Lepton-Flavor V iolating D ecays of the Z-boson in a Left-R ight Supersym m etric M odel

 ${\tt M}$. Frank $^{\tt a}$ and ${\tt H}$. H am ${\tt id}\,{\tt ian}^{\tt b}$

^aD epartm ent of Physics, Concordia University, 1455 De Maisonneuve Blvd. W. Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3G 1M8

^bD epartm ent of Physics, University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, Ohio, 45221 U.S.A.

A bstract

We study the one-loop supersymmetric contributions to lepton-avor violating Z-boson decays in a fully left-right symmetric model. In addition to right-handed scalar neutrinos, the decays could receive important contributions from doubly-charged triplet Higgsinos which couple to leptons only. We not that the decay widths reach present experimental bounds and discuss mass constraints for the contributing supersymmetric partners.

1 Introduction

The quest for understanding beyond the Standard M odel physics and its implications for the present and future colliders has relied heavily on extended gauge structures of the Standard M odel. Am ong these Supersymmetric G rand Uned Theories (SUSYGUT 0 s) such as SO (10) and SU (5) have received signicant attention, due to their correct prediction of the electroweak mixing angle [1]. These theories provide uncation of interactions at a higher scale than the ordinary GUT 0 s, which prevents rapid proton decay. A nother attractive feature of these models is the prediction of a small but non-vanishing neutrino mass. Indeed, anomalies measured in solar and atmospheric neutrino uxes seem to lend support to the idea that neutrinos should have a small but nonvanishing mass. The most promising candidate for a mechanism to give the neutrino a mass is the see-saw model, which explains the smallness of the neutrino mass in terms of the large Majorana mass for a right-handed neutrino R [2].

Phenomenologically, the introduction of three families for the right-handed neutrinos brings a new matrix for the Yukawa couplings in the lepton sector, similar to the one in the quark sector. A simultaneous diagonalization of both matrices is quite accidental and, as in the quark sector, the new leptonic Yukawa couplings cause lepton-avour violation. This phenomenon could exist in a supersymmetric version of the Standard Model with the introduction of right-handed neutrinos [3]. It can also occur naturally in one of the SUSY GUT models. The simplest extension of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model which would include right-handed neurinos naturally is the one based on the left-right symmetric extension of the Standard Model. We will investigate here lepton-avor violating decays of the Z-boson in a fully left-right supersymmetric model.

The Left-Right Supersymmetric Model (LRSUSY) is an extension of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model based on left-right symmetry. LRSUSY shares some of the attractive properties of the MSSM, like providing a natural solution for the gauge hierarchy problem; and, in addition, LRSUSY supresses naturally rapid proton decay, by disallowing terms in the Lagrangian that explicitly violate either baryon or lepton numbers [4]. It gauges the only quantum number left ungauged, BL. The LRSUSY model shares some of the attractive features of the original left-right symmetric model [5], such as providing a possible explanation for the strength of CP violation. It could be viewed as a low-energy realization

GUTs, such as SO (10) or SU (5). So farthere is no experim ental evidence ofcertain SUSY for the right-handed interactions predicted by the SU (2)_L SU (2) U (1)_{B L} theory, let alone by supersymmetry. Yet the foundation of LRSUSY has so many attractive features that the model deserves some experimental and theoretical investigation. The next generation of linear colliders will provide an excellent opportunity for such a study. The theoretical and experimental challenge lies in nding distinctive features for the left-right supersymmetric model, which allow it to be distinguished from both the SUSY version of the Standard M odel and from the non-supersymmetric version of the left-right theory [6]. Lepton-avour violation decays are just the right type of such phenomena. The LRSUSY model provides a natural fram ework for large lepton avor-violating e ects through two mechanisms: on one hand it can give rise to a leptonic decay width of the Z-boson through both left-handed and right-handed scalar lepton mixing, on the other hand it contains lepton-avor-blind higgsinos which couple to leptons only and enhance lepton-avor violation.

Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the LRSUSY model; in Section 3 we discuss the supersymmetric contributions (including both the M SSM and the LRSUSY contributions) to the decay rate $Z \ ! \ l_1 \, l_2 .$ We will conclude in Section 4 with numerical estimates and discussion.

2 The Left-Right Supersymm etric Model

The LR SUSY model, based on SU (2)_L SU (2)_k U (1)_k L, has matter doublets for both left-and right-handed ferm ions and the corresponding left-and right-handed scalar partners (sleptons and squarks) [6]. In the gauge sector, corresponding to SU (2)_L and SU (2)_R, there are triplet gauge bosons (W $^+$; W 0)_L, (W $^+$; W 0)_R and a singlet gauge boson V corresponding to U (1)_B L, together with their superpartners. The Higgs sector of this model consists of two Higgs bi-doublets, u ($\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2};0$) and d ($\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2};0$), which are required to give masses to both the up and down quarks. In addition, the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the group SU (2)_R U (1)_L to the hypercharge symmetry group U (1)_Y is accomplished by introducing the Higgs triplet elds L (1;0;2) and R (0;1;2). The choice of the triplets (versus four doublets) is preferred because with this choice a large M a jorana mass can be generated for the right-handed neutrino and a small one for the left-handed neutrino [5]. In addition to the triplets LR, the model must contain two additional triplets L (1;0;2)

and $_R$ (0;1; 2), with quantum number B $\,$ L = $\,$ 2 to insure cancellation of the anomalies that would otherwise occur in the fermionic sector. Given their strange quantum numbers, the $_L$ and $_R$ do not couple to any of the particles in the theory so their contribution is negligible for any phenomenological studies.

As in the standard model, in order to preserve U $(1)_{EM}$ gauge invariance, only the neutral Higgs elds aquire non-zero vacuum expectation values (V E V 0 s). These values are:

< > causes the mixing of W $_{\rm L}$ and W $_{\rm R}$ bosons with C P -violating phase ! . In order to simplify, we will take the V E V 0 s of the H iggs elds as: < $_{\rm L}$ >= 0 and

Choosing $v_L = {}^0 = 0$ satis es the more loosely required hierarchy v_R max (; 0) v_L and also the required cancellation of avor-changing neutral currents. The Higgs elds aquire non-zero V E V 0 s to break both parity and SU $(2)_R$. In the rst stage of breaking the right-handed gauge bosons W $_R$ and Z_R aquire masses proportional to v_R and become much heavier than the usual (left-handed) neutral gauge bosons W $_L$ and Z_L , which pick up masses proportional to v_R and v_R at the second stage of breaking. [4]

The supersymmetric sector of the model, while preserving left-right symmetry, has four singly-charged charginos (corresponding to $^{\sim}_{L}$; $^{\sim}_{R}$; $^{\sim}_{u}$, and $^{\sim}_{d}$), in addition to $^{\sim}_{L}$, $^{\sim}_{R}$, $^{\sim}_{L}$ and $^{\sim}_{R}$. The model also has eleven neutralinos, corresponding to $^{\sim}_{Z}$, $^{\sim}_{Z0}$, $^{\sim}_{V}$, $^{\sim}_{1u}$, $^{\sim}_{2u}$, $^{\sim}_{1d}$, $^{\sim}_{2d}$, $^{\sim}_{L}$, and $^{\sim}_{R}$. It has been shown that in the scalar sector, the left-triplet $^{\sim}_{L}$ couplings can be neglected in phenomenological analyses of muon and tau decays [3]. Also $^{\sim}_{L}$ is not necessary for symmetry breaking [7]; it is introduced only for preserving left-right symmetry. We will therefore neglect the couplings of $^{\sim}_{L}$ in the fermionic sector.

The doubly charged R is however very important: it carries quantum number B L of 2 and couples only to leptons, therefore breaking lepton-quark universality. It and its supersymmetric partner could, as will be seen in the next section, play an important role in avour-violating leptonic decays.

In the scalar matter sector, the LRSUSY contains two left-handed and two right-handed scalar ferm ions as partners of the ordinary leptons and quarks, which them selves come in

left—and right-handed doublets. In general the left—and right-handed scalar leptons will mix together. Some of the elects of this mixings, such as enhancement of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, have been discussed elsewhere [4]. Only global lepton—family—number violation would prevent e, ~ and ~ to mix arbitrarily. Permitting this mixing to occur, we could expect smalle ects to occur in the non-supersymmetric sector, such as radiative muon or tau decays, in addition to other nonstandard elects such as massive neutrino oscillations and violation of lepton number itself. But, in general, allowing for the mixing, we have six charged—scalar lepton states (involving 15 real angles and 10 complex phases) and six scalar neutrinos (also involving 15 real angles and 10 complex phases). In order to reduce the (large) number of parameters we shall assume in what follows that only two generations of scalar leptons (the heaviest) mix signicantly [8]. The mixings are as follows: $^{\sim}_{L,R}$ and $^{\sim}_{L,R}$ with angle $^{\sim}_{L,R}$; with angle $^{\sim}_{L,R}$; with angle $^{\sim}_{L,R}$; with angle $^{\sim}_{L,R}$; so that, for example:

$$\mathcal{I}_{L_1} = \sim_{L} \cos_{L} + \sim_{L} \sin_{L}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{L_2} = \mathcal{I}_L \sin_L + \mathcal{I}_L \cos_L$$

and sim ilarly for $\mathbf{\hat{I}}_{R_{1,2}}$ and $\mathbf{\hat{I}}_{R_{1,2}}$ and $\mathbf{\hat{I}}_{R_{1,2}}$. These states are the physical mass eigenstates.

Next we consider the implications of the above-m ixing in the LRSUSY in lepton-avor violating decays of the ${\bf Z}_{\rm L}$ boson.

3 Lepton Number Violating Decays

There are two types of contributions to the lepton-number violating decays: one coming from the non-SUSY sector of the left-right model, the other type coming from the SUSY sector, through the contributions of supersymmetric partners. To keep the parameters to a minimum, we choose to evaluate analytically the contribution to amplitude for the decay $Z \,!\, l_1 \, l_2$ due to the mixing of scalar leptons and scalar neutrinos and due to the charginos and neutralinos in the model. We note that the mass parameters which determine the contribution in the SUSY sector are independent (in broken supersymmetry) of the ones in the non-SUSY sector. For completeness, we refer the reader to [3].

In general, the branching ratio for this process can be written as:

B (Z !
$$l_1 l_2 + l_1 l_2$$
) = $\frac{\frac{3}{w}}{48 \cos^2 w} \frac{M_z}{z} [j_{l_1 l_2}^L]^2 + j_{l_1 l_2}^R]^2$;

where the nonoblique functions $\frac{L}{l_1 \, l_2}$ and $\frac{R}{l_1 \, l_2}$ depend on the V A or V + A character of the theory. Such a branching ratio is restricted by LEP to be e.g. B (Z ! e) 10^5 [11].

We assume that the leptonic masses are small (and therefore negligible) compared to at least one mass of a supersymmetric particle in the process.

There are 9 relevant diagrams that contribute to $\frac{L}{l_1 l_2}$ and $\frac{R}{l_1 l_2}$ and they are listed in Fig.1. The contributions from the individual graphs are listed below. First, the left-handed contributions which are similar, although not identical, to the ones in the M SSM [8]:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{ie}^{3}}{32 \cdot \sin^{2} w \sin 2 w} \sin 2 \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (\text{jtan } w \text{N}_{i1} + \text{N}_{i2} \text{j}) \cos 2 w \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (\text{jtan}_{i1} + \text{N}_{i2} \text{j}) \cos 2 w \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (\text{jtan}_{i2} + \text{N}_{i2} \text{j}$$

$$_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^{L}(B) = \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin^{2} w \sin^{2} w} \sin^{2} L_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (\dot{y}_{i1})^{2} \sim_{L_{1}} C_{24} (\dot{x}_{i}; \dot{x}_{l_{1}}; \dot{x}_{l_{1}})$$
(2)

$$\frac{\text{L}}{\text{l}_{1} \text{l}_{2}} \text{ (D)} = \frac{\text{ie}^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} \text{w} \sin 2 \text{w}} \sin 2 \text{L}$$

$$X^{4} X^{4} \text{ (tan w N i + N i) (tan w N i + N i) } \text{ (tan w N i + N i) (tan w N i + N i) }$$

$$\frac{\text{L}}{\text{Sin}^{2}} \text{ (2C }_{24} \text{ (`l_{L_{1}}; ``i'; ``j')} \quad 1 = 2 + \text{L}_{2} \text{ (C }_{23} \text{ (`l_{L_{1}}; ``i'; ``j')}$$

$$C_{22} \text{ (`l_{L_{1}}; ``i'; ``j')} \quad O_{ij}^{\text{R}} \quad \frac{\text{Q}}{\text{L}_{2}} \quad \frac{\text{Q}}{\text{L}_{2}} \quad C_{0} \text{ (`l_{L_{1}}; ``i'; ``j')}$$
(3)

$$\frac{L}{L_{1}L_{2}}(E) = \frac{2ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin 2 w} \sin 2 L_{1} \left[(V_{i1}V_{j1}) \right] \\
O_{ij}^{L_{0}}(2C_{24}(C_{L_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j})) = \frac{1}{2} + L_{2}(C_{23}(C_{L_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j})) \\
C_{22}(C_{L_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j}) = \frac{2ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin^{2} w \cos^{2} w \cos$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{k \to k} (G) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{ie^3}{32^2 \sin^2 w \sin 2w} \sin 2 \lim_{k \to k} (j \tan w N_{i1} + N_{i2} j) \cos 2w$$

$$B_1 (0; i^0; k_{i1}) \qquad (5)$$

$$L_{1_{1}1_{2}}(H) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin 2 w} \sin 2 L_{i=1}^{X^{4}} \sim_{i} (J_{i1}J_{i}) B_{1}(0; \gamma_{i}; \gamma_{R_{1}})$$
(6)

where $_n=m_n^2\!=\!\!M_{W_L}^2$, the functions C_{ij} ($_i;_j;_k$) are the three-point functions, and B_1 (0; $_i;_j$) is a two-point function associated with the self-energy graphs. We follow the notation and conventions of Ref. [9], to which we refer the reader for further details. In LRSUSY m ixing of right-handed scalar leptons as well as gauginos induce a nonuniversal coupling of the Z_L , R as shown in Fig. 1. The contributions are as given below:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \text{R} & \text{$$

$$\frac{R}{l_{1} l_{2}} (B) = \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin^{2} w} \sin^{2} R \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (J_{i2} J^{2})^{2} R_{1} C_{24} (J_{i2} J$$

$$\frac{R}{l_{1} l_{2}} (C) = \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin^{2} w} \sin^{2} R \tan^{2} k \sin^{2} w k \sin^{2} R \cos^{2} R$$

$$\frac{\text{R}}{\text{l}_{1} \text{l}_{2}} (E) = \frac{2 \text{ie}^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2}_{w} \sin^{2}_{w}} \sin^{2}_{x} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (V_{i2} V_{j2}) O_{ij}^{R^{0}} \right] \\
(2C_{24} (C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (C_{23} (C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j})) \\
C_{22} (C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j}) = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (C_{23} (C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j})) = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (C_{23}; C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{j}) = 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{4}} (C_{23}; C_{R_{1}}; C_{i}; C_{$$

$$\frac{R}{l_{1} l_{2}} (F) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2}_{w} \sin 2_{w}} \sin 2_{R} \sin^{2}_{w} \tan^{2}_{k} \sim$$

$$[2C_{24} (^{*}_{R_{1}}; ^{*}; ^{*}) 1=2 +$$

$$_{z} (C_{23} (^{*}_{R_{1}}; ^{*}; ^{*}) C_{22} (^{*}_{R_{1}}; ^{*}; ^{*}))] (12)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& & & & & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& & \\$$

$${}^{R}_{l_{1} l_{2}} (H) = 4 \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2}_{w} \sin^{2}_{w}} \sin^{2}_{w} \sin^{2}_{w} \left(\mathcal{Y}_{i2} \mathcal{J} \right) B_{1} (0; \mathcal{J}_{i}; \mathcal{J}_{R_{1}})$$
(14)

$${}^{R}_{l_{1}l_{2}}(I) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{ie^{3}}{32^{2} \sin^{2} w \sin 2 w} \tan^{2} k \sin 2 R^{R} B_{1}(0; ^{R})$$
(15)

Here O_{ij} and N_{ij} arise from neutralino m ixing and coupling at the vertices; O_{ij} and V_{ij} , U_{ij} arise from chargino m ixing at the vertices. The expressions for these matrix elemens are rather lengthy; they have been calculated previously, in a work dealing in detail with chargino and neutralino masses, and are listed in [10].

4 Numerical Results and Discussion

As seen in the previous section, the left-right supersym m etric contributions to the branching ratio BR ($Z \ ! \ l_1 l_2$) depend on a plethora of param eters: chargino and neutralino m asses and m ixing m atrix elements, as well as sleptons and sneutrino m asses and m ixing angles. An exact evaluation of this cross section would require xing all the param eters: clearly an impossible task. We attempt to estimate the possible values of the branching ratio in order to get an idea for the range of param eters and then compare the result with current experimental data: for example with the branching ratio BR ($Z \ ! \ e \) 1:3 10^5$. Similar results are obtained for BR ($Z \ ! \ e \) 6 10^6$ [11].

In particular, we would like to get an estimate for the case in which this branching ratio is large, perhaps close to the experimental bound. We shall make several simplifying assumptions with this in mind.

First, from the expressions for the matrix elements, it can be seen that the largest cross section occurs when the mass splittings between $\mathcal{I}_{L_{1;2}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{R_{1;2}}$, $\mathcal{I}_{L_{1;2}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{R_{1;2}}$ are large. We shall therefore assume that the scalar leptons and neutrinos mix maximally, that is, $\mathcal{I}_{R_1} = \mathcal{I}_{R_1} = \mathcal{I$

Second, the cross section will be smallest for the lightest allowable supersymmetric partners. As the mass of any particle becomes large, the contributions from the subprocesses involving that particle become negligibly small.

Since leptonic masses are taken to be small compared to that of their supersymmetric partners, the terms proportional to lepton masses arising from the higgsino component of a vertex (either chargino or neutralino) can be ignored.

We base our estimates for chargino and neutralino masses and mixing matrices on previous work done on the subject. For completness, we refer the reader to [10]. It suces to mention here that both chargino and neutralino masses, as well as their mixing matrices depend on the following parameters M $_{\rm L}$, the left-handed gaugino mass parameter, M $_{\rm R}$, the right-handed gaugino mass parameter, , the higgsino mass parameter, and tan $_{\rm k}=_{\rm u}=_{\rm d}$. In addition the cross section will depend on the mass of the $_{\rm L}$ which is otherwise unrestricted.

We shall exam ine the cross section as a function of all the masses, which is more illuminating than a function of M_L , M_R , and tan $_k$. For simplication we will take tan $_k=2$ in all our considerations.

To get a feeling for the size of the supersymmetric contributions we rst choose an \unbroken supersymmetry" limit, in which the rst two neutralinos are degenerate in mass with the photon and Z_L boson, the rst two charginos are degenerate in mass with the W $_L$ boson, all the \1" scalar leptons and scalar neurinos have the same mass, and all the other supersymmetric particles are much heavier and decouple. The only supersymmetry breaking terms are explicit mass terms for the scalar leptons and neutrinos. The results are shown in Fig. 2. It can bee seen that for light scalar partners in this idealized scenario, the branching ratio Z! $l_1 l_2$ can reach values close to the experimental limits.

A fler getting a rough estim ate for the size of the branching ratio, we analyse the e ects of varying one of the m asses while keeping the others constant. We do this to investigate the dependence of the branching ratio on the chargino and neutralino masses, as well as scalar leptons and scalar neutrino masses. We restrict our analysis to the light mass region of the supersymmetric particles in the hope that e ects measured there could be noticeable.

In Fig. 3 we plot the dependence of the branching ratio on the chargino and neutralino masses. It is impossible to treat separately the chargino and neutralino masses, since as explained above, they depend on the same set of parameters. To simplify, we consider the in uence of the lightest two charginos. Taking m $_{\sim_1}$ to be the variable, we see that as expected, the cross section decreases with increasing m $_{\sim_1}$ and could reach 3 10^{-5} for all masses being at their lowest experimental bound. (We could have chosen in much the same way to plot the dependence of the branching ratio on the lightest neutralino mass m $_{\sim_1^0}$). In many models the rst neutralino (the photino) is assumed to be the LSP and its mass is restricted to be 25 GeV; such values can be obtained in the LRSUSY model and are

consistent with Fig. 3. The cross section again can reach values close to the experimental bounds.

Fig. 4 shows the dependence of the cross section on the scalar neutrino m asses. (A ssum ing the left and right scalar neutrinos to be degenerate in m ass). We also take the left and right scalar leptons to be degenerate in m ass around 100 GeV. This is for the purpose of increasing the total cross section, since the right-handed sleptons contribute only to $\frac{R}{l_1 l_2}$ and the left-handed ones only to $\frac{L}{l_1 l_2}$. A gain , these are idealized assumptions, the cross section varies weakly with these m asses, but it can reach values near the experimental bounds.

The most interesing dependence is perhaps the one on the mass of the doubly-charged $^{\sim}_R$ higgsino. This chargino does not mix with other ones because of its charge, and it can significantly increase the branching ratio BR (Z ! $l_1 \, l_2$) through scalar-leptons scalar-leptons loops, as well as through the self-energy graphs. The dependence of the cross section on the mass of the $^{\sim}_R$ is shown in Fig. 5. The branching ratio can exceed the experimental limits unless the mass of the $^{\sim}_R$ is larger than 120 GeV. This seems to be the only denite restriction of this analysis. It seems to persist even when tan $_k$ is varied (in the region 2 to 5). When tan $_k$ varies, the chargino and neutralino masses become large and their contribution smaller, but this is o set by an increase in the contribution from the $^{\sim}_R$.

In conclusion , we have shown that the Left-Right Supersymmetric Model, unlike the MSSM , can give signicant contributions to lepton-number-violating decays of the Z_L -boson, especially for light (near experimental bounds) charginos and neutralinos. It seems possible to observe such a decay rate at SLC, even if the only contribution to these decays arises from slepton and sneutrino mixing. The present limits on the masses and leptonic decays seem to restrict the mass of the doubly-charged higgsino to at least 120 GeV.

5 Acknowledgements

M F. would like to thank R ichard Hall for help with M athematica and Harith Saif for past collaborations on this subject, especially on [6]. M . F. would like to thank N SERC for partial nancial support. The work of H. H. is supported in part by the United States D epartment of Energy under grant no. DE-FG 02-84ER 40153.

R eferences

- [1] JEllis, SKelley, and D.W. Nanopoulos, Phys.Lett. B 260 (1995) 131;
 P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 817;
 G.Ross and R.G. Roberts Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 571.
- [2] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ram ond and R. Slansky, in Supergravity, ed. P. van Niewenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).
- [3] A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 459;A. Pilaftsis, J. Bernabeu, Phys. Lett. B 351 (1995) 235.
- [4] R. Francis, M. Frank, C.S. Kalman, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2369.
- [5] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275;
 R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 566, 2558;
 G. Senjanovic and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 1502;
 R.N. Mohapatra and R.E. Marshak, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 222.
- [6] M . Frank, H . N . Saif, Z . Phys. C 65 (1995) 337.
- [7] K Huitu, J. M aalam pi, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 217;
 K Huitu, J. M aalam pi, M . Raidal, Phys. Lett. B 328 (1994) 60;
 K Huitu, J. M aalam pi, M . Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B 420 (1994) 449.
- [8] M. Levine, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1329.
- [9] B.A.KniehlPhys.Rep. 240 (1994) 211.
- [10] M .Frank, C.S.Kalman, H.N.Saif, Z.Phys. C 59 (1993) 655.
- [11] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994), 1173.

6 List of Figures

Figure 1 : Feynman graphs contribuing to the e ective nonoblique Z $l_1\,l_2$ coupling in LRSUSY.

Figure 2: Branching Ratio B (Z ! $l_1 l_2$) in the "supersymmetry" limit. Here we vary all slepton and sneutrino masses (assumed to be equal), while keeping the chargino and neutralino masses at their SUSY limit: $m_{\gamma_1^0} = m_{\gamma_2} = 0$, $m_{\gamma_2^0} = m_{Z_L} = m_{Z_L}$, $m_{\gamma_1} = m_{\gamma_2} = m_{W_L}$, all the other superpartners decouple. As defined in the text, $m_{\gamma_1} = m_{\gamma_2} = m_{W_L}$.

Figure 3: Branching Ratio B (Z ! $l_1 l_2$) as a function of the lightest chargino mass m $_{\sim_1}$, showing the dependence of the cross section on the chargino-neutralino mass parameters. Here $_{\sim_1}$ = m $_{\sim_1}^2$ =M $_{\rm W.L.}^2$. A similar graph could be obtained if one plotted the branching ratio as a function of the lightest neutralino mass. Here the scalar partners, the slepton and the sneutrino are assumed to have masses close to the experimental bounds: m $_{\rm I}$ = 100 GeV and m $_{\sim}$ = 45 GeV.

Figure 4: Branching Ratio BR (Z ! $l_1 l_2$) as a function of the sneutrino mass m ... Here all the chargino and neutralino have SUSY limit masses: m $_{\sim_1}$ = m $_{\sim}$ = 0, m $_{\sim_2}$ = m $_{Z_L}$ = m $_Z$, m $_{\sim_1}$ = m $_{\sim_2}$ = m $_{W_L}$ = m $_{W_L}$, all the other superpartners decouple. The slepton mass is near the lowest experimental bound: m $_{\rm T}$ = 100 GeV.

Figure 5: Branching Ratio B (Z ! $l_1 l_2$) as a function of the doubly charged higssino , $^+_L^+$ m ass . Here all the chargino and neutralino have SUSY lim it m asses: m $_{\sim_1^0}^-$ = m $_\sim$ = 0, m $_{\sim_2^0}^-$ = m $_{Z_L}^-$ = m $_{Z_L}^-$

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from: