# ON THE LIGHT CONE SINGULARITY OF THE THERMAL EFFECTIVE EXPANSION

Thierry Grandou

Institut N on Lineaire de Nice UM R CNRS 129 1361, route des lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France e-m ailgrandou@ doublon unice.fr

## ABSTRACT

We consider a scalar massless quantum eld model, at nite temperature T, both renorm alizable and asymptotically free. Focussing on the singular structure of the elective perturbation theory about the light cone, several new insights are put forth, regarding the interplay between hard them alloop resummation and the overall compensation of collinear singularities.

### 1. Introduction

The inherent non perturbative character of nite tem perature quantum eld theories has been recognized a few years ago on the basis of general group theoretical arguments [1]. At the same time, the form al perturbative series them selves were shown to necessitate a full rede nition of their original form so as to yield (hopefully) sensical results. This is the resummation program devised by Braaten and Pisarski and also by Frenkel and Taylor [2], hereafter referred to as "e ective theory" for short.

In order not to be just an academic recipe, such a program in plicitly requires that the original and/or re-organised perturbative series be infrared safe. In the recent years, a great dealofe orts has been devoted to the study of the infrared structure of the original perturbative series, with the conclusion that, roughly speaking, the situation at non zero temperature (T  $\in$  0) was not worse, globally, than at zero temperature (T = 0) [3].

But re-de ning a perturbative series is rarely trivial an operation. Properties which were known to hold true for the original series may become much harder to control in the rede ned one. In our opinion, the collinear singularity recently found in thermal QCD by using the elective perturbative series [4,5] m ight reveal a salient illustration of this fact. Note that we are aware that this point of view dilers, at rst sight, from the ones adopted in the solutions proposed in [6] and [7]. Very recently, though, it has been realized [18], that some unexpected connection could exist with the analysis proposed in [6]. In this paper we will restrict ourselves to a scalarm odel and analyse the singular structure of the elective theory in much details.

A prelim inary report of the present work appeared in [8], which is here corrected, completed and enlarged. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is an introduction to the model, and the necessary elements of the particular real time form alism which is used, are given. In section 3, the singularity structure of a whole series of diagrams is investigated, deferring some lengthy details to an appendix. The results of this section rely on an approximation which consists in keeping only the potentially most singular part of the hard therm alloop (HTL) self energy. This approximation gets completed in section 4, where the other terms are taken into account. Further consequences are drawn in sections 5 and 6, respectively related to the problem of the T = 0 and T  $\epsilon$  0 singularity m ixing, and to the possibility of an unam biguous renorm alisation constant de nition in a therm al context. Eventually, a conclusion gathers our results.

#### 2. The model

In order to get rid of unessential complications, we dealwith the toy model provided by a massless herm itian scalar quantum eld. It is endowed with a cubic self interaction, of coupling strength g, renorm alisable at D = 6 space-time dimensions. Furtherm ore, its quanta are assumed to form a plasma in therm odynamical equilibrium at temperature T. Infrared as well as ultraviolet singularities are taken care of by working at D = 6 2" space-time dimensions respectively. A lso, we will use the convention of upper case letters for D -m omenta and lower case ones for their components, i.e.  $P = (p_0; p)$ .

Use will be made of the material and results of a previous work [9] where a calculation performed at second non trivial order of bare perturbation theory, O  $(g^4)$ , was able to display the overall compensation of infrared and collinear singularities for some particular topology.

The process under consideration consists in a "Higgs" particle of D-m om entum Q = (q;0) in the rest-frame of a plasm a in equilibrium at temperature T. But, contrary to the case studied in [9], this D-m om entum is here assumed to be soft, that is q = 0 (gT). Also, our analysis will take place in the fram ework of the real time form alism, within "the basis" provided by the retarded and advanced free eld functions, <sub>R</sub> (K) and

 $_{\rm A}$  (K) respectively [5]. At any step, though, we have checked that the same expressions are obtained in the more custom ary realtime form alism of the K obes and Semeno rules (the "1/2" form alism, say).

The realpart of the therm alone loop self energy reads [9]

$$\operatorname{Re}_{T}(P) = \frac{2g^{2}}{(4)^{3+}(2+)} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk \, k^{D-3} n \, (k) \int_{0}^{X} P \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx \frac{(1-x^{2})^{1+}}{P^{2}+2k(p_{0}-px)}$$
(2.1)

where a combinational weight factor 1=2 is taken into account, and where P stands for a principal value prescription. Also, throughout the calculations, use will be made of the following relations for statistical factors

$$n(k_{0}) = (e^{k_{0}} 1)^{1}; n^{B}(k_{0}) = (e^{jk_{0}j} 1)^{1}; "(k_{0})(1 + 2n(k_{0})) = 1 + 2n^{B}(k_{0})$$
(2.2)

The phase-space domain where collinear singularities come from has been recognized to be determined by the condition  $P^2 << p^2 << T^2$ . In this domain, the function fRe  $_T$  g, that we choose to describe in terms of the two independent variables  $P^2$  and  $p^2$ , is approximated by a real function A, de ned for all  $P^2$  values

$$A(P^{2};p^{2}) = m^{2} \frac{1}{"} \frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1 + 2^{1 + ""}}{4"} \frac{P^{2}}{j^{2}p^{2}}\right) (P^{2}) + \cos(")(P^{2})$$
(2.3)

where  $m^2$ , the therm alm ass squared, is given by

$$m^{2} = 2 \frac{g^{2}}{(4)^{3}} (2 + 2")T^{2+2"}$$
 (2:4)

Expression (2.3) displays the full HTL (that is, leading, order  $g^2T^2$  part) entailed in the real part of the therm al self energy function. Only for space-like values of its argum ent P<sup>2</sup>, does the therm al self energy function develop an imaginary part of same leading order  $g^2T^2$ . This corresponds to the so called "Landau dam ping m echanism", and one can write eventually

$$_{\rm F} = A + iB ; \quad B (P^2;p^2) = m^2 (\frac{P^2}{p^2})^{1+} (P^2) \frac{1+2^{1+}}{4^{"}} \frac{\sin (")}{"}$$
 (2.5)

where  $_{\rm F}$  denotes the Feynm an self energy. Indeed, the functions A and B can be seen as obtained through the usual Feynm an prescription fp<sub>0</sub> !  $p_0$  + i  $p_0$ g, out of one analytical self energy function de ned in the complex energy plane

$$A = \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{1}{2} ((p_0 + i p_0; p) + (p_0 - i p_0; p))$$
$$B = \lim_{p \to 0} \frac{1}{2i} ((p_0 + i p_0; p) - (p_0 - i p_0; p))$$

with the light cone approxim ate self energy

$$= m^{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}} \left( 1 \frac{1+2^{1+n}}{4^{n}} \frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}} \right)$$
(2.6)

Note that in (2.6), the lim it " = 0 can be safely taken and that the therm alself energy function is a regular function of  $P^2$  in any neighbourhood of the light cone. One gets e ectively

$$(\mathbb{P}^{-2};\mathbb{p}^2) = -2\mathfrak{m}^2 \frac{\mathbb{P}^2}{\mathbb{p}^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(\frac{4\mathfrak{p}^2}{\mathbb{P}^2}) - 1$$
(2:7)

which, expressed in terms of the variables P<sup>2</sup> and p<sup>2</sup>, is nothing but the more familiar form [12] of the HTL self energy of the model, with its second kind Legendre function  $Q_1$  ( $p_0$ ; p) approximate form at  $\dot{p}_0$  j p. In the R=A real time form alism we will be using, retarded and advanced functions must be used. They are simply related to the Feynman ones by the set of relations

$${}_{R}(\mathcal{P}) = (p_{0}) {}_{F}(\mathcal{P}) + (p_{0}) {}_{F}(\mathcal{P}); {}_{A}(\mathcal{P}) = (p_{0}) {}_{F}(\mathcal{P}) + (p_{0}) {}_{F}(\mathcal{P})$$
(2.8)

In the region D where one has  $P^2 << p^2 << T^2$  and O (p) = gT, e ective propagators (P) must be used so as to get the full leading order  $g^2T^2$  correction to the free quantities, with

$$(P) = \frac{i}{P^2} (P^2; p^2) + i'' p_0; = R; A:$$
(2:9)

A point to be stressed is that the dom ain which requires that e ective perturbation theory be used, is also the dom ain where m ass (or collinear) singularities stem from, thereby opening up the very "window" where the light cone singular behaviour of the e ective therm al expansion m ust be studied.

An important remark is in order. A dm ittedly, there is no HTL in the three-point function of the  $(g'^{3})_{6}$  theory [10], in the sense that  $g^{2}T^{2}$  leading parts, eventually, cancel out, leaving order  $g^{2}T^{3=2}$  pieces at most. However, in [10], not all the terms were considered and, more generally, it should be noted that this statement is not su cient for ignoring the one loop three point function. For example, it has been stressed recently [7] that, over collinear con guration of external soft momenta, a special enhancement of bare orders of magnitude, results out of collinear singularities, leading to a breakdown of the HTL resummation program. However, besides the new internal di culties encountered by the improved HTL resummation program itself [24], it is in portant to realize that such a situation does not show up here. This is basically because the H iggs particle has tim elike D-m om entum Q = (q; 0). Explicit calculation then shows that no collinear singularity, ever develop in that case. Now, a wider analysis of the three point function is certainly worth undertaking, but falls beyond the scope of the present article where one loop vertex corrections will not be considered.

#### 3-Singular structure

We begin with considering the case of N one-loop self energy insertions along the P-line as depicted on Fig.1, with N<sup>0</sup> = 0. Then, the retarded "polarisation tensor"  $\binom{N}{RR}$  (Q) of the "Higgs particle" (hereafter written  $\binom{N}{R}$  (Q) for short) can be shown to admit the following expression (see (61) in [5])

$${}^{(N)}_{R}(Q) = i \frac{Z}{(2)^{D}} (1 + 2n(p_{0})) \text{ D isc}_{P} \qquad {}^{(N)}_{R}(P) = {}^{(N)}_{R}(P^{0}) V^{2}_{RRA}(P;Q;P^{0})$$
(3:1)

where  $V_{RRA}$  is the bare vertex with two external lines of the retarded type, corresponding to D-m om enta P and Q, and one advanced external line of D-m om entum P<sup>0</sup>. The convention for the ow ofm om enta is that their sum vanishes: P + Q + (P<sup>0</sup>) = 0. At zeroth order,  $V_{RRA}$  is simply given by the "electric charge", e, which couples the Higgs particle to the herm itian scalar eld. Eventually, the prescription "D isc<sub>P</sub>" m eans that the discontinuity in the variable  $p_0$  is to be taken. In the fR=A g real time form alism we are using, this is simply achieved by writing

$$D \operatorname{isc}_{P} F_{R} \quad (P;Q;R) = F_{R} \quad (P;Q;R) \quad F_{A} \quad (P;Q;R) \quad (3.2)$$

with and any of the two R ; A possibilities.

In above equation (3.1),  $\binom{N}{R}$  denotes a N one-loop self energy corrected free eld function, and  $\binom{N}{R}$  (Q), the ensuing corrected tensor. Om itting unessential factors, its im aginary part reads

$$\operatorname{Im}_{R} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} N \\ P \end{smallmatrix} \right) = d^{D} P \left( 1 + 2n \left( \begin{smallmatrix} p_{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right)^{n} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} p_{0}^{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} P \\ P \end{smallmatrix} \right) f \frac{(1)^{N}}{N!} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} p_{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right)^{(N)} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} P \\ P \end{smallmatrix} \right)^{2} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} R \\ R \end{smallmatrix} \right) P \frac{\operatorname{Im}_{R} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} N \\ R \end{smallmatrix} \right)}{\left( \begin{smallmatrix} P \\ P \end{smallmatrix} \right)^{N+1}} g$$
(3.3)

where " $(p_0)$  is the sign of  $p_0$ , and (N) the N <sup>th</sup> derivative of the -distribution. In view of equations (2.3), (2.5) and of their bounded P -dom ain, note that the real and im aginary parts of the f  $_{R}^{H T L} (P) g^{N}$  -insertions are acceptable test-functions, yielding well de ned expressions when acted upon with  $(N) (P^2)$  and  $P = (P^2)^{N+1}$ distributions respectively. How things are working out is instructive to observe. At N = 1, we have from the  $(1) (P^2)$ -term

$$\frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \stackrel{Z}{d^{D-1}p} \frac{dP^{2}}{2p_{0}} (1 + 2n (p_{0}))"(p_{0}) "(p_{0}^{0}) (P^{2}) (P^{2}) \frac{d}{dP^{2}} A (P^{2};p^{2})$$
(3:4)

where  $\mathbf{\dot{p}}_0 (\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{p}^2) \mathbf{j} = \frac{\mathbb{P}^2 + \mathbb{P}^2}{\mathbb{P}^2 + \mathbb{P}^2}$ . Substituting (2.3), we get in mediately

$$\frac{e^{2}m^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{D}{4q} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \left(1 + 2n^{B} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$
(3.5)

where  $_{\rm D}$  is the total solid angle of the model. The singular piece coming from the term involving the principal value, more involved, is

$$\frac{e^{2}}{(2 \ )^{D-1}} \frac{1+2^{1+""}}{4^{"}} \frac{\sin(")}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{D-1}p}{2p} (1+2n (p))^{"} (p_{0}^{0}) (P^{Q}) \\P \frac{dP^{2}}{(P^{2})^{2}} m^{2} (\frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}})^{1+"} (P^{2})$$
(3.6)

where (2.5) has been used. By integrating over  $P^2$  rst, which yields a " <sup>1</sup> m ass singularity, and then over p, one gets

$$+ \frac{e^{2}m^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{D}{4q} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \left(1 + 2n^{B} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1 + 2^{1+"}}{4"} \frac{\sin(")}{"}$$
(3:7)

The sum of the two terms displays the compensation of the collinear singularity, as noticed long ago by many authors for scalar as well as for gauge theories.

At N = 2, one has Re  $_{R}^{2}(P) = A^{2}(P)$  B<sup>2</sup>(P). The function B is of the order of  $(P^{2})^{1+}$  with "positive, and therefore does not contribute when acted upon with the  $^{(2)}(P^{2})$  distribution. Indeed, for exactly the same reason, only the fA<sup>N</sup> g-part of Re  $_{R}^{N}(P)$  will ever contribute at general N, because of the  $^{(N)}(P^{2})$  distribution. In the present case, N = 2, one gets

$$\frac{e^2}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{D}{4q} \frac{2}{q} + 2n^{B} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{m^2}{r}\right)^2$$
(3.8)

which, by recalling that q is of the order of gT, is consistently seen to be on the same order as (3.5) and (3.7) at N = 1. Now, the part involving the principal value distribution reads

$$\frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{1+2^{1+}""}{4"} \frac{\sin(")}{"}^{2} d^{D-1}p^{2} \frac{dP^{2}}{2p_{0}} (1+2n(p_{0}))"(p_{0}^{0}) (P^{2}) \frac{P}{(P^{2})^{3}} 2"(p_{0}) \frac{m^{2}P^{2}}{"p^{2}} (1-\frac{1+2^{1+}""}{4"} \frac{\sin(")}{"} \frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}} \int cos(")) m^{2} (\frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}})^{1+}" (P^{2}) (3:9)$$

Integrating out over P  $^2\,$  and p, one gets

$$+ \frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \frac{D}{4q} \frac{2}{q} + 2n^{B} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \frac{1+2^{1+"}}{4"} \frac{\sin(")}{\pi} \left(\frac{m^{4}}{r}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1+2^{1+"}}{4"2"} \cos(")$$
(3.10)

Expanding in powers of " the term s

$$\frac{1+2^{1+""}}{4"} \frac{\sin(")}{"} \frac{1}{"} \frac{1+2^{1+""}}{4"2"} \cos(") = \frac{1}{2"} 1+0 ("^2)$$
(3.11)

the sum of the two terms (3.9) and (3.10) is readily seen to display the expected singularity compensation. Indeed, inspection of the rst values of N  $(1 \ N \ 5)$ , shows that one may drop the terms 2";4 "appearing in (2.3) and (2.5) without spoiling at all the singularity cancellation mechanism. In what follows, we will take advantage of that simplication in order to avoid overcharging with details.

AtN = 3, one has

$${}^{3}_{R} (P^{2};p^{2}) = (A^{3} \quad 3AB^{2}) (P^{2};p^{2}) + i''(p_{0}) (3A^{2}B \quad B^{3}) (P^{2};p^{2})$$
(3:12)

O f the real part, only the fA<sup>3</sup>g term will contribute according to the rem ark above. The resulting singularity, the stronger, of order "<sup>3</sup> is given by

$$(1)^{3}=3!$$
 " $(p_{0})^{(3)}(P^{2}) A^{3}(P^{2};p^{2}) =$  " $(p_{0})(P^{2})$  m<sup>2</sup>="p<sup>2</sup><sup>3</sup> (3.13)

where, for short, we do not write the remaining integration over p (see the remark after (3.20)). The imaginary term f B<sup>3</sup>g is easily integrated over P<sup>2</sup> and yields

"
$$(p_0)$$
  $(1 + 2")^3 (\frac{\sin(")}{"})^3 (\frac{m^2}{p^2})^3 (\frac{1}{3"})$  (3:14)

The other term  $f3A^2Bg$  is a bit m ore involved. Integrated over P<sup>2</sup> it results in

Expanding in powers of " the two trigonom etric functions, we get

"
$$(p_0)3(\frac{m^2}{p^2})(\frac{m^2}{np^2})^2\frac{1}{3!}$$
 1+  $\frac{(")^2}{3}$  + 0 ("<sup>3</sup>) (3:16)

The mass singularity compensation is now made obvious as the rst term in the last parenthesis of (3.16), the +1, compensates for the real term (3.13), whereas the second term and (3.14) cancel each other, just leaving regular contributions. Note that with respect to the previous case, N = 2, expanding the trigonom etric functions has become mandatory in order to manifest the singularity compensation.

As we have been able to check that a similar compensation of all leading and subleading mass singularities, occur at N = 4 and N = 5 as well, these results strongly suggest that, for all N, such an overall cancellation of mass singularities is extremely likely to take place, and not just at N = 2, as was incorrectly stated in [8]. However, the control of the ensuing nite parts and their subsequent sum mation over N, remains somewhat puzzling. This is why we will carry out the sum mation over N a dimension over N and in the provide the sum mation over N and the sum ma

First, we take the complicated expressions (2.3) and (2.5) to the much simpler form s

$$A (P^{2};p^{2}) = m^{2} \frac{1}{m} \frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}} 1 \qquad j^{2} \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}} j ; B (P^{2};p^{2}) = m^{2} (\frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}})^{1+m} (P^{2})$$
(3:17)

O fcourse, with (3.17) as a crude approximation of (2.3) and (2.5), only the leading mass singularities cancel out for all N, while leaving a nite series of subleading mass singularities. The latter, though, can be resummed into regular functions. Then, bringing the expressions (3.17) to completion, that is to the form s (2.3)-(2.5), the full result can be derived rather easily out of those regular functions. This procedure will further open two interesting possibilities, dealt with in sections 5 and 6. A lso, it applies straightforwardly to the (fermionic) cases where HTL self energies are proportional to the second kind Legendre function  $Q_0$  ( $p_0$ ; p) rather than  $Q_1$ .

We begin with showing that for all N, the stronger singularities, of order "  $^{N}$  canceleach other. In e ect, at general N, such a singularity comes from the real part of  $^{N}_{R}$ . It is

$$(1)^{N} = N! "(p_{0}) (N) (P^{2}) A^{N} (P^{2}; p^{2}) = "(p_{0}) (P^{2}) m^{2} = "p^{2}^{N}$$
(3.18)

whereas that very contribution from the imaginary part of  $\frac{N}{R}$  can be written

"
$$(p_0) m^2 (\frac{m^2}{"p^2})^{N-1} C_N^1 \frac{Z_0}{p^2} \frac{dP^2}{(P^2)^{N+1}} \frac{P^2}{p^2} (P^2)^{N-1} (1 \frac{P^2}{p^2})^{N-1}$$
(3.19)

where the  $C_N^k$  are the binom ial coe cients, and where the (P<sup>2</sup>) distribution has been turned into the [ $p^2$ ;0]-integration range, by taking the condition P<sup>2</sup> <<  $p^2$  << T<sup>2</sup> into account. The integral over P<sup>2</sup> splits into N integrals, the sum of which reads [11]

$$(p^{2}) \quad \frac{1}{"} \qquad C_{N}^{1} \quad \frac{K^{1}}{k=0} \quad \frac{C_{N-1}^{k} (1)^{k}}{k+1} = 1$$
(3.20)

By putting this expression back into (3.19), one gets the exact compensation of the "<sup>N</sup> singularity of equation (3.18), thus completing the proof that the two most singular terms cancel each other at any number N of one-loop self energy insertions along the P-line.

It is interesting to note that, for this process at least, the choice of the two independent variables P<sup>2</sup> and  $p^2$  is a most convenient one. In particular, singularity compensation is rendered manifest after a unique one dimensional integration, the one over P<sup>2</sup>, is performed. Also, it displays the mass (or collinear) singularity character of the encountered poles. Had we choosen  $p_0$  and  $p_j$  as another, more custom ary choice of two independent variables, none of these two properties were obtained.

However a milder singularity (like (3.14)) remains non-cancelled. The phenomenon of course starts out at N = 3 with the fB<sup>3</sup>g term, and is, thereof, general. For any N = 2k + 1 with k = 1, the imaginary part of  $\frac{N}{R}$  develops a similar singularity under integration over  $P^2$ 

$$(i^{"}(p_{0})B)^{2k+1}) \quad i^{"}(p_{0})(1)^{k} \frac{(P^{2})P}{(P^{2})^{1-(2k+1)"}} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2"}})^{2k+1}) \quad \frac{i^{"}(p_{0})(1)^{k}}{(2k+1)"} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2"}})^{2k+1}$$
(3.21)

In the imaginary part of  $\frac{N}{R}$ , but for N -values greater than 2k + 1 at some given value of k 1, each of these terms do appear again, multiplied by some powers of A ( $P^2$ ; $p^2$ ). Typically,

$$(p_0)(1)^k C_N^{2k+1} A^{N-2k-1} B^{2k+1}; N = 2k+1; k = 1$$
 (3.22)

Selecting large enough a value of N , the sum of these terms from N = 2k + 1 to N = N , say, reads

$$"(p_{0})(1)^{k}(\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2"}})^{2k+1} \sum_{N} (\frac{m^{2}}{"p^{2}})^{N-2k-1} C_{N}^{2k+1} \sum_{p^{2}}^{U} dP^{2} P \frac{(1 p^{2} = p^{2} p^{2$$

Carrying out the integration over P<sup>2</sup> and taking advantage of the arithmetical identity (see Appendix)

the expression (3.23) can be given the much simpler form

"
$$(p_0)(1)^k(\frac{m^2}{p^{2+2"}})^{2k+1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)"} X_{N=N}(\frac{m^2}{mp^2})^{N-2k-1}$$
 (3.25)

Sum m ing over N the imaginary part of  $\binom{N}{R}$ , and letting N tend to in nity, one can re-arrange the sum of the series by singling out the fB<sup>2k+1</sup>g-term swe have just analysed. One gets

"
$$(p_0)(1)^k$$
  $(\frac{m^2}{p^{2+2"}})^{2k+1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)"} Z (";p); Z (";p) = 1 (\frac{m^2}{p^2})^{1}$  (3.26)

The occurence of the function Z is quite remarkable as it can be obtained out of the real part of the self energy by di erentiating it with respect to  $P^2$ , at  $P^2 = 0$ . We have, the prime indicating such a derivation

$$Z(";p) = 1 \quad \text{Re}^{-0}(0;p^2)^{-1} = 1 + m^2 = "p^2^{-1}$$
 (3.27)

Eventually, sum m ing over k, we get

$$\frac{X^{k}}{M} = \frac{Z}{(P^{2})^{N+1}} = \frac{2^{k}}{K} = \frac{X}{M} = \frac{X}{M} = \frac{((1)^{N})}{C_{N}^{2k+1}} = \frac{W}{M} = \frac{Z}{M} = \frac{Z}{$$

where one has

$$\lim_{\mathbf{m}=0} \mathbb{Z}(\mathbf{m};\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{p}^2 = \mathbf{m}^2; \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{\mathbf{g}}{4} (\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{p}})^{1+} \frac{\mathbf{m}^2}{\mathbf{p}^2} + \mathbf{tg}^2 \frac{1}{12} \frac{\mathbf{g}}{4} (\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{p}})^{1+} \frac{\mathbf{g}^2}{\mathbf{p}^2}$$
(3.29)

two regular functions of p in the dom ain D. Note that for the series (3.28) to converge and yield the function F (p), it is necessary that the condition

$$1 \quad \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{gT}{4p}\right)^2 = p \quad \left(\frac{1}{8\frac{p}{3}}\right)gT \tag{3.30}$$

be fullled. At its turn, (3.30) is obviously consistent with the assumed softness of the P-line. The convergence of the series displayed in (3.25) and leading to (3.27) is less obvious. This is because m<sup>2</sup>="p<sup>2</sup> cannot be expected to lie within the convergence radius of (3.25) when "<sup>1</sup> regularizes a potentially divergent behaviour. As should be made clear through sections 5 and 6, though, (3.27) can be provided with the same rigorous proof as the one developed at T = 0, relying on the renorm alization group equations and the asymptotic freedom property of the model [13].

To sum m arize, we have obtained

Im <sub>R</sub> (Q) = 
$$\frac{e^2}{(2)^{D-1}} (\frac{q}{2})^{D-3} \frac{d}{4q} (1 + 2n^B (\frac{q}{2})) (Z (";q=2)=") F (q=2)$$
 (3:31)

an infrared safe result. Up to the Born term which corresponds to zero self energy insertion, N = 0, the result (3.31) should coincide, by construction, to a calculation of Im  $_{R}$  (Q) in which a bare internal P-line has been replaced by the corresponding e ective propagator  $_{R}$  (P). When q is soft, though, this only replacement is not able to yield the full order  $g^{2}T^{2}$  correction to the zeroth order calculation, and a similar replacement must be envisaged for the second internal line as well.

W ithin obvious notations, the imaginary part of the Higgs "polarisation tensor" obtained by inserting N and N $^{0}$  self energy corrections along the P and P $^{0}$  lines, see Fig1, can be given the compact form

$$\operatorname{Im}_{R} \stackrel{(N,N^{0})}{=} (Q) = \frac{2e^{2}}{(2)^{D}} \stackrel{Z}{=} d^{D} P (1 + 2n(p_{0})) \operatorname{Im} (\binom{(N^{0})}{R} (P^{0})) D \operatorname{isc}_{P} (\binom{(N)}{R} (P)) + (N \ \ N^{0})$$
(3:32)

where Im  $_{R}^{(N^{0})}$  (P<sup>0</sup>) can be read from equation (3.3) right hand side by replacing P and N by P<sup>0</sup> and N<sup>0</sup>. W riting the contribution to Im  $_{R}^{(N,N^{0})}$  (Q) of a generic term of Im  $_{R}^{(N^{0})}$  (P<sup>0</sup>) (see (3.3)), one gets a rather cum bersom e expression which is given in the appendix. The key observation, though, is as follows. Both P and P<sup>0</sup> lines develop singular behaviours on the light cone, but for the P<sup>0</sup> line, the condition P<sup>0</sup> = 0 gets translated into the condition f2p q = 0g, and the corresponding light cone singular behaviours into generic factors

$$(2p q)[q(2p q)]^{1+(2k^{0}+1)"}$$
(3:33)

The point is that integrations over P<sup>2</sup> and then overp, just decouple and get the twom ass singular behaviours factorised. This amazing simplication is entirely due to the peculiarity of the process under consideration, with q = 0, and does not seem to extend beyond. Having integrated over P<sup>2</sup>, and summed over N, one gets electively

$$\overset{\text{X}}{=} \lim_{R} \sum_{n}^{(N,N^{0})} (Q) = \frac{d^{D-1}p}{2p} (1 + 2n^{B} (p)) \lim_{R} (\sum_{n}^{(N^{0})} (q p;p)) (Z (";p)=")F (p) \quad (3:34)$$

Now the functions Z =" and F of (3.29) are perfectly regular at p = q=2, so that the same pattern as before, at N<sup>0</sup> = 0, applies here again for  $\binom{N^0}{P} (P^0)$ . Using the P<sup>0</sup>-adapted form of identity (3.20), that is, explicitly

$$C_{N}^{1} \circ \frac{dp}{q^{2}} \frac{dp}{[q(2p-q)]^{1}} = 1 \quad \frac{q(2p-q)}{4p^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{N^{0}} \frac{1}{q^{2}} = \frac{q^{2}}{2q} \frac{1}{n}$$
(3:35)

it is shown in the appendix that the two most singular terms, of order " $^{N^{\circ}}$  compensate each other, while leaving a nite series of sub-leading mass singularities. Sum ming the latter series, the nal result reads

$$\operatorname{Im}_{R}(q;0) = \frac{e^{2}}{(2)^{D-1}} \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{D-3} \frac{D}{4q} \left(1 + 2n^{B}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)\right) \left(\mathbb{Z}\left(";q=2\right)="\right)^{2} \mathrm{F}^{2}(q=2)$$
(3:36)

It is infrared stable and in line with (3.31) on view of the peculiar fP;P<sup>0</sup>g internal line symmetry. By construction, summing over N and N<sup>0</sup> from zero to in nity, just replaces both internal lines by their corresponding e ective propagators,  $_{R}(P)$  and  $_{R}(P^{0})$ , so that the above result (3.36) has a simple relation with the full estimation of the Higgs polarisation tensor  $_{R}(Q)$  imaginary part, by means of the elective perturbation theory. Explicitly,

$$\lim_{R} (q;0)' \lim_{R} \beta^{om} (q;0) + 2 \quad (3:31) + (3:36) \quad (3:37)$$

where, within standard notations, the quantity Im corresponds to the picturial representation of Fig2, and where  $Im = B \circ m$  is just read from (3.31) or (3.36) by dropping the Z =" and F functions. Once completed with the results of the next section, the expressions (3.31) and (3.37) will provide the basis of a future num erical analysis [18].

#### 4-The fullHTL treatm ent

O ur previous treatm ent of self energy insertions is based on (3.17), that is on the leading, singular most terms in an "expansion of the functions A and B. How ever, nothing guarantees that the less singular parts could be safely ignored. This possibility even appears som ewhat paradoxical. Singular pieces, generated by this order "<sup>1</sup>-part, usually cancel out in the calculation of transition rates, as we have just seen, and are accordingly not expected to be the most relevant ones. Indeed, we are carrying out the calculation a di erent way, and we must now show how our previous results get modi ed when proper account is taken of the subleading "-expansion terms. For the sake of sim plicity this is illustrated by considering zero self energy insertion along the P<sup>0</sup> line.

As a shorthand notation, we introduce v, the variable

$$v(") = \frac{1+2^{1+}""}{4"}$$
; or  $v = 1+2"$  !  $v = 1+"+0"$  ("<sup>2</sup>) (4.1)

The second possibility for v corresponds to the case where factors of 2 " are dropped, as we have seen in the previous section 3, whereas the last expression sum m arizes any of the two possibilities. The real part of the full HTL self energy reads accordingly

$$A (P^{2};p^{2}) = \left(\frac{m^{2}}{"p^{2}}P^{2}\right) 1 v_{j}\frac{P^{2}}{p^{2}}j'' (P^{2}) + \cos(") (P^{2})$$
(42)

whereas the imaginary part, the function B ( $P^2; p^2$ ) of (2.5), can be written

$$B(P^{2};p^{2}) = u(")(P^{2})^{1+} (P^{2}); \qquad u(") = \frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2}} v(") \frac{\sin(")}{"}$$
(4.3)

A cting upon  $A^N$ , the term s involving (N) distributions are left the same as before, whereas the only changes come from the Imf N g-pieces which now read

$$Im f^{N} g = "(p_{0}) \qquad (1)^{k} C_{N}^{2k+1} A^{N-2k-1} B^{2k+1}$$

$$K = 0 \qquad (4:4)$$

and where the sum over k is extended to k = 0 so as to cover the new singular and regular perturbative contributions induced by the new functions A and B. Sum m ing over N, and taking the large N lim it, one has to control the extra pieces which are generated when " is eventually sent to zero. Once folded with the corresponding principal value distribution of (3.3), the building blocks of (4.4) yield

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & & \\ &$$

where a sign " $(p_0)$  is understood, as well as the range f1 N 1 g. Let  $b(\cos("))$  be that very expression between parenthesis in (4.5), and rst calculate  $b(\cos("))$  at  $\cos(") = 1$ , that is, b(1). W ith respect to the previous calculation, equations (3.21) to (3.25), the whole change is thus translated into the occurence of the new factors u ("), as de ned in (4.3), and  $fv^j \cos^j$  (")g between the parenthesis. Setting  $\cos(") = 1$ , the corrections to (3.21)-(3.25), can be obtained by Taylor expanding b(1) in powers of ", writing

$$b(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{X} \frac{(")^{n}}{n!} b^{(n)}(1); \quad b^{(0)}(1) = \frac{1}{2k+1}$$
(4:6)

where the value of  $b^{(0)}(1)$  is given by (3.24). Unfortunately, when doing so, the coe cients  $b^{(n)}$  result in nite series of Stirling numbers of the rst kind. While instructive for some respects (next section 6 and appendix), the resummation of the ensuing series is practically undoable [23], but for a few (three) Stirling numbers. This suggests to follow a different approach. Indeed, a rst order inhom ogeneous differential equation in the variable v is readily found for b(1), that we hereafter de ne as (v). Taking the appropriate \boundary condition" into account, the solution reads

$$(v) = \frac{1}{v^{2k+1}} \qquad (1) + C_{N}^{2k+1} dx \ x^{2k} \ (1 \ x)^{N-2k-1} \quad ; \qquad (1) = \frac{1}{2k+1}$$

$$(4:7)$$

where the value of (1), the \boundary condition" is, again, xed by (3.24). It is clear that the rst term of (4.7), proportional to (1), just reproduces a result identical to (3.31), that is

$$\frac{Z}{n} = \frac{p^2}{m^2} \quad \text{tg}^{-1} \quad \frac{u(n)}{v(n)}$$
(4.8)

whereas the second term of (4.7) yields

$$X = \sum_{N,k} (1)^{k} \frac{u(")}{v(")} = \frac{2^{k+1}}{m} C_{N}^{2k+1} dx x^{2k} (x - 1)^{N-2k-1} (+ \frac{m^{2}}{mp^{2}})^{N-2k-1}$$
(4.9)

Then, relying on an average value theorem for the integral over x, we know that there exists som e num ber c(") such that (4.9) reads

$$\frac{1}{c(\mathbf{n})} \sum_{N,k}^{X} (1)^{k} \frac{u(\mathbf{n})c(\mathbf{n})}{v(\mathbf{n})} \sum_{k=1}^{2k+1} (\frac{p^{2}}{m^{2}}) C_{N}^{2k+1} \frac{[m^{2}(v(\mathbf{n}) - 1) = \mathbf{n}p^{2}]^{N-2k}}{N-2k}; \quad 1 \quad c(\mathbf{n}) \quad v(\mathbf{n}) \quad (4.10)$$

To proceed further, it is possible to take advantage of the trick introduced in the appendix, equation (A 1), and one nds (

$$\frac{1}{c(")} \frac{p^2}{m^2} tg^{-1} \frac{u(")c(")}{v(")} 1 \frac{m^2(v-1)}{"p^2} tg^{-1} \frac{u(")c(")}{v(")}$$
(4.11)

In order to get (4.11) out of (4.10), series have been summed up, which are convergent within a radius speci ed by the condition

$$p^4 = m^4 - 2^2 + 2^2$$
 (4:12)

The lim it " = 0 can be taken safely. One has v(0) = 1, and likewise, c(0) = 1 by virtue of (4.10). Adding up (4.8) and (4.11), the full result reads

$$\frac{p^2}{m^2} tg^1 \frac{m^2}{p^2 m^2}$$
(4:13)

In the calculation of Im  $_{\rm R}$  (Q), p is xed at q=2 by the kinematics and so, including the Born term as a constant that we do not write for short, one gets eventually

$$fC^{st}g^{-1}Im_{R}(Q) = (\frac{q^{2}}{4m^{2}})tg^{-1} - \frac{4m^{2}}{q^{2} - 4m^{2}}$$
(4:14)

Now, one must envisage the change in the calculations of (4.5) based on  $b(\cos("))$  instead of b(1). Indeed, one can show that (4.8), (4.11) and (4.14) are left the same, but for terms of order " at most. In the calculation of (4.5) based on  $b(\cos("))$  instead of b(1), we get, as a rst non trivial correction to (1),

$$f = \frac{(")^{2} X}{2! " g} \left( 1 \right)^{k} (u ("))^{2k+1} \left( C_{N}^{2k+1} \right)^{N} \frac{X^{2k-1}}{j=0} \frac{(1)^{j} j v^{j}}{j+2k+1} C_{N-2k-1}^{j} A \left( \frac{m^{2}}{"p^{2}} \right)^{N-2k-1}$$
(4.15)

that is,

$$f_{\frac{(")^{2}}{2!}}g_{N,k}^{X}(1)^{k}u(")^{2k+1}C_{N}^{2k+1}(\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}})^{N-2k-1} = f_{\frac{(")^{2}}{2!}}g_{m^{2}}^{p^{2}}[u(")\frac{@}{@u(")}]^{n}(4:8) + (4:11)^{O}$$
(4:16)

The second term of order "<sup>2</sup> is obviously nite. The rst one can be calculated and is nite too. One gets

$$f = \frac{2}{2!} \operatorname{"g} = \frac{\operatorname{m}^2 p^2}{(p^2 - \operatorname{m}^2)^2 + 2 \operatorname{m}^4} = \frac{\operatorname{m}^2}{p^2} + O(\operatorname{""}^2)$$
(4.17)

Then, discrim inating between the cases j even or odd (for the expansion of  $\cos^{j}(")$ ), a similar conclusion is reached for the subsequent, higher order "-corrections to b(1). A lternatively, one may proceed a more global way, and try to express  $b(\cos("))$  as a function of b(1) and  $\cos(")$ . A rst order inhom ogeneous di erential equation satis ed by  $b(\cos("))$  can be derived, the solution of which reads (with the appropriate "boundary condition", that is b(1) = (v)),

$$b(\cos(")) = \frac{1}{\cos^{2k+1}(")} \qquad (v) + \frac{C_{N}^{2k+1}}{v^{2k+1}} \int_{v}^{v} dx \ x^{2k} (1 \ x)^{N-2k-1}$$
(4:18)

U sing (4.18), it is straightforward to check that the same result as (4.17) is obtained.

#### 5-Them ixing of singularities

A well known feature of realtime form alisms is the fact that T = 0 and  $T \in 0$  parts come out additive. This property of rst non trivial order of ordinary perturbation theory does not persist at higher orders which m ix up zero and non-zero tem perature contributions. When the latter are singular, the situation is the one of "singularity m ixing", an adm ittedly complicated one [9,12], which, at least at the author know ledge, has not been much investigated until now. In the present situation though, the singularity mixing problem turns out to be unexpectedly simplied and this is worth emphasizing.

At T = 0, the one loop order self energy is well known [9,13]

$$(\mathbb{P}^{2}; ^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{B} \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}}{2} \quad 1; \frac{\mathbb{D}}{2} \quad 1 \right) \mathbb{P}^{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathbb{P}^{2}}{2} \right)^{\frac{\mathbb{D}}{2} - 3}; \qquad = \frac{q^{2}}{(4 \ )^{\mathbb{D} - 2}}$$
(5.1)

Renorm alized at mass scale, one therefore has, writing  $_{\rm F}$  = a + ib,

$$a(P^{2}; {}^{2}) = \frac{1}{12"}P^{2} 1 \frac{p^{2}}{j_{2}} \int (P^{2}) + (P^{2})\cos(") ; b(P^{2}) = \frac{1}{12} P^{2} {}^{1+"} (P^{2}) \frac{\sin(")}{"}$$
(52)

In the function  $a(P^2; 2)$ , the set term, given by the +1 in the parenthesis, is the ultraviolet counter-term of the T = 0 renorm alization procedure. When dimensional with respect to  $P^2$ , though, the same factor 1=" is to be understood as the dimensional regularization of an infrared (collinear) singularity, as is made obvious by simple power counting arguments.

Now, a T  $\notin$  0 realtime form alism can be used to calculate pure T = 0 quantities, just by letting T tend to zero. This long known property of realtime form alisms s [14] has been explicitly verified in [9] at second non trivial order of perturbation theory. Thus, in principle, the global one loop self energy correction can be inserted in our previous equations, so as to get by the same token, both zero and non zero temperature corrections. In practice how ever, the different analytical properties involved at T = 0 and T  $\notin$  0, have long m ade this property short of any practical purpose.

Here instead, we will take advantage of the results of previous sections 3 and 4, dealing rst with the functions A and B of section 3. Then, remarking that  $D_u$ , the in nitesim aldilatation operator in the variable  $u_{n=0}$  (p), ignores both Z (";p)=" and T = 0 variables, the results are made complete by acting upon them with  $D_u$ ,

exp ln 1 
$$\frac{m^2 (v(") \ 1)}{"p^2}$$
 D<sub>u</sub> tg<sup>1</sup>(u) = tg<sup>1</sup> u 1  $\frac{m^2}{p^2}$  ; D<sub>u</sub> = u $\frac{\theta}{\theta u}$  (5:3)

Thus, one can re-write those relevant parts of (2.3) and (2.5) the following way

$$A (P^{2};p^{2}) = T^{2"} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{12"}P^{2} 1 \frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}} \int (P^{2}) + (P^{2})\cos(")$$
(5.4)

and

$$B (P^{2};p^{2}) = (\frac{T}{p})^{2} (\frac{2 T}{p})^{2} \frac{12}{12} (P^{2})^{1+} (P^{2}) \frac{\sin(n)}{n}$$
(5.5)

A global one loop renorm alized (Feynm an) self energy can accordingly be de ned as

$$b_{\rm F} ({\rm P}^{2};{\rm p}^{2};{\rm 2}) = A ({\rm P}^{2};{\rm p}^{2};{\rm 2}) + ib ({\rm P}^{2};{\rm p}^{2})$$
(5:6)

with

$$\hat{R} (\mathbb{P}^{2}; p^{2}; {}^{2}) = a (\mathbb{P}^{2}; {}^{2}) \quad (\frac{2 T}{p})^{2} a (\mathbb{P}^{2}; p^{2})$$
(5:7)

$$\dot{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{P}^{2};p^{2}) = b(\mathbb{P}^{2}) + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{2}b(\mathbb{P}^{2})$$
(5.8)

Folded with the principal value distributions, over their respective kinem atical dom ains, the functions b ( $P^2$ ) and b( $P^2$ ) involve the same pole structure, and, up to term s of order ( $p^2 = 2$ )", the associated residues are the same. In these topologies how ever, these term s do not regularise any singular behaviour and their " = 0 lim it can be taken with the alluded result (likewise, for the same reason, writing (5.7) and (5.8), the T<sup>2</sup>" and (T=p)<sup>2</sup>" term s of (5.3) and (5.4) have been ignored).

As a consequence, the whole series we have just analysed in the pure therm alcase, goes through, unchanged, in the global treatment of both T = 0 and  $T \neq 0$  parts, but for the two replacements

$$\frac{m^2}{"p^2} =$$
)  $\frac{12"}{12"} = 1 - (\frac{2}{p})^2$ 

$$u_{n=0}(p) = \frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}} = \frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}} (1 - \frac{m^{2}(v(n) - 1)}{n^{2}})^{-1} = \frac{1}{12} - 1 + (\frac{2}{p})^{2} (1 - \frac{m^{2}(v(n) - 1)}{n^{2}})^{-1}$$
(5.9)

Result (4.14), for example, is transform ed into

$$fC^{st}g^{-1}Im^{b}{}_{R}(Q) = \frac{2}{2}(";q=2) \qquad (5.10)$$

where we have de ned the global functions (adding up the Born term )

1

$$\dot{P}(p) = tg^{-1} \frac{1}{12} + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{m^2(v(") - 1)}{"p^2}\right)^{-1}$$
(5.11)

I.

$$\frac{1}{2}p^{-1}(";p) = 1 + \frac{2}{12"} + (\frac{2}{p})^2$$
 (5:11)

Expressions (5.11) and (5.12) are obviously rem iniscent of the elementary rst order additivity of the T = 0and  $T \notin 0$  parts, while displaying its full one loop leading order (HTL) realization. Of course, we do not expect that this simple pattern should extend beyond the leading HTL approximation. Clearly, the HTL peculiarity is at play (see remark (i) below). By construction, the therm alcontributions of (5.11) and (5.12) are valid for soft internalm on enta and are thus enhanced by a factor  $1=g^2$  with respect to the T = 0 ones. Som e remarks are in order.

(i)-The striking similarity between the renormalized T = 0 selfenergy and its  $T \in 0$ , HTL counterpart is worth emphasizing (compare equations (5.2) with (5.4) and (5.5)). It is at the origin of a possible global treatment, as we have just sketched. Unfortunately, we have been unable to nd any simple interpretation of this amazing fact, except that it is certainly in line with [21].

(ii)-Things can be viewed the other way round. Once it is veried that the couples of functions (a;b) and (A;B) are involved in phase space domains over which they develop the same singular structures, then, (5.7) and (5.8) obviously indicate that the issues of singularity compensations will be the same in either cases. For the topologies considered here, where only mass singularities show up, the above statement is nothing but an alternative form of a Niegawa's recent result [15].

#### 6. R enorm alization constants

In the past twelve years, e orts have been som etim es devoted to the possibility of de ning renorm alization constants in a therm alcontext [16]. W hen ferm ionic elds are involved, for example, such a notion could be shown to be almost unreliable [16], whereas m ore room was left in the case of Bose-E instein statistical elds [17]. How ever, even in the simpler scalar case, som e ambiguity was left regarding its de nition.

A coording to [17], the external leg renorm alisation constant should be de ned as

$$Z(p^2) = \text{Re 1} {}^0(0;p^2) {}^1$$
 (6.1)

where the P<sup>2</sup>-derivative indicated by the prime must be idential ed with a total derivative, kinematics rendering  $p^2$  a function of P<sup>2</sup>, process dependent, though weakly. At T = 0, partial and total derivatives coincide because of Lorentz invariance, and the de nition of Z reads instead

$$Z = (1 \text{ Re}^{0}(0))^{\perp}$$
(62)

Besides the familiar lack of Lorentz invariance inherent to non zero temperatures, and rejected in the pm om entum dependence, de nition (6.1) di ers signi cantly from (6.2) by the very place where the realpart prescription, Re, should be taken. Furtherm ore, it is worth remarking that, if pertinent, (6.1) should also apply at T = 0 for unstable particles [17]. In a few particular cases both de nitions agree. For massless elds within the dimensional regularization scheme (see (2.3) and (2.5)), for example, and also in the case of a real valued self energy function (P<sup>2</sup>;p<sup>2</sup>), because of the + i" p<sub>0</sub> advanced or retarded prescription which completes the dressed propagator determ ination. But the one loop self energy is not real valued in general.

Indeed, the identi cation (6.1) is obtained by a form al resummation of the terms involving  $(N ) (P^2)$ -distributions only, as they appear on the right hand side of (3.3). Now, our analysis has shown that these contributions can not be disentangled from those involving principal value distributions, folded with im aginary parts of self energy insertions. When the latter are non zero, that is, when the self energy is complex valued, it was recognized in [17] that an expansion in the number of self energy insertions was necessary in order to give a well de ned meaning to the calculations.

The analysis of the previous sections relies on such an expansion and is accordingly able to identify

$$Z(p^2) = 1 \text{ Re}^{-0}(0;p^2)^{-1}$$
 (6:3)

rather than (6.1), as the correct renorm alisation constant, therm alcounterpart (see the appendix).

#### Appendix

#### On section 3

As the arithmetical identity (3.24) is used extensively throughout the article, we not worthwhile to give its demonstration, the more as a proof by induction appears hopeless. Rather we can write

$$(324) = C_{N}^{2k+1} \begin{pmatrix} & Z_{1} \\ & (1)^{j}C_{N-2k-1}^{j} \end{pmatrix} dx x^{j+2k}$$
(A:1)

Now, interchanging the sum with the integral, one obtains the alluded identity as

$$C_{N}^{2k+1} dx x^{2k} (1 x)^{N-2k-1} = C_{N}^{2k+1} B (2k+1; N-2k) = \frac{1}{2k+1}$$
(A 2)

where B(x;y) is the Euler beta-function. A whole series of sim ilar arithmetical identities can be obtained that way.

Here are given the basic but rather cum bersom e expressions leading from (3.32) to (3.36). From (3.32), let us write explicitly

$$\operatorname{Im}_{R} = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} (N^{\circ}) \\ R \end{smallmatrix} \right)_{R} (P^{\circ}) = P \frac{\operatorname{Im}_{R} \stackrel{N^{\circ}}{\cong} (P^{\circ})}{(P^{\circ})^{N^{\circ}+1}} = \frac{(1)^{N^{\circ}}}{N^{\circ}!} "(P_{0}^{\circ}) (N^{\circ}) (P^{\circ}) Re_{R}^{N^{\circ}} (P^{\circ})$$
(A3)

Consider Im  $_{R}^{(N,N^{0})}(Q)$ , with  $N^{0}$  xed, and write the contribution of a generic term appearing in Im  $_{R}^{N^{0}}(P^{0})$ . One gets

$$\frac{d^{D} - \frac{1}{p}}{2p_{0} (P^{-2}; p^{2})} (1 + 2n^{B} (p_{0})) \sum_{k^{0} = 0}^{2k^{0} = N^{0}} \frac{k}{k} ((-1)^{N^{0}}) C_{N^{0}}^{2k^{0} + 1} (-1)^{k^{0}} (p_{0}^{0}) (-\frac{m^{2}}{p^{02 + 2^{n}}})^{2k^{0} + 1} (-\frac{m^{2}}{np^{0}})^{N^{0} - 2k^{0} - 1} C_{N^{0}}^{2k^{0} + 1} (-1)^{k^{0}} (p_{0}^{0}) (-\frac{m^{2}}{p^{02 + 2^{n}}})^{2k^{0} + 1} (-\frac{m^{2}}{np^{0}})^{N^{0} - 2k^{0} - 1} C_{N^{0}}^{2k^{0} + 1} (-\frac{m^{2}}{np^{2}})^{N^{0} - 2k^{0} + 1} ($$

In the light cone neighbourhood, the sum over  $P^2$  develops m ass singular behaviours, whereas the remainder of the integrand is a well behaved function. The singular part of (A 4) is accordingly given by

$$\frac{d^{D} - {}^{1}p}{2p} (1 + 2n^{B} (p))C_{N^{0}}^{2k^{0}+1} (1)^{k^{0}} (q p) (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2^{*}}})^{2k^{0}+1} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}})^{N^{0}-2k^{0}-1} 
(2p q)[q(2p q)]^{-1+(2k^{0}+1)^{*}} 1 \frac{q(2p q)}{4p^{2}} j^{*} dP^{2} (P^{2}) (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}})^{N} 
- \frac{P}{(P^{2})^{N+1}}C_{N}^{2k+1} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2}})^{N-2k-1} P^{2} (1 j\frac{P^{2}}{4p^{2}} j^{*})^{N-2k-1} 
(1)^{k} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2^{*}}})^{2k+1} ((P^{2})^{1+^{*}})^{2k+1} (P^{2}) (A5)$$

where we have used the relation  $p = p^0$ , particular to both the physical process under consideration with Q = (q; 0), and our notations, specified on Fig.1. Integrating now over  $P^2$ , the singular most term s of order " <sup>N</sup> cancel out thanks to (3.20), having a nite series of sub-heading mass singularities. The latter can be sum med over N, using (3.24), so as to yield eventually

$$\overset{X^{l}}{\overset{N}{=}} (A 2) = \overset{Z}{\overset{d^{D}}{=} \frac{1}{2p}} (1 + 2n^{B} (p))^{2k^{0} = N^{0}} \overset{X}{\overset{X}{=} ((1)^{N^{0}})} C_{N^{0}}^{2k^{0} + 1} (1)^{k^{0}} (q p) (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2^{*}}})^{2k^{0} + 1} (\frac{m^{2}}{p^{2+2^{*}}})^{2k^{0} + 1}$$

The integral over p factorizes the total solid angle of the model. Then, the integration over pj ranges from p = q=2 to p = 1 because of the (2p q) distribution, but the sign distribution "(q p) splits the integral into two parts. The second part, say, with an integration range from p = q to p = 1, will be discarded because, then, one is leaving the domain of softness of the P;P<sup>0</sup> lines, that is, the realm of relevance of the e ective perturbation theory. Even though, it is easy to check that this neglected integral is perfectly regular. The rst part, with an integration range from p = q=2 to p = q, exhibits light cone singular behaviours at the p = q=2 low er bound, through the factors (3.33). Now, by using (3.35), it is straightforward to show that the singular m ost contribution, of order " $N^{\circ}$  ( $q^2 = 4m^2$ ) F (q=2) coming from the term  $k^0 = 0$  in (A 6), cancels out against the contribution coming from the Re  $R^{\circ} (P^{\circ})$  term of (A 3) exactly like for the P line

$$\frac{(1)^{N^{0}}}{N^{0}!} "(p_{0}^{0}) \xrightarrow{(N^{0})} (p^{\alpha}) Re_{R}^{(N^{0})} (p^{0}) =) \qquad "(p_{0}^{0}) (p^{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\frac{m^{2}}{mp^{\alpha}}}$$
(A7)

In (A 6), one is left with the sum of  $fB^{2k^0+1}g$ -term s, at  $k^0 = 1$ , which entail the factor  $f(q(2p = q))^{-1+(2k^0+1)}g$ , singular at the low erboundary of the p-integration, whereas the remainder of the integrand, that is, basically,

the functions Z (";p)=" and F (p), is perfectly regular. Then it is easy to use the arithmetical identity (3.24) in order to show that the same pattern as for the P -line applies here again, with a nite series of sub-leading mass singularity outcom e. Sum m ing the latter over N<sup>0</sup>, equation (3.36) results in the lim it N<sup>0</sup> = 1.

#### On section 6

In section 3, equation (3.26), we have pointed out the occurence of a global factorizing expression Z (";p). Together with the overall factor 1=", the function Z contributes the global simple function  $p^2 = m^2$  (as seen from (3.29)), which is recovered also throughout the analysis perform ed insection 4. In this latter section though, the function Z is not itself im mediately apparent, thereby obscuring somehow its interpretation as a renorm alization constant. It is indeed an artefact of the approach followed in section 4. This is realized by getting back to the "-expansion (4.6), for which we have (N 2k 1 n)

$$b^{(n)}(1) = C_{N}^{2k+1} \frac{X^{2k-1}}{j=0} \frac{(1)^{j}j(j-1)::(j-n+1)}{j+2k+1} C_{N-2k-1}^{j}$$
(A :9)

Now, by an iterated use of of the arithm etical identity (3.24), and also of the identity [11]

<sup>N</sup> 
$$X^{2k}$$
<sup>1</sup>  
(1)<sup>j</sup> j<sup>i</sup> <sup>1</sup> C<sup>j</sup><sub>N 2k 1</sub> = 0; 1 i N 2k 1 (A:10)  
<sub>j=0</sub>

it is easy to show that any of the  $b^{(n)}$  (1) is a polynom isolof degree (n 1) in the variable (2k + 1). Explicitly

$$b^{(n)}(1) = \sum_{m=0}^{X^{-1}} (1)^{m} S_{n-1}^{m} (2k+1)^{m} ; n = 1$$
 (A:11)

where the coe cients fS  $_{n}^{m}$  g are integers, namely, Stirling numbers. This can be realized by quoting the relation, due to (A .10),

$$b^{(n)}(1) = [(n \ 1) + (2k + 1)] b^{(n \ 1)}(1); n \ 1$$
 (A :12)

and this yields

$$b^{(n)}(1) = (1)^n {\stackrel{^{n}Y^{-1}}{\underset{m=0}{\longrightarrow}} (m + [2k + 1])} \frac{1}{2k + 1}$$
 (A.13)

where the generating relation for the Stirling numbers of the rst kind is manifest [23].

The point is that at any given order "<sup>n</sup> in the expansion (4.6), the coe cient  $b^{(n)}(1)$  is independent of N. On view of (4.5), we conclude that the sum mation over N can be carried out, and that the ensuing expression fZ (";p)="g keeps entering the result, for all n and all k, as an overall multiplicative factor.

## 7. Conclusion

On the basis of a scalar model of eld theory, renorm alizable and asymptotically free, the light cone singular behaviour of the corresponding therm all ective perturbation theory has been investigated for some given process.

U sing the HTL form of the self energy near the light cone where mass singularities develop, one can conclude that, at any number of self energy insertions, a full compensation of singularities is obtained. This conclusion brings a correction to the erroneous statement which appeared in [8], and was due to an insu cient dimensional expansion of the self energy functions. In this respect, it may be recalled that after a rst series of calculations performed at rst and second non trivial orders of therm alperturbation theory [3,9,19], it has been observed (and then conjectured) that singularity cancellation took place within each topology separately [20]. We can remark that our analysis supports and enforces this conjecture.

O noe the detailed balance compensation of m ass singularities is achieved, the result for the process under consideration, can be obtained by sum m ing over fN ;N  $^{0}$ g the regular contributions which are left, as this sum m ation is m andatory in order to get the full leading order correction O (g<sup>2</sup>T<sup>2</sup>) to the B orm approxim ation.

Throughout the present analysis, how ever, the sum mation has been carried out a som ewhat di erent way. A lthough the full HTL self energy is recognized a perfectly regular function of P<sup>2</sup> in any light cone neighbourhood, we have stressed that it entails a potentially singularm ost part, dealt with in section 3, and which turns out to yield the basic result. Rem arkably enough, at the level of approximation where we have been working, this basic result enjoys two interesting properties. First, it is immediately related to the cases in which the HTL self energies are given by the (second kind) Legendre function Q<sub>0</sub> (ferm ionic elds) and is therefore relevant to the study of therm alQED and QCD, the infrared problem included [22]. Second, it is simply enough related to the case under consideration, where one has to cope with a HTL self energy on the order of the Legendre function Q<sub>1</sub> rather than Q<sub>0</sub>.

Furtherm one, proceeding that way, a striking analogy can be put forth between the T = 0 renorm alized self energy and its HTL counterpart. This is certainly a remarkable and intriguing aspect which, at least in our opinion, can only be read in line with the ideas developed in [21]. In particular, the issued mass singularity structures (poles and residues), turn out to be identical, and a global treatment of both zero and non zero tem perature corrections is made possible, resulting in a simple resolution of the otherw ise intricate singularity mixing problem. Likew ise, a new, unambiguous identication of a renorm alization constant in the therm al context is obtained, and turns out to be at variance with some previous attempts.

The function F (p), and a fortiori, its generalization  $\frac{1}{P}$  (p), is new and interesting in this context, as it could not be obtained in previous related works [12], [18], where the e ective perturbation theory was used right from the onset. Remarking the similarity of F with a rst order brehm sstrahlung function, one recovers a physical picture of the HTL's which is closely related to their interpretation in terms of forward Thom pson scattering am plitudes [21].

Eventually, we can stress that our guiding strategy has been to express the singular structure of an e ective quantum eld theory at temperature T, in terms of the same bare temperature quantum eld theory. Such a strategy may be thought of as arduous. On the other hand, though, the complexity of the e ective perturbation theory, the mixing of topologies it envolves, renders hazardous the control of such ne tuning mechanisms as singularity compensations, if not the very meaning of the ensuing nite results. The same approach is extended to the case of gauge eld theories for tim elike and lightlike external momenta [22].

A cknow ledgem ent

It is a pleasure to thank R. P isarski and, in particular, M. Le Bellac for a very careful reading of the manuscript and many fruitful comments.

This research is supported in part by the EEC Program m e "Hum an Capital and M obility", Network "Physics at High Energy Colliders", contract CHRX-CT93-0357 (DG 12 COMA)

### References

[1] N P. Landsman, "Quark Matter 90", NuclPhys. A 525 (1991) 397

[2] E. Braaten and R. Pisarski, Phys Rev Lett. 64 (1990) 1338; Nucl Phys B 337 (1990) 569.

J.Frenkel and J.C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 334 (1990) 199

[3] Ban /Cap Workshop on ThermalField Theory, F.C. Khanna, R.Kobes,

G.Kunstatter and H.Um ezawa Editors, W orld Scientic.

[4] R.Baier, S.Peigne and D.Schi, Z.Phys. C 62 (1994) 337.

[5] P. Aurenche, T. Becherrawy and E. Petitgirard, ENSLAPP A 452,93, HEP-PH 93, (unpublished)

E. Petitgirard, These presentee a l'universite de Savoie, le 25 Fevrier 1994

P.Aurenche and T.Becherrawy, NuclPhysB379(1992)259

[6] A.Niegawa, OCU-PHYS 153, to appear in M od PhysLettA (1995)

[7] F.Flechsig and A.K.Rebhan, NuclPhysB464 (1996) 279; hep-ph/9509313

[8] T.Grandou, PhysLett.B 367 (1996)229

[9] T.Grandou, M.Le Bellac and D.Poizat, PhysLett B 249 (1990) 478; Nucl Phys B 358 (1991) 408;

M.LeBellac and P.Reynaud, NuclPhysB380 (1992)423

[10] P.Aurenche, E. Petitgirard and T. del Rio Gaztelumutia, PhysLett B 297 (1992) 337

[11] I.S. Gradshteyn and IM. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series and products

[12] "Recent developm ents in nite tem perature quantum eld theories",

M. Le Bellac, Cambridge University Press, 1996

[13] A J.M acfarlane and G.W oo, NuclPhysB77 (1974)91; J.C. Collins, "Renorm alization",

C am bridge M onographs O n M athem atical Physics, C am bridge U niversity P ress, 1984

[14] N.P.Landsm an and Ch. Van W eert, PhysRep.145 (1987)142

[15] A. Niegawa, Phys. Rev Lett. 71 (1993) 3055

[16] JF.D onoghue and BR.Holstein, PhysRevD28 (1983)340; JF.D onoghue and BR.Holstein and RW.Robinett, Ann Phys. (N.Y.)164 (1985)233;

R.Kobes and G W. Sem eno, NuclPhysB272 (1986) 329; W.Keil, PhysRevD 40 (1989) 1176;

Y.Gabellini, T.Grandou and D.Poizat, Ann Phys. (N.Y.) 202 (1990) 436;

R D. Pisarski, Phys Rev Lett. 63 (1989) 1129; H A. Weldon, Physica A 158 (1989) 169

[17] M. Le Bellac and D. Poizat, Z. Phys. C 47 (1990) 125

[18] T.G randou and P.R eynaud, work in progress

[19] M. Le Bellac and P. Reynaud, Nucl. Phys. B 416 (1994)801

[20] T.Altherr and T.Becherrawy, NuclPhysB330(1990)174

## [21] G.Barton, Ann Phys. (N.Y.) 200 (1990) 271; J. Frenkel and J.C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B 374 (1992) 156

[22] T.Grandou, in preparation.

[23] H andbook of M athem atical Functions, N ational B ureau of Standards,

M A bram ow itz and I. Stegun Editors, 1966

[24] M in iworkshop on Therm al Field Theories, Viena, 16–18 M arch 1996.