35}{2!} + \frac{275}{12} + ! \frac{112}{3} (3) \frac{189}{8} + !^2 \frac{136}{45} + 256a_4 + \frac{32}{3}\ln^4 2 \frac{32}{3} 2\ln^2 2 + 280 (3) \frac{14917}{48} + O (!^3) ;$$

$$I_{14} = C (!) \frac{1}{3!^{3}} + \frac{7}{6!^{2}} + \frac{25}{12!} + \frac{8}{3} (3) \frac{5}{24} + ! \frac{2}{15} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{28}{3} (3) \frac{959}{48} + !^{2} 48 (5) \frac{7}{15} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{50}{3} (3) \frac{10493}{96} + O (!^{3}) ;$$

$$I_{15} = C (!) \frac{3}{2!^3} + \frac{23}{4!^2} + \frac{105}{8!} + \frac{4}{3}^2 + \frac{275}{16} + ! 28 (3) 8^2 \ln 2 + 10^2 \frac{567}{32} + !^2 \frac{62}{45}^4 + 192a_4 + 8\ln^4 2 + 16^2 \ln^2 2 + 210 (3) 60^2 \ln 2 + \frac{145}{3}^2 \frac{14917}{64} + 0 (!^3) ;$$

$$I_{16} = C (!) \frac{1}{2!^3} + \frac{7}{4!^2} + \frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{3}^2 + \frac{25}{8} + 4 (3) + \frac{7}{6}^2 \frac{5}{16} + \frac{16}{45}^4 + 14 (3) + \frac{25}{12}^2 \frac{959}{32} + \frac{1^2}{12}^2 \frac{72}{12} (5) + \frac{8}{3}^2 (3) + \frac{56}{45}^4 + 25 (3) \frac{5}{24}^2 \frac{10493}{64} + 0 (!^3) ;$$

$$I_{17} = C (!) \frac{1}{6!^2} \frac{35}{36!} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{216} + ! \frac{16}{3} (3) \frac{35}{18} \frac{2}{1296} + \frac{2737}{1296} + ! \frac{2}{37} \frac{37}{45} \frac{4}{55} \frac{280}{9} (3) \frac{559}{108} \frac{2}{552041} + O (!^3) :$$

By using the above table in combination with the integration by parts identities, we obtain the analytical value of the required integrals; from Eq.(15) we have for instance

$$\frac{(1)^{3}}{6} \overset{Z}{} d^{4}k_{1}d^{4}k_{2}d^{4}k_{3} \frac{(p \ k)^{2}}{D_{1}D_{2}D_{3}D_{4}D_{5}D_{6}D_{7}D_{8}} = \frac{1}{2} (3)^{2} 5 (5) \frac{2}{45} ^{4} + (3) 2^{2}\ln 2 + \frac{3}{2} ^{2} :$$
(18)

In term s of the basic integrals, the (g-2) of the graph of F ig.1c), for instance, reads

$$(g = 2) (F ig: lc)) = \lim_{l \to 0} I_1 \frac{7}{6} + I_2 \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{19}{12} \frac{1637}{72}!$$

$$+ I_3 \frac{13}{48!} + \frac{253}{144} \frac{823}{106}! + \frac{102797}{2592}!^2 \frac{979525}{3888}!^3 + I_4 \frac{3}{8!} \frac{5}{24} + \frac{229}{18}!$$

$$+ I_5 \frac{1}{12!} \frac{7}{18} \frac{587}{261}! + \frac{9133}{324}!^2 \frac{340685}{1944}!^3$$

$$+ I_6 \frac{1}{24!} + \frac{55}{72} \frac{827}{108}! + \frac{19075}{648}!^2 \frac{874721}{3888}!^3$$

$$+ I_7 \frac{19}{24!} \frac{25}{18} + \frac{632}{27}! \frac{56983}{648}!^2 + \frac{1488295}{1944}!^3$$

$$+ I_8 \frac{1}{8!^2} \frac{5}{9!} + \frac{1585}{216} \frac{36561}{1296}! + \frac{1051253}{3888}!^2 \frac{743606}{432}!^3$$

$$+ I_9 \frac{11}{96!^2} \frac{565}{288!} + \frac{467}{36} \frac{11225}{162!}! + \frac{1629641}{3888}!^2 \frac{1068433}{432}!^3$$

$$+ I_9 \frac{11}{16!^2} \frac{1613}{288!} \frac{187}{216}! \frac{234293}{3888}!^2 + \frac{1051147}{23328}!^3$$

$$+ I_{10} \frac{11}{72!} \frac{613}{613} \frac{187}{216}! \frac{234293}{3888}!^2 + \frac{1054147}{23328}!^3$$

$$+ I_{11} \frac{1}{6!^2} \frac{1}{18!} \frac{2143}{216} + \frac{5767}{144}! \frac{2472821}{7776}!^2 + \frac{20840363}{11664}!^3$$

$$+ I_{12} \frac{11}{512!^3} + \frac{525}{1024!^2} \frac{6601}{2304!} + \frac{577597}{27648} \frac{4981223}{41472}! + \frac{46026487}{62208}!^2$$

$$+ I_{13} \frac{7}{96!^2} + \frac{13}{192!} + \frac{2375}{576} \frac{95}{432}! + \frac{339883}{3592}!^2 + \frac{60055}{3888}!^3$$

$$+ I_{14} \frac{35}{192!^2} \frac{1051}{1152!} + \frac{29419}{1728} \frac{220349}{3456}! + \frac{264997}{486}!^2 \frac{31472155}{11664}!^3$$

$$+ I_{15} \frac{1}{4!^2} + \frac{7}{36!} + \frac{6229}{432} + \frac{187}{19}! + \frac{1934333}{3888}!^2 + \frac{1580447}{11664}!^3$$

$$+ I_{16} \frac{11}{96!^2} \frac{1075}{576!} + \frac{8179}{216} \frac{10925}{192}! + \frac{2919977}{7776}!^2 \frac{49397413}{23328}!^3$$

$$+ I_{16} \frac{11}{96!^2} \frac{1075}{576!} + \frac{8179}{216} + \frac{146749}{432}! \frac{15117413}{17776}!^2$$

(19)

Sim ilar expressions hold for the other graphs.

By using the above table Eq.s(2,3) are immediately obtained.

G raph 1a is ultraviolet divergent and requires renorm alization. From the unrenorm alized am plitude we obtain

$$a_{e} (la; not ren:) = \frac{1}{16!} + \frac{215}{24} (5) \frac{1}{3} {}^{2} (3) \\ \frac{53}{2160} {}^{4} + 4 a_{4} + \frac{1}{24} \ln^{4} 2 {}^{2} \ln^{2} 2 \\ \frac{1229}{144} (3) \frac{7}{6} {}^{2} \ln 2 + \frac{3571}{2592} {}^{2} + \frac{133}{864}:$$
(20)

To obtain Eq.(1), one must account for the charge renorm alization of the inserted 4th order vertex am plitude, to be carried out by means of a suitable subtraction constant, which will be indicated here as Z. For consistency with the previous analytic (g-2) calculations, Z must correspond to on mass-shell renorm alization. It turns out therefore that Z is infrared divergences appear); again for consistency with previous work, the infrared divergence is parametrized by giving to the photon a ctitious in nitesim al mass. The ultraviolet divergences of the counterterm are still parametrized by means of the n-dimensional regularization; the result for the renorm alization counterterm of the inserted 4th order graph reads

$$Z = \frac{1}{8!} + \frac{11}{24} + 2 \ln :$$

In order to renorm alize the contribution of graph 1a, one must subtract from Eq.(20)  $F_2^{(2)}(0)Z$  where  $F_2^{(2)}(0)$  is the second order (1 loop) magnetic form factor, to be evaluated in n-dimensions as well; as Z has a 1=! singularity, use must be done of the value of  $F_2^{(2)}(0)$  evaluated up to rst order in !,

$$F_2^{(2)}(0) = \frac{1}{2} + 2!$$
:

By subtracting  $F_2^{(2)}(0)Z$  from Eq.(20), Eq.(1) is recovered.

A cknow ledgem ents.

A s the results presented in this note have been obtained by intensive use of the algebra manipulating program s FORM and A SHM EDA I, we want to express our gratitude to their authors, J. Verm ascren and M.J. Levine, for their help and advice in the early stages of the work.

## References

- [1] S. Laporta and E. Rem iddi, Phys.Lett. B 356, 390 (1995).
- [2] M.J.Levine and J.W right, Phys.Rev. D 8, 3171 (1973).
- [3] T.Kinoshita, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 4728 (1995).
- [4] M.J.Levine, E.Remiddi and R.Roskies, in Quantum Electrodynamics, edited by T.K inoshita, A dvanced series on D irections in High Energy Physics, Vol. 7, (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1990), 162, see p.214-216. Note that the result quoted there in p.215 for the graph L<sub>2</sub>, which corresponds to Eq.(3) of R.Barbieri, M.Ca o and E. Remiddi, Nuovo C imento Lett.5, 769 (1972), is incorrect in that context and should be replaced by Eq.(5) of that same reference, which reads  $\frac{293}{72} + \frac{19}{27}$  (2) +  $\frac{335}{144}$  (3) : W e thank B.N. Taylor and P.M ohr for pointing out the oversight.
- [5] S. Laporta and E. Rem iddi, Phys.Lett. B 265, 181 (1991).
- [6] S.Laporta, Phys.Rev. D 47, 4793 (1993).
- [7] S. Laporta, Phys.Lett. B 343, 421 (1995).
- [8] T.Kinoshita, IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 44, 498 (1995).
- [9] M.E.Cage et al, EEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 38, 284 (1989).
- [10] R.S.Van Dick, Jr., P.B.Schwinberg and H.G.Dehmelt, Phys.Rev.Lett. 59, 26 (1987).
- [11] see Ref.[4], Sec. 2.1, p. 167.
- [12] K.G.Chetyrkin and F.V.Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B 192, 159 (1981);F.V.Tkachov, Phys.Lett. B 100, 65 (1981).W e acknow ledge also a discussion with D.Broadhurst on this point.