M eson bound states in multi avourm assive Schwingerm odel

M .Sadzikow ski^Y
Institute of Nuclear Physics,
Radzikow skiego 152, PL-31342 K rakow, Poland
and
P.W egrzyn^z
Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University,
ul.Reymonta 4, PL-30059 K rakow, Poland

A bstract

The problem of meson bound states with N $_{\rm f}$ massive ferm ions in two dimensional quantum electrodynamics is discussed. We speculate about the spectrum of the lightest particles by means of the electrodynamics is discussed. We speculate about the spectrum of the lightest particles by means of the electrodynamics are investigated.

W ork supported by the KBN grants no.2P 30207607 and 2P 30204905.

^yFellow of the Polish Foundation (FNP) scholarship for the year 1996

^zE-m ailw egrzyn@ ztc386a.if.uj.edu.pl

1 Introduction

The literature on the Schwinger model [1] and its generalizations should refer to at least a hundred of interesting and important papers. This theoretical model is usually used to demonstrate some important phenomena known from more realistic models. It is easy to see and investigate here screening and con nement, U (1) problem, chiral symmetry breaking and topological vacua, form ation of bound states and nite temperature e ects. On the other hand, known non-perturbative techniques tested here, like lattice calculations or sum rules, reveal many pitfalls, what makes their use doubtful. Surprisingly, the two-dimensional Schwinger model shares many phenomenological features in common with four-dimensional QCD. While one can state that the problem of massless charged ferm ions in two dimensions has been elaborated in all details [2] [11], the situation when ferm ion m asses are nite [12]{ [21] still requires further investigations. In particular, it would be interesting to understand in the theory with the con nement the mechanism of formation of the lightest physical particles from the fundam ental ferm ions. This subject is discussed in this paper.

Our paper is organized as follows: in the Section 2, we introduce brie y the multi avour massive Schwinger model in its bosonized version. Classical equations of motion and ground states are described. In the Section 3, we discuss the lightest meson bound states in the case when fundamental ferm ions ('quarks') are heavy (or in other words the coupling constant is weak). To make our paper self-contained, in the rst part of this section we rem ind the most important points of the sem iclassical quantization procedure applied to the 'particle-like' solutions of the sine (G ordon theory. This know ledge is used in subsequent developm ents. In the Section 4, the analysis of the lightest meson states is extended to the case of light quarks (the strong coupling regim e). We discuss the model for SU (2) and SU (3) avour groups separately, both in unbroken and broken cases. In the Appendix, we advertise the method useful to derive approximately particle-like solutions to the classical eld equations. This method is explained on the simplest exam ple of the sine (G ordon theory, but its advantage is that it can be used to more involved problem s.

2 Lagrangian

W e will consider N $_{\rm f}$ fundam ental charged and massive D irac ferm ions in (1+1)-dim ensionalM inkowski.spacetime, with the following Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{1}{4}F \quad F \quad + \sum_{a=1}^{N f} a \text{ (i. 0. e. A. } m_a)^a;$$
 (1)

w here

$$F = Q A \qquad Q A \qquad (2)$$

e is the coupling constant (dimension of mass). We allow for masses of ferm ions mass to be dierent for each avour a. In the exactly solvable massless case ma = 0, the classical system possesses a global symmetry group U(N)_L U(N)_k = U(1)_V U(1)_k SU(N)_L SU(N)_k. At the quantum level, the axial symmetry U(1)_A is broken down by the anomaly. In the massive case, the avour symmetry SU(N)_L SU(N)_k is broken explicitly to U(1)^N f 1, leaving only (N f 1) conserved avour numbers. We are going to make use of the standard (A belian) bosonization rules,

$$N_{m_a}[a^a] = \frac{1}{p} = 0^a;$$
 (3)

$$N_{m_a}[a^{a_a}] = cm_a N_{m_a} [cos^{\frac{p}{4}}];$$
 (4)

where N $_{\rm m_a}$ denotes norm al-ordering with respect to the ferm ion mass m $_{\rm a}$, $^{\rm a}$ is the family of canonical pseudoscalar elds. The appearance of the constant c=e=2 (is the Euler constant) is due to the use of the speci c renorm alization scheme. Obviously, physical quantities do not depend on this choice. Then, one can derive the bosonized version of (1):

$$L = \frac{1}{2}F_{01}^{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{N_{f}} \frac{1}{2} (e^{a})^{2} + \underbrace{p}_{=} F_{01} e^{N_{f}}_{a=1}^{a} + \underbrace{p}_{=}^{A} A$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{N_{f}} cm_{a}^{2} N_{m_{a}} [cos^{p} - \frac{1}{4}] + const;$$
 (5)

The dierent vacua are labelled by the angle parametr and the relevant constant in (5) should be adjusted to ensure zero vacuum energy. After

integrating out the electric $eld F_{01}$, we arrive at the electric Lagrangian describing the system of interacting pseudoscalar elds de ned by

$$L_{eff} = \frac{\overset{N}{x}^{f}}{\underset{a=1}{2}} (0 \quad \overset{a}{)^{2}} \quad V_{eff}$$

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{e^2}{2} e^{\sum_{a=1}^{N_f} a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} m_a \left[\cos^p \frac{1}{4} \right] + const : \quad (6)$$

The topological charges of pseudoscalar elds are related to avour quantum numbers of fundam ental ferm ions through the relations:

$$Q^{a} = \frac{1}{P} = a^{a} : \qquad (7)$$

Classical Euler (Lagrange eld equations can be derived,

$$Q_{t}^{2} = Q_{x}^{2} + \frac{e^{2}}{4} Q_{x}^{Nf} + \frac{e^{2}}{4} Q_{x}^{Nf} + \frac{e^{2}}{4} Q_{x}^{N} + \frac{$$

Classical vacua are easy to determ ine from the requirements $@V_{eff} = @ ^a = 0, nam ely:$

$$a = \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \frac{A}{m_a^2} + p_n^a; \quad n^a \ge Z; \quad n^a = 0;$$
 (9)

where the constant A is subject to the equation

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N f} \arcsin \frac{A}{m_a^2} + + c \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^2 A = 0:$$
 (10)

All nite-energy (localized) solutions should approach asymptotically the vacuum:

$$a^{x!}!^{1} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \frac{A}{m_{a}^{2}} + p_{n^{a}};$$
 (11)

$$e^{a \times !} !^{1} 0;$$
 (12)

$$0 = x^{1} \cdot 1 = 0;$$
 (12)
 $0 \cdot 1 = 0$ (13)

There are no restrictions on our considerations if we set all n^a equal zero. Thus, we have $n_+^a = Q^a$, and Eq.(13) means that all nite-energy solutions are chargeless (charge screening).

For = 0 (no CP breaking) we nd

$$_{\text{vac}}^{a} = {}^{p} - {}_{n}^{a}; \quad {}^{n}{}^{a} \ 2 \ Z ; \qquad {}^{N}{}_{f} = 0;$$
 $_{a=1}^{a}$ (14)

and we x the constant in (6) to obtain the potential

$$V_{eff} = \sum_{a=1}^{X_f} cm_a^2 N_{m_a} [1 cos \frac{p}{4}] + \frac{e^2}{2} e^{\frac{N_f}{X_f}} A;$$
 (15)

For 6 0, the equation (10) has still a solution corresponding to a classical vacuum . However, the case of = is special, and the degeneracy of the vacuum structure appears.

3 Heavy quarks.

At rst, we consider the case when the Lagrangian (bare) m asses of fundamental ferm ions are much larger than the scale of electrom agnetic interactions e.

Let us divide the Lagrangian (6) into two parts,

$$L_{\text{eff}}^{0} = \sum_{a=1}^{X^{f}} \frac{1}{2} ((a^{a})^{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{X^{f}} cm_{a}^{2} N_{m_{a}} [cos^{p} \overline{4}^{a}];$$
 (16)

$$L_{eff}^{int} = \frac{e^2}{2} e^{\frac{X_f}{X}} + \frac{1}{4} A : \qquad (17)$$

The rst part de nes the system of N $_{\rm f}$ sine{G ordon elds. The weak interactions between them (17) are important when elds are close to their vacuum values.

Since the theory is supermenormalizable, in order to subtract allultraviolet divergencies it is enough to replace the unordered functions of elds in the Lagrangian by their normal-ordered counterparts. Moreover, we do not need to take much care of the renormalization of quadratic forms of elds

(kinetic term s and C oulom b interactions), because it e ects only in the addition of an in nite constant to the Lagrangian. Thus, everything we want to know about the ultraviolet renorm alization is contained in the prominent formula derived by C olem an [22]:

$$cos() = \frac{m}{-} N_m [cos()];$$
(18)

where is the ultraviolet cuto. The above formula allows us to compare two dierent renormalization scales,

$$N_{m} [\cos ()] = \frac{1}{m} N [\cos ()] :$$
 (19)

Thus, the e ect of nite renorm alization is a multiplication by the power of m ass ratios. For free ferm ions (16), the anom alous dimension 2 =4 is equal one, thus the operator (18) acts just like a free ferm ion m ass operator.

If we want to have the standard form of sine{G ordon Lagrangian, we need to renorm al-order $L_{\rm eff}^0$ (16),

$$L_{\text{eff}}^{0} = \sum_{a=1}^{N_{f}} N_{M_{a}} \frac{1}{2} ((a^{a})^{2} + \frac{M_{a}^{2}}{2} \cos(a^{a});$$
 (20)

where $= p - \frac{1}{4}$ and the renormalized mass is:

$$M_a = {}^2 cm_a = 2e m_a$$
: (21)

As the system of classical eld equations derived from $L_{\rm eff}^{0} + L_{\rm eff}^{\rm int}$ (20, 17) adm its localized (particle-like) solutions, the sem iclassical quantization can be used to nd, at least the lowest, bound states.

There exist two di erent types of particle-like solutions for the sine { G ordon equation. The rst one refers to solutions which are time { independent in their rest frames (static, solitonic), called usually solitons ($Q^a = +1$) and antisolitons ($Q^a = 1$),

$$a = Q^{a} - \frac{4}{a} \arctan e^{M^{a}(x x_{a})}$$
: (22)

The energy density for such a solution is localized around somepoint x_a . The classical masses are M $_{\rm cl}^a$ = 8M a = 2 . As the quantum (nite) corrections do not introduce any new mass scale, we can denote the quantum mass of

solitary solitons as M $_{\rm qu}^{\rm a}=8$ M $_{\rm qu}^{\rm a}=0$, and quantum e ects are reduced to the change of the coupling constant. The sem iclassical W KB quantization around solitons or antisolitons gives the following e ective coupling constant [23, 24],

$$^{\circ} = \frac{2}{1 - \frac{2}{8}} = ^{p} \frac{4}{4} = 8 : \tag{23}$$

For = $\frac{p}{4}$, the same result has been obtained by the calculation based on Feynm an integrals [25] and it is very close to the numerical value obtained with variational methods [26]. Presumably, for = $\frac{p}{4}$ the result of WKB approximation can be expected to be exact.

The second type of particle-like solutions for the sine {G ordon equation corresponds to periodic in time solutions, called breather modes:

$$a = \frac{4}{\pi} \arctan \frac{\sin ! t}{\cosh x}; \qquad (24)$$

where T=2=! is a period and $=\frac{p}{(M^a)^2}\cdot !^2$. These solutions are localized and 'topologically chargeless' $Q^a=0$. Their quantization via W KB m ethods [23, 24] is analogous to the quantization of the Bohr orbits of the hydrogen atom . The Bohr{Som m erfeld quantization condition is here:

$$S + ET = 2 n;$$
 (25)

where n is positive integer, S is the action per one period, and E is the energy. Again, it can be checked that all quantum e ects can be acknowledged in the change of coupling constant according to (23). The masses of quantum states produced on the basis of classical breather modes are:

$$M_{n}^{a} = \frac{16M^{a}}{2} \sin \frac{n^{2}}{16};$$
 (26)

where $n = 1; 2; 3; ... < 8 = ^{\circ}2$. For $= ^{\circ}\frac{1}{4}$ there are no such states.

A fler this brief description of the spectrum of the quantized sine (G ordon system, we can pass to the discussion of the quantum states for the Schwinger model (16,17). The main result of interactions (17) is to impose the zero total charge condition (13). The lightest bound states is composed of a pair of a soliton and an antisoliton. Its mass (up to e-m a corrections) is given by

$$M = M_{qu}^{a} + M_{qu}^{b} = \frac{2e}{m_{a} + m_{b}}$$
: (27)

Let us specify = 0 and M $^a = M$ $^b = M$, and Q $^a = Q^b = 1$. Then, the corresponding pair of wave packets (22) is an exact solution of equations of motion provided that $x_a = x_b$, i.e. the soliton and the antisoliton are localized at the same point. It can be immediately checked that the energy of C oulomb interactions (17) is zero, so that both particle-like waves do not interact together. The state is composed of a free soliton and a free antisoliton, living together around the same point $x_a = x_b$. But if we try to separate them for a small distance $x = x_a$ x_b , they start to interact and the energy increase due to C oulomb interactions (17) can be easily calculated,

$$E = \frac{e^2 M}{2} (x)^2 :$$
 (28)

We have observed that the asymptotic freedom and the con nement in the Schwingerm odel can be already seen at the classical level, provided that the bosonization has been performed. In this way, the bosonization provides us with some kind of the dual description of the model.

Them eson state described above were composed of a pair of wave packets (22) corresponding to two particle-like solutions of dierent avours. There exist also states where 'quark' and 'antiquark' wave packets are of the same avour. However, these solutions are of dierent type, being breatherm odes for the system dened by the equations (8). Their masses (close to 2M a) and their shapes can be found using the approximate method described in the Appendix.

4 Light quarks

In the strong coupling \lim it, where e m_a it is much more convenient to perform appropriate change of eld variables:

$$a = O_b^{a b} + \frac{a}{4 N_f} \frac{a}{1}$$
 (29)

using the orthogonal matrix O de ned below:

$$O_a^1 = \frac{1}{P_{N_f}}(1;1;:::;1)$$

$$O_a^2 = \frac{1}{N_f(N_f-1)}(1;1;:::1; N_f+1)$$

$$O_{a}^{3} = \frac{1}{(N_{f} - 1)(N_{f} - 2)} (1;1;:::1; N_{f} + 2;0)$$
:::
$$O_{a}^{N_{f}} = \frac{1}{2} (1; 1;0;:::;0)$$
(30)

Using new elds the Lagrangian density (6) takes the form:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (0^{-a})^2 \frac{1}{2} (1^{-a})^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} cm_a^2 N_{m_a} [cos^{-a}] \frac{p}{4} (0^{-a})^a \frac{1}{N_f} + const;$$
(31)

where 2 = N $_{\rm f} {\rm e}^2$ = . The topological charges are de ned now with respect to a elds, and they can be interpreted as the electrom agnetic charge

$$Q = \frac{1}{P^{\frac{1}{2}}} {}^{1} \qquad ; \tag{32}$$

and SU (N $_{\rm f}$) hypercharges for other $\,$ elds. For instance, in the case of two avours N $_{\rm f}$ = $\,2$

$$I^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \quad 2^{+1}$$
 (33)

is a third component of isospin. For N $_{\rm f}$ = 3 we have two conserved hypercharges,

$$Y = \frac{2}{9} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad i$$
 (34)

$$I^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{3} \quad {}^{+1} \quad : \tag{35}$$

Following Coleman [13], we can decouple the only heavy eld 1 . It is straightforward to perform necessary renormal-ordering,

$$N_{m_{a}} \cos \frac{4}{N_{f}} (^{1} \frac{p}{4 N_{f}}) = \frac{1}{m_{a}} N_{f} \cos \frac{4}{N_{f}} (^{1} \frac{p}{4 N_{f}})$$
(36)

and rem ove 1 from (31),

$$L_{\text{eff}}^{\text{light}} = \frac{1}{2} X^{X_{\text{f}}} (Q \quad a)^2$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{N_{f}} cm_{a}^{2} = \frac{1}{m_{a}} N_{m_{a}}^{2} + \sum_{m_{a}}^{1} N_{m_{a}}^{4} cos(\frac{1}{N_{f}} + \frac{p_{a}}{4} \sum_{m_{b}=2}^{N_{f}} O_{a}^{b})^{5} + const; \quad (37)$$

The sim plest case N $_{\rm f}$ = 2 was already elaborated by C oleman [13]. He noticed that the Lagrangian density (37) is equivalent (for N $_{\rm f}$ = 2) to the sine-G ordon theory,

$$L_{N_f=2}^{light} = \frac{1}{2} (0^{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2} M^2 N_M \cos (\frac{p}{2})^2;$$
 (38)

w here

$$M = e^{1=2} \frac{q}{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2m_1m_2\cos} = 2=3$$
 (39)

The quantum states of the sine {G ordon theory were described brie y in the previous section. Here we have $= \sqrt[12]{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ what implies that there are two breathers (24) with masses M and M is here the quantum mass of the soliton). Colem an identied three solutions: the soliton (Q = 0, $I_3 = +1$), the antisoliton (Q = 0, $I_3 = -1$) and the lighter breather (Q = 0, $I_3 = 0$) as the components of the isotriplet. These are the lightest physical states. Colem an noticed that they form the degenerate isotriplet even if the SU (2) avour sym m etry is apparently broken (i.e. m 1=m 2 is very large or very sm all). However, it is not true that the SU (2) symmetry is restored here exactly. The di erences in the masses of the isotriplet states arise as we take into account the corrections of the order m a = . Note that the origin of $I_3 = 0$ state (the analogue of 0) is different that the origin of $I_3 = 0$ (the analogues of). A nother interesting thing is that the dependence on the vacuum parameter is only via the sine {Gordon mass value. As far as the heavier breather is concerned (that of mass M 13), Coleman wrongly [19] identied that as the isosinglet state (the analogue of particle). In fact, the isosinglet state should be matched with the non-trivial conguration for 1, so that its mass is of the order . Then, the heavier breather mode should be rather interpreted as a bound state of pions (or 0 excitation).

Now, let us consider N $_{\rm f}$ = 3 case. We restrict ourselves to the case when the vacuum angle is zero (C;P symmetries are not broken). Our elective Lagrangian contains now two light elds,

$$L_{N_f=3}^{light} = \frac{1}{2} (0^{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (0^{3})^2 \quad V_{eff};$$

$$V_{eff} = c^{1=3} \quad m_1^{5=3} N_{m_1} \quad 1 \quad \cos(\frac{p}{2})^3 + \frac{r}{3} \frac{2}{3} \quad 2) + \frac{r}{3} \quad 3$$

It gives the following eld equations of motion (a = 2;3),

$$\theta_{t}^{2} = \theta_{x}^{2} + \frac{\theta V_{eff}}{\theta} = 0$$
: (41)

At rst, we discuss the case when all ferm ion masses are equal, namely $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m$, so that SU (3) avour symmetry remains unbroken. We list several exact classical solutions, which correspond to the lightest bound states. At the begining, note that if the eld 2 takes its vacuum value, say $^2 = 0$, the equations (41) reduce to the sine{G ordon equation for the eld 3 ,

$$\theta_{t}^{2} \qquad \theta_{x}^{2} + \frac{M^{2}}{P} \sin(\frac{p}{2}) = 0;$$
 (42)

w here

$$M = 2e^{1-3}m^{5-3}^{1-2}$$
: (43)

Therefore, a soliton, an antisoliton and the lighter one of breathers represent here three solutions with equal masses, and quantum numbers Y=0 and $I_3=+1$; 1;0 respectively ('pions'). Because of the exact SU (3) avour symmetry, there are more solutions of the same mass as pions. In order to obtain them, we need to put $2=\frac{1}{3}$ 3=0 and observe that $2=\frac{1}{3}$

³ satis es the equation (42) (the sign corresponds to two alternatives). Solitons an antisolitons constructed in these two cases describe four bound states with quantum numbers Y = 1 and $I_3 = 1=2$ ('kaons'). On the other hand, periodic solutions (breathers) for both alternative cases can be identified, so that the lighter breather gives us the eighth state of the pion mass ('8-particle'). In this way, we have completed the whole meson octet, being the family of the lightest physical particles. Let us remark that this symmetric case allows to construct further exact politions. Heavier breathers give next three particles (mass/octet mass= $\frac{1}{3}$, Y = 0; 1, I = 0). A nother example we obtain if we assume $\frac{3}{3} = 0$ and construct the soliton solution for the corresponding equation for $\frac{2}{3}$ (mass/octet mass= $\frac{3}{3}$) log (5+ $\frac{9}{24}$), $Y = \frac{2}{3}$ and I = 0).

We now turn to the discussion of the case when m $m_1 = m_2$ and m_3 m, i.e. the 'strange' quark is much heavier but still below the

scale of interactions. The states of the lowest isotriplet satisfy the equation (42) with the same assignment of the solutions as before. The lowest isodoublet states can be constructed in the similar way as in the case of equal masses. The only dierence is that the combinations of the elds $^2=\overline{3}$ and not take vacuum values, but they remain still small with respect to $^2=\overline{3}$ and Solving the static equations of motion (41) (using methods given in the Appendix) for these variables we found that the mass ratio between isotriplet states (pions) and isodublet states (kaons) is approximately $^2P = 4$ $\overline{3}$ where $r = (m_3 = m)^{5=3}$.

Finally, we discuss the case when m $m_1=m_2$ and m $_3$ m, i.e. one of the avours refers to heavy quarks. In this case we rotate both of the light elds a (a = 1;2) through the transform ation (29) into the new eld variables a , and heavy eld 3 remains here as the third eld variable. Using the new elds, our elective lagrangian (6) (with N $_f=3$ and =0) takes the form:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} ((0 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} ((0 - 2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} ((0 - 3)^2) - V_{eff}$$

$$V_{eff} = \frac{e^2}{2} + \frac{3! 2}{p - 2} - cm^2 N_m [cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2] - cm^2 N_m [cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2]$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 + 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

$$cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) = cm^2 N_m cos^p - 2 (1 - 2) + cm^2 - 2$$

To consider the lowest states, let us put the heavy eld in its vacuum state $^3=0$. Then, we derive from the equations of motion that eld 1 is in its vacuum state as $\frac{w}{4}$ end eld p^2 satis es the sine (G ordon equation with the mass $M=\frac{p}{4}$ end and p^2 satis es the sine (G ordon equation with the mass $M=\frac{p}{4}$ end and p^2 satis es the sine (G ordon equation with the mass $M=\frac{p}{4}$ end and p^2 satis es the sine (G ordon equation with the mass $M=\frac{p}{4}$ end and p^2 satis es the sine (G ordon equation with the mass $M=\frac{p}{4}$ end and p^2 end one of the breathers represent the three partners of the isotriplet (as it was described after Eq. (42)). The other light states with a nontrivial contribution from the heavy eld can also be constructed. These are isodublets p^2 end with nonzero avour number (7) p^2 end it is broken, the mass of the equation of motion. Since the avour symmetry is broken, the mass of the state diers from that of the isotriplet. We found the approximate value of the mass ratio isotriplet mass/isodoublet mass p^2 end p^2 end of the mass of the particle (the appropriate solution is based on the breather), and its value calculated up to the rst order of the method described in the Appendix, is consistent with the value predicted by G ell-M ann (O kubo mass form ula p^2 + 3m p^2 end p^2 with the accuracy of 10 per cent.

5 Sum mary

In this paper, we have described the lightest physical meson states formed from the fundam ental ferm ion elds in the Schwinger model. Of course, the picture is dependent of the hierarchy between the quark masses and the scale of interactions. For heavy quarks, we have noticed that both con nem ent and asymptotical freedom can be anticipated at the classical level. Physical quantities are analytical both in the coupling constant and in the inverses of quark masses, so that both parameters can be used to de ne perturbative expansions. To describe the physics of light quarks governed by the Schwinger model, we nd it convenient to use a di erent set of bosonic eld variables. If all light quark masses are equal (i.e. SU (N) avour symmetry occurs), then we have checked for SU(2) and SU(3) examples that the lightest physical states belong to the mesonic SU (N) multiplets, excluding always the singlet state. The mass of the singlet state lies near the scale of interactions (i.e. the Schwinger mass). It is important to note here that the members of the same multiplet correspond usually to several di erent types of classical solutions of the bosonized model. In the case of SU (2) sym metry, the breaking of avour sym metry can be noticed only as a 'hyper ne' splitting of the lightest multiplet ('hyper ne' means here being of the order of the inverse of the Schwinger mass). In the case of SU (3) sym m etry (and higher groups), the situation is di erent. W hen the avour sym m etry is broken, we notice im m ediately within the lightest multiplet the splitting of states corresponding to di erent isospins.

The Appendix

We describe here the general approximate method to derive particle-like solutions for the given system of non-linear eld equations. We assume that we are dealing with two types of particle-like solutions: static solutions (solitons) and periodic solutions (breathers). We present the method looking at the simple example of the exactly solvable sine (Gordon equation, but there no obstacles if put it into use in more complicated cases.

The sine {Gordon equation reads,

$$Q_{+}^{2}$$
 $Q_{x}^{2} + \frac{M^{2}}{} \sin () = 0 :$ (45)

First, we are attempting to nd a static solution localized around some point x_0 . We specify the asymptotic conditions (x = 1) = 0 and (x = +1) = 2 =. Far away from the localization point x_0 the eld is close to its vacuum value, and the equation (45) can be linearized. We

write down the linear di erential equations for $x << x_0$ and for $x >> x_0$ respectively. Having imposed proper asymptotic conditions, we glue both solutions together at the point x_0 . The nal result yields,

$$= \frac{-e^{M (x x_0)}}{2 - e^{M (x x_0)}} \quad \text{if } x \quad x_0$$

$$= \frac{2}{2} - e^{M (x x_0)} \quad \text{if } x \quad x_0$$
(46)

It gives the mass 2 M = 2 , being close to the exact result 8M = 2 . Using this procedure, one can calculate further corrections.

To nd periodic solutions (breather modes) we need to use some more tricky procedure. Any periodic solution can be expanded in the Fourier series,

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{X^{1}} (x) \sin (! nt);$$
 (47)

where !=2 =T. We restrict here to solutions antisymmetric in time, (t;x)=(t;x). It allows us also to write down the classical energy in the following way:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dx \, \theta_{t} \quad \dot{\theta}_{t} \quad \vdots$$
 (48)

The Bohr{Sommerfeld quantization condition (25) reads,

$$z_{+1}$$
 z_{T} dx $dt [0_t]^2 = 2 N :$ (49)

The quantum e ects will come to drive the coupling constant (23). To nd a classical solution being the starting point for the WKB quantization procedure [23], as a rst approximation we take only the rst term in the Fourier expansion (47). The lowest frequency! is derived from (49) (for N = 1),

$$\stackrel{Z}{!} dx \stackrel{2}{!} (x) = 2;$$
 (50)

where the Fourier coe cient $_1$ is calculated from some ordinary dierential equation, which is dependent of the parameter! The energy (mass) (48) corresponding to the lowest breather mode in this rst approximation is given by the following simple formula,

$$E = ! : (51)$$

This is nothing else but the fam ous de Broglie relation. Here, this approximate formula is as well as the harm onic approximation of the breather mode

solution is good enough. If we take the exact solution, we can verify that $E = ! = 2 \overline{3} = 1:102$, so that it is pretty accurate. But it is in portant to stress that the above method allows to calculate further corrections if one wishes.

R eferences

- [1] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962), 2425.
- [2] J.H. Lovenstein and J.A. Swieca, Ann. Phys. 68 (1971), 172.
- [3] A. Casher, J. Kogut and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974), 732.
- [4] J.Kogut and L.Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975), 3594.
- [5] J.A.Swieca, Fort. Phys. 25 (1977), 303.
- [6] V. Kurak, B. Schroer and J. A. Swieca, Nucl. Phys. B 134 (1978), 61.
- [7] H. J. Rothe, K. D. Rothe and J. A. Swieca, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979), 3020.
- [8] L.V.Belvedere, J.A.Swieca, K.D.Rothe and B.Schroer, Nucl. Phys. B153 (1979), 112.
- [9] N.S.M anton, Ann. Phys. 159 (1985), 220.
- [10] R. Link, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990), 2103.
- [11] C.Gattringer, E.Seiler, preprint MPIPh/93-56 (1993).
- [12] S.Coleman, R. Jackiw and L. Susskind, Ann. Phys. 93 (1975), 267.
- [13] S.Colem an, Ann. Phys. 101 (1976), 239.
- [14] D. Gepner, Nucl. Phys. B 252 (1985), 481.
- [15] D.Gonzales, A.N.Redlich, Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985), 621.
- [16] M. Grady, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987), 1961.
- [17] D. Sen, Phys. Lett. B 212 (1988), 191.
- [18] J. Ellis, Y. Frishman, A. Hanany, M. Karliner, Nucl. Phys. B 382 (1992), 189.

- [19] K. Harada, T. Sugihara, M. Taniguchi, M. Yahiro, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994), 4226.
- [20] J.E.Hetrick, Y.Hosotani and S.Iso, UMN-TH-1324/95 (1995).
- [21] D. J. Gross, I.R. Klebanov, A. V. Matytsin and A. V. Smilga, PUPT-1577 (1995).
- [22] S.Colem an, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975), 2088.
- [23] R.Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975), 3424.
- [24] R.Rajaram an, An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam (1982).
- [25] G.Ni, D.Xu, J. Yang, S. Chen, J. Phys. A 25 (1992), 679.
- [26] J.L. Torres-Hemandez, Nucl. Phys. B 116 (1976), 214.