## How Can Strange Quark M atter Occur Deeply in the Atmosphere?

G W  $ilk^1$  and Z W  $bdarczyk^{2y}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, W arsaw, Poland, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University, Kieke, Poland

## A bstract

M otivated by some recent cosm ic ray experiments we study the properties of strange quark matter near avour equilibrium. Using Ferm i-gas model we argue that, contrary to some claims, the geometrical radii of quark matter strangelets are not smaller but rather comparable to those of ordinary nuclei. We propose therefore a scenario of propagation of strangelets through the atm osphere which still allows for their deep penetration.

PACS:13.85.Tp 12.90.+b

Preprint: SIN S-PV III-1996-1 and IP -PUK -1996-5

In astrophysical literature one nds a number of phenomena that are both puzzling and extrem aly unusual or unique. They are interested because they apparently do not belong to any known classes of registered events. Some of them are regarded as a manifestation of the so called strange matter. In particular it concerns such events as: anom alous cosm ic ray bursts from Cygnus X 3 [1]; extraordinary high lum inosity gam ma-ray bursts from the supernova rem nant N 49 in the Large M agellanic C loud [2]; the so called C entauro events [3] (characterised by anom alous composition of secondary particles with alm ost no neutral pions present). In this last case, if it would really be caused by some kind of strange quark matter (SQM) called strangelets, their observation at the atm ospheric depth as large as 500 g/cm<sup>2</sup> would require unusual penetrability of these lumps of SQM [4] (i.e., their geom etrical sizes or interaction cross sections should be much smaller than the typical nuclear size).

Typical SQM consists of roughly equal number of up, down and strange quarks and it has been found to be the true ground state of QCD  $[5, 6, 7]^1$ . However, any SQM produced at very early stage of the history of Universe would have evaporated long time ago [8]. On the

e-m ail: w ilk@ fuw edu pl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>e-m ail: w sp- z@ srv1.tu kielce.pl

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  It is therefore absolutely stable at high m ass numbers A and because the energy per baryon in SQM could be sm aller than that in ordinary nuclear matter, it would be m ore stable than the m ost tightly bound  $^{56}$  Fe nucleus.

other hand, there are places where the SQM m ay still exists at present [5, 8]. It is probably continously produced in neutron stars with a superdense quark surface and in quarks stars with a thin nucleon envelope [9] and collisions of such objects could produce sm all lumps of SQM, strangelets with  $10^2 < A < 10^6$ , permeating the Galaxy and possibly reaching also the Earth (i.e., a priori being detectable here).

There are several reports suggesting direct candidates for SQM. In particular, anom alous massive particles, which can be interpreted as strangelets, have been apparently observed in three independent cosm ic ray (CR) experiments:

- (i) In counter experiment devoted to study primary CR nucleitwo anom abus events have been observed [10]. They are consistent with values of charge Z ' 14 and of atom ic numbers A ' 350 and ' 450 and cannot be accounted for by the conventional background. Such values of Z and A are fully consistent with the theoretical estimation for ratio Z=A in SQM [11].
- (ii) The so called Price's event [12] with Z ' 46 and A > 1000, regarded previously as possible candidate for magnetic monopole, turned out to be fully consistent with the above ratio Z = A for SQM [13].
- (iii) The so called Exotic Track with Z ' 20 and A ' 460 has been reported in [14]. The name comes from the fact that although it was observed in emulsion chamber exposed to CR on balloon at the atm ospheric depth of only 11:7 g/cm<sup>2</sup> its arrival zenith angle of 87:4 deg means that the projectile causing that event traversed 200 g/cm<sup>2</sup> of atm osphere (in contract to events (i) and (ii) where the corresponding depths were of the order of 5 15 g/cm<sup>2</sup> of atm osphere only).

The Exotic Track event motivated the (balloon born emulsion chamber) JACEE [15] and Concorde aircraft [16] experiments to search for strangelets with such long mean free paths. In fact, authors of [15, 16] suggest that the interaction mean free path for strangelets in atmosphere is of the order of  $_{\rm S}$  = 124 g/cm<sup>2</sup> for A = 100 decreasing to  $_{\rm S}$  = 59 g/cm<sup>2</sup> only for A = 1000. These values are surprisingly close to that for protons at comparable energies ( $_{\rm proton}$  = 60 70 g/cm<sup>2</sup>) and are much bigger than that for a norm alnucleus ( $_{\rm nucleus}$  ' 3:8 g/cm<sup>2</sup> for A = 100). This means that (according to [15, 16]) strangelets should have geometrical radiim uch smaller than those of the ordinary nuclei or, correspondingly, much smaller interaction cross sections (this would also agree with the SQM interpretation of Centauro events mentioned before)<sup>2</sup>.

In this Letter we would like to show that, contrary to the above expectations, geom etrical radii of strangelets are most probably comparable to those of the ordinary nuclei. Nevertheless, we shall also show that it is still possible to expect some strangelets occuring deeply in the atm osphere as advocated by [14, 15, 16].

Let us consider a lum p of SQM visualised after [6, 7] as Ferm i gas of up, down and strange quarks, with totalm ass number A and con ned in a spherical volum eV A. Its radius is given

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>So far the results are negative: no evidence for strangelets with Z > 26 that survived passing of 100 g/cm<sup>2</sup> of atm osphere was found [15, 16].

by

$$R = r_0 A^{1=3}; (1)$$

where the rescaled radius  $r_0$  is determined by the number density of the strange matter, n = A = V[7]. This in turn is given by the sum of densities of each quark species under consideration,  $n = \frac{1}{3} (n_u + n_d + n_s)$ , where

$$n_{i} = \frac{\theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}}$$
(2)

and them odynam ical potentials  $_{i}$  (m  $_{i}$ ;  $_{i}$ ) are related to chem ical potentials  $_{i}$ . Because chem - ical potentials of interest here are of the order of 300 M eV, one can neglect the (current) m assess of up and down quarks and have only them assoft the strange quark, which we shall denote by m. Taking into account the QCD O ( $_{c}$ ) corrections to the properties of SQM in calculating the respective therm odynam ical potentials  $_{i}$  (m  $_{i}$ ;  $_{i}$ ;  $_{c}$ ) (renorm alizing them at m  $_{N}$  =3 = 313 M eV) [6], the rescaled radius is given by

$$r_{0} = 4 \frac{3}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2}{c} \cdot (3 + m^{3})} \frac{3}{5} :$$
 (3)

In Fig. 1a we show its dependence on the ratio of the strange quark mass to its chem ical potential,  $m = for the case of _c = 0$  (i.e., ignoring one-gluon exchanges inside the Ferm igas [7]). For the values commonly accepted for SQM (like m ' 150 M eV and ' 300 M eV) [5, 6, 7], the values of  $r_0$  of the strangelets are comparable with that for the ordinary nuclear matter (being only a bit smaller with di erence not exceeding 10% -20%). Fig. 1b summarizes the dependence of the  $r_0$  on the QCD coupling constant \_c. It turns out that in this case the chem ical equilibrium shifts towards bigger number of strange quarks without, how ever, signi cantly in uencing the number density. As one can see the QCD corrections lead to the slight increase of  $r_0$  (not exceeding 30%).

Fig. 1 shows therefore that the expected decrease of the radius of strangelet is now here as dram atic as it has been estim ated in R efs. [15, 16]. It means that the expected geometrical cross sections of SQM are, in fact, not much smaller than those for normal nuclei, in any case not enough to explain alone the occurrences of anom alous events detected deeply in the atm osphere. It does not mean, how ever, that some SQM cannot be registered there and in what follows we shall propose a simple (speculative but plausible) scenario, which can be summarized as follows: strangelets reaching so deeply into atm osphere are formed in many successive interactions with air nuclei of much heavier lumps of SQM entering our atm osphere. A ssum ing now the simplest possible scenario, namely that after every such collision strangelet of mass num ber A<sub>0</sub> becomes the new one with A<sub>0</sub> A<sub>air</sub><sup>3</sup>, one obtains the resultant mass num ber of strangelet registered at depth h, A (h), as a function of h as shown in Fig. 2. As one can see, bigger initial strangelets (i.e., with higherm ass num ber A<sub>0</sub>) can penetrate much more deeply into atm osphere untillA (h) exceeds critical A<sub>crit</sub>, after which point they just evaporate by the em ission of neutrons.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>N$  otice that incoming strangelet has A<sub>0</sub> >> A<sub>air</sub> and that it is much more stable than the target air nucleus. For simplicity we tacidly assume here that air nucleus destroys totally the corresponding (equal to it) part of the incoming lump of SQM, our estimation provides therefore a lower limit of what should be expected in more detailed calculations.

Let us now explain our point in more detail. First of all let us note that the practical measure of the stability of strangelet is the so called separation energy dE = dA, i.e., energy, which is required to remove a single baryon from a given strangelet. For example, if dE =dA > m  $_{
m N}$ strangelet can evaporate (from its surface) neutrons<sup>4</sup>. This energy depends, am ong other things, on the size of the strangelet, which is usually given in terms of its mass number A [7]. There exists therefore som e critical size given by a critical value of  $A = A_{crit}$  such that for  $A > A_{crit}$ there will exist som e strangelets that are absolutely stable against neutron em ission; it depends on the various choices of parameters and vary from  $A_{crit} = 300$  to 400 [6, 7]. Suppose now that the energy per baryon in strange m atter is " = 919 M eV [6] and that num ber densities corresponding to nuclear matter are  $n = (110 \text{ M eV})^3$ . In such situation for A 1100; E = A exceeds already m<sub>N</sub> but strangelet does not em it neutrons yet and starts to do so only for A 320, at which point dE = dA exceeds  $m_N$  [6]. Below this limit strangelet decays rapidly by evaporating neutrons. In view of these remarks it is remarkable that all possible candidates for SQM have m as numbers near or slightly exceeding  $A_{crit}$ , namely: A = 350 and 450 in [10], A = 460 in [14] and A = 1000 in [13] and is argued that C entauro event contains probably 200 baryons [4, 3]. Fig. 3 shows atm ospheric length traversed after which the strangelet m ass number A becomes critical,  $A = A_{crit}$ , starting from di erent m ass num bers  $A_0$  of the initial strangelets. From it one can read o that strangelets which are observed at depth 200 g/cm<sup>2</sup> should originate from the strangelets of m ass num ber  $A_0 = 900$  at the top of the atm osphere w hereas C entauro events observed at the mountain altitudes would require original strangelet of  $A_0 = 1800$ . Unfortunately, the m ass distribution of incom ing strangelets, N ( $A_0$ ), is not know n. A ssum ing that strange stars break up in the collisions in a manner resembling the breaking of colliding nuclei (proceeding due to the phase transition close to the critical point), one could expect that the corresponding m ass distribution of strangelets should follow a simple power law, like  $A_0^{5}$ . In such a picture power index 2 can accom odate both the observed strangelets and the upper bounds for intensities of those which survived traversing  $100 \text{ g/m}^2$  of atm osphere as provided by [15, 16].

W e sum marize by stating that most probable the geom etrical cross sections of strangelets are not dram atically di erent from those for the ordinary nuclear matter and cannot therefore explain their apparent very high penetrability through the atmosphere. Instead we propose to interprete such a penetrability of SQM (already discovered or to be yet observed) as indication of the existence of very heavy lum ps of SQM entering our atmosphere, which are then decreased in size during their consecutive collisions with air nuclei (i.e., their original mass num ber  $A_0$  is reduced until  $A = A_{crit}$ ) and nally decay be the evaporation of neutrons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In principle strangelet is unstable if its energy per nucleon (understood here as 3 quarks), E = A, exceeds the mass of a nuclear system. However, even if  $E = A > m_N$  a strangelet would not convert as a whole into nucleons in any nite time because such process would be of extrem aly high order in the weak coupling constant.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ As a good candidate for its statistical interpretation could serve percolation m odel of R ef. [17].

References

- [1] G Baym et al, Phys. Lett. B160 (1985) 181; G Shaw, G Benford and D Silverman, Phys. Lett. 169 (1986) 275.
- [2] C Alcock, E Farhiand A.V. O linto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 2088.
- [3] C M G Lattes, Phys. Rep. 65 (1980) 151.
- [4] JD B jorken and LD M cLerran, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2353; SA Chin and A K Kerm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1292; A D Panagiotou et al., Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 3134 and Z Phys. A 333 (1989) 335.
- [5] E.W itten, Phys. Rev. D 30 (1984) 272.
- [6] E Farhiand R L Ja e, Phys. Rev. D 30 (1984) 2379.
- [7] M S Berger and R L Ja e, Phys. Rev. C 35 (1987) 213.
- [8] C A loock and E Farhi, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 1273; E Farhi, Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys. 16 (1986) 289.
- [9] P.Haensel et al., Astron. Astrophys. 160 (1986) 121 and Astrophys. Journal 310 (1986) 261.
- [10] T Saito, Y Hatano and Y Fukada, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2094.
- [11] M Kasuya et al., Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2153.
- [12] PBPrice et al, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 1382.
- [13] T Saito, Proc. 24<sup>th</sup> ICRC 1, Rome (1995) 898.
- [14] M .Ichimura et al., Nuovo Cim .A 106 (1993) 843.
- [15] O M iyam ura et al, Proc. 24<sup>th</sup> ICRC 1, Rom e (1995) 890.
- [16] JN Capdevielle et al, Proc. 24<sup>th</sup> ICRC 1, Rom e (1995) 910.
- [17] JN em eth et al., Z Phys. A 325 (1986) 347 and references therein.



Figure 1: Dependence of the rescaled radius  $r_0$  [fm ] (cf. eq.(3): (a) on the ratio of the strange quark m assm to its chemical potential , m = (solid lines, read from top to bottom, correspond to xed strange quark m asses: m = 100; 150; 200; 250 M eV; dashed read from top to bottom correspond to xed chemical potentials: = 100; 200; 300; 400 M eV); (b) on the strange quark m ass m for di erent values of the QCD coupling constant:  $_{c}$  = 0:9; 0:6; 0:3; 0:0 (from top to bottom, respectively). In both cases we show also for reference (by dotted line) the  $r_0$  = 1:12 fm corresponding to norm al nuclear density = 0:17 fm <sup>3</sup> = (110 M eV)<sup>3</sup>.



Figure 2: An example of the predicted decrease of the actual size of the strangelet (as given by its m ass number A) with depth h of the atmosphere traversed (m easured in g/cm<sup>2</sup>) for two di erent initial SQM m ass numbers:  $A_0 = 1000$  and 2000. Solid lines corrrespond to  $A > A_{crit}$ and dashed to  $A < A_{crit}$  (in which region strangelets practically dissolve into neutrons).



Figure 3: Atm ospheric length  $[g/cm^2]$  after which initial strangelet reaches its critical dim ension,  $A = A_{crit}$  drawn as a function of its initial mass num ber  $A_0$  (here  $dE = dA > m_N$  for  $A_{crit}$  320). Consecutive full squares indicate (for A > 600) points where  $A = A_{crit} = 2$ ; 3; 4; 5; 6, respectively.