

New Leptoquark Mechanism of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay

M. Hirsch, H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and S.G. Kovalenko

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, P.O. Box 10 39 80, D-69029, Heidelberg,
Germany

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Abstract

A new mechanism for neutrinoless double beta ($0\nu\beta\beta$) decay based on leptoquark exchange is discussed. Due to the specific helicity structure of the effective four-fermion interaction this contribution is strongly enhanced compared to the well-known mass mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay. As a result the corresponding leptoquark parameters are severely constrained from non-observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay. These constraints are more stringent than those derived from other experiments.

PACS: 11.30, 12.30, 13.15, 14.80, 23.40

Neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$) is forbidden in the standard model (SM) of electro-weak interactions since it violates lepton number conservation. Therefore, experimental observation of this exotic process would be an unambiguous signal of physics beyond the SM (see refs. [1]–[4] for reviews).

Essential progress in the exploration of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay both from theoretical and experimental sides has been achieved in the last few years (see, for instance [4] and references therein). The considerably improved experimental lower bounds on the half lives of various isotopes enhance the potential of $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments in testing different concepts of physics beyond the SM such as supersymmetry (SUSY) and leptoquarks (LQ).

The SUSY mechanisms of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay were comprehensively investigated in a series of papers [5]–[9]. It turned out that constraints on certain SUSY-parameters from non-observation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay [7] are stronger than those from current and near future accelerator and non-accelerator experiments. Therefore, it is useful to investigate other possible contributions of physics beyond the SM to $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay to obtain $0\nu\beta\beta$ constraints on the corresponding parameters.

In this note we present a new mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay associated with the leptoquark contribution to the effective low-energy charged current lepton-quark interactions. The diagrams describing this contribution are presented in Fig. 1.

The SM symmetries allow 5 scalar (S) and 5 vector (V) LQs with the following LQ ($SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$) assignments: $S_0(3_c; 1; 2=3)$, $S_0(3_c; 1; 8=3)$, $S_{1=2}(3_c; 2; 7=3)$, $S_{1=2}(3_c; 2; 1=3)$, $S_1(3_c; 3; 2=3)$, $V_0(3_c; 1; 4=3)$, $V_0(3_c; 1; 10=3)$, $V_{1=2}(3_c; 2; 5=3)$, $V_{1=2}(3_c; 2; 1=3)$, $V_1(3_c; 3; 4=3)$, where $Y = 2(Q_{em} - T_3)$.

The most general form of the renormalizable LQ-quark-lepton interactions consistent with $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry can be written as [10]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{LQ-1q} = & \frac{(R)}{S_0} \overline{q}_R e_R \hat{S}^Y + \frac{(R)}{S_0} \overline{q}_R e_R S_0^Y + \frac{(R)}{S_{1=2}} \overline{q}_L \hat{S}_{1=2}^Y + \\
& + \frac{(R)}{S_{1=2}} \overline{q}_L S_{1=2}^Y + \frac{(L)}{S_0} \overline{q}_{L2} \hat{S}^Y + \frac{(L)}{S_{1=2}} \overline{q}_{L2} e_R \hat{S}_{1=2}^Y + \\
& + \frac{(L)}{S_1} \overline{q}_{L2} \hat{S}_1^Y + \frac{(R)}{V_0} \overline{q}_R e_R \hat{V}^Y + \frac{(R)}{V_0} \overline{q}_R e_R V_0^Y + \\
& + \frac{(R)}{V_{1=2}} \overline{q}_L \hat{V}_{1=2}^Y + \frac{(R)}{V_{1=2}} \overline{q}_L V_{1=2}^Y + \frac{(L)}{V_0} \overline{q}_L \hat{V}^Y + \\
& + \frac{(L)}{V_{1=2}} \overline{q}_L e_R \hat{V}_{1=2}^Y + \frac{(L)}{V_1} \overline{q}_L \hat{V}_1^Y + \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here q and ℓ are the quark and the lepton doublets. Following [10, 11] we distinguish $S(V)^{L,R}$ being LQs coupled to the left-handed and right-handed quarks respectively (see, however, the discussion on chiral couplings in [12]). For LQ triplets $\ell_1 = S_1; V_1$ the notation $\hat{\ell}_1 = \sim \sim_1$ is used.

On the same footing the LQs couple to the SM Higgs doublet field H . A complete list of the renormalizable LQ-Higgs interactions is given in ref. [12]. These new interactions are especially important for 0^- -decay, since after electro-weak symmetry breaking they lead to mixing between different LQ multiplets. In turn this mixing generates the effective 4-fermion interactions involving right-handed leptonic currents. In combination with the ordinary SM left-handed charged current interactions the latter produce the contribution to 0^- -decay shown in the diagrams of fig. 1 with large enhancement factors. This type of contribution is absent in the case of decoupled LQ and Higgs sectors [12].

Under electro-weak symmetry breaking the neutral component of the SM Higgs field acquires a non-zero vacuum expectation value, $\langle H^0 \rangle$, which creates via LQ-Higgs interaction terms non-diagonal mass matrices for LQs with the same electric charge but from different $SU(2)_L$ multiplets. To obtain observable predictions from the LQ-lepton-quark interaction Lagrangian in eq. (1), the LQs ($I = S; V$) with non-diagonal mass matrices have to be rotated to the mass eigenstate basis I^0 . This can be done in the standard way: $I(Q) = N^{(I)}(Q) \hat{I}(Q)$, where $N^{(I)}(Q)$ are orthogonal matrices such that

$N^{(I)T}(Q_I) = M_I^2(Q) N^{(I)}(Q) = \text{Diag} M_{I_n}^2 g$, with the M_{I_n} being the mass of the relevant mass eigenstate field I^0 .

Now it is straightforward to derive the effective 4-fermion interaction terms generated by the LQ exchange in the upper parts of the diagrams in Fig. 1. After Fierz rearrangement they take the form [12]

$$L_{LQ}^{\text{eff}} = (P_R e^c) \left[\frac{S}{M_S^2} (u P_R d) + \frac{V}{M_V^2} (u P_L d) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(P_L e^c)}{2} \left[\frac{S}{M_S^2} + \frac{V}{M_V^2} A \right] (u P_R d) + \frac{P}{2} \left[\frac{S}{M_S^2} + \frac{V}{M_V^2} A \right] (u P_L d) \right]; \quad (2)$$

where

$$I = 2 \left[\frac{(L)}{I_1} \frac{(R)}{I_{1=2}} \frac{I}{43} (Q_I^{(1)}) + \frac{P}{2} \frac{I}{41} (Q_I^{(2)}) \right] + \frac{(L)}{I_0} \frac{(R)}{I_{1=2}} \frac{I}{13} (Q_I^{(1)}); \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{(L)}{I} = \frac{2}{3 + I} \frac{(L)}{I_{1=2}} \frac{(L)}{I_1} \frac{I}{24} (Q_I^{(2)}); \quad \frac{(R)}{I} = \frac{2}{3 + I} \frac{(R)}{I_0} \frac{(R)}{I_{1=2}} \frac{I}{23} (Q_I^{(1)}); \quad (4)$$

$s_{I/V} = 1; -1$ for scalar and vector LQs. $I_{kn}(Q)$ is a mixing parameter defined by

$$I_{kn}(Q) = \sum_{l=1}^X N_{kl}^{(I)}(Q) N_{nl}^{(I)}(Q) \frac{M_I}{M_{I_l}(Q)}; \quad (5)$$

where $N^{(I)}(Q)$ are mixing matrix elements for the scalar $I = S$ and vector $I = V$ LQs with electric charges $Q = -1, 3; -2, 3$. Common mass scales M_S of scalar and M_V of vector LQs are introduced for convenience.

Following the wellknown procedure [2] one can find the LQ contribution to the $0 \rightarrow 1$ -decay matrix element for the diagrams in Fig. 1. The LQ exchange sectors of these diagrams are described by the point-like 4-fermion interactions specified by the effective Lagrangian in eq. (2). Their bottom parts are the SM charged current interactions. The final formula for the inverse half-life of $0 \rightarrow 1$ -decay reads

$$T_{1=2}^{-1}(0 \rightarrow 1) = M_{GT}^2 \int \frac{2}{G_F^2} \left[C_1 a^2 + C_4 b_R^2 + 2C_5 b_L^2 \right] \quad (6)$$

with

$$a = \frac{S}{M_S^2} + \frac{V}{M_V^2}; \quad b_{L,R} = \left[\frac{S}{M_S^2} + \frac{V}{M_V^2} A \right]; \quad C_1 = C_1 \left[\frac{M_1^{(1)} = (m_{eR})}{M_{GT}^2} \right] \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)$$

In eq. (6) the coefficients C_n are defined following [2]; m_e and R are the electron mass and nuclear radius. We kept only the dominant terms in eq. (6), neglecting, particularly, terms proportional to the neutrino mass m_ν which we assume to be very small and put $m_\nu = 0$ in eq. (6). Also mixed terms, such as $a_{L,R}$, are not accounted for, since these are expected to only slightly affect our numerical limits. The new matrix element $M_1^{(1)}$ was introduced and calculated in ref. [9] within the pn-QRPA framework. Calculating C_i within the same approach [13] for the particular case of ^{76}Ge we have a complete set of nuclear structure coefficients in eq. (6) (all in units of inverse years): $M_{GT}^2 C_1 = 1.63 \cdot 10^{10}$, $M_{GT}^2 C_4 = 1.36 \cdot 10^{13}$; $M_{GT}^2 C_5 = 4.44 \cdot 10^9$.

Now we are ready to derive constraints on the LQ parameters $a; b_{L,R}$ in eq. (6). We use the result from the Heidelberg-Moscow ^{76}Ge experiment [14] $T_{1=2}^0(^{76}\text{Ge}; 0^+ \rightarrow 0^+) > 7.4 \cdot 10^{24}$ years 90% c.l.

Assuming no spurious cancellations between the different terms in eq. (6) we derive the following constraints on the effective LQ parameters:

$$I \approx 2.4 \cdot 10^9 \frac{M_I^2}{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}; \quad (8)$$

$$I_I^{(L)} \approx 2.3 \cdot 10^{10} \frac{M_I^2}{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}; \quad (9)$$

$$I_I^{(R)} \approx 8.3 \cdot 10^8 \frac{M_I^2}{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}; \quad (10)$$

Recall $I = S; V$.

It is interesting to compare these constraints with the corresponding constraints from other processes [11]. Consider the helicity-suppressed decay

$\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ which is extremely sensitive to the first two scalar-pseudoscalar terms in eq. (2), leading to a helicity-unsuppressed amplitude [11]. The following constraint from $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ -decay data was obtained in ref. [12]: $I \approx 5 \cdot 10^7 (M_I = 100 \text{ GeV})^2$. Apparently, the corresponding constraints from $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$ decay in eq. (8) are more stringent by about two orders of magnitude. This confirms that $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$ -decay is a powerful probe of physics beyond the standard model.

In summary, non-observation of $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$ decay can provide stringent bounds on parameters of extensions of the standard model. Moreover, the $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$ decay bounds on some of these fundamental parameters can be much more stringent than those from other experiments. Previously such a conclusion was obtained for the case of the R-parity violating supersymmetric contribution to $0^- \rightarrow 0^-$

decay [7]–[9]. In this letter we have shown that the leptoquark mechanism allows similar conclusions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank V.A. Bednyakov, D.I. Kazakov for helpful discussions. M.H. would like to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support by grants kl253/8-1 and 446 JAP-113/101/0.

References

- [1] W.C. Haxton and G.J. Stephenson, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 12 (1984) 409; K. Grotz and H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, *The Weak Interaction in Nuclear, Particle and Astrophysics*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990;
R.N. Mohapatra and P.B. Pal, *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991; M. Moe and P. Vogel, *Annual Review of Nucl. and Part. Science* 44 (1994) 247.
- [2] M. Doi, T. Kotani and E. Takasugi, *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 83 (1985) 1;
- [3] J.D. Vergados, *Phys. Report*, 133 (1986) 1; J.W.F. Valle, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 26 (1991) 91.
- [4] *Proc. of the Int. Workshop on Double Beta Decay and Related Topics, Trento, Italy, April 24-May 5, 1995*, ed. by H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and S. Stoica, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996
- [5] R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* 34 (1986) 3457.
- [6] J.D. Vergados, *Phys. Lett. B* 184 (1987) 55.
- [7] M. Hirsch, H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and S.G. Kovalenko, *Phys. Lett. B* 352 (1995) 1; *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75 (1995) 17; *Phys. Rev. D* 53 (1996) 1329.
- [8] K.S. Babu and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75 (1995) 2276;
- [9] M. Hirsch, H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus and S.G. Kovalenko, hep-ph/9512237, to appear in *Phys. Lett. B* (1996).

- [10] W .Buchm uller, R .Ruckl and D .W yler, PhysLett. B191 (1987) 442.
- [11] S .D avidson, D .Bailey and A .C ampbell, Z Phys. C 61 (1994) 613; M .
Leurer, PhysRevLett. 71 (1993) 1324; PhysRev. D 50 (1994) 536.
- [12] M .H irsch, H .V .K lapdor-K leingrothaus, S .G .K ovalenko, hep-ph/9602305
(subm itted to PhysLett. B)
- [13] K .M uto, E .Bender and H .V .K lapdor, Z .Phys. A 334 (1989) 177,187
- [14] HEIDELBERG-MOSCOW Collaboration: A .Balysh et al., PhysLett. B
356 (1995) 450.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Feynman graphs for the leptoquark-induced mechanism of 0^- decay.
S and V stand symbolically for a) $Q = 1=3$ (upper part) and b)
 $Q = 2=3$ (lower part) scalar and vector LQ s.

Figure 1

