Light Neutralinos as Dark Matter in the Unconstrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

A.Gabutti^{ay}, M.Olechowski^{bc}, S.Cooper^a, S.Pokorski^{ac}, L.Stodolsky^a

^a M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Physik, Fohringer Ring 6, D-80805 M unchen, G erm any
^b IN FN Sezione di Torino and Dipartam ento di Fisica Teorica,
Universita di Torino, V ia P. Giuria 1, 10125 Turin, Italy
^c Institute of Theoretical Physics, W arsaw University, ul. Hoza 69, 00-681 W arsaw, Poland

A bstract

The allowed parameter space for the lightest neutralino as the dark matter is explored using the M inimal Supersymmetric Standard Model as the low-energy effective theory without further theoretical constraints such as GUT. Selecting values of the parameters which are in agreement with present experimental limits and applying the additional requirement that the lightest neutralino be in a cosmologically interesting range, we give limits on the neutralino mass and composition. A similar analysis is also performed in plementing the grand unication constraints. The elastic scattering cross section of the selected neutralinos on 27 A land on other materials for dark matter experiments is discussed.

Subm itted to A stroparticle Physics, 19 Feb. 96

 $^{^{\}mathrm{y}}$ C orresponding author, gabuttil vm sm ppm u m pg de

1 Introduction

Particle candidates for dark matter are classied as hot or cold depending on whether they were relativistic or not at the time they decoupled from them alequilibrium. Any particle candidate for cold dark matter implies an extension of the Standard Model. At present the most interesting candidate is the lightest neutralino of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM).

Any weakly interacting massive particle (W IMP) considered as a dark matter candidate is subject to at least two constraints: 1) its relic abundance must be cosmologically interesting, say $0.925 < h^2 < 1$, in units of the critical density and 2) its existence must be in accord with present experimental limits, provided mainly by the LEP experiments.

In the standard approach, based in solving the kinetic Boltzman equation, the relic abundance of W IMPs is given roughly by [1]:

$$h^2 = \frac{10^{-37} \text{cm}^2}{h_{ann} \text{vi}}$$
 (1)

where h $_{\rm ann}$ vi is the therm alaverage at freezeout of the annihilation cross section times the relative velocity in units of c. This is a very remarkable result: h 2 1 for typical weak cross sections. Suppose the annihilation of a W IM P of mass m proceeds via the exchange of a particle of mass M (where M 2 = xM $_{\rm Z}^2$) coupled with the strength g (g 2 = yg $_{\rm Z}^2$), where we have introduced the scaling factors x and y to express the mass M and the coupling g in terms of the Z boson mass and its coupling to neutrinos. U sing Eq. (1) and the expressions for h $_{\rm ann}$ vi which follow from dimensional arguments we have:

h_{ann}vi
$$\frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{m^2}{M_{\pi}^4} \frac{g_Z^4}{16^2} = \frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{m}{1 \text{ GeV}}^2$$
 0:4 10^{37} cm^2 form M (2)

h_{ann}vi
$$y^2 \frac{1}{m^2} \frac{g_z^4}{16^2} = y^2 \frac{m}{1 \text{ TeV}}^2 = 0.2 \cdot 10^{37} \text{ cm}^2$$
 form M

and requiring $h^2 < 1$ leads to a generalized Lee-W einberg bound of:

$$\frac{x}{y}$$
 0 (1 G eV) < m < y 0 (1 T eV): (3)

This is a useful qualitative constraint on the mass of a W $\,$ M $\,$ P $\,$ when used together with experimental limits on the parameters $\,$ x and $\,$ y (of course $\,$ x and $\,$ y are also constrained by the requirement that $\,$ h 2 should not be too $\,$ small). It is convenient to distinguish two cases: a neutrino-like W $\,$ M $\,$ P $\,$ which interacts only via $\,$ Z-boson exchange and a non neutrino-like W $\,$ M $\,$ P $\,$ which can annihilate via exchange of new particles.

In the rst case, the presently available experimental constraint on the \invisible" width of the Z, $_{\rm inv}$ = < 0.05 [2], gives y < 0.05 (for of course x=1 and m < M $_{\rm Z}$ =2). Therefore, from Eq. (3) we obtain m > 0 (20 G eV). This is an order of magnitude estimate; in particular we neglect the dierence between M a prana and D irac particles.

The second case is realized in the M in im al Supersymmetric Standard M odel with the lightest neutralino as the dark m atter candidate. Here the analysis is much more involved because of the complexity of the model and its large parameter space. A considerable

am ount of work has been devoted to the neutralino as a dark m atter candidate [3]. How-ever in most cases several additional theoretical assumptions are used (such as radiative electroweak symmetry breaking and universal boundary conditions at the GUT scale for the parameters of the soft breaking of supersymmetry) which may be too restrictive and certainly go beyond the MSSM as the low-energy elective theory.

In view of the dark matter search experiments, we explore in Sec. 2 the most general scenario for neutralinos as dark matter within the MSSM as the low-energy elective theory without any further theoretical constraints such as the grand unication constraints (GUT). For completeness, the obtained neutralino masses and compositions are compared with the results derived using the GUT constraints.

In Sec. 3 we consider the direct detection of neutralino dark matter via elastic scattering on nuclei. The dependence of the axial coupling (spin dependent) on the nuclear model and on the quark spin contents is discussed for selected neutralino compositions. In Sec. 4 we calculate the neutralino cross section on some of the nuclei presently used or planned to be used for dark matter detection. We analyze the low mass region and give the dependence of the cross section on the neutralino mass and composition. Prospects for the detection of light neutralinos are discussed in Sec. 5.

Our analysis diers from previous work on neutralino detection [3] because our more general assumptions allow the possibility of having low mass neutralinos as dark matter candidates and we evaluate their cross section on nuclei. In the present work neutralinos with relic abundance below the cosmological bound $h^2 < 0.025$ are not considered as dark matter candidates. This is dierent from the approach used in other work [4] where neutralinos with relic abundance below the cosmological bound are still considered as dark matter candidates and their contribution to the dark matter halo is evaluated by rescaling the local dark matter density.

2 Neutralino in the M SSM as dark matter candidate

In the present section we address the question of the most general limits on the lightest neutralinom ass and its composition which follow only from the two constraints $0.025 < h^2 < 1$ and consistency with the present data from accelerator experiments, without any further theoretical assumptions. As we shall see, with this approach it is possible to obtain quite strong qualitative conclusions.

The stable neutralino is the lowest mass superposition of neutral gauginos and higgsinos:

$$_{1} = Z_{11}B^{\circ} + Z_{12}W^{\circ} + Z_{13}H^{\circ}_{1} + Z_{14}H^{\circ}_{2}$$
 (4)

or, in the photino, zino, higgsino basis:

$$_{1} = a \sim + k \tilde{\Sigma} + Z_{13} \tilde{H}_{1}^{0} + Z_{14} \tilde{H}_{2}^{0}$$
 (5)

with $\sim = \cos_W B + \sin_W W^0$, $Z = \sin_W B + \cos_W W^0$ and $W + \sin_W B + \cos_W W^0$ and $W + \sin_W B + \cos_W W^0$ and $W + \sin_W B + \sin_W B + \cos_W W^0$ and $W + \sin_W B + \sin_W B + \cos_W W^0$ and $W + \cos_W W^0$ and W

of Eq. (4) is:

2.1 Scan of the param eter space

The neutralino mass matrix depends on several, in general free, parameters of the model: the gaugino masses M $_1$ and M $_2$, the higgsino mass parameter , and tan = v_2 = v_1 , where v_1 and v_2 are the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgses present in the model. All of these are independent parameters of the low-energy elective lagrangian. In the present study of the unconstrained low-energy elective theory, the values of these parameters are chosen random ly in the ranges listed in Tab.1. The scanning procedure is such that log (M $_2$), log(), log(tan) and the ratio M $_1$ =M $_2$ are chosen with at probability. We restrict our analysis to neutralinos lighter than $100\,\mathrm{GeV}$.

Calculations with the grand unication constraints are also performed using the same ranges but with M $_1=\frac{5}{3}$ M $_2$ tan 2 $_W$ ' 0.5M $_2$ and with M $_2$ ' 0.3m $_g$. We use for the gluino mass m $_g$ the experimental lower bounds from CDF [5] and D0 [6] resulting in M $_2$ 50 GeV. It should be noted that the present experimental limits on the gluino mass are derived only for a special choice of the M SSM parameters.

We scan the parameter space incorporating the following existing experimental limits from accelerator experiments. 1) The limit on the Z boson invisible width is $_{\rm inv}$ < 8:4MeV [2]. 2) Heavier neutralinos are unstable and could be observed in e⁺ e collisions via their decay products for the range of masses which are kinematically accessible. We use the mass-dependent limits on the coupling constants given in Ref. [7]. 3) The limit on the chargino mass is M > 45 GeV [7]. The chargino mass matrix is a function of the same parameters:

and does not depend on the ratio M $_1$ =M $_2$.

The scanned parameter space is shown in Fig. 1 with and without grand unication constraints after incorporating the limits from accelerator experiments. The empty regions in the M $_2$ plane are excluded by the experimental limits. The quoted chargino mass limit is at present by farthe strongest constraint on the parameter space as shown in Fig. 1 where the contour line M $=45\,\mathrm{GeV}$ is plotted for tan =1 and tan =50. Without grand unication constraints, the region corresponding to small values of M $_2$ and j j is excluded for tan >3.

2.2 Relic abundance

We then calculate the relic neutralino abundance in the allowed parameter region. We solve the Boltzm an equation following Ref. [8], using a complete set of supersymmetric annihilation amplitudes [9]. For this we need the squark, slepton, and Higgsmasses. We

assum e all squarks have the sam e m ass M $_{\rm sq}$ and all sleptons the sam e m ass M $_{\rm sl}$. Since the purpose of our study is to explore the parameter space, we need limits which are model-independent, whereas most experimental limits are based on certain assumptions on the values of the parameters. Thus we have chosen to repeat our calculation for several dierent values of the squark, slepton and CP-odd Higgs masses as shown in Tab.2. In the case of sets A {C, the upper bound in the neutralino mass is given by the slepton mass since we require M $_{\rm sl}$.

The lowest values of the squark masses are taken as $45\,\mathrm{GeV}$, since lighter particles are ruled out by LEP data on the Z.CDF [5] has shown that the squark mass limits obtained from pp collisions are dependent on the assumed squark decay modes and that for many con gurations of the MSSM parameters the squark mass is unconstrained. A recent report by D 0 [6] gives limits on the squark mass as function of the gluino mass for a particular set of MSSM parameters, assuming that all squarks have the same mass. Even for this particular set of parameters, squarks can be as light as $50\,\mathrm{GeV}$ for m_g $300\,\mathrm{GeV}$ OPAL [10] has set limits of about $45\,\mathrm{GeV}$ specifically for the timass, which may be significantly lighter than the other squarks due to the left-right mixing.

For sets A {C the CP-odd H iggs m ass M $_{\rm A}$ is random by chosen in the range 25-70 G eV in the regions of the plane M $_{\rm A}$ -M $_{\rm h}$ allowed by present LEP data [11]. In sets D and E , M $_{\rm A}$ is xed at 200 G eV and 1 TeV respectively. The other H iggs m asses are calculated from M $_{\rm A}$ and tan using radiative corrections [12] which introduce a dependence on the top quark m ass M $_{\rm t}$ and M $_{\rm sq}$. The top quark m ass is set to 170 G eV .

The result of our calculation for the relic abundance h^2 obtained without the grand uni cation constraints are plotted in Fig. 2 for sets B {E. The cosm ologically relevant neutralinos are selected imposing the condition $0.025 < h^2 < 1$. It must be noted that we do not rescale the local dark matter density for neutralinos with relic abundance below the cosm ological bound $h^2 < 0.025$ since we do not consider them as dark matter candidates.

For low squark and slepton masses (set B) the condition $\ h^2 < 1$ is obtained for neutralino masses above 2 GeV . Decreasing the squark masses to 45 GeV (set A) gives a relic abundance very similar to the one of set B and the same value of 2 GeV for the lowest neutralinos, which can then be regarded as an absolute lower bound on the neutralino mass in the M SSM . This is one of the main conclusions of this study. The lower bound in the neutralino mass rises with M $_{\rm sq}$ and M $_{\rm sl}$ to reach 20 GeV in sets D and E .

The relic abundance evaluated using the grand uni cation constraints is plotted in Fig. 3 for sets B {E.Here neutralinos have a lower bound on the mass of $20\,\mathrm{GeV}$, due to the experimental constraints on the gluino mass. A relic abundance h 2 << 1 is obtained for sets A (not shown) and B where we use low squark and slepton masses.

2.3 Neutralino com position

In Fig. 4 we show the gaugino purity $Z_{11}^2 + Z_{12}^2$ and separately the bino purity Z_{11}^2 for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos derived without grand unication constraints combining data sets A {E. The points at bino purity $Z_{11}^2 = \cos^2_{\ W}$ ′ 0.77 correspond to pure photinos. It is seen that the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos are dominantly gauginos (mostly bino and photino), with a substantial higgsino component coming in

above 30 G eV . The neutralino composition calculated with GUT is shown in Fig. 5 and it is similar to the composition obtained without grand unication constraints except that the photino population of the gaugino-like neutralinos is strongly reduced. The higgsino composition is shown in Fig. 6 without grand unication constraints. Since we consider values of tan > 1 we have $Z_{13}^2 > Z_{14}^2$ with $Z_{13} = Z_{14}$ when tan = 1. The higgsino composition with grand unication constraints is similar to the one shown in Fig. 6.

The depopulation in the region with large gaugino-higgsino mixing is due to the scanning of the allowed parameter space and to the requirement of having cosmologically relevant neutralinos. The neutralino is a mixed state only when the smaller of the two gaugino masses, M $_2$ or M $_1$, has a magnitude similar to j j. This is a ne-tuning which is not included in our scanning procedure, in consequence we have a substantial reduction in the population of mixed neutralinos. Especially for large M $_2$ there are few points in the parameter space corresponding to mixed neutralinos. In this case, for values of M $_1$ not much smaller than M $_2$, mixing is allowed for large values of j jgiving neutralinos heavier than our upper bound in the neutralino mass of 100 G eV. The only mixing allowed for large M $_2$ corresponds to both M $_1$ and j jbeing small.

The dependence of the relic abundance on the neutralino composition is shown in Fig. 7 for sets B and D without grand unication constraints. Pure gauginos do not have couplings to the Z boson and have higher relic abundance than pure higgsinos. The relic abundance of pure photinos is bigger than that of pure bino because the former does not couple to the Higgs bosons. At large tan the annihilation is dominated by Higgs exchange which is proportional to the gaugino-higgsino mixing and goes to zero for pure states. As a result, large gaugino-higgsino mixtures have low relic abundance and are excluded by the request of having $h^2 > 0.025$. The dependence of the cosmologically relevant neutralino compositions on tan is shown in Fig. 8 for sets B and D. Gaugino-higgsino mixtures are relevant only at small tan while at large tan our cosmologically relevant neutralinos are mainly pure gauginos with exception of set E which has a very heavy CP-odd Higgs boson. There is a substantial photino component for tan < 2 while at large tan the photino component is strongly reduced due to the structure of the neutralino mass matrix. Due to the large squark mass, photino have relic abundance $h^2 > 1$ in the case of sets D and E.

3 Neutralino detection

Dark matter neutralinos can be directly detected via their elastic scattering on nuclei. The scattering cross section has two components. An elective axial-vector interaction gives a spin-dependent (SD) cross section which is non-zero only for nuclei with net spin. Scalar and vector interactions give spin-independent (SI) cross sections which involve the squares of nuclear neutron and proton numbers. The relative strengths of the two parts of the neutralino interaction on nuclei depends on the neutralino composition. A pure gaugino couples only to squarks and sleptons and has only a SD interaction. The sleptons are not relevant for interactions with nuclei. A pure higgsino couples only to the axial-vector part of the Z boson and thus has only a SD interaction. (The Yukawa coupling to squarks is negligible for scattering on the proton.) M ixed states have both SD and SI

interactions, the later is due to squark exchange and H iggs exchange and is proportional to the zino-higgsino m ixture.

The actual scattering cross section depends on the coe cients Z $_{1j}$ that specify the neutralino composition, and on the masses M $_{\rm sq}$ and M $_{\rm A}$ of the exchanged particles. To obtain the neutralino scattering on nuclei for each set of supersymmetric parameters, we rst calculate the coe cients of the elective 4-ferm ion operators describing neutralino-quark scattering. These operators are used to give the neutralino-nucleon amplitudes, which are then related to the nuclear matrix elements.

We shall focus on calculating the cross section for neutralino scattering on a nucleus at zero momentum transfer. This incorporates the essentials of the physics of neutralino cross section on nuclei. In Sec. 5 we will include in the evaluation of the recoil energy spectrum and detection rate nuclear form factor e ects due to the momentum transfer. In the following, we will call the integral of the zero momentum transfer cross section over all momentum transfer $_{0}$. The cross section $_{0}$ is derived from:

$$_{0} = 4 \frac{G_{F}^{2 h}}{S_{A}} (0) + S_{S} (0) M_{red}^{2}$$
 (8)

where the e ective axial-vector current S_A (0) and the scalar current S_S (0) coe cients are calculated at zero momentum transfer (q=0) and M $_{\rm red}$ is the reduced mass. The coe cients S_A and S_S are evaluated using the expressions derived in Ref. [13].

3.1 Spin Dependent cross section

The evaluation of the SD cross section is problem atic because it depends on the model used to describe the spin structure function of the nucleon and on the nuclear model used to derive the total proton and neutron spins. In this section we discuss the resulting uncertainty in the determination of the axial-vector current coe cient:

$$S_A(0) = 8J(J+1) \frac{a_p < S_p >}{J} + \frac{a_n < S_n >}{J}^2$$
 (9)

with:

$$a_{p(n)} = {\stackrel{h}{\underset{u,d,s}{\times}}} A_{q} Q_{q_{p(n)}}$$

$$(10)$$

where J is the nuclear spin and < $S_{p(n)}>$ are the total proton and neutron spins. The term s A_q refer to the coupling of the up, down and strange quarks weighted with the nucleon quark spin content coe cients Q_q . The entire dependence on the nature of the neutralino, and therefore of our cosm ological considerations, enters only through the coe cients A_q . For the determ ination of < $S_{p(n)}>$ and Q_q , we rely entirely on previous work [14]-[20].

It is illustrative to consider pure photino and pure bino interactions for which the proton axial coupling coe cients a $_{\rm p}$ are [13]:

$$a_{p} = \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{sq}^{2}} tan^{2} w \frac{17}{36} Q_{u} + \frac{5}{36} (Q_{d} + Q_{s}) \quad \text{pure bino}$$

$$a_{p} = \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{sq}^{2}} sin^{2} w \frac{4}{9} Q_{u} + \frac{1}{9} (Q_{d} + Q_{s}) \quad \text{pure photino}$$
(11)

Since the quark spin coe cients Q $_{\rm q}$ are evaluated for scattering on a proton, the neutron axial coupling coe cient a $_{\rm n}$ is obtained by interchanging the values of Q $_{\rm u}$ and Q $_{\rm d}$ according to the di erent quark structure of the two nucleons.

In Tab. 3 we have calculated $a_{p\,(n)}$ from Eq. (11) using for Q $_q$ the values derived from the Naive Quark Model (NQM) [14], the European Muon Collaboration (EMC) measurements [15] and from two analyses of the present data on polarized lepton-nucleon scattering G lobal F it-1 from Ref. [16] and the more recent G lobal F it-2 from Ref. [17]. The axial coupling coe cients a $_p$ are roughly a factor of two larger than a_n due to the dierent quark structure of the two nucleons which enhances the axial coupling on protons and therefore on proton-odd nuclei.

The dependence of the axial-vector current coe cient S $_{\rm A}$ (0) on the model used to describe the nuclear structure is shown in Tab. 4 where we have calculated the axial-vector current coe cient for pure photino and pure bino interactions on the proton-odd nucleus $^{27}{\rm A}$ land on the neutron-odd nucleus $^{73}{\rm G}$ e. W e compare the predictions from the 0 dd G roup M odel (0 G M) [18] and the detailed Shell M odel reported in Ref. [19] for $^{27}{\rm A}$ l and in Ref. [20] for $^{73}{\rm G}$ e. W e also show the e ects of dierent model for the spin quark coe cients Q $_{\rm G}$.

If the 0GM is used to describe the nuclear structure, only the unpaired nucleon contributes to the axial coupling. In this case the axial-vector current coe cients S $_{\rm A}$ (0) are proportional to $a_{\rm p}^2$ for proton-odd nuclei and $a_{\rm n}^2$ for neutron-odd nuclei. In the 0GM , where only the valence nucleon plays a role, the cross sections on nuclei obtained with the NQM are roughly a factor of two larger on proton-odd nuclei than those for other quark models directly rejecting the cross sections on single nucleons. On the contrary, the SD cross section on neutron-odd nuclei derived with the NQM is more than an order of magnitude smaller than the one obtained using the other Q $_{\rm q}$ values due to the small value of $a_{\rm n}$ in the NQM .

It should be noted that the values for the total proton and neutron spins derived in the detailed ShellM odel calculations for $^{27}\mathrm{A}$ l have an uncertainty of roughly 30% related to the quenching of the spin matrix elements. Quenching is more important in $^{73}\mathrm{G}$ e and we use the quenching factor Q = 0.833 in the isovector piece of the axial coupling coe cients a $_{p(n)}$ as suggested in Ref. [20]. In this case the axial coupling coe cients have to be replaced with $a_p^0=0.917a_p+0.0835a_n$ and $a_n^0=0.0835a_p+0.917a_n$ where $a_{p(n)}$ are evaluated in the unquenched case (Eq. (11)). The cross section on $^{27}\mathrm{A}$ l evaluated using the detailed ShellM odel calculations are roughly 2 times larger than the results obtained with the 0 GM for all the four model used to describe the spin structure of the nucleon. The detailed ShellM odel gives almost 4 times larger SD cross sections on $^{73}\mathrm{G}$ e than the 0 GM for all the three quark models with non zero strange quark content. The axial current coe cients for pure photinos and binos are smaller for interactions on $^{73}\mathrm{G}$ e than on $^{27}\mathrm{A}\,\mathrm{lm}$ ainly because of the different quark structure of neutrons and protons.

In general neutralinos are m ixtures of gauginos and higgsinos. For pure higgsinos, the axial coupling coe cient a $_{\text{p}}$ derived from Ref. [13] is:

$$a_{p} = \frac{1}{4} Z_{13}^{2} Z_{14}^{2} Q_{u} Q_{d} Q_{s}^{i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sin^{2}} \frac{1}{M_{sq}^{2}} Z_{14}^{2} M_{u}^{2} Q_{u} + Z_{13}^{2} \tan^{2} M_{d}^{2} Q_{d} + M_{s}^{2} Q_{s}^{i}$$
(12)

where M $_{u,d;s}$ are the quark m asses. The neutron axial coupling coe cient a $_n$ is obtained by interchanging the values of Q $_u$ and Q $_d$. For the same higgsino composition, the dierence between a_p and a_n is mainly due to the rest term of Eq. (12) which is always positive for protons and negative for neutrons since $Z_{13}^2 = Z_{14}^2$ (see Fig. 6). The second term of Eq. (12) does not change signicantly going from a_p to a_n because it is dominated by the contribution of the strange quark which is much heavier than the u and d quarks. As a result, j_{a_p} j_{a_n} jand higgsino interactions have the largest cross section on neutronodd nuclei.

3.2 Spin Independent cross section

W e evaluate the scalar current coe cient S $_{\rm S}$ from :

$$S_{S}(0) = 2A^{2} P_{s-s} + \sum_{chort}^{X} P_{h-h} \hat{J}^{2}$$
 (13)

where A is the atom ic number. The term s P_i are determined by the couplings in the elective qq lagrangian, with contributions from squark exchange and H iggs exchange of the lightest and the heaviest H iggs bosons. The supersymmetric content of the interaction is represented by the P_i term s. The coe cients P_i are given by the matrix elements of the quark operators P_i taken between the nucleon states and are by standard methods P_i expressed in terms of the sigmaterm measured in pion-nucleon interactions and the nucleon mass. For P_i and P_i we use the values given in Ref. [13].

The contribution of the light u and d quarks to the scalar neutralino-nucleon coupling can be neglected and the term $P_{i\ i}$ accounts for the coupling to the strange quark (index s) and to the heavy quarks c, b and t (index h). Since we do not consider the contribution of the u and d quarks, we do not have to distinguish between scalar cross section on neutron or proton.

It must be noted that the SI cross section evaluated using the $P_{i\ i}$ term s of Ref. [13] is four times smaller than the SI cross section derived in Ref. [4]. This factor of four is independent of the neutralino composition and mass.

4 Cross section on nuclei

In this section we derive the SD and SI cross sections on some of the material presently used or planned to be used for dark matter detection. In contrast to our illustrative examples in the previous section, we will now consider arbitrary neutralino compositions, as given by our scan for cosmologically relevant cases.

4.1 ²⁷A 1

We start with the proton-odd nucleus ^{27}Al (100% natural abundance). Dark matter detectors made of sapphire (A $_2\text{O}_3$) are presently under preparation by the CRESST [21] and EDELW EISS collaborations [22].

 $^{^{1}}$ The original notation of Ref. [13] is S_{q} instead of P_{i}

In order to evaluate the SD cross section we chose to use the quark spin coe cients $\,Q_q\,$ derived in Ref. [17] (G lobal F it-2) and the results of the detailed Shell M odel calculations reported in Ref. [19] for the nuclear physics. In F ig. 9 the SI cross section is plotted versus the SD part for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos without the grand unication constraints. There is a 5 orders of magnitude spread in the values of the SD cross section for the neutralino masses and compositions considered in our analysis. The spread is even more pronounced in the case of the SI cross section.

The dependence of the SI cross section on the neutralino composition is shown in Fig. 10 for data sets B and D and tan < 2. Since the scalar coupling is proportional to the zino-higgsino mixture, pure neutralino states have zero SI cross section. Mixtures with a large photino component have very small SI cross section $< 10^{-6}$ which is almost 5 orders of magnitude smaller than the corresponding SD part. The cross section is reduced to values below 10^{-3} pbam for binos and higgsinos with purity > 0.99.

The dependence of the SI cross section on tan is shown in Fig.11. Although the SI cross section is expected to increase with increasing tan , the maximum value of the SI cross section for our selected neutralinos changes only slightly with tan . This is related to the dependence of the neutralino composition on tan as discussed in Sec.23. At small tan gaugino-higgsino mixtures are cosmologically relevant giving the maximum SI cross section. At large tan our selected neutralinos are mainly bino or higgsino with a reduced SI cross section.

The SD and SI cross sections obtained without the grand uni cation constraints are plotted versus the neutralino m ass in Fig. 12 for the combined data sets A {E.The bands of points in the low mass region of the SD cross section are due to pure photinos and pure binos as shown in Fig. 13. The SD cross section of pure binos is 2 times smaller than the one for pure photinos as shown in Tab. 4. The photino contribution to the SD cross section is relevant only for small tan because the photino population in our selected neutralinos is strongly reduced at high tan . The highest SD cross section is obtained for the pure gauginos of set A. In this case squark exchange gives a signi cant contribution because of the small squark mass M $_{\rm sq}$ = 45 G eV.

In Fig. 14 the SD cross section is plotted versus the bino purity for sets B and D without grand unication constraints. For heavy squarks (set D) the highest SD cross section is obtained for gaugino-higgsino mixtures with a substantial higgsino component. This is because squark exchange is suppressed and Z exchange becomes dominant.

The SD cross section for our neutralinos is sometimes even larger than the cross section for a massive Majorana neutrino interacting via Z exchange; this happens when the squark mass is low and its exchange gives a signicant contribution to the cross section. In contrast, the maximum values of the SI cross section are two orders of magnitude below that for Dirac neutrinos.

The SI and SD cross sections evaluated with the grand uni cation constraints are plotted in Fig. 15 for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of the combined data sets A $\{E.The\ main\ contribution\ to\ the\ cross\ section\ is\ given\ by\ sets\ C <math>\{E.The\ main\ contribution\ to\ the\ cross\ section\ are\ due\ to\ the\ bino\ contributions\ of\ sets\ C\ and\ D\ since\ in\ the\ case\ of\ GUT\ the\ photino\ population\ is\ suppressed\ as\ show\ n\ in\ Fig. 5. Comparing\ the\ cross\ sections\ obtained\ with\ and\ without\ grand\ uni\ cation\ constraints,\ we\ see that\ the$

m axim al value of $_{0}$ and the dependence of the cross section on the neutralino m ass are almost the same. In the case of GUT, the cuto in the neutralino m ass is at '20 GeV as discussed in Sec. 22.

4.2 ²³N a and ¹²⁷I

We turn now to the evaluation of the neutralino cross section on the proton-odd nuclei ²³Na and ¹²⁷I both with 100% natural abundance. NaI(Tl) scintillator detectors are presently used for dark matter searches by several groups [23].

The cross sections $_0$ (SI+SD) on $^{23}\mathrm{N}\,\mathrm{a}$ and on $^{127}\mathrm{I}$ are plotted in Fig. 16 for the combined sets A (E without grand unication constraints. Since $^{27}\mathrm{A}\,\mathrm{land}$ $^{23}\mathrm{N}\,\mathrm{a}$ have similar atom ic numbers the SI cross sections in the two materials do not dier signicantly. Due to the dierence in the atom ic numbers, the SI cross section on $^{127}\mathrm{I}$ is roughly two orders of magnitude larger than on $^{23}\mathrm{N}\,\mathrm{a}$.

The dependence of the SD cross section on the neutralino composition is the same for Na, I and Albecause these nuclei have an unpaired proton. The dependence of the SD cross section on the absorber material is given by the reduced mass, the nuclear spin and the total proton and neutron spins < $S_{p(n)} >$. In the case of 23 Na and 127 I detailed Shell M odel calculations are not available and we use the Odd G roup M odel [18] where only the unpaired proton contributes. The resulting axial-vector current coe cients S_A for pure bino and pure photino (purity=1) obtained using the quark spin coe cients G lobal Fit-2 are listed in Tab. 5. The SD cross section on 23 Na is almost three times smaller than the values derived for 27 Alwith the OGM and the spin quark coe cients G lobal Fit-2 (see Tab. 4). This is due to the dierent total proton spins and nuclear spins. In the case of 127 I, the SD cross section evaluated with the G lobal Fit-2 is almost two orders of magnitude weaker than the SI part and can be neglected in the evaluation of $_0$.

The bands of points in the total cross section of Fig. 16 are due to the axial coupling of neutralinos with a large photino components, for which the SI cross section is many order of magnitude weaker than the SD part.

4.3 73 G e and 117 Sn

We will discuss now the case of neutron-odd nuclei starting with 73 Ge (7.8% natural abundance). Although the Ge dark matter detectors presently used [24] are made of natural germanium which is mainly 74 Ge (36.5%), 72 Ge (27.4%) and 70 Ge (20.5%), the use of enriched 73 Ge detectors is planned for the future [25].

In order to evaluate the SD cross section we use the quark spin coe cients Q $_{\rm q}$ derived in Ref. [17] (G lobal Fit-2) and the results of the detailed Shell M odel calculations reported in Ref. [20] for the nuclear physics. In Fig. 17 the SD cross section is plotted versus the bino purity for data sets B and D without grand uni cation constraints. In both cases the highest SD cross section is obtained for pure higgsinos or for mixtures with a substantial higgsino component. In the case of set B this is dierent from what we calculated for Al (see Fig. 14) where the maximum SD cross section was obtained for gaugino-like neutralinos. This is due to the dierent values of the axial coupling coe cient of pure higgsino in the case of proton or neutron odd nuclei as discussed in Sec. 3.1.

Since the contribution of the light u and d quarks is neglected in the computation of the SI cross section as discussed in Sec. 3.2, the dependence of the axial coupling on the neutralino composition does not dier from the one discussed for the proton-odd ^{27}Al nucleus. In Fig. 18 the SI cross section is plotted versus the SD part for data sets B and D without grand unication constraints. The SI cross section is generally bigger than the SD part because the quite large value of the atom ic number enhances the scalar coupling. For a large selection of neutralino compositions, the SD part can then be neglected in the evaluation of the total cross section.

In Fig. 19 the SD and SI cross sections are plotted versus the neutralino m ass for the combined sets A {E without the grand uni cation constraints. The bands of points in the low mass region of the SD cross section correspond to the contribution of pure photinos of sets A {C with set A giving the highest contribution. The structure due to pure bino is less evident than in the case of Al. It must be noted that the photino population decreases with increasing values of tan as discussed in Sec. 2.3. In Fig. 20 we plot the SD and SI cross sections for the combined sets A {E with grand uni cation constraints. The dependence of $_{0}$ on the implementation of the grand uni cation constraints is the same as discussed for Alin Sec. 4.1.

A nother material planned for dark matter detectors is Sn [26]. Natural tin consists of diesent isotopes among which the only odd-isotope with a relevant natural abundance is $^{117}{\rm Sn}$ (7.7%). The axial-vector current coe cients for pure bino and pure photino interactions on the neutron-odd isotope $^{117}{\rm Sn}$ are listed in Tab. 5. The axial coupling of pure binos and pure photinos evaluated with the 0 GM [18] and the G lobal Fit-2 is roughly three times larger on $^{117}{\rm Sn}$ than on $^{73}{\rm Gemainly}$ because of the dierent nuclear spin J. The SI part can be derived from the SI cross section evaluated for $^{27}{\rm Alw}$ ith appropriated scaling due to the dierent nuclear mass. The cross section $_0$ (SI+SD) on $^{117}{\rm Sn}$ is plotted in Fig. 21 for the combined sets A {E without grand unication constraints. Due to the large value of the atom is number, the SI cross section is generally bigger than the SD part and, for a large selection of neutralino compositions, the axial coupling can be neglected in the evaluation of $_0$.

5 Prospects for detection of low mass neutralinos

The present experimental limits for W $\rm MP$ dark matter are given by $\rm Ge$ [24] and $\rm Si$ [27] ionization detectors and $\rm NaI$ scintillators [23]. Due to their relatively high recoilenergy threshold, such detectors are not sensitive to low mass ($\rm M$ < 10 GeV) dark matter particles.

In order to explore the low mass window, a dark matter search experiment (CRESST) based on the use of a sapphire (A $\mbox{$\downarrow$}0_3$) cryogenic detector with a low energy threshold is currently under preparation [21]. The rst stage of this experiment (CRESST-1) will use a 1 kg sapphire detector with an expected energy threshold of 0.5 keV and a full width half maximum energy resolution $E=200\,{\rm eV}$.

We perform a rough estimate of the CRESST-1 sensitivity to neutralino dark matter assuming a at distribution of the radioactive background at 1 count/keV/kg/day. A discussion of dierent radioactive background sources can be found in Ref. [21]. For

each dark matter particle mass, the simulated background data is tted with the sum of a at component for the background and the calculated recoil energy spectrum for 27 Al. The exclusion limit is defined as the cross section for which the tigives a X 2 value corresponding to the 90% confidence level. The expected recoil energy spectrum is calculated using an exponential form factor to account for the loss of nuclear coherence at high momentum transfer [18]. We use the same exponential form factor for both SD and SI interactions. Due to the small nuclear radius the eject of the nuclear form factor on the shape of the recoil energy spectrum is negligible for Al. We use a Maxwell-Boltzman velocity distribution for the dark matter halo with an average velocity of 270 km/s and an upper cuto at the escape velocity of 575 km/s in the rest frame of our galaxy. The local halo density depends on the model used to describe the structure of our galaxy with a resulting uncertainty in the detection rate of roughly a factor of two [3]. In this work the density of dark matter particles is assumed to be 0.3 GeV/cm 3 .

In Fig. 22 the cross section $_0$ (SI + SD), calculated without the grand uni cation constraints for the combined data sets A {E, is compared to the rough estimate of the expected sensitivity of the rst stage of the CRESST experiment assuming a measurement time of 1 year. The expected low energy threshold of the cryogenic detector used in the CRESST experiment combined with the low atomic number of Alprovide an appreciable sensitivity to dark matter particles starting at $1\,\mathrm{GeV}$. It is important to note that $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ does not contribute to the SD cross section on sapphire because of the even number of nucleons. Due to the small nuclear mass, the SI cross section on $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ is roughly an order of magnitude lower than on $^{27}\mathrm{A}$ land can be neglected in the evaluation of the sensitivity of a sapphire detector.

For completeness we have plotted in Fig. 23 the normalized interaction rate in units of counts/kg/day/pbam that is, we show the interaction rate assuming a cross section of 1 pbam. The measured rate in a given detector will be less than the interaction rate, due to threshold and e ciency e ects. The interaction rate is evaluated using the analytical expression derived in Ref. [28] with a zero energy threshold. The variation with mass refects the change in the dark matter ux combined with the effect of the nuclear form factor which is relevant for scattering of heavy neutralinos on large nuclei. The variation with nucleus refects the difference in the number of target nuclei per unit weight. In the case of 73 G e and 117 Sn the interaction rate is calculated for a 100% enriched detector. We have separated the contribution of 23 Na and 127 I to the total interaction rate of NaI detectors (50% Na and 50% I atoms). In the case of sapphire detectors we have considered only the rate due to neutralino interactions on the 27 Al content of sapphire since the neutralino cross section on 16 O is negligible.

A nalquestion concerns how the counting rate for a given neutralino will vary when we change the target material. This is of interest in connection with two points. One is the veri cation of a presum ed dark matter signal and the other is discovering the nature of the neutralino. Should there be an indication of a dark matter signal in a detector it will be of course of the utmost importance to verify that it is a true signal and not the result of noise or backgrounds of some kind. An aid in doing this will be variation of the target material, leading to changes which are not necessarily those of the noise or

²W e use the expression given for (R ate_c)

background. Secondly, varying the type of nucleus can help to determ ine the character of the particle, to see if its interactions are dom inantly SI or SD, if it is stronger on neutrons or protons and so forth, thus helping to pin down the type of neutralino. D isentangling and understanding these various e ects will be a question form uch further detailed study. However, we can give a rst impression on the basis of the calculations in this paper by presenting examples with some of the various candidate neutralinos that we have found in our study.

In Fig. 24 we show the dependence of the cross section 0 for dierent neutralino compositions on various materials in the case of set B with tan = 2, $M_A = 50 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ and 124. We use Global Fit-2 for the quark spin one cients the Higgs mixing angle and the OGM for the total proton and neutron spins for Na, I and Sn. For Aland Ge we use the shell model as discussed in Sec. 3.1. The highest cross section is given by zino-higgsino m ixtures since pure higgsino and gaugino states do not have SI interactions, which increase with A². For other examples, which we take to be pure higgsino or gaugino, we show no entries for 74Ge since it has spin zero and thus there is no SD interaction. For pure higgsinos we have higher cross sections on neutron-odd nuclei, as discussed in Sec. 3.1. The cross section for pure higgsinos and pure photinos on proton-odd nuclei tend to have roughly the same value. In the case of pure higgsino or gaugino states, 0 does not scale with A2 since the SI part is absent and the dependence on the materials is due to the nuclear model. It should be stressed that Fig. 24 represents only a particular case. As discussed in the previous sections, the cross section on nuclei depends not only on the neutralino composition but also on the squark and Higgs masses and tan.

6 Sum m ary

The m inim al supersym m etric standard model as the low-energy e ective theory has been used to explore the most general scenario for neutralino dark matter with the present accelerator data and the requirement $0.025 < h^2 < 1$ as the only constraints without further theoretical assumptions. In order to obtain \lim its on the neutralino mass which are model-independent, we scanned the parameter space random by choosing the values of the free parameters of the model using dierent sets for the squark, slepton and CP-odd Higgs masses.

We have found an absolute lower bound for the mass of the cosmologically relevant neutralinos of M > $2\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$. The cosmologically relevant neutralinos are dominantly gauginos with an higgsino component coming in for masses above $30\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$. We performed a similar analysis including the grand unication constraints and obtaining dark matter neutralinos with a lower bound on the mass of $20\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$.

For the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos, we have calculated the spin dependent (SD) and spin independent (SI) cross sections on dierent nuclei under consideration for dark matter detection. We have found a 5 orders of magnitude spread in the values of the SD cross section for the neutralino masses and composition considered in our analysis. The spread is even more pronounced in the case of the SI cross section.

We have discussed the dependence of the SD cross section on the nuclear model and on the nucleon quark spin content. We have used the Odd Group Model and the detailed

Shell M odel calculations to evaluate the total proton and neutron spins and have found that the uncertainty resulting from the choice of the nuclear model is within a factor of two for the quark spin models with a non zero strange quark contribution. The naive Q uark M odel, where only up and down quarks are considered, gives the smallest cross section on neutron-odd nuclei and the highest axial coupling for proton-odd nuclei.

For our selected neutralinos, the contribution of the photino component to the SD cross section is relevant only for small tan because the photino population is strongly reduced at large tan . The highest SD cross section is obtained for the pure photinos and pure binos of set A for which squark exchange gives a signicant contribution because of the small squark mass M $_{\rm sq}=45\,{\rm G\,eV}$. For heavy squarks the highest SD cross section is obtained for gaugino-higgsino mixtures with a substantial higgsino component because squark exchange is suppressed and Z exchange becomes dominant. The higgsino contribution to the SD cross section is more relevant in neutron-odd nuclei.

In the case of ^{27}A l, the SD and SI cross sections are comparable in magnitude for a large selection of neutralino compositions. The SI cross section on ^{73}G e is generally bigger than the SD part because the larger value of the nuclear mass enhances the scalar coupling. For a large selection of the parameter space, the SD part can be neglected in the evaluation of the cross section $_0$. This is true also for ^{127}I where the SD cross section is almost two orders of magnitude smaller than the SI part and can be neglected. Due to the dierent values of the total proton spins and nuclear spins, the SD cross section on ^{23}N a is almost three times smaller than on ^{27}A l.

The SD cross section increases with the increasing higgsino component due to the Z exchange contributions. SI cross sections are large for neutralinos which are zino-higgsino mixtures. Large cross sections on nuclei correspond to small relic abundance, close to our lower bound $h^2 > 0.025$.

The neutralino cross section on ^{27}Al was compared with a rough estimate of the expected sensitivity of the rst stage of the CRESST which uses a low energy threshold sapphire cryogenic detector. We have shown that the expected sensitivity to low mass dark matter particles of the CRESST experiment can be useful in probing the light neutralino scenario (M > 2 GeV) predicted by the present analysis based on the unconstrained minimal supersymmetric standard model.

A cknow ledgem ent

We would like to thank M. Ted Ressel from CalTech and A.Bottino and S. Scopel from the University of Turin for helpful discussions on some aspects of neutralino detection. We would like to acknow ledge helpful discussions with F. Probst from the Max-Planck-Institut fur Physik. One of us (M.O.) would like to acknow ledge the support of the Polish Committee of Scientic Research.

R eferences

- [1] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, The Early Universe, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1989); K.Griest and M.Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 615.
- [2] M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Coll.), Phys. Lett. B 350 (1995) 109.
- [3] see for instance G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, and K. Griest, UCSD preprint UCSD/PTH 95-02 (1995), to be publ. in Phys. Rep., and references quoted therein.
- [4] V. Berezinsky et al., CERN preprint CERN-TH/95-206 (1995);
 A. Bottino et al., A stropart. Phys. 2 (1994) 77;
 G. B. Gelm ini, P. Gondolo, E. Roulet, Nucl. Phys. B 351 (1991) 623
- [5] F.Abe et al. (CDF Coll.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 613.
- [6] S.Abachiet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 618.
- [7] D.Decamp et al. (ALEPH Coll.), Phys. Rep. 216 (1992) 253.
- [8] M.Gelminiand P.Gondolo, Nucl. Phys. B 360 (1991) 145.
- [9] P.G ondolo and M.O lechowski, unpublished; the calculation was done using a computer program developed by these authors.
- [10] R.Akers et al. (OPAL Coll.), Phys. Lett. B 337 (1994) 207.
- [11] R.Akers et al., (OPAL Coll.), Z.Phys. C 64 (1994) 1.
- [12] J.Ellis, G.Ridol, F.Zwimer, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) 83;
- [13] J. Engel, S. Pittel and P. Vogel, Int. Journ. Mod. Phys., Vol. 1, (1992) 1.
- [14] R. Flores, K. A. Olive and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 237 (1990) 72.
- [15] R. L. Ja e and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 509.
- [16] J.Ellis and M. Karliner, Phys. Lett, B 313 (1993) 131.
- [17] J. Ellis and M. Karliner, to be published in the proceedings of the Workshop on deep inelastic scattering and QCD (DIS 95), Paris, France, 24-28 Apr. 1995, CERN preprint CERN-TH/95-279.
- [18] J. Ellis and R. A. Flores, Phys. Lett. B 263 (1991) 259;
 J. Engel and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3132.
- [19] J. Engelet al., Phys. Rev. C 52 (1995) 2216
- [20] M.T.Resselet al, Phys.Rev.D 48 (1993) 5519

- [21] M. Buhler et al., (CRESST Coll.) to be published in Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, Proceedings of the 6th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Beatenberg-Interlaken, Switzerland, 28 Aug. 1 Sept. 1995
 W. Seidel et al., Proc. 5th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Berkeley, 29 July { 3 Aug. 1993, J. Low Temp. Phys. 93 (1993) 797.
- [22] D. Yvon et al., (EDELW EISS Coll.) to be published Proc. 30th Rencontres de Moriond: Clastering in the Universe, Les Arcs, France, 11-18 March 1995; N. Coron et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 35 (1994) 169.
- [23] C.Bacciet al. (BPRS Coll.), Proc. XXIX th Rencontre de Moriond, Villars, Switzerland, 22{29 Jan. 1994, Editions Frontieres (1995) 157; Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 460; K. Fushimi et al., Phys. Rev. C 47 (1993) R425; K. Fushimi et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 35 (1994) 400; J.J Quenby et al., Phys. Lett. B (1995) 70.
- [24] S.P.Ahlen et al., Phys. Lett. B 195 (1987) 603;
 D.O.Caldwellet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 510;
 D.Reusser et al., Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 143;
 M.Beck et al., Phys. Lett. B 336 (1994) 141;
 M.L.Sarsa et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 35 (1994) 154; E.G. arcia et al., "The dark side of the Universe" (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1994) 216.
- [25] P.D. Barnes et al., (CDM S coll.) to be published in Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, Proceedings of the 6th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Beatenberg-Interlaken, Switzerland, 28 Aug. 1 Sept. 1995
 P.D. Barnes et al., Proc. 5th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Berkeley, 29 July { 3 Aug. 1993, J. Low Temp. Phys. 93 (1993) 791.
- [26] M. Abplanalp et al., (ORPHEUS coll.) to be published in Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, Proceedings of the 6th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Beatenberg-Interlaken, Switzerland 28 Aug. 1 Sept. 1995; M. Abplanalp et al., Proc. 5th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Berkeley, 29 July { 3 Aug. 1993, J. Low Temp. Phys. 93 (1993) 809; T. A. Girard et al., to be published in Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A, Proceedings of the 6th Int. Workshop on Low Temperature Detectors, Beatenberg-Interlaken, Switzerland 28 Aug. 1 Sept. 1995;
- [27] D O . Caldwell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 1305.
- [28] A.G. abutti and K. Schm iem ann, Phys. Lett. B 308 (1993) 411.

Figure captions

- Fig. 1: Scanned parameter space a) without and b) with grand unication constraints. The existing limits from accelerator experiments are incorporated. The contour line corresponds to the lower limit on the charginom ass M = $45\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$ evaluated for tan = 1 (solid lines) and tan = 50 (dotted lines). The horizontal line at M $_2$ = $50\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$ in the GUT case corresponds to the experimental bound from the gluinom ass. The upper left and right corners in the GUT case are empty because in these regions M $100\,\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{eV}$.
- Fig. 2: Relic abundance versus neutralino m ass in the parameter region allowed by the experimental constraints for the four sets B {E listed in Tab.2. The plot for set A is very similar to that for B. The cosmologically interesting relic abundance $0.025 < h^2 < 1$ is within the two horizontal lines. In the case of sets A {C, the upper bound in the neutralino m ass is given by the slepton m ass since we require M $M_{\rm sl}$.
- Fig. 3: As in Fig. 2 with the grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 4: Gaugino purity $Z_{11}^2 + Z_{12}^2$ a) and bino purity Z_{11}^2 b) for neutralinos in the cosmologically interesting region. The data sets A {E are combined. The points at bino purity $Z_{11}^2 = \cos^2 w = 0.77$ correspond to pure photinos.
- Fig. 5: As in Fig. 4 with the grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 6: Higgsino composition for the cosmologically interesting neutralinos without grand unication constraints. The data sets A {E are combined. The points with $Z_{13}^2 + Z_{14}^2 = 1$ correspond to pure higgsinos.
- Fig. 7: Relic abundance versus bino purity $Z_{11}^{\,2}$ for data sets B and D without grand uni cation constraints. The cosmologically interesting relic abundance 0:025 < $h^{\,2}$ < 1 is within the two horizontal lines.
- Fig. 8: Bino purity Z_{11}^2 versus tan for the cosmologically relevant neutralinos of data sets B and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 9: SI versus SD cross sections on ²⁷Al for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of data sets A, B, C and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 10: SI cross section on $^{27}\text{Al versus}$ bino purity $Z_{11}^{\,2}$ for the cosmologically relevant neutralinos of data sets B and D with tan < 2 without grand unication constraints. The points near $Z_{11}^{\,2} = \cos^2$ / 0.77 correspond to neutralinos with a large photino component.
- Fig. 11: SI cross section on 27 Alversus tan for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of data sets B and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 12: SD a) and SIb) cross sections on 27 Alfor the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos obtained without grand unication constraints. The data sets A {E are combined.

- Fig. 13: SD cross section on ^{27}Al for the cosm ologically relevant photinos and binos with purity > 0.99. The contribution of the di erent sets A, B and C is shown. For each set, the cross section of pure photino is 2 tim es larger than for pure bino.
- Fig. 14: SD cross section on ²⁷Alversus bino purity for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of data sets B and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 15: SD a) and SIb) cross sections on 27 Alfor the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos obtained for the combined data sets A {E with the grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 16: C ross section $_0$ (SI+ SD) on 23 N a and 127 I for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos evaluated without grand unication constraints. The sets A {E are combined.
- Fig. 17: SD cross section of the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos on ⁷³G e versus bino purity for data sets B and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 18: SI cross section versus the SD part on ⁷³G e for the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of data sets B and D without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 19: SD a) and SIb) cross section on ⁷³G e versus the neutralino m ass for the cosm o-logically relevant neutralinos of combined data sets A {E without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 20: As in Fig. 19 with grand uni cation constraints.
- Fig. 21: C ross section $_0$ (SI+SD) of the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos on 117 Sn for the combined sets A {E without grand unication constraints.
- Fig. 22: C ross section $_0$ (SI+ SD) on $^{27}\text{Alfor}$ the cosm ologically relevant neutralinos of data sets A {E without grand unication constraints. A rough estimate of the sensitivity of the rst phase of the CRESST experiment is also shown for a measurement time of 1 kg-year assuming a at radioactive background of 1 count/kg/keV/day and a detector energy threshold of 500 eV with a full width half maximum energy resolution $E = 200 \, \text{eV}$.
- Fig. 23: Normalized interaction rate, in units of count/kg/day/pbam, showing form factor and dark matter ux e ects. The rate is evaluated with a zero energy threshold. Solid line: rate in a sapphire detector due to interactions on ²⁷Al. Dashed lines: rate in a NaI detector due to interactions on ²³Na and on ¹²⁷I respectively. Dotted lines: rate in 100% enriched ⁷³Ge (upper) and ¹¹⁷Sn (lower) detectors.
- Fig. 24: Cross section $_0$ (SI+SD) normalized to the reduced mass squared for dierent materials and neutralino compositions. Solid triangles: zino-higgsino mixture with 40% zino composition. Open triangles: pure higgsino. Solid circles: pure bino. Open circles: pure photino. The cross sections are calculated for set B with tan = 2, M $_{\rm A} = 50\,{\rm G\,eV}$ and = 1.24. As can be seen in Fig. 4, zino-higgsino mixtures and pure higgsinos are cosmologically relevant above $20\,{\rm G\,eV}$, while binos and photinos are cosmologically relevant above $20\,{\rm G\,eV}$, without grand unication constraints).

Tables

Table 1: Ranges used for the free parameters of the unconstrained M SSM . Calculations with the grand unication constraints are performed using the same ranges but with M $_1\!=\!\!M_2=0.5$ and M $_2$ $\,$ 50 G eV .

	min.	max.	
M 2	1	1000	G eV
M ₁ =M ₂	0	1	
	- 1000	1000	G eV
tan	1	50	

Table 2: Masses in GeV of the squark M $_{\rm sq}$, slepton M $_{\rm sl}$, and CP-odd H iggs M $_{\rm A}$ used to compute the neutralino relic abundance and the cross section on nuclei for our dierent trial sets.

æt	M _{sq}	${ m M}_{ m sl}$	M A
А	45	45	25–70
В	100	45	25–70
С	150	90	25-70
D	200	200	200
E	1000	1000	1000

Table 3: Axial coupling coe cients a $_{p(n)}$ for pure photino and pure bino evaluated from Eq. (11) using di erent predictions for the quark spin coe cients Q $_{q}$. The coupling coe cients are shown relative to M $_{W}$ /M $_{sq}$ = 1.

		NQM	EM C	GlobalFit—1	GlobalFit-2
	Q u	0.93	0.78	0.80	0.82
	Q _d	-0.33	-0.5	-0.16	-0.44
	Q s	0	-0.16	-0.13	-0.11
photino	a _p	-0.175	-0.127	-0.135	-0.141
	a_n	0.020	0.071	0.060	0.054
bino	a _p	-0.119	-0.084	-0.089	-0.094
	a_n	800.0	0.045	0.037	0.033

Table 4: A xial-vector current coe cients S $_{\rm A}$ for pure photino and pure bino (purity=1) interactions on the proton-odd nucleus $^{27}{\rm A}\,1$ and on the neutron-odd nucleus $^{73}{\rm G}\,e$. The coe cients S $_{\rm A}$ are evaluated using di erent nuclear models and the nucleon quark spin content coe cients listed in Tab. 3. The < S $_{\rm p\,(n)}$ > values used for the Shell M odel are unquenched in the case of A 1 [19] and quenched (Q = 0.833) in the case of G e [20]. The coupling coe cients are evaluated relative to M $_{\rm W}$ /M $_{\rm sq}$ = 1.

	27 A 1 (J= 5/2)		73 Ge (J= 9/2)	
	OGM	ShellM odel	OGM	ShellM odel
< S _p >	0.25	0.3430	0	0.011
< S _n >	0	0.0296	0.23	0.468
S _A for pure photino				
NQM	0.0215	0.0396	0.0002	2.9 10°
EM C	0.0113	0.0193	0.0026	0.0058
G lobal Fit—1	0.0127	0.0221	0.0018	0.0036
G lobal Fit—2	0.0139	0.0245	0.0015	0.0026
S _A for pure bino				
NQM	0.0099	0.0184	3.3 10	5.5 10 ⁵
EM C	0.0049	0.0084	0.0011	0.0023
G lobal Fit—1	0.0056	0.0098	0.0007	0.0013
G lobal Fit—2	0.0062	0.0110	0.0006	0.0009

Table 5: A xial-vector current coe cients S $_{\rm A}$ for pure photino and pure bino (purity=1) interactions on the proton-odd nuclei $^{23}{\rm N\,a}$ and $^{127}{\rm I}$ and on the neutron-odd nucleus $^{117}{\rm Sn}$. The coe cients are evaluated using the 0 G M for the nuclear structure and the nucleon quark spin coe cients derived from a tofthe present data on polarized lepton-nucleon scattering (G lobal F it-2). The coupling coe cients are evaluated relative to M $_{\rm W}$ /M $_{\rm Sq}$ =1.

	23 Na (J= 3/2)	¹²⁷ I (J= 5/2)	117 Sn (J= 1/2)
< S _p >	0.156	0.07	0
< S _n >	0	0	0.261
S _A for pure photino	0.0065	0.0011	0.0048
S _A for pure bino	0.0029	0.0005	0.0018