The Densities, Correlations and Length Distributions of Vortices Produced at a Gaussian Quench

G.Karra and R.J.R ivers

B lackett Laboratory, Im perial College, London SW 7 2B Z

Abstract

W e present a model for the form ation of relativistic global vortices (strings) at a quench, and calculate their density and correlations. The signi cance of these results to early universe and condensed-m atter physics is discussed. In particular, there is always open, or in nite, string.

1 Introduction

M any systems produce topological defects, in the form of vortices or monopoles, on undergoing a phase transition to an ordered state. In this paper we shall attempt to calculate how such topological debris is produced in simple transitions.

A sm ight have been anticipated, the distributions that we shall nd are largely generic, roughly independent both of initial conditions and of the way in which the transition is in plem ented. It is for this reason that, hitherto, it has not been thought necessary to derive defect distributions in any detail. In fact, given our poor understanding of the underlying theories, it has been a consolation to be able to fall back on generic scaling solutions. For example, the large-scale structure of the universe has been attributed [1, 2] to cosm ic strings (vortices in the edds) formed at the G rand Uni cation era. A lthough we have only a primitive understanding of the relevant edd theories, it has been suggested that the initial conditions of any string network are largely washed out after a few expansion times, at which the network is assumed to approach a scaling regime with a few large bops and long strings per horizon volume continuing to produce sm aller loops by self and mutual intersection.

D espite this, there are two circum stances in which this lack of detailed initial inform ation leaves us at a loss, to which this paper is largely addressed. The rst, of less interest, concerns the density of the defects form ed. We have known for some time how to make reasonable qualitative estimates [1] of densities and in this paper we can con m them quantitatively. Secondly, it is not entirely true to say that the details of the string distributions are unimportant. In particular, for the astrophysicists the scaling solutions for the early universe mentioned above arguably require some open or 'in nite' string, i.e., vortices that do not self-intersect. The presence of such string is, in part, determined by the initial conditions and it is important to know whether it is present for reasonable models and if so, how much. For example, it has been suggested that vortices produced by bubble nucleation in a strong rst-order transition will only form sm all loops[3].

The paper is organised as follows. We begin by reiterating the main tactics for determining defect densities and distributions and then display the forms that they will take in a Gaussian approximation for the underlying eld distributions. The main tools are the correlation functions of the defect densities. As it stands, some work is necessary to convert them into easily measurable, or easily identiable, quantities. We present exam – ples, motivated both by our dynamical model (but simpler) and by current numerical simulations in astrophysics, to help us understand them better.

In our model these correlation functions are given a concrete realisation in terms of exponentially growing unstable modes when the phase transition is implemented by an instantaneous quench. Although this is an unrealisable idealisation, these results are used as a benchmark for more general transitions when, later, we vary both the initial conditions and the way in which the quench is implemented. It was already implicit in our earlier work [4], of which this is a continuation, that for a very fast quench the initial conditions will, in general, only determine the subleading behaviour of the

defect production. We shall extend the work presented there to look for exceptions to this general behaviour. Further, it will be seen that changing the rate at which the quench is implemented can be approximately equivalent to changing the time at which the transition can be said to have begun. As a result, the preliminary work of [4] for instantaneous quenches can be extended with only minor modication.

A fter a discussion of the way in which defects freeze into the eld we conclude with some observations about the length distribution of vortices, obtained by interpreting some recent numerical simulations [5, 6] in the light of our model. It will be seen that, whatever we do, there will be in nite string. Yet again we assume at spacetime, for simplicity. Our conclusions are thus, in this regard, more applicable to weak coupling condensed matter physics than the early universe. Interestingly, in a condensed matter context, the same correlation functions should enable us to estimate the super ow that would occur at a super uid quench from uctuations alone [7]. We shall make some steps in this direction.

2 Defect Distributions

Before going into details, som e generalities about defect production will be useful. O ur main interest is in vortices and our discussion will be centred about them . As will be seen, other defects are similar but simpler. The mechanism for vortex formation (term ed the K ibblem echanism [1] in astroparticle physics) is well understood at a qualitative level. In this paper we shall only consider the simplest theory that perm its vortices, that of a complex scalar eld (x;t). The complex order parameter of the theory is h i = e^i and the theory possesses a global (2) symmetry that we take to be broken at its phase transition. Initially, we take the system to be in the symmetry-unbroken (disordered) phase, in which the eld is distributed about = 0 with zero mean. We assume that, at some time t = t_0 , the O (2) symmetry of the ground-state (vacuum) is broken by a rapid change in the environment inducing an explicit time-dependence in the eld parameters. O nce this quench is completed the - eld potential takes the familiar symmetry-broken form V () = $M^2 j^2 j + j^4 j = 4 \text{ with } M^2 > 0$.

The transition for such a global symmetry is continuous and we expect that, as the complex scalar eld begins to fall from the false ground-state into the true ground-state, di erent points on the ground-state manifold (the circle S¹, labelled by the phase of h i) will be chosen at each point in space¹. If this is so then continuity and single valuedness will sometimes force the eld to remain in the false ground-state at = 0. For example, the phase of the eld may change by an integer multiple of 2 on going round a loop in space. This requires at least one zero of the eld within the loop, each of which has topological stability and characterises a vortex (or string). As to the density of strings

¹Had it been a rst-order transition the eld would have tunnelled towards the groundstate, with very di erent consequences for densities and correlations than those described here

passing through any surface will be $O(^2)$ i.e. a fraction of a string per unit correlation area. The assumed lack of correlation of the eld phase over larger distances than (inevitable on causal grounds in cosm ological models) is interpreted as saying that the power P (k) of the eld uctuations, de ned by

$$h^{(k)}(k)^{(j)}(k)^{(j)} = (2)^{3}P(k)^{(3)}(k-k^{0})$$
 (2.1)

has the form P $(k) = O(k^0)$ for small k. That is, P (k) describes white noise at large distances. Several num erical simulations based on this assumption have been performed. The standard simulation, by Vachasparti and V ilenkin [8], assumes a regular cubic lattice, in whose cells the O (2) eld phase is chosen at random. This assumption of 'white noise' leads to approximately 80% of the string network being in open string. W hile this number is lattice dependent[9] there is no doubt that a substantial fraction of string is open, or 'in nite'. W e shall take these white noise eld uctuation predictions as a further benchmark against which to compare our dynamical predictions. On the completion of the transition, when uctuations are too weak to eliminate or create strings, a network of strings survives whose further evolution is determined by classical considerations as the eld gradients adjust to minim ise the energy.

Vortices are not the only defects perm itted by global sym m etries although, ultim ately, they are the only ones of real interest to us. M ore generally, a global 0 (N) scalar theory perm its defects with integer topological charge in D = N spatial dimensions (m onopoles) or D = N + 1 spatial dimensions (vortices). Because of their relative complexity it is helpful to try out our m ethods on m onopoles, which include the kinks on the line for a real scalar eld theory (N = D = 1) as a special case, and the m onopoles in the plane for a complex scalar 0 (2) theory (N = D = 2). [The case of 0 (3) global m onopoles in three-dimensional space was examined by us elsewhere [4] and we shall not consider it further]. W ith m inor quali cations² a sim ilar m echanism of continuous phase (or eld) separation as that for vortex production is equally valid for the form ation of these defects also.

The question is, how can we infer these vortex, and other defect, densities and the density correlations from the microscopic eld dynamics? The answer lies in the fact noted earlier, that for the global O (2) theory of a complex scalar eld = $(_1 + _2) = \frac{1}{2}$, $(_1;_2 \text{ real})$ the string core is a line of zeroes of the elds $_a$ (a=1,2). The characterisation of an O (N) global defect by its eld zeroes is equally true for vortices in the plane (N = 2) and kinks on the line (N = 1).

The problem is solved if we can identify those zeroes which will freeze out to de ne the late-time defects. This will require careful winnowing, since it is apparent that quantum uctuations lead to zeroes of the elds on all distance scales (even in the disordered phase). For the moment we ignore this di culty, and attempt to count every zero. The problem then reduces to that of determining the distribution of eld zeroes, given the

 $^{^{2}}$ B ecause of the peculiarities of one spatial dimension, that would confuse the issue, we pretend that we are examining a one-dimensional section of a real eld in higher dimensions and ignoring other degrees of freedom.

distribution of elds. This has been discussed in the literature on several occasions. We shall call repeatedly on the work of Halperin [10] and Mazenko and Liu [11], but see also Bray [12].

2.1 Kinks on the line

As a probque to the more di cult problem of vortices in three dimensions, we begin with the much simpler problem of identifying the zeroes of a real eld (x;t) in one ³ space dimension. To see how to proceed, consider an ensemble of system s evolving from one of a set of disordered states whose relative probabilities are known, to an ordered state as indicated above. At any given time t, the eld will adopt one of the possible con gurations (x), whose zeroes we wish to track. As the eld evolves to its equilibrium values (one of the two m inim a of its potential V () = $M^{2-2} + 4 = 4$) these zeroes uctuate and annihilate, but some of them will come to de ne the positions x of 'kinks' (eld interpolations from onem inim um to the other at which 0(x) > 0), some the position of 'antikinks' (at which 0(x) < 0), the one-dimensional counterparts of vortices and 'anti'-vortices.

Suppose, at a given time, the zeroes of (x) occur at $x = x_1; x_2; ...$ It is useful to denotive densities. The rst,

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{x});$$
 (2.2)

is the total density of zeroes, not distinguishing between kink zeroes and antikink zeroes (by which we now mean zeroes at which the eld has positive or negative derivative). The second is the topological density,

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} n_i (\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{x});$$
 (2.3)

where $n_i = \text{sign}(^{0}(x_i))$, measuring (net) topological charge, the number of kink m inus the number of antikink zeroes.

Equivalently, in terms of the - eld, the total density is

$$(x) = [(x)]j^{0}(x)j; \qquad (2.4)$$

since ${}^{0}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian of the transformation from zeroes to elds. Similarly, the topological density is

$$(x) = [(x)]^{0}(x):$$
 (2.5)

Analytically, it is not possible to keep track of individual transitions, but we can construct ensem ble averages. If the phase change begins at time t_0 then, for $t > t_0$, it is possible in principle to calculate the probability $p_t[$] that (x;t) takes the value (x)

³See earlier footnote.

at time t. Ensemble averaging hF $[]i_t$ at time t is understood as averaging over the eld probabilities $p_t[]$. This is not thermal averaging since we are out of equilibrium.

The situation we have in m ind is one in which, for early times after the transition when the available space perm its m any domains,

$$h(x)i_{t} = 0;$$
 (2.6)

i.e.an equal likelihood of a kink zero or an antikink zero occurring in an in nitesim al length, compatible with an initially disordered state. However, the total zero density

$$n(t) = \underset{Z}{h}(x)i_{t}$$

= D p_t[] [(x)]j⁰(x)j > 0 (2.7)

is positive. The distribution of the zeroes is given by the density correlation function

$$C (x;t) = \underset{Z}{h} (x) (0)i_{t}$$

= D p_t[] [(x)] [(0)] ⁰(x) ⁰(0); (2.8)

 $(x \in 0)$ which will also be non-zero.

To make this relationship more concrete, we observe that, on separating out the diagonal and non-diagonal terms in the expansion for (x) (y) from (2.3), then

$$(x) (y) = (x) (x y) + g(x y)$$
(2.9)

where

$$g(x \ y) = \sum_{\substack{i \in j \\ i \in j}}^{X} n_i n_j \ (x \ x) \ (y \ x):$$
 (2.10)

That is,

h (x)
$$(0)_{t} = n(t) (x) + C(x;t)$$
: (2.11)

where C $(x;t) = hg(x)i_t$. Charge conservation

$$Z_{1}$$
 dx h (x) (0) $i_{t} = 0$ (2.12)

in plies

$$Z_{1} dx C (x;t) = n(t);$$
 (2.13)

requiring that C(x;t) = C(x;t) be largely negative.

We can now relate C (x;t) to the distribution and spacing of zeroes by calculating the variance of the topological charge

$$n_{\rm L} = \int_{0}^{Z_{\rm L}} dx (x)$$
 (2.14)

on the interval I = [0;L]. In this particularly simple case $n_L = 1;0;1$. Then

$$(t_{t}n_{L})^{2} = m_{L}^{2}i_{t} = dx dyh(x)(y)i_{t} = dx dyh(x)(y)i_{t} = Ln(t) + dx dyC(x y;t) = dx dyC(x y;t) = dx dyC(x y;t): (2.15)$$

from (2.13).

If h(x;t) is de ned by C (x;t) = 0h(x;t)=0x then

$$\frac{(0)(t_{\rm t}n_{\rm L})^2}{(0)(t_{\rm L})^2} = -2h(t_{\rm t};t):$$
(2.16)

However, if p_L is the probability that, on average, a length L of the line contains an odd number of zeroes, then $(t_n n_L)^2 = p_L \cdot T$ hus

C (L;t) = h⁰(L;t) =
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 p_L}{dL^2}$$
: (2.17)

As an extrem e case we note that, for an array of equally spaced zeroes, separation (t), $p_{\rm L}$ is saw-toothed, period 2 , from which

$$h(x;t) = \frac{1}{2(t)} \operatorname{sign} (\sin (x = (t))); \qquad (2.18)$$

whence $C(x;t) = h^0(x;t)$ is a sum of -functions. At the other extreme, independent (Poisson) zeroes, mean separation (t), give

$$h(x;t) = e^{2ix - t} = 2$$
 (t) (2.19)

and

$$C(L;t) = e^{2L=(t)} = {}^{2}(t):$$
 (2.20)

These provide a useful guide, and we shall return to them later. However, some caution is necessary since, on the line, kink zero is followed by anti-kink zero and vice-versa. As an interm ediate step between this and the vortices of the complex eld (x;t) in three dimensions, we shall consider the same eld in two dimensions, for which monopoles (point defects) occur.

2.2 Monopoles in two dimensions

An O (2) complex eld (x) in the plane perm its monopoles, at this stage identi ed by zeroes of the eld with non-trivial winding number. A fler the transition is completed,

the relevant zeroes will de ne the centres of e ectively classical monopoles. A lthough D errick's theorem prohibits nite-energy static monopole solutions to the classical eld equations, a non-zero monopole density provides a cut-o to the logarithm ic tails of the individual monopoles, and there is no problem on this score.

For the m on ent we continue to count every eld zero, at whatever scale and how ever transient. If (x) has zeroes at $x_1; x_2; x_3; ...$ the total and topological densities (x) and (x) are the straightforward generalisations of (2.2) and (2.3),

$$(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{X} (x \quad x_{i});$$
 (2.21)

and

$$(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{X} n_i (x \ x);$$
 (2.22)

where $n_i = 1$ is the winding number of the zero (higher winding numbers are taken as multiple zeroes).

The relationship between zeroes and elds is through the Jacobian, giving

$$(x) = {}^{2}[(x)]_{jk}@_{j-1}(x)@_{k-2}(x); i; j = 1;2$$
(2.23)

where $_{12} = _{21} = 1$, otherwise zero. A sbefore we assume that it is possible in principle to calculate the probability $p_t[$] that (x;t) takes the value (x) at time t⁴, from which we de ne the ensemble averages hF []i_t. The topological charge average h (x)i_t is taken to be zero as before. The non-zero total density and the topological density correlation functions are de ned as for the one-dimensional case,

$$n(t) = \underset{Z}{h}(x)i_{t}$$

= D p_t[]²[(x)]j_{jk}@_{j 1}(x)@_{k 2}(x)j > 0 (2.24)

and

$$C (r;t) = \underset{Z}{h} (x) (0)i_{t}$$

= D p_t[]²[(x)]²[(0)]_{jk}@_{j 1}(x)@_{k 2}(x) _{lm}@_{l 1}(0)@_{m 2}(0)(2.25)

 $(r = j_x j \in 0)$. Charge conservation again applies, as

$$d^{2}xC(r;t) = n(t);$$
 (2.26)

but it is not so easy to give a direct interpretation to C(x;t) in terms of monopole separations.

⁴Throughout, it will be convenient to decompose into real and in aginary parts as $=\frac{1}{\frac{p^2}{2}}(_1+i_2)$. This is because we wish to track the eld as it falls from the unstable ground-state hump at the centre of the potential to the ground-state manifold in Cartesian eld space.

Nonetheless, it is still useful to consider the variance in the topological charge

$$n_{\rm s} = \int_{x^{2}{\rm s}}^{2} d^2 x (x);$$
 (2.27)

this time through a region S in the plane, (area s) since it can be an observable quantity. For example, on quenching ⁴H e in an annulus S, $(_tn_s)^2$ is a measure of the supercurrent generated by the quench [7]. Nothing that we have said so far really requires a relativistic theory, so let us pursue it a little further. Then, from (2.26) it follows that

$$(t_{t}n_{s})^{2} = d^{2}x d^{2}yh(x)(y)i_{t}$$

$$= d^{2}x d^{2}x d^{2}yh(x)(y)i_{t}$$

$$= d^{2}x d^{2}x d^{2}yC(jx yjt)$$

$$(2.28)$$

the two-dimensional counterpart to (2.15). Suppose that there are short-range vortexzero antivortex-zero correlations in which C (r;t) is short-range in r, with lengthscale (t). If (t) is the only lengthscale then C (r;t) is O (4 (t)).

W ith x outside S, and y inside S, all the contribution to $(t_n n_s)^2$ comes from the vicinity of the boundary of S, rather than the whole area. More precisely, suppose that S is a disc of radius L ⁵. Then (2.28) can be written as

$$(t_n r_s)^2 = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_L} r dr r_{1}^{Z_1} r^0 dr^0 dr^0 dr^0 dr^0 (2.29)$$

where

$$R^2 = r^2 + r^{02} - 2rr^0 \cos i$$
 (2.30)

The integration region in $r;r^0$ is restricted to $jr^0 \quad L = 0$ ((t)) = jL rj. If C (r;t) is non-singular at r = 0 and not varying too rapidly at the origin, then the -integration is limited to a range 0 ((t)=L), whence

$$(t_{t}n_{s})^{2} = 0 \frac{L}{(t)}$$
: (2.31)

This robust result is compatible with, but does not imply, a random walk in eld phase around the perimeter and we shall return to it later, when we shall see that our model implies short-range correlations 6 .

2.3 Vortices in Three D im ensions

F inally reaching our goal of vortices in three dimensions, we de ne the topological line density of zeroes (r) [10, 11] by

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{n}^{X} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{s}} \frac{d\mathbf{R}_{n}}{d\mathbf{s}} [\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{R}_{n} (\mathbf{s})]:$$
(2.32)

⁵The extension to an annulus is straightforward.

 $^{^{6}}$ At the other extrem ewe note that, if the m onopoles and antim onopoles are individually and m utually uncorrelated (a double Poisson distribution), then (n_s)² = sn. That is, the variance grows linearly with area.

In (2.1) ds is the incremental length along the line of zeroes R_n (s) (n=1,2,...) and $\frac{dR_n}{ds}$ is a unit vector pointing in the direction which corresponds to positive winding number. As in the previous case, we begin by counting all zeroes, anticipating that the relevant ones will become the cores of the strings of the resulting network. Yet again, a nite string density once the transition is complete provides the cuto necessary to eliminate the infrared divergent tails of the energy densities that we would expect from D enrick's theorem.

It follows that, in terms of the zeroes of (x), $_{i}(x)$ can be written as

$$_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = {}^{2}[(\mathbf{x})]_{ijk} @_{j-1}(\mathbf{x}) @_{k-2}(\mathbf{x}); \qquad (2.33)$$

where ${}^{2}[(x)] = [{}_{1}(x)] [{}_{2}(x)]$. The coe cient of the -function in (2.3) is the Jacobian of the more complicated transformation from line zeroes to eld zeroes. We shall also need the total line density (x), the counterpart of (x) of (2.4),

$$_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = {}^{2}[(\mathbf{x})]_{j} _{ijk} @_{j} _{1}(\mathbf{x}) @_{k} _{2}(\mathbf{x})_{j};$$
 (2.34)

As before, ensemble averaging hF [] i_t at time tmeans averaging over the eld probabilities p_t []. Again we assume

$$h_{i}(x)i_{t} = 0$$
: (2.35)

i.e. an equal likelihood of a string line-zero or an antistring line-zero passing through an in nitesim al area. However,

$$n(t) = h_i(x)i_t > 0$$
 (2.36)

and measures the total line-zero density in the direction i, without regard to string orientation. The isotropy of the initial state guarantees that n (t) is independent of the direction i. Further, the line density correlation functions

$$C_{ij}(x;t) = h_{i}(x)_{j}(0)i_{t}$$
 (2.37)

will be non-zero, and give inform ation on the persistence length of line zeroes. It will be convenient, for later work, to decompose $C_{ij}(x;t)$ as (r = jxj),

$$C_{ij}(x;t) = A(r;t)$$
 ij $\frac{x_i x_j}{r^2} + B(r;t) \frac{x_i x_j}{r^2}$; (2.38)

The realisations of n(t) and $C_{ij}(x;t)$ in term s of $p_t[]$ are simple generalisations of (2.24) and (2.25) and will not be given explicitly.

Charge conservation now means

$$d^{3}x C_{ij}(x;t) = 0$$
 (2.39)

without any inhom ogeneous term . On taking the trace in (2.39) it follows that

Ζ

$$d^{3}x = 2A (r;t) + B (r;t) = 0$$
 (2.40)

We note that, from (2.32), the integral of $_{j}(x)$ over an open surface S with normal in the j-direction does not measure the winding number along the boundary of S since each line zero is weighted by the cosine of its angle of incidence on S. Thus the variance of the winding number (topological charge) through S is essentially the two-dimensional problem discussed previously.

There are no simple quantities that can be calculated for line zeroes (e.g.Poisson strings). However, we note that, if x = (0;0;r), then

$$C_{11}(x;t) = C_{22}(x;t) = A(r;t)$$
 (2.41)

m easures the likelihood of there being a string zero (or antistring zero) in the same direction at separation r. A negative value of A of O (n^2) indicates the presence of vortex-antivortex line zero pairs at separation r. Further, for the same r,

$$C_{33}(x;t) = B(r;t)$$
 (2.42)

m easures the tendency of a vortex to bend on a distance r (provided r is su ciently sm all for it to be m easuring the same string). Once $B n^2$ is negligable the line has bent away from its initial direction.

3 Ensemble Averaging

In relating distributions of defects to eld uctuations we have seen that we need the eld probability $p_t[$] at all times. There is no diculty in writing a form al expression for $p_t[$], although its calculation is another matter. Details are given in [4], and we shall only provide the briefest recapitulation.

Take $t = t_0$ as our starting time. Suppose that, at t_0 , the system is in a pure state, in which the measurement of would give $_0(x)$. That is:-

$$(t_0;x)j_0;t_0i= _0j_0;t_0i:$$
 (3.43)

The probability $p_{t_f}[_{f}]$ that, at time $t_f > t_0$, the measurement of will give the value f_{f} is $p_{t_f}[_{f}] = j_{f_0} f$, where f_{f_0} is the state-functional with the specified initial condition. As a path integral

where $S_t[]$ is the (time-dependent) action that describes how the eld is driven by the environment, $D = {Q \atop a=1}^{N} D \atop a$ and spatial labels have been suppressed. Speci cally, for $t > t_0$ the action for the eld is taken to be

$$S_{t}[] = d^{D+1}x \frac{1}{2} @ _{a}@ _{a} \frac{1}{2}m^{2}(t) \frac{2}{a} \frac{1}{4} (t) (\frac{2}{a})^{2} : \qquad (3.45)$$

where m (t), (t) describe the evolution of the parameters of the theory under external in uences, to which the eld responds. The spatial dimension D = 3 for the relevant

case of vortices, and D = 2 for monopoles in the plane ⁷. As with , it is convenient to decompose the complex eld in terms of two massive real scalar elds _a, a = 1;2 as $= (_1 + i_2) = 2$, in terms of which S [] shows a global O (2) invariance, broken by the mass term if m^2 (t) is negative.

It follows that p_{t_f} [f_f] can be written in the closed time-path form

$$p_{t_{f}}[_{f}] = \begin{bmatrix} Z & (t_{f}) = & \\ & D & D \\ & (t_{0}) = & 0 \end{bmatrix} + D \quad \exp i S_{t}[_{+}] \quad S_{t}[_{-}] : \quad (3.46)$$

Instead of separately integrating along the time paths t_0 t t_r , the integral can be interpreted as time-ordering of a eld along the closed path C_+ C where = $_+$ on C_+ and = on C. The two-eld notation is misleading in that it suggests that the $_+$ and elds are decoupled. That this is not so follows immediately from the fact that $_+$ (t_f) = (t_f). It is necessary to keep this in m ind when we extend the contour from t_f to t = 1. Either $_+$ or $_-$ is an equally good candidate for the physical eld, but we choose $_+$. W ith this choice and suitable norm alisation, p_{t_f} becomes



Figure 1: The closed time path contour C_+ C.

$$p_{t_{f}}[_{f}] = D_{+}D [_{+}(t) _{f}] \exp i S_{t}[_{+}] S_{t}[]; \quad (3.47)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} + \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ is a delta functional, in posing the constraint $+ \\ t;x) = f(x)$ for each x.

The choice of a pure state at time t_0 is too simple to be of any use. The one xed condition is that we begin in a symmetric state with h = 0 at time $t = t_0$. O therwise, our ignorance is parametrised in the probability distribution that at time t_0 , $(t_0;x) =$

(x). If we allow for an initial probability distribution $p_{t_0}\,[$] then $p_{t_f}\,[_{f}\,]$ is generalised to

$$p_{t_{f}}[_{f}] = D p_{t_{0}}[] D_{+}D [_{+}(t_{f})_{f}] \exp i S_{t}[_{+}] S_{t}[] : (3.48)$$

$$T_{D} = 1 \text{ for kinks on the line}$$

It is impossible to derive p_t analytically for general initial conditions. Fortunately, we shall see that, in m any circum stances, the details of the initial condition are largely irrelevant. All the cases that we m ight wish to consider are encompassed in the assumption that is Boltzm ann distributed at time t_0 at an elective temperature of $T_0 = {}_0{}^1$ according to a Ham iltonian $H_0[$], where the subscript zero denotes t_0 rather than a free eld. That is

$$p_{t_0}[] = h; t_0 je^{-\theta H_0} j; t_0 i = \sum_{3(t_0) = -3(t_0 i_0)}^{Z} D_{3} exp iS_0[_3]; \quad (3.49)$$

for a corresponding action $S_0[_3]$, in which $_3$ is taken to be periodic in in aginary time with period $_0$. We take $S_0[_3]$ to have the standard form in $_3$ as

$$S_{0}[_{3}] = {}^{Z} d^{D+1}x \frac{1}{2} (@_{3a}) (@_{3a}) \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2} {}^{Z}_{3a} \frac{1}{4} {}_{0}(_{3a}^{2})^{2} : (3.50)$$

We stress that m_0 , $_0$ and $_0$ parametrise our uncertainty in the initial conditions. The choice $_0$! 1 corresponds to choosing the p_t [] to be determined by the ground state functional of H_0 , for example. For the sake of argument we take $T_0 = _0^{-1}$ to be a temperature higher than the transition temperature T_c and $m_0 = m$ (T_0) ($m_0^2 > 0$) to be the electrive mass at this at this temperature. W hatever, the electric is to give an action S_3 [] in which we are in thermal equilibrium for $t < t_0$ during which period the mass m (t) and coupling constant (t) take the constant values m_0 and $_0$ respectively.

We now have the explicit form for p_{t_f} [f],

7.

$$p_{t_{f}}[_{f}] = \begin{bmatrix} D & _{3}D & _{+}D & \exp iS_{0}[_{3}] + i(S[_{+}] & S[_{-}]) & [_{+}(t_{f}) & _{f}]; \quad (3.51) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the boundary condition B is $(t_0) = {}_3(t_0) = {}_3(t_0) = {}_3(t_0)$. This can be written as the time ordering of a single eld:-

$$p_{t_{f}}[_{f}] = D e^{iS_{c}[]} [_{+}(t_{f}) _{f}]; \qquad (3.52)$$

along the contour $C = C_+$ C C_3 , extended to include a third imaginary leg, where takes the values $_+$, and $_3$ on C_+ , C and C_3 respectively, for which S_c is $S[_+]$, $S[_]$ and $S_0[_3]$. Henceforth we drop the su x f on $_f$ and take the origin in time from which the evolution begins as $t_0 = 0$.

We perform one nalmanoeuvre with $p_t[]$ before resorting to further approximation to demonstrate how we can average without having to calculate $p_t[]$ explicitly. Further, this will enable us to avoid a nominally ill-de ned inversion of a two-point function later on, a consequence of the seeming independence of + and - mentioned earlier. Consider the generating functional:-

$$Z [j_{+}; j; j_{3}] = D \exp iS_{c} [] + i j;$$
 (3.53)





where is the source (t;x) = (x) (t $t_{\bar{x}}$). As with D, D denotes $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ 1 & D \\ 1 & D \\ a \end{pmatrix}$. Ensemble averages are now expressible in terms of Z. Of particular relevance, $W_{ab}(jx + x^{0}jt) = h_{a}(x)_{b}(x^{0})i_{t}$ is given by

$$W_{ab}(jx \ x^{0}jt) = D_{a}(x) \ _{b}(x^{0}) \ D \ exp \ i \ _{a} \ Z \ [;0;0]$$

$$= D_{a}(x) \ _{b}(x^{0}) \ D \ exp \ i \ _{a} \ Z \ [;0;0]$$

$$= D_{a}(x) \ _{b}(x^{0}) \ D \ exp \ i \ _{a} \ Z \ [;0;0]$$

$$= D_{a}(x) \ _{b}(x^{0}) \ ^{2}[]Z \ [;0;0] \ (3.55)$$

On integrating by parts

$$W_{ab}(jx \quad x^{0}jt) = \frac{2}{a(x) \quad b(x^{0})} Z \quad [;0;0] = 0$$
$$= h_{a}(x;t) \quad b(x^{0};t)i; \qquad (3.56)$$

the equal-time therm alW ightman function with the given therm alboundary conditions. Because of the non-equilibrium time evolution there is no time translation invariance in the double time label.

4 A Gaussian M odel for D efect D istributions

We have yet to specify the nature of the quench but it is already apparent that, if we are to make further progress, additional approximations are necessary. We return to our one-dimensional example.

4.1 Kinks

Suppose the elds and their derivatives at the same point show approximate independence. Then the zero density n(t) of (2.7) separates as

n (t) h [(x)]
$$i_{t}$$
hj ⁰(x) j_{t} : (4.57)

and we can estimate, or bound, each factor separately. Specifically, on writing the - function term as z = z

$$h [(x)]i_{t} = e hexpfi dy j(y) (y)gi_{t}$$
(4.58)

where j(y) = (y x), it follows that

n (t)
$$p \frac{1}{2} = \frac{W^{(0)}(0;t)}{W^{(0;t)}} + C \text{ onnected C orrelation Functions}$$
 (4.59)

on using the Schwarz inequality, where primes on W denote dimensions with respect to x. Some care is needed. The connected correlation functions that appear in (4.59) are O () but depend on time, growing as the eld grows away from = 0. As is well understood, perturbation theory breaks down at long enough times. However, for small times and weak coupling the rst term in (4.59) is reliable. We see that, if the Fourier transform W (k;t) of W (x;t) is dominated by wave vectors k(t) = O (1 (t)) at time t, then

n (t)
$$O - \frac{1}{(t)}$$
: (4.60)

This shows that (t) sets the domain size.

A similar qualitative analysis can be attempted for the density correlation functions, but with less success, in the absence of further approximation. To be concrete, let us consider the consequences of p_t [] being Gaussian, for which the approximate equality in (4.57) becomes exact. That this is not a frivolous exercise in solving what we can solve but a representation of reasonable dynamics will be shown, in part, later, for the 'slow-roll' dynamics that we shall adopt. For the moment we take it for granted.

Speci cally, suppose that (still for the one-dimensional case) is a Gaussian eld for which

$$h(x)i_t = 0 = h(x)^{-0}(x)i_t;$$
 (4.61)

and that

h (x) (y)
$$i_t = W$$
 (jx yjt): (4.62)

A llother connected correlation functions are taken to be zero. Then allensem ble averages are given in term s of W (r;t) which we have have seen to be the equal-time W ightman function,

$$W (jx y jt) = h (x;t) (y;t)i$$
 (4.63)

with the given initial conditions. In our case, where we shall assume therm alequilibrium initially, this is the usual therm al W ightm an function. It is then straightforward to see that, if

$$f(r;t) = \frac{W(r;t)}{W(0;t)}$$
(4.64)

then

n (t) =
$$\frac{1}{2} (f^{(0)}(0;t))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
: (4.65)

in agreement with (4.59). On using the same exponentiation that h [(x)] i_t equals $\stackrel{R}{e}$ heⁱ (x) i_t it takes only a little manipulation to cast the correlation function C ($j_x j_t$) of (2.8) in the form

C (r;t) =
$$\frac{@h(r;t)}{@r}$$
; (4.66)

where

h (r;t) =
$$\frac{f^{0}(r;t)}{2 \ 1 \ f^{2}(r;t)}$$
: (4.67)

If we are given C (r;t), and wish to infer f (r;t), and hence its power spectrum, we integrate equation (4.67) as

$$f(r;t) = \sin \frac{1}{2} \qquad 2 \qquad \sum_{0}^{Z} dr^{0}h(r^{0};t) \qquad (4.68)$$

in which h(r;t) is, in turn, derived from C (r;t) through equation (4.66). For example, for the Poisson distribution of zeroes given earlier in (2.19), we nd

$$f(r;t) = \sin \frac{1}{2} e^{2r - (t)}$$
 (4.69)

However, the situation is usually the converse, with the dynam ical model predicting f(r;t), from which C (r;t) follows. As a concrete example, suppose that

$$W (r;t) = \frac{d}{dk} e^{ikx} W (k;t)$$
(4.70)

where

$$W'(k;t) = (k^2 k_0^2(t)):$$
 (4.71)

That is, there is only one wavelength in the model. Then

$$f(r;t) = \cos (k_0 (t)r);$$

n(t) = k_0(t)= : (4.72)

The resulting

h (r;t) =
$$\frac{k_0(t)}{2}$$
 sign (sin (k₀(t)r)); (4.73)

is exactly that of (2.18), in which the correlation function C (r;t) is a sum of deltafunctions, corresponding to a regular array of zeroes.

Now suppose that, instead of a single wavelength, W $(\mathbf{r};t)$ has contributions from frequencies peaked about $k_0,$ as

$$W'(k;t) = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (k - k_0(t))^2 = (k_0(t))^2 + \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (k + k_0(t))^2 = (k_0(t))^2 \right) + (4.74)$$

In the lim it $k_0(t) ! 0$ we recover the regular array but, for $k_0(t) \notin 0$,

$$W (r;t) = {}^{Z} dk \cosh x \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (k - k_0 (t))^2\right) = (k_0 (t))^2 g \qquad (4.75)$$

giving

$$f(r;t) = (\cos(k_0(t)r)) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2}r^2(k_0(t))^2g\right]$$
(4.76)

The corresponding h (r;t) is no longer that of (2.18), but

$$h(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{k_0 \sin(k_0 \mathbf{r}) + r(k_0)^2 \cos(k_0 \mathbf{r})}{1 (\cos^2(k_0 \mathbf{r})) \exp f r(k_0)^2 g} \exp f \frac{1}{2} r^2 (k_0)^2 g; \qquad (4.77)$$

and we have dropped the time-labelling. A cursory inspection shows that h (r) is damped periodic, and the broadening of its derivative C (r;t) from its -function behaviour when k_0 (t) $\in 0$ m easures the variance (t) in the separation (t) between adjacent zeroes. We see that, for small k_0 (t)= k_0 (t),

$$\frac{(t)}{(t)} / \frac{k_{0}(t)}{k_{0}(t)}:$$
(4.78)

with a coe cient of proportionality of O (1) 8 . We note that, even when the variance

(t)= (t) is large, so that the zeroes appearm uch m ore random, the G aussian behaviour is very dierent from the linear exponential behaviour of the Poisson distribution noted earlier. There is always correlation.

4.2 Monopoles

The extension to 0 (2) line zeroes is messy, but leads to no surprises. Specifically, suppose that

$$h_{a}(x)i_{t} = 0 = h_{a}(x)e_{jb}(x)i_{t};$$
 (4.79)

 $p \frac{^{8} \text{It} \text{ follows that}}{k_{0}^{2} (t) + (k_{0} (t))^{2}} = .$

and, further, that

$$h_{a}(x)_{b}(x^{0})i_{t} = W_{ab}(\dot{x} x^{0}\dot{j}t) = {}_{ab}W(\dot{x} x^{0}\dot{j}t); \qquad (4.80)$$

is diagonal. A s before, all other connected correlation functions are taken to be zero.

The density calculation proceeds as before. It follows[10, 11] that

n (t) =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (f⁰⁰(0;t)): (4.81)

where derivatives are taken with respect to r = jk $x^0 j$. The zero density-density correlation function is more complicated than for the kinks, albeit still in term s of h (r;t) of (4.67), as[1]

C (r;t) =
$$\frac{2}{r}h(r;t)h^{0}(r;t)$$
: (4.82)

If C (r;t) is given, we can integrate equation (4.82) to obtain f (r;t) as

$$f(r;t) = \sin \frac{2}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{r}} dr \int_{r}^{Z_{1}} dr^{0}r^{0}C(r^{0};t) \int_{1=2}^{1=2} r^{2} dr^{0}r^{0}C(r^{0};t) f(r^{0};t) f(r^{$$

on incorporating the conservation of topological charge.

However, we are more interested in calculating C (r;t) for specic f (r;t). As for kinks above, the simplest case corresponds to taking

$$W (r;t) = e^{2k} e^{ik \cdot x} W (k;t)$$
 (4.84)

in which there is only one wavelength

$$W'(k;t) = (k^2 k_0^2(t)):$$
 (4.85)

where k_0 (t) depends on time t. As a result

$$f(r;t) = J_0(k_0(t)r);$$
 (4.86)

$$n(t) = \frac{k_0^2(t)}{(4.87)}$$

and, from (4.67),

h (r;t) =
$$\frac{J_1(k_0(t)r)}{2 1 J_0^2(k_0(t)r)}$$
: (4.88)

Because of its rotational invariance C (r;t) of (2.25) cannot show the -function behaviour of its counterpart on the line, but regularity is in plicit in the strong regular oscillatory peaking of C that comes from the Bessel functions in the numerator.

If, instead of a single wavelength, W (r;t) has contributions from frequencies peaked about k_0 with variance k_0 , then the secondary peaking is reduced. Speci cally, consider

$$W (r;t) = {}^{Z} dk J_{0} (kr) expf \frac{1}{2} (k k_{0} (t))^{2} = (k_{0} (t))^{2} g:$$
(4.89)

In fact, unlike the one-dimensional case, for which W (r;t) and f (r;t) of (4.76) retain their oscillatory behaviour, when k_0 (t)= k_0 (t) is large enough W (r;t) does not oscillate or even change sign. This is understood as indicating a less regular distribution of m onopoles. The dispersion in wavelength k_0 (t) leads to a variance in their separation that we expect to satisfy

$$\frac{(t)}{(t)} / \frac{k_{0}(t)}{k_{0}(t)}:$$
(4.90)

In itself this short-range behaviour of f(r;t) is enough to give the variance in winding number through a surface of perimeter L as $(N)^2 = O(L)$ for the reasons given earlier.

4.3 Vortices

Finally, for line zeroes the Gaussian approximation has yet more complicated consequences. As for monopoles, we assume diagonal correlation functions

$$h_{a}(x)_{b}(x^{0})i_{t} = W_{ab}(j_{x} x^{0}j_{t}t) = {}_{ab}W(j_{x} x^{0}j_{t}t); \qquad (4.91)$$

from which f(r;t) can be de ned as in (4.64), and vanishing eld expectation value and and the independence of the eld and its derivatives

$$h_{a}(x)i_{t} = 0 = h_{a}(x)\partial_{j}(x)i_{t};$$
 (4.92)

Asm ight have been anticipated, n (t) is as form onopoles

n (t) =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (f⁰(0;t)); (4.93)

whereas [10, 11] the transverse and longitudinal parts of the density correlation (2.38) are, still in term s of h (r;t),

A (r;t) =
$$\frac{2}{r}h(r;t)h^{0}(r;t)$$
 (4.94)

(the same in form as C (r;t) of (2.25)), and

B (r;t) =
$$\frac{2}{r^2}h^2$$
 (r;t) > 0: (4.95)

We note that B is positive. The conservation law (2.40) follows, as

$$^{Z} d^{3}x (2A + B) / {\stackrel{Z_{1}}{_{0}}} dr \frac{d}{dr} rh^{2} = 0$$
 (4.96)

Given either A (r;t) or B (r;t) we can reconstruct f (r;t). For given A (r;t), f (r;t) has a similar form to that of (4.83) (except for the absence of charge conservation). More simply, for given B (r;t),

$$f(r;t) = \sin \frac{p}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dr^{0} r^{0} (2B (r^{0};t))^{1=2}; \qquad (4.97)$$

If, as before, we assume a single wavelength, then

$$W (r;t) = \overset{2}{\text{el}^{3}} k \, e^{ik \cdot x} (k^{2} k_{0}^{2} (t))$$
$$= \frac{\sin (k_{0} (t)r)}{k_{0} (t)r} \quad \text{sinc} (k_{0} (t)r) \quad (4.98)$$

It follows that

n (t) =
$$\frac{k_0^2(t)}{6}$$
 (4.99)

and

$$h(r;t) = \frac{\sin(k_0(t)r) + k_0(t)r\cos(k_0(t)r)}{2 r k_0^2(t)r^2 + \sin^2(k_0(t)r)}$$
(4.100)

from which A (r;t) and B (r;t) can be constructed from above. A and B again show periodic peaking, pointing to as regular a distribution of line-zeroes as possible. Speci cally, when k_0 (t)r 1

A (r;t) '
$$\frac{k_0 (t) \sin 2k_0 (t)r}{4 r^3}$$
 (4.101)

and

B (r;t) '
$$\frac{\cos^2 k_0 (t) r}{2 r^4}$$
 (4.102)

up to non-leading term s. On the other hand, when $k_0(t)r = 0$ (n²(t)) and negative, whereas B (r;t) = 0 (n²(t)=k_0²(t)r²).

Y et again, if, instead of a single wavelength, W (r;t) has contributions from frequencies peaked about k_0 with variance k_0 (t) the secondary peaking is reduced. If we take

W (r;t) =
$$\frac{2}{3}$$
 dk sinc (kr) expf $\frac{1}{2}$ (k k₀ (t))² = (k₀ (t))² g: (4.103)

then, as for monopole zeroes in two dimensions, once $k_0(t)=k_0(t)$ is su ciently large, W (r;t) ceases to oscillate or even to vanish. Yet again we understand the variance in k as inducing a variance in their separation approximately satisfying (4.90). However, the short-distance behaviour of A and B is unchanged qualitatively.

W e shall see later, in our model making, how distributions approximately of the form (4.103) arise naturally. However, there is another, even simpler, and not wholly dissimilar way of introducing a dispersion about the wavenumber k_0 (t) that has been adopted in a cosm ological context[6]. Because of the similarities between it and the model that we shall introduce later we shall bok at it in some detail. The work of [6] essentially consists in taking W (r;t) as

$$W^{(n)}(r;t) / \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{0}}(t)} dk \sin(kr) \frac{k}{k_{0}(t)}$$
(4.104)

describing elds with a variable power spectrum k^n , cut o at $k = k_0$ (t).

For n 0 the behaviour of the normalised eld correlation functions $f^{(n)}(r;t) = W^{(n)}(r;t)=W^{(n)}(0;t)$ is determined by the sharp cuto, unlikely to be present in any realistic models. However, it follows that the lim it n ! 1 reproduces the single-mode result of (4.98). The density n (t) is calculated easily as

$$n(t) = \frac{3+n}{5+n} \frac{k_0^2(t)}{6}$$
(4.105)

reducing to (4.99) as n ! 1 , as it must.

As n decreases the power in long wavelength modes increases. For example, for n = 0; 1; 2

$$f^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{3}{(k_0(t)r)^3} (\sin(k_0(t)r) - k_0(t)r\cos(k_0(t)r))$$

$$f^{(1)}(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{2}{(k_0(t)r)^2} (1 - \cos(k_0(t)r))$$
(4.106)
$$f^{(2)}(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{1}{k_0(t)r} \operatorname{Si}(k_0(t)r)$$

The case n = 0 corresponds to white noise on scales larger than k_0^{19} . We see in mediately that $f^{(1)}(r;t)$ of (4.106) has double the period of the large-n W (r;t) of (4.98), indicating a reduced density directly.

If we calculate the density-density anticorrelation function A (r;t) for these $f^{(n)}$ and others we nd that, for small r (where the e ects of the sharp cuto are less apparent) it can be written as

A (r;t)
$$\hat{n}$$
 (t) (a_n b_n (k_0 (t) r)²) (4.107)

where a_n and b_h are positive and, by extracting a factor n^2 (t) we are working at constant density. The strength a_n of the anticorrelation is approximately constant and 0 (1) for n > 0, whereas for n < 0 it diminishes rapidly, vanishing when n 2. For n > 2the range of the anticorrelation is determined by b_n^{-1} . Over the range 2 < n < 1, b_n^{-1} is approximately linear, growing from approximately zero at n = 2 with slope 0 (1). Thus, as n becomes increasingly negative (but n > -2) the range over which the strings have in uence on one another increases, but with diminishing strength. For n = -2, k_0 (t) ceases to be a dominant wavenumber and there is no quantity to identify with a domain size. We would interpret this as implying the greatest variance in string-zero separations.

For large r, we note that

$$f^{(n)}(r;t) = 0 \frac{1}{(k_0(t)r)^2}$$
 (4.108)

for integer n 1, and $f^{(2)}(r;t) = O(1=(k_0(t)r))$. The vanishing of n(t) at n = 3 is a consequence of the infrared divergence at this value, but can be ignored since it is di cult to see how values of n < 2 could arise from realistic dynamics.

 $^{^9} W$ hite noise on all scales gives a -function for W .

W ith these examples behind us we are now ready to attempt to make predictions in a simple dynamical model of Gaussian uctuations.

5 Gaussian Dynamics from an Instantaneous Quench and its Coarsening

In practice, our ability to construct $p_{t}[]$ or, equivalently, the eld correlation functions over the whole timescale $t > t_0$ from initial quantum uctuations to late time classicality is severely limited. In particular, a Gaussian approximation can have only a limited applicability. To see what this is, it is convenient to divide time into four intervals, to each of which we adopt a di erent approach. With M setting the mass-scale, there is an initial period $t_0 < t < t_i = 0 M^{-1}$), before which the eld is able to respond to the quench, however rapidly it is implemented, and which we can largely ignore. A ssum ing weak coupling, of which more later, this is followed by an interval $t_i < t < t_{so}$ in which, provided the quench is su ciently rapid, domains in eld phase form and grow. For the reasons given above vortices (or monopoles) will appear and be driven apart by the coalescence of these domains. For the quartic winebottle potential V () with minim a at j = 0 dom ain grow th begins to stop once the eld has reached its spinodal value $j^2 = {}^2_0 = 3 = 0 \text{ (M}^2 =)$ at the ring of in ection V⁰⁰() = 0. This occurs at times peaked around som $e t = t_{sp}$. To estim ate t_{sp} we observe that, for short times and weak coupling, 0 grow as \tilde{k} (t) = 0 (M e^{M t}) as they the long wavelength modes with wavenumber k fall from the top of the potential hill. Thus t_{sp} is given in terms of the coupling strength by

$$expf 2M t_{sp}g = 0 ()$$
 (5.109)

or equivalently, $t_{sp} = 0 \ M^{-1} \ln (1=)$). We assume that is su ciently small that t_{sp} is signi cantly larger than t_i . The defects are beginning to freeze in and uctuations are now too small to undo them. In the third period, beginning at t_{sp} , the eld magnitude relaxes dissipatively to the ground state values and the vortices complete their freezing in. Finally, in the last period, the vortices behave sem iclassically.

We shall see that our ability to calculate from $rst principles is limited to the second period <math display="inline">t_i < t < t_{sp}$. On the (as yet unproven) assumption that the distribution of relevant zeroes at time t_{sp} is left largely unchanged by their nal freezing in, this distribution of vortices can then be taken as initial data for the nal evolution of the network. Similar considerations apply to monopoles.

It is not di cult to justify our adoption of Gaussian eld uctuations for this second period $t_i < t < t_{sp}$ of vortex production. We have already assumed that the initial conditions correspond to a disordered state. In the absence of any compelling evidence to the contrary we achieve this by adopting thermal equilibrium at a temperature T higher than the critical temperature T_c for $t < t_0$, as we anticipated earlier. That is, the

action S_0 of (3.50) that characterises the initial conditions is

$$S_{0}[_{3}] = {}^{2} d^{D+1}x \frac{1}{2} (@_{3a}) (@_{3a}) \frac{1}{2}m (T)^{2} {}^{2}_{3a} \frac{1}{4} {}_{0} ({}^{2}_{3a})^{2} : (5.110)$$

with m² (T) > 0. If $_0$ is weak the resulting eld distribution is approximately Gaussian, and it is little loss to take it to be exactly Gaussian, $_0 = 0$. As we shall see later, initial conditions generally give slow ly varying behaviour in the correlation function, in contrast to the rapid variation due to the subsequent dynamics, and calculations are insensitive to them.

In order to have as simple a change of phase as possible, we assume an idealised quench, in which, at $t = t_0$, m^2 (t) changes sign everywhere. Most simply, this change in sign in m^2 (t) can be interpreted as due to a reduction in temperature. Even more, at rst we further simplify our calculation by assuming that, for $t > t_0$, m^2 (t) takes the negative value m^2 (t) = $M^2 < 0$ immediately, where M^2 is the mass parameter of the (cold) relativistic Lagrangian. That is, the potential at the origin has been instantaneously inverted, breaking the global O (2) symmetry. If, as we shall further assume, the $j \oint$ eld coupling is very weak then, for times M (t t_0) < $\ln(1 =)$, the - eld, falling down the hill away from the metastable vacuum, will not yet have experienced the upturn of the potential, before the point of in ection and we can set = 0. Thus, for these small time intervals, $p_t[$] is G aussian, as required. Henceforth, we take $t_0 = 0$.

For such a weakly coupled eld the onset of the phase transition at time t = 0 is characterised by the instabilities of long wavelength uctuations permitting the grow th of correlations. A lthough the initial value of h i over any volum e is zero, we anticipate that the resulting evolution will lead to domains of constant phase, whose boundaries will trap vortices. This situation of inverted harm onic oscillators was studied m any years ago by G uth and P i[13] and W einberg and W u [14]. In the context of dom ain form ation, we refer to the recent work of B oyanovsky et al.[16], and our own [4].

Consider small amplitude uctuations of a, at the top of the parabolic potential hill. Long wavelength uctuations, for which $k f < M^2$, begin to grow exponentially. If their growth rate $(k) = M^2 - k f$ is much slower than the rate of change of the environment, then those long wavelength modes are unable to track the quench. Unsurprisingly, the time-scale at which domains appear in this instantaneous quench is $t_i = 0 \ (M^{-1})$. As long as the time taken to implement the quench is comparable to t_i and less than $t_{sp} = 0 \ (M^{-1} \ln (1=))$ the approximation is relevant. We shall relax the condition in the next section.

For the moment we ignore the e ect of the interactions that stabilise the potential and perm it the zeroes corresponding to the defects to freeze in. In this free-roll period, W (r;t) has to be built from the modes $U_{a;k}$, $U_{a;k}^+ = (U_{a;k})$, satisfying the equations of motion "

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + k^2 + m^2 (t) U_{a,k} = 0; (5.111)$$

For the idealised case proposed above m 2 (t) is of the form

$$m^{2}(t) = m_{0}^{2} > 0 \text{ if } t < 0,$$

= $M^{2} < 0 \text{ if } t > 0$: (5.112)

If we make a separation into the unstable long wavelength modes, for which $j_{k,j} < M$, and the short wavelength modes $j_{k,j} > M$, then W (r;t) is the real quantity

$$W (r;t) = e^{D} k e^{ik \times C} (k) U_{a,k}^{+} (t) U_{a,k} (t)$$
(5.113)
$$= e^{D} k e^{ik \times C} (k) 1 + A (k) (\cosh (2 (k)t) 1)$$
(5.114)
$$+ e^{D} k e^{ik \times C} (k) 1 + a (k) (\cos (2w (k)t) 1)$$
(5.114)

with no sum mation over $a_r r = j_k j$ and

$${}^{2}(k) = M^{2} k^{2};$$

$$w^{2}(k) = M^{2} k^{2};$$

$$M^{2}(k) = \frac{1}{2} 1 + \frac{k^{2}(k)}{k};$$

$$a(k) = \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{k^{2}(k)}{k};$$
(5.115)

The initial conditions are encoded in C (k), which takes the fam iliar form

$$C(k) = \frac{1}{2!(k)} \operatorname{coth}(_{0}!(k)=2)$$
 (5.116)

in which $!^{2}(k) = j_{k}j^{2} + m_{0}^{2}$.

In the single-m ode and other approximations adopted earlier the folly of counting all zeroes was not apparent. It is now, in the presence of the ultraviolet divergence of W (r;t) at r = 0 in all dimensions. None of the expressions given above is well-de ned. To identify which zeroes will turn into our vortex network requires coarse-graining.

The way to do this is determined by the dynamics. Firstly, we note that if f is Gaussian, then so is the coarsegrained eld on scale L,

$$L_{L}(\mathbf{x}) = d^{D} \mathbf{x}^{0} \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{j} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{0} \mathbf{j}) (\mathbf{x}^{0}); \qquad (5.117)$$

where I(r) is an indicator (window) function, normalised to unity, which falls o rapidly for r > L. The only change is that (4.80) is now replaced by

$$h_{L;a}(x)_{L;b}(x^{0})i_{t} = W_{L;ab}(jx x^{0}jt) = {}_{ab}W_{L}(jx x^{0}jt); \qquad (5.118)$$

where $W_{L} = {}^{RR}$ IW I is now cut o at distance scale L.W_L (0;t), its derivatives, and all relevant quantities constructed from W_{L} are ultraviolet nite. The distribution of zeroes, or line zeroes, of _L is given in terms of W_{L} as in the previous section.

Choosing $L = M^{-1}$ solves all our problem s simultaneously. At wavelengths k⁻¹ < L (i.e.k > M) the eld uctuations are oscillatory, with time scales 0 (M⁻¹). Only those long wavelength uctuations with k⁻¹ > L have the steady exponential growth that can lead to the eld migrating on larger scales to its groundstates. Further, as the eld settles to its groundstates, the typical vortex thickness is 0 (M⁻¹), and we only wish to attribute one zero to each vortex cross-section (or one zero to each monopole). By taking $L = M^{-1}$ we are choosing not to count zeroes within a string, apart from the central core. We shall see later that it is not crucial to take $L = M^{-1}$ exactly, but su cient to take L = 0 (M⁻¹).

W e are now in a position to evaluate $p_t[~],$ or rather W $_{\rm L}$ (r;t), which we now write as W $_{\rm M}$ (r;t) for t > 0, where

$$W_{M}(\mathbf{r};t) = e^{D} k e^{ik \times C} (k) 1 + A (k) (\cosh (2 k)t) 1)$$
(5.119)

or, equivalently

$$W_{M} (r;t) = \int_{k \neq M}^{Z} e^{ik \times C} (k) 1 + \frac{1}{2} A(k) (e^{-(k)t} e^{-(k)t})^{2}$$
(5.120)

and calculate the density of zeroes accordingly. Even though the approximation is only valid for small times, there is a regime M t 1, for small couplings, in which t is large enough for $e^{M t}$ 1 and yet M t is still smaller than M $t_{sp} = 0$ (ln (l=)) when the uctuations begin to reach the spinodal point on their way to the ground-state manifold. In this regime the exponential term in the integrand dominates and

$$W_{M}$$
 (r;t) $' = \frac{1}{2} e^{D} k C (k) A (k) e^{ik x} e^{2 (k)t}$ (5.121)

$$= \frac{1}{2(2)^{D}} \int_{k \neq M}^{2} d dk k^{D-1} e^{2(k)t} C(k) A(k) e^{ikx}$$
(5.122)

For the m om ent we assume that M and m $_0$ are comparable. In our context of initial therm alequilibrium at temperature T $_0$ this corresponds to beginning the quench from well above the transition if, as earlier, we identify m $_0$ with the therm alm ass at temperature T $_0$, m ost simply approximated to one loop by

$$m_0^2 = M^2 1 \frac{T_0^2}{T_c^2}$$
 (5.123)

where $T_c = 0$ (M =) in the same approximation. The de nition (5.123) needs some quali cations, but for the moment we accept it as it stands. We shall consider the elect of modifying it later. For $T_0 > T_c$, and weak coupling C (k) of (5.116) is approximately

C (k) =
$$\frac{T_0}{k^2 + m_0^2}$$
: (5.124)

The integral at time t is then dom inated by the peak in $k^{D-1}e^{2-(k)t}$ at k around k_0 , where

$$tk_0^2 = \frac{D}{2} \frac{1}{M} + O \frac{1}{Mt}$$
 : (5.125)

To check the consistency of the assumption that C(k) and A(k) are slowly varying in comparison to this peak we observe that, at the largest times of interest to us, $t = O(t_{sp})$

$$k_0^2 = O \frac{M}{t_{sp}} M^2$$
 (5.126)

and thereby, for a quench from well above the transition, equally less than m_0^2 . C (k) of (5.124) can be approximated by

C (k) =
$$\frac{T_0}{m_0^2}$$
: (5.127)

The e ect of changing the initial them alconditions is only visible in the O (1=M t) term. Since the overall norm alisation of W (r;t) is irrelevant, both C (k) and A (k) 1 can be factored out. For weak coupling we recover what would have been our rst naive guess for the coarse-grained correlation function h $_{\rm L;a}$ (r;t) $_{\rm L;b}$ (r⁰;t) i based on the growth of the unstable modes $_{\rm a}$ (k;t) ' e $^{\rm (k)t}$ alone,

$$W_{M} (r;t) / \underset{k \neq M}{\overset{2}{\overset{}}} e^{D} k e^{ik \times} e^{2 (k)t};$$
(5.128)

provided we have not quenched from too close to the transition and $t > M^{-1}$ (preferably t M^{-1}). It is in this sense that our conclusions are insensitive to the initial conditions. However, we might hope that this insensitivity extends to non-therm al initial conditions as long as we are far from the transition.

W enote that if we had coarse-grained to longer wavelengths $j_{k} j < M_{0} < M$ then, once $M t > M^{2}=M_{0}^{2}$ the dom inant peak lies inside the integration region and the results are insensitive to the value of the cuto . Provided $M = M_{0}'$ 1 this will be the case because of (5.126).

On approximating the peak around k_0 by a Gaussian (which assumes this insensitivity to coarse-graining on somewhat larger scales) we see that, for monopoles in two dimensions W_M (r;t) has the form of (4.89),

$$W_{M} (r;t) / \frac{Z}{dk J_{0} (kr) expf} \frac{1}{2} (k - k_{0} (t))^{2} = (k_{0} (t))^{2} g; \qquad (5.129)$$

where k_0 (t) is given by (5.125), and

$$\frac{k_{0}(t)}{k_{0}(t)} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}}$$
(5.130)

Further, for the more interesting case of vortices in $D = 3 \dim$ ensions, W_M (r;t) can be approximated by (4.103),

$$W_{M}$$
 (r;t) / Z dk sinc (kr) expf $\frac{1}{2}$ (k k₀ (t))² = (k₀ (t))²g; (5.131)

$$\frac{k_{0}(t)}{k_{0}(t)} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(5.132)

is also large. W e interpret (5.130) and (5.132) as in plying large variance in the separation of the zeroes that are de ning our defects.

A lthough the form s (5.129) and (5.131) represent the spread in wavenum ber well they do so at the expense of a correct description of the long wavelength m odes. W $_{\rm M}$ (r;t) of (5.128) can be compared directly to the empirical form of (4.104) by writing it (up to the usual arbitrary norm alisation) as

$$W_{M}$$
 (r;t) $' \frac{Z}{k \times M} dk sinc(kr) \frac{k}{k_{0}(t)} e^{2(k)t}$: (5.133)

In the term inology of (4.104) this shows that, for long wavelengths, the power is n = 0, determ ined entirely by the radial k^{D-1} behaviour in D = 3 dimensions. Insofar as distributions of vortices are determ ined by the power in long wavelengths the peak at $k = k_0$ (t) may, to some extent, be approximated by the cuto at k_0 (t) of (4.104). We shall return to this later.

Rather than evaluate correlation functions from (5.128) directly it is convenient to approximate it di erently. It is not di cult to see that, for the values of $k_0(t)=k_0(t)$ given above, W_M (r;t) approximately falls monotonically in r to zero from above (any oscillatory behaviour is of very small amplitude). It is a good approximation if, in (5.128) we expand (k)t as

(k)t M t
$$\frac{k^2 t}{2M}$$
 (5.134)

from which

$$W_{M}$$
 (r;t) ' $e^{2M t} \stackrel{2}{e} e^{D} k e^{ik \times} e^{k^{2} t + M}$: (5.135)

This approximation maintains the peak in the integrand at k_0 (t) of (5.125). Taking it as it stands gives

7

$$f(r;t) = \exp f r^2 M = 4tg;$$
 (5.136)

independent of dimension, on dropping the upper integration bound¹⁰. As such it correctly reproduces the small-r behaviour of f(r;t) (and f⁰(r;t) of (4.106)) and, although the simple G aussian behaviour breaks down for $r^2M = 4t$ 1, the rapid large-r fallo is qualitatively correct.

We conclude with one more observation. Even in the disordered state for t < 0 there were zeroes (or lines of zeroes) induced by thermal uctuations. E ectively, the equal-time two-point correlation function for t < 0 is

$$W_{M} (r;t) ' T_{0} \sum_{\substack{k \neq M \\ k \neq M}}^{Z} e^{ik \cdot x} \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{k^{2} + m_{0}^{2}} = \sum_{\substack{k \neq M \\ k \neq M}}^{Z} e^{ik \cdot x} C(k):$$
(5.137)

where

¹⁰This is not a question of dropping coarse-graining but m erely approxim ating the integral.

Because of equilibrium there is no time-dependence in W_M (r;t) of (5.137). There is an implicit cuto in (5.137) at the thermal wavelength $_0 = T_0^{-1}$, but we are not interested in zeroes on a smaller scale than the same eld correlation length M $^{-1}$ that determines defect size. In fact, assuming m₀ M, it makes little di erence whether we coarsegrain to $j_{kj} < M$ or to $j_{kj} < m_0$:

W hatever, the surface density of zeroes is

$$n(t) = O(m_0^2) = O(M^2)$$
: (5.138)

However, we should not think of these zeroes as the precursors of the defects that appear after the transition. They are totally transient. We see this by the presence of oscillatory factors $e^{i! (k) t}$ in the two-point correlation function at unequal times t;t+t. In fact, the term (5.137) is the rst term in (5.119) and (5.120), in fact the only term in either of these expressions when t = 0. The reason for rewriting (5.119) as (5.120) was to discriminate between this term (the 1 in the square bracket of (5.119)) and the term

A (k) 1, in the same bracket, whose origin is the interference between exponentially increasing and decreasing terms in the mode evolution and has nothing to do with therm all uctuations directly. Since the normalisation of W_{M} (r;t) has no elect on the density and distributions of zeroes and line zeroes these thermal uctuations are suppressed, relative to the long wavelength peak that shows the growth of domains, by a factor 0 (e^{2M t}). Thus, although the thermal uctuations remain as strong in absolute terms, their contributions to the counting of zeroes, and hence the creation of defects, vanishes rapidly, which is why we did not include them earlier.

Similarly, had we chosen to coarse-grain on a som ewhat shorter scale $k \leq M_0$, where $M_0 > M$, then W (r;t) would have acquired som e (nite) oscillatory terms from (5.114) that in turn would have been suppressed, relative to the long wavelength peak, by a factor O (e^{2M t}). For large enough times t_{sp} they would play no contribution, provided $M = M_0$ ' 1. Taken with our earlier observations on coarse-graining at larger scales than M^{-1} we see that coarse-graining on a scale comparable to the thickness of cold defects is all that is required, with ne-tuning being unnecessary.

6 Slowing the Quench

O ur adoption of an instantaneous quench, in which m² (t) changes as in (5.112) is obviously unrealistic. Any change in the environment requires some time to implement. In the context of the at spacetime free-eld approximation that we have adopted so far we should replace m² (t) of (5.112) by an elective (mass)² that interpolates between m²₀ and its cold value M² over . If were so small that M < 1 we expect no significant change, since the eld would not have responded in the time available anyway. However, once

$$1 < M < M t_{sp}$$
 (6.139)

there will be an e ect. As the wavenum ber k of the eld m odes increases towards M the time available for their growth is progressively reduced. However, the long wavelength

m odes have the same time to grow as before. As a result, the peaking of the integral of W(r;t) will occur at a smaller value of k for the same time t after the quench is begun, leading to a lower density of defects than would have occurred otherwise. A lternatively, the eld is correlated over larger distances than would have happened otherwise.

To see how this occurs quantitatively it is not necessary to go beyond simple approxim ations, in the absence of any compelling reason to make a speci c choice for m² (t). [Such a reason occurs if we have a de nite spacetime metric driving the transition, as happens in in ationary models[13, 18]].

Consider the behaviour

$$m^{2}(t) = m^{2}; t < 0$$

= $M^{2} - t; 0 t <$
= $M^{2}; t$ (6.140)

in which the quench is begun at time t = 0 and completed at time t =. The rate of the quench, 1 is assumed large, $< t_p$. That is,

$$1 M < \ln (1=);$$
 (6.141)

so that the quench is complete before the eld has experienced the turnup of the potential towards its minima. As ! 0 we recover the instantaneous behaviour exam ined earlier.

If the behaviour presented in (6.140) seems a little arti cial in how the behaviour before t = 0 and after t = 0 are connected we note that, from our previous discussion, the behaviour of m^2 (t) for t 0 is largely irrelevant, as long as m^2 is comparable to M^2 . Only the behaviour for t > 0 will be important.

The calculation of f (r;t) now requires a proper solution of the m ode equation (5.111) for m²(t) of (6.140), in terms of which W (r;t) is again given by (5.113). This is not possible to derive analytically, but it is not di cult to m ake analytic approximations. A s before we coarse-grain W (r;t) to eliminate oscillatory m odes, bounding k j by M. W hereas m odes of all wavelengths jk j < M begin to grow exponentially at the same time t = 0 for an instantaneous quench (= 0), for € 0 m odes of wavenumber k do not begin to grow until time t (k), at which

$$k^2 = m^2 (t (k))$$
 (6.142)

For the choice of m^2 above in (6.140)

$$t (k) = \frac{k^2}{M^2}$$
(6.143)

As we noted earlier, although the very long wavelength grow th is activated at t 0, the shorter the wavelength (but still $j_k j < M$) the shorter the time the modes have available before their switcho at t = $t_{sp} = 0$ (M ¹ ln (1=)).

A crude, but helpful approximation, for times M < M t < ln (1=) is to m in it this e ect by modifying (5.128) as

$$W_{M}; (r;t)' = e^{D} k e^{ik \times e^{2} (k)(t + t - (k))};$$
(6.144)

In the further approximation of (5.134), in which we expand in powers of k,

$$W_{M}; (r;t) ' \overset{Z}{\underset{\substack{k \neq M \\ Z \\ e^{2Mt} \\ k \neq M}}} e^{ik \times e^{it + (k))(2M + k^{2} = M})} = e^{2Mt} e^{ik \times e^{ik \times e^{k^{2}(t+2)} = M}} 1 + O(\frac{k^{4}}{M^{3}}) : (6.145)$$

On comparing W_M ; (r;t) of (6.145) to that of (5.135) it follows that, at the level of the Gaussian approximation, the e ect of slowing the quench is to replace f (r;t) of (7.149) by

$$f(r;t) \exp f^{2}M = 4tg$$
 (6.146)

where

$$t = t + 2 + 0 \frac{1}{M(t + 2)}$$
 (6.147)

That is, in the rst instance the e ect of slow ing the quench is no more than to reproduce the behaviour of an instantaneous quench, displaced in time by an interval 2 . A more careful calculation would give slightly di erent answers, but the qualitative conclusion that slow ing the quench reduces the defect density and hence makes the system look as if it had begun earler, is correct. In the absence of any reason to make a particular choice of quench, the result (6.147) is adequate for our purposes.

7 Freezing in the Vortices

W e understand the dom inance of wavevectors about $k_0^2 = M = t$ in the integrand of W (r;t) as de ning a length scale

$$(t) = O(t = M); (7.148)$$

once M t > 1, over which the independently varying elds a are correlated in m agnitude. To be specific, let us take (t) = 2 t = M, whence

$$f(r;t) = \exp f r^2 M = 4t g$$

= $\exp f r^2 = r^2(t)g$; (7.149)

W ith this de nition, the number density of line zeroes at early times is calculable from (4.81) as 11

n (t) =
$$\frac{1}{(t)^2}$$
; (7.150)

¹¹The density of monopoles in D = 2 dimensions is identical.

both for m onopoles and vortices, perm itting us to interpret (t) as a correlation length or, equivalently, a dom ain size for phases. A lthough the zeroes and line zeroes have yet to freeze in as defects, this density of one potential defect per few correlation areas is com m ensurate with the K ibble m echanism cited earlier.

The zeroes that we have been tracking so far cannot yet be identified with the vortices (and monopoles) which provide the sem iclassical network on the completion of the transition because the eld has not achieved its groundstate values. In fact, and of greater in portance at this stage, it is not even uniform in magnitude. Insofar as a classical picture is valid, the therm all uctuations have kicked the eld of the top of the uptured potential hill in different directions at slightly different times. The work of G uth and P i[13] shows that the variance in this time is $t = 0 \text{ (M}^{-1})$. Thus, even if the potential were a pure uptured parabola and the fields were to stop growing instantaneously at the moment that they reached the spinodal value $V^{(0)}(_{sp}) = 0$ (defined in terms of the non-G aussian physical potential) it would take a further time 0 (t) before the field caught up in all places.

An instantaneous halt to an increasing eld grow th is a huge oversimplication. More realistically, as the elds approach their spinodal values the elds (mass)² driving the expansion of the unstable modes decreases and the expansion of the domains slows. The energy of the elds in the long wavelength modes will cause an overshoot towards the potential bottom that, in the absence of dissipation, will be followed by a rebound, and perhaps a repeat (or many repeats) of the whole cycle[16]. The details will depend on the dissipation that the long wavelength modes endure. This dissipation is necessary to enable the elds to relax to their ground-state values, and for realistic elds has several sources. In particular, the act of coarse-graining induces dissipation [17], as would the presence of other elds[19]¹².

In this excursionary paper we shall just consider the rudiments of the e ect of the eld self-interaction on slowing down and stopping domain growth in an approximation in which dissipation is assumed to set in rapidly at the spinodal eld values. At the simplest level this is, in some respects, like that of slowing the quench that we discussed previously, except that it occurs at the end of the period of interest, rather than the beginning. As there, the long wavelength modes are the least a ected, the shorter wavelength modes (but still with k < M) having less time to grow. For this reason the density of zeroes, now identiable as defects, is reduced.

As we have said, a full analysis is beyond the scope of this paper but, as with the slow quench, it is possible to provide a primitive approximation in which we can see this explicitly. This is enough for our present purposes, for which the power in the long wavelength modes will turn out to be the most relevant property that we need, and we hope that the crudity of our approximations leaves this untouched.

If we wish to retain the G aussian approximation for the eld correlation functions the

 $^{^{12}}$ A further source of dissipation is an expanding metric, inevitable in cosm ological models. This is beyond us here.

best we can do is a mean-eld approximation, or something similar¹³. In this approximation equations of motion are linearised by the substitution

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7.151)

and similarly for $_2$. Because of the diagonal nature of W _{ab} this means that

$$\binom{2}{1} + \binom{2}{2}_{a} = 4W \quad (0;t)_{a} \qquad (7.152)$$

W (r;t) still has the mode decomposition of (5.113), but the modes $U_{a,k}$ now satisfy the equation (ignoring subtractions)

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + k^{2} + m^{2}(t) + 4 \stackrel{Z}{el} pC(p)U_{a,p}^{+}(t)U_{a,p}(t) U_{a,k} = 0; \quad (7.153)$$

A detailed discussion of (7.153) will be given elsewhere, but a rough estimate of the e ects of the interactions can be obtained by ignoring self-consistency and retaining only the unstable modes in the integral. For simplicity we revert to an instantaneous quench $m^{2}(t) = M^{2}(t)$ fort > 0.0 n using the denition for in (5.109), we might approximate (7.153) in turn by "

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + k^2 \qquad M^2 + M^2 e^{2M (t t_{sp})'} U_{a;k} = 0; \qquad (7.154)$$

where, further, we have ignored all but the dom inant exponential behaviour due to a free-eld roll. Note that the -coupling strength has been absorbed in the de nition of t_{sp} , most justi able for small . Equation (7.154) has the correct qualitative behaviour in that there are growing modes only for $t < t_{sp}$, after which all modes are oscillatory.

In this approximation a mode $U_{a:k}$ can only grow until time t_f (k), dened by

$$k^{2} = M^{2} 1 e^{2M(t_{f}(k) t_{sp})}$$
 : (7.155)

As anticipated, shorter wavelengths have less time to grow . Linearising (7.155) in the vicinity of $t_{\rm sp}$ as

$$k^2 = 2M^3 (t_f (k) t_{sp})$$
 (7.156)

shows that, beginning from an instantaneous quench, the mode with wavenum berk stops growing at time

$$t(k) = \frac{k^2}{2M^3}$$
 (7.157)

before the modes of longest wavelength stop.

Instead of the instantaneous quench, now let us reintroduce a slow er quench that takes time to implement, along the lines of (6.140) and the approximations that followed from

 $^{^{13}\}text{But}$ not a large-N O (N) limit, since we only have simple defects in D = N and D = N + 1 dimensions.

it. At the simplest level, the e ect of the back-reaction on the eld correlation function W_M ; (r;t) of (6.144) is to replace it by

$$W_{M}$$
; (r;t) ' $e^{D} k e^{ik \times e^{2(k)(t + t(k))}}$; (7.158)

where

t (k) t (k) + t (k)
=
$$\frac{k^2}{M^2} + \frac{k^2}{2M^3}$$
: (7.159)

In the further approximation of (5.134), in which we expand in powers of k,

$$W_{M}; (r;t)' = e^{D} k e^{ik \times e^{(t t (k))(2M k^{2} = M)}};$$
(7.160)

From this we derive an f (r;t) of the form

$$f(r;t) \exp f M = 4tg$$
 (7.161)

where t is now given by

t t+2 +
$$\frac{1}{M}$$
: (7.162)

rather than by (6.147). We stress that, even at this level of approximation (in which any term can be multiplied by a coecient 0 (1)), we have assumed su ciently weak coupling and a rapid enough quench that

$$+\frac{1}{2M} < t_{sp}$$
 (7.163)

so that even the shortest wavelength considered has some time to grow. The details of (7.161) and (7.162) should not be taken too seriously, but the qualitative result that the e ect of slow ing the quench is to give a time-delay of 0 (), and the e ect of back-reaction is to give a time-delay of 0 (M⁻¹) is as we would expect. A more realistic calculation [16] based on (7.153) would show that the eld overshoots its spinodal values, retreats and overshoots again in damped oscillations. However, the small-r behaviour of (7.161) is good enough for our immediate purposes. We shall return to the full equation (7.153) later.

8 Densities and Correlations from a Quench

In the approximation given above the e ect of slowing the quench (and taking the backreaction into account) is just to increase the correlation length and thereby reduce the density of zeroes to one appropriate to an instantaneous transition that happened earlier and in which the eld spontaneously stopped growing. The only way the zero-density can decrease without the background space-time expanding is by zero-antizero annihilation. For monopoles this is simple removal of zeroes by superposition. For vortices it will include the collapse of small loops of zeroes. The end result is to preserve roughly one string zero per coherence area, a long held belief for whatever mechanism.

Let us perform all our calculations at $t = t_{sp}$, for which $(t_{sp}) = {}_{sp}$. For small enough , the density at $t = t_{sp}$ is, with our previous caveats about ∞ cients,

$$n(t_{sp}) = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{sp}} \frac{1}{4} \frac{M^{2}}{(M t_{sp} + 2M + 1)} M^{2}; \qquad (8.164)$$

We have retained the last term in the denom inator as a rem inder that the approxim ation may work even when M $t_{sp} > 1$, but not too large. This shows that the line zeroes (or monopole zeroes) only create a small fraction of space as false vacuum. Equivalently, the typical separation of line zeroes (or monopole zeroes) is significantly larger than the thickness of a cold vortex (or monopole) once they have frozen in. This is one reason why we can begin to consider these line zeroes (and point zeroes) as serious candidates for vortices (and monopoles) even though the eld has not fully relaxed to its ground states.

A further reason is that, even though the spinodal values of eld are at a fraction of 1=3 of the distance to the bottom of the potential, this distance is very much larger than the initial eld uctuations. In consequence, the uctuations in the eld are now too sm all to create new lines and points of false vacuum of any substance and we can safely say that we have defects. To see this, we observe that, initially, the probability p_t], now independent of t, is expressable as

$$p[] = N e^{0^{H}[]}$$
 (8.165)

N is a normalisation factor and H permits the expansion in $_0m_0$ [15] in terms of S₀ of (5.110)

$$H[] = S_0[] \frac{1}{24} \int_0^2 d^{D} x \frac{S_0}{2} + O(\int_0^4 m_0^4): \qquad (8.166)$$

W ith calculational simplicity in m ind we restrict ourselves to high initial temperatures $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for which it is su cient to retain only the rst term, and take 0 = 0.

As with zeroes, uctuations are dened with respect to some length scale L, say. The elds $_{\rm L,a}$ coarse-grained to this length are dened in (5.117). The probability $p_{\rm L}$ () that $_{\rm L}$ = can be written as

$$p_{\rm L}() = N \exp((2 = 2(L))^2)$$
 (8.167)

where $(_{\rm L})^2$) is the coarse-grained two-point function

$$(_{L})^{2} = W_{L} (r; 0) ' T_{0} _{\underline{x} \neq L^{-1}}^{Z} e^{D} k \frac{1}{k^{2} + m_{0}^{2}}:$$

$$= \underset{\underline{x} \neq L^{-1}}{B} e^{D} k C (k)$$
(8.168)

for C (k) of (5.116), compatible with (5.119). For m_0 M it follows[15] that, for L = O (M⁻¹) in D = 3 dimensions

$$(_{\rm L})^2$$
 / AM T₀ (8.169)

where A ' 10 1 .

The condition that there be no overhang is thus

$$(L)^2 \qquad {}^2_0 \qquad (8.170)$$

There is no di culty in satisfying (8.170) for small coupling, for which it just becomes 1.

A though the strings of zeroes (or monopoles) are far apart they still do not yet quite provide the sem iclassical network of defects that can be used as an input for num erical simulations since the elds have to relax dissipatively from their spinodal values to the true m inim a of the potential along the lines suggested earlier. Because they are too costly in energy to be produced by uctuations, there will be some defect annihilation, but no creation, in this nal phase of freezing in the defects and the n (t_{sp}) calculated previously is an overestim ate of the string density at the end of the transition. However, if it were the case for vortices that all the string was in bops it is di cult to see how in nite string could be created if all that happens is that string is removed from the system , although it cannot be precluded. Since that is the main question that we shall be addressing here we adopt the simplest assumption that, even if the density n (t_{sp}) is an overestim ate the distribution of strings (i.e. the fraction of strings in bops, the index for length distributions) is approximately unchanged by the nal freezing in. That is, the one-scale scaling regime remains valid till freeze-in.

In that case the distribution of strings will then be as above for = (t_p), while = of (8.183) remains (albeit approximately) the variation in domain size over which eld phase is correlated. Direct attempts to determ ine distributions from density correlation functions are di cult. For example, the simple analytic form of (7.149) enables us to calculate the density correlation function C ($r_{;t_{sp}}$) for monopoles in the plane, and A ($r_{;t_{sp}}$) and B ($r_{;t_{sp}}$) for vortices in three dimensions, up to exponentially small term s in M t (t_{sp}), as

C (r) = A (r) =
$$\frac{2}{\frac{2}{2} \frac{4}{sp}} \frac{e^{2r^2 = \frac{2}{sp}}}{(1 - e^{2r^2 = \frac{2}{sp}})^2}$$
 (1 $e^{2r^2 = \frac{2}{sp}}$) $2\frac{r^2}{\frac{2}{sp}} < 0$; (8.171)

and

B (r) =
$$\frac{2}{\frac{2}{2} \frac{4}{\text{sp}}} \frac{e^{-2r^2 = \frac{2}{\text{sp}}}}{(1 - e^{2r^2 = \frac{2}{\text{sp}}})} > 0;$$
 (8.172)

and we have dropped unneccessary time labels.

In units of n^2 (t_{sp}), the anticorrelation is large. In particular, a calculation of C (r) or A (r) for defect separation r gives

A (r) = n² (t_{sp}) 1 + O
$$\frac{r^2}{\frac{2}{sp}}$$
 (8.173)

when $r < s_p$, as happens for the single mode case. Again, as in the single-mode case,

B (r) = n² (t_{sp})
$$\frac{\frac{2}{sp}}{r^2}$$
 + O (1) > 0: (8.174)

A lthough (8.172) is not wholly reliable for r , the suggestion that C or A and B fall o very fast is qualitatively correct, showing that $_{\rm sp}$ indeed sets the scale at which strings see one another. The best we can say is that, taken together these suggest a signi cant am ount of string in sm all bops.

We have more success in using the correlation functions to determ ine the variance in vortex winding number N_S through an open surface S in the 1-2 plane, which we take to be a disc of radius R. There is a complication in that, as can be seen from (2.32), 3 counts zeroes weighted by the cosine of the angle with which they pierce S, whereas winding number counts zeroes in S without weighting. The problem is therefore essentially a two-dimensional problem. Using the results of (2.28) onwards, it is not di cult to see that, given the short range of the correlation functions, for the density of zeroes

$$(N)_{s}^{2} = O(n^{2} \frac{3}{sp}R) = O(R = sp)$$
: (8.175)

Since (N) $_{\rm S}$ is () $_{\rm S}$ =2 , where () $_{\rm S}$ is the variance in the eld phase around the perimeter @S of S, this means in turn that

$$()_{\rm S}^2 = O(R = (t_{\rm sp})):$$
 (8.176)

If this were equally true for nonrelativistic vortex systems, then () $_{\rm S}^2$ m easures the variance in the supercurrent produced by the quench [7] and such behaviour ism easurable, in principle. In practice we do not yet know how to construct the coe cient of R for non-relativistic elds, but see Refs.[20, 21].

Returning to the main problem of the length distributions of vortices in three dimensions, it has not yet proved possible to turn expressions for the C_{ij} (i.e.A and B) directly into statements about self-avoidance, fractal dimension, or whatever is required to understand the resulting string network. Fortunately, as a temporary expedient, we can work indirectly by adapting the numerical results of [6], based on G aussian elds with the two-point function W_n (r;t) of (4.104) that we considered earlier. For n = 0 this has the same long-wavelength behaviour as our dynamical W (r;t) of (5.133) and (5.135). The results of [6] for n = 0 reproduce those of [8] on a cubic lattice, with its preponderence of open string. This leads us to believe that the simple dynam icalm odel that we have proposed above (given its assumptions for the freezing in ofdom ains) would also lead to a large fraction of open string.

Extending the simulation to general n for W_n (r;t) of (4.104) show s[6] that, in order to decrease the amount of string in open string, it is necessary to decrease n. However, although the fraction of in nite string does diminish as n becomes negative, in nite string only seems to vanish in the pathological limit n ! 3, at which there is an infrared divergence. We see from (4.105) that the density of string vanishes in the same limit. As long as there is a nonzero density of string, then some of it is in nite. The only way to increase the power in long wavelengths in our dynam icalm odel is to change C (k) by imposing di erent initial conditions. A ltering C (k) from C (k) = 0 (k^0) to C (k) = 0 (k^n) leads to a change in the power of the long wavelength modes from n = 0 to n, corresponding to a modi cation of W_M (r;t) of (5.133) to

$$W_{M} (r;t) / dk \operatorname{sinc}(kr) k^{2+n} e^{2} (k)t : (8.177)$$

The e ect of changing n can be seen qualititively by expanding expf (k)tg as in (5.134). W_M (r;t) of (8.177) can then be evaluated explicitly, giving f⁽ⁿ⁾ (r;t) as the con uent hypergeometric function

$$f^{(n)}(\mathbf{r};t) = \exp \int_{-1}^{2} \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{r^{2}M}{4t}$$
$$= {}_{1}F_{1} \frac{n+3}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{r^{2}M}{4t}$$
(8.178)

on dropping the upper bound in the integral.

The densities obtained from the more complicated (8.178) are exactly those of the more simple W_n (r;t) given in (4.105), if we identify $k_0^2 \text{ as } M = 2t$. Further, for n > 2 the integrand of W (r;t) in (8.177) shows a peak whose width increases as

$$k / \frac{p}{n+2};$$
 (8.179)

in plying a variation in dom ain size that also increases as n ! 2, just as for the sim pler case.

Since $_1F_1(0; 3=2; r^2M = 4t) = 1$ identically, n = 0 is a special case in which we reproduce the Gaussian of (5.136). A lthough this seems at variance with the behaviour given in (4.108) for the simply cut-o power distribution, the exponential behaviour was never supposed to be valid for very large r. Despite that, for n < 0 the similarity is good, with the same power-law fallo for n = 1 and n = 2, leading to anticorrelations over increasingly longer ranges.

Thus, if we can force C (k) to have negative n, the num erical simulations of [6] suggest that there will be more string in loops, and less in in nite string. The reason why we have n = 0 white noise in (5.133) is that, when quenching from well above the transition, C (k) $T_0 = m_0^2$ is constant for the dom inant integration region. In attempting to alter C (k) we expect that, if we were to begin closer to the transition where the initial uctuations are larger, we can make n negative. On beginning a quench from therm alequilibrium, the most extrem e case is one in which we start from a temperature so close to the transition that the e ective mass m_0 of (5.112) for t < 0 is approximately zero. Let us not suppose that we could set it identically zero. Then

$$C(k) = \frac{T_0}{k^2}$$
: (8.180)

rather than (5.127). The k² behaviour cancels the k² behaviour coming from the radial momentum integration and the power in long wavelengths is increased from n = 0 to n = 2 in (8.177). We believe that this is the most negative that n can become. Even if we had performed a more honest calculation of (7.153) there is now ay for the interactions to introduce the singular infrared behaviour of n = 3 that is necessary to prohibit the production of strings. The simulations of [6] suggest an approximate halving of the fraction of open string for n = 2 from its original 80% (on a cubic lattice). These numbers are not to be taken too seriously since, as we commented earlier, they depend on the type of lattice [9] (although the presence of open string does not).

A swe had noted above, for such a C (k) there is no peaking in the integrand around any wavenumber, and so no length that characterises a domain size, although the string density is nonzero. From (8.168) we see that the same C (k) of (8.180) also determ ines the initial eld uctuations. However, the e ect there is small, contrary to naive expectation, provided we coarse-grain to the scale appropriate to defects, k < M. This is the relevant scale, rather than the therm al wavelength $_0$. On coarse-graining to k < M the eld uctuations, given by (8.168), still satisfy ($_L$)² / AM T₀, and the initial uctuations will not populate the ground states for weak coupling.

O ur ability to make n negative survives more realistic initial states. The situation is more complicated in that the Gaussian approximation based on (5.110) breaks down [15] at temperatures T closer to T_c than

$$1 \quad \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} = 0 \ (\): \tag{8.181}$$

Thus the minimum value of m_0^2 at which our approximations have any hope is $m_0^2 = 0$ (M²). However, this is smallenough for (8.180) to be a good approximation, provided

as follows on implementing the approximation (5.134). In practice quenching from so close is articial, and we should consider n = 2 as an unattainable lower bound.

However, this is not the end of the story. The regular lattices of [8] and [6] are artefacts of the calculational scheme and may, of them selves, predict more in nite string than is present if they try to emulate a continuous transition. As we saw earlier, we do not have a regular dom ain structure in our model but have dom ains with a large variance

$$- \frac{k}{k_{c}} = 0 (1)$$
 (8.183)

independent of time. W hatever the details, the dispersion in is large.

A lthough a regular lattice does not imply exactly regular dom ains (which we understand as characterising the spaces between the defects) for high densities of the kind we have here they do imply a greater regularity than we have. In num erical simulations of string networks the inclusion of variance in the 'lattice' cell size show s[5] that, the greater the variance, the m ore string is in sm all boops. This can be understood in the following way. The strings generated by phase separation on regular lattices are known to behave like random walks in D = 3 dimensions to a very good approximation [22]. On a rectangular lattice the fraction of string in boops is only about 20% [8]. Increasing the variance of the dom ain size increases the 'target area' that a string must hit for a section of string to be deem ed closed. The probability of nding boops therefore increases. The variance of (8.183), if it could be carried over to [5] as it stands, suggests much m ore string (e.g. tw ice or m ore) in sm all boops. However, it is not easy to m arry the som ew hat di erent distributions of this simulation to ours since ours is one of overlapping dom ains (because of the continuous transition) rather than a dom ain 'bubble' picture m ore appropriate to rst-order transitions that is m ore easily accom odated by [5].

The end result is that there always seems to be in nite string from a continuous transition, even if less than we thought, although its con mation will require num erical analysis along the lines of [6]. This will be performed elsewhere when we have a better understanding of how back-reaction stops domain growth [16] than the simple approximation presented here.

9 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown how global 0 (2) vortices (and monopoles) appear in a relativistic theory, at a quench from the ordered to disordered state, as a consequence of the growth of unstable G aussian long wavelength uctuations.

A ssum ing a simple freezing of defects the resulting string (and monopole) con gurations scale as a function of the correlation length $= 0(\bar{t})$, for some e ective time t, at a fraction of a defect/correlation area. The label characterises the time it takes the defects to freeze in. The slower the quench (for weak coupling), the larger t, and hence the lower the defect density. For quenches from well above the transition the results are insensitive to initial conditions, which have been assumed here to be therm alequilibrium, but possibly the result is more general. This is compatible with the Kibble mechanism for vortex production due to domain formation upon phase separation by white noise uctuations (and similarly for monopole production). For a weak coupling theory the dom ain cross-sections are signi cantly larger than a vortex (or monopole) cross-section at the largest times for which the approximations are valid. Moreover, there is a large variance in their size , with = = 0 (1). Num erical simulations that relate loop distribution to the variance in dom ain size of the long wavelength m odes suggest that there is likely to be more string in small loops than we might have anticipated on the basis of simulations on regular lattices, although the match with the simulations is not exact.

As we quench from closer to the transition and e ectively reduce n we expect that the fraction of string in loops increases (as the density of string decreases), but even then it is never possible to enhance long wavelength uctuations to an extent that there is no in nite string after a continuous transition.

W e stress the crudity of som e of the approxim ations that we have m ade. There

is little di culty, in principle and in practice, in doing som ewhat better. However, without any speci c choice of initial conditions and mass evolution m (t) to guide us, and without better-m atching num erical simulations, generic results at this level are su cient. Im provem ents, motivated by particular cosm ological models, are being considered.

10 A cknow ledgem ents

W e would like to thank T in Evans, Carl Bender, Jam es Robinson and Andy Yates at ImperialCollege, D an Boyanovsky and R ich Holm an at Pittsburgh and W oytiech Zurek at Los A lam os for fruitful discussions, from which this work has matured. G K.would like to thank the G reek State Scholarship Foundation (IK.Y.) for nancial support.

References

- [1] T W B.Kibble, J. Phys. A 9, 1387 (1976).
- [2] E P S Shellard and A .V ilenkin, Cosm ic Strings and other Topological D effects (Cam bridge University Press, 1994).
- [3] J.Borrill, preprint hep-ph 9511295, DART-HEP-95/06 (1995).
- [4] A J. G ill and R J. R ivers, Phys. Rev. D 51, 6949 (1995). See also R J. R ivers and T S. Evans, in Formation and Interactions of Topological D effects, (Proceedings of the NATO A dvanced Study Institute on Topological D effects, Newton Institute, Cam – bridge 1994), eds A - C. D avis and R. B randenberger, p.139 (Plenum Press, 1996).
- [5] A.Yates and T.W. B.Kibble, PhysLett.B 364, 149 (1995).
- [6] J.Robinson and A.Yates, String Form ation and the Power Spectrum of Field Fluctuations, preprint Imperial/TP/95-96/427.
- [7] W H. Zurek, Nature 317, 505 (1985), Acta Physica Polonica B 24, 1301 (1993). See also W H. Zurek, in Formation and Interactions of Topological Defects, (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Topological Defects, Newton Institute, Cambridge 1994), eds A-C. Davis and R. Brandenberger, p.349 (Plenum Press, 1996).
- [8] T. Vachasparti and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 30, 2036 (1984).
- [9] M.B.Hindmarsh and K.Strobl, Nucl. Phys. B 437, 471 (1995).
- [10] B.I. Halperin, Statistical Mechanics of Topological Defects, published in Physics of Defects, proceedings of Les Houches, Session XXXV 1980 NATO ASI, editors Balian, K lem an and Poirrier (North-Holland Press, 1981) p.816.

- [11] F.Liu and G.F.Mazenko, Phys. Rev B 46, 5963 (1992).
- [12] A J. Bray, Phys. Rev. E 47, 228 (1993).
- [13] A.Guth and S-Y.Pi, Phys. Rev.D 32, 1899 (1985).
- [14] E.J.W einberg and A.W u, Phys. Rev. D 36, 2474 (1987).
- [15] M B. Hindmarsh and R J. Rivers, Nucl. Phys. B 417, 506 (1994).
- [16] D.Boyanovsky, Da-Shin Lee and A.Singh, Phys. Rev. D 48, 800 (1993).
- [17] D.Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega, R.Holman, D.-S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev.D 51, 4419 (1995).
- [18] D.Boyanovsky, H.J. de Vega and R.Holm an, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2769 (1994)
- [19] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, Phys. RevD 47, 2343 (1993).
- [20] R.J. Rivers Vortex Production at Phase Transitions in Nonrelativistic and Relativistic Media, Talk presented at the 3m e. Colloque Cosmologie, Paris, June, 1995 (Plenum Press, to be published).
- [21] T.S.Evans The Condensed M atter Limit of Relativistic QFT, Talk presented at the Fourth Thermal Fields W orkshop, D alian (China), August 1995 (W orld Scientic, to be published).
- [22] R.S. Scherrer and J.A. Friem an, Phys. Rev D 33, 3358 (1986).