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A bstract
The problem of $m$ eson bound states $w$ th $N_{f} m$ assive ferm ions in two dim ensionalquantum electrodynam ics is discussed. W e speculate about the spectrum of the lightest particles by $m$ eans of the e ective sem iclassical description. In particular, the system $s$ of fundam ental ferm ionsw ith $S U$ (2) and $S U$ (3) avour sym $m$ etries broken by $m$ assive term $s$ are investigated.

[^0]
## 1 Introduction

T he literature on the Schw inger model [in and its generalizations should refer to at least a hundred of interesting and im portant papers. This theoretical model is usually used to dem onstrate som e im portant phenom ena know $n$ from $m$ ore realistic $m$ odels. It is easy to see and investigate here screening and con nem ent, $U$ (1) problem, chiral symm etry breaking and topological vacua, form ation ofbound states and nite tem perature e ects. O n the other hand, known non-perturbative techniques tested here, like lattice calculations or sum rules, reveal many pitfalls, what $m$ akes their use doubtful. Surprisingly, the tw o-dim ensional Schw inger $m$ odel shares $m$ any phenom enological features in com m on with four-dim ensional QCD. W hile one can state that the problem ofm assless charged ferm ions in two dim ensions has been elaborated in all details $\overline{\operatorname{Rn}}]\{[\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$, the situation w hen ferm ion
 it would be interesting to understand in the theory w ith the con nem ent the $m$ echanism of form ation of the lightest physical particles from the fiundam ental ferm ions. $T$ his sub ject is discussed in this paper.

O ur paper is organized as follow s: in the Section 2 , we introduce brie y the $m$ ulti avour $m$ assive Schw inger $m$ odel in its bosonized version. C lassical equations of $m$ otion and ground states are described. In the Section 3, we discuss the lightest $m$ eson bound states in the case when findam ental ferm ions ('quarks') are heavy (or in other words the coupling constant is weak). To m ake our paper self-contained, in the rst part of this section we rem ind the $m$ ost im portant points of the sem iclassical quantization procedure applied to the 'particle-like' solutions of the sine\{G ordon theory. T his know ledge is used in subsequent developm ents. In the Section 4, the analysis of the lightest $m$ eson states is extended to the case of light quarks (the strong coupling regim e). W e discuss the m odelfor $S U$ (2) and $S U$ (3) avour groups separately, both in unbroken and broken cases. In the A ppendix, we advertise the $m$ ethod useful to derive approxim ately particle-like solutions to the classical eld equations. This m ethod is explained on the sim plest exam ple of the sine\{G ordon theory, but its advantage is that it can be used to $m$ ore involved problem s.

## 2 Lagrangian

$W$ e will consider $N_{f}$ fiundam ental charged and $m$ assive $D$ irac ferm ions in (1+1)-dim ensionalM inkow skispactim e, w ith the follow ing Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{4} F F+X_{a=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{f}}{ }^{a}\left(i \quad @ \quad \text { e } A \quad m_{a}\right)^{a} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=@ A \quad @ A \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$e$ is the coupling constant (dim ension of $m$ ass). W e allow for $m$ asses of ferm ions $m$ a to be di erent for each avour a. In the exactly solvable massless case $m_{a}=0$, the classical system possesses a global sym m etry group $U(\mathbb{N})_{L} \quad U\left(\mathbb{N} k=U(1)_{V} \quad U\left(1_{A} \quad S U(\mathbb{N} k \quad S U(\mathbb{N} k\right.\right.$. At the quantum level, the axial sym $m$ etry $U(1)_{A}$ is broken down by the anom aly. In the $m$ assive case, the avour sym m etry $S U(\mathbb{N})_{L} \quad S U(\mathbb{N} k$ is broken explicitly to $U(1)^{N_{f}} \quad 1$, leaving only $\left(\mathbb{N}_{f} \quad 1\right)$ conserved avour numbers. $W$ e are going to $m$ ake use of the standard (A belian) bosonization rules,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{m a}\left[{ }^{a}{ }^{a}\right]=P^{1} \quad @ \quad{ }^{a} ;  \tag{3}\\
& N_{m_{a}}\left[a^{a}\right]^{a}=\quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ma}}\left[\cos ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\right] ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ a denotes norm al-ordering w th respect to the ferm ion m ass $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$,
$a$ is the fam ily of canonical pseudoscalar elds. The appearance of the constant $c=e=2 \quad$ ( is the Euler constant) is due to the use of the speci c renorm alization schem e. Obviously, physical quantities do not depend on this choige. Then, one can derive the bosonized version of (1]-1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=\frac{1}{2} F_{01}^{2}+\sum_{a=1}^{X^{f}} \frac{1}{2}(@ \quad a)^{2}+P^{e}=F_{01} @_{a=1}^{0} \sum^{X^{f}} a+p_{\bar{m}^{2}}^{A} \\
& +\mathbb{X}_{a=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{f}} m_{a}^{2} N_{m_{a}}\left[\cos ^{p} \overline{4}{ }^{a}\right]+\text { const: } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The di erent vacua are labelled by the angle param etr and the relevant constant in ( ${ }_{-1}$ ) should be adjusted to ensure zero vacuum energy. A fter
integrating out the electric eld $\mathrm{F}_{01}$, we arrive at the e ective Lagrangian describing the system of interacting pseudoscalar elds de ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\text {eff }}={ }_{a=1}^{\mathbb{X} f} \frac{1}{2}(@ \quad a)^{2} \quad V_{\text {eff }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The topologicalcharges ofpseudoscalar elds a are related to avourquantum num bers of fundam ental ferm ions through the relations:

$$
Q^{a}=P^{1}=\begin{gather*}
a^{+1}  \tag{7}\\
\\
\\
\end{gather*}
$$

C lassical Euler\{Lagrange eld equations can be derived,

C lassical vacua are easy to determ ine from the requirem ents $@ V_{e f f}=@{ }^{a}=0$, nam ely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=p \frac{1}{4} \arcsin \frac{A}{m} a_{a}^{2}+p-n^{a} ; \quad n^{a} 2 Z ; \quad X_{a=1}^{X^{f}} n^{a}=0 ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the constant $A$ is sub ject to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}_{a=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{f}} \arcsin \frac{A}{m_{a}^{2}}+c\left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^{2} A=0: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

All nite-energy (localized) solutions should approach asym ptotically the vacuum :

$$
\begin{gather*}
a x!!^{1} \quad \frac{1}{4}=\arcsin \frac{A}{m_{a}^{2}}+P-n^{a} ;  \tag{11}\\
@ \quad a x!!^{1} 0 ;  \tag{12}\\
\times \quad n^{a}=0: \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

There are no restrictions on our considerations if we set all $n^{a}$ equal zero. Thus, we have $n_{+}^{a}=Q^{a}$, and Eq. (1] $\left.\overline{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ eans that all nite-energy solutions are chargeless (charge screening).

For $=0$ (no CP breaking) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\operatorname{vac}}{a}=P-n^{a} ; n^{a} 2 Z ;{ }_{a=1}^{X^{f}} n^{a}=0 ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we $x$ the constant in ( $\overline{6} \overline{\bar{\sigma}})$ to obtain the potential

For $\quad 0$, the equation $(\overline{1}(\overline{0})$ has still a solution corresponding to a classical vacuum . H ow ever, the case of $=$ is special, and the degeneracy of the vacuum structure appears.

## 3 H eavy quarks.

At rst, we consider the case when the Lagrangian (bare) $m$ asses of funda$m$ ental ferm ions are $m$ uch larger than the scale of electrom agnetic interactions e.

Let us divide the Lagrangian (G) into tw o parts,

The rst part de nes the system of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ sine\{Gordon elds. The weak interactions betw een them ([1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right)$ are im portant when elds are close to their vacuum values.

Since the theory is superrenorm alizable, in order to subtract all ultraviolet divergencies it is enough to replace the unordered functions of elds in the Lagrangian by their norm al-ordered counterparts. M oreover, we do not need to take much care of the renorm alization of quadratic form $s$ of elds
(kinetic term s and C oulom b interactions), because it e ects only in the addition of an in nite constant to the Lagrangian. Thus, everything we want to know about the ultraviolet renorm alization is contained in the prom inent form ula derived by $C$ olem an [2]2 $]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\quad)=\underline{m}^{2}=4 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}}[\cos (\quad)] ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the ultraviolet cuto. The above form ula allows us to com pare tw o di erent renorm alization scales,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}[\cos (\mathrm{l})]=\overline{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad[\cos (\mathrm{l})]: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the e ect of nite renorm alization is a multiplication by the pow er of $m$ ass ratios. For free ferm ions (1] $\overline{\underline{G}})$, the anom alous dim ension ${ }^{2}=4$ is equal one, thus the operator ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) acts just like a free ferm ion $m$ ass operator.

If we want to have the standard form of sine\{Gordon Lagrangian, we need to renorm al-order $L_{\text {eff }}^{0}$ (1] $\left.\overline{1} \bar{G}_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{e f f}^{0}={ }_{a=1}^{X^{f}} N_{M_{a}}^{"} \frac{1}{2}(@ \quad a)^{2}+\frac{M_{a}^{2}}{2} \cos (\quad a) \quad ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $=\mathrm{P} \overline{4}$ and the renorm alized m ass is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{a}}=2 e \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{a}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s the system of classical eld equations derived from $L \underset{\text { eff }}{0}+L_{\text {eff }}^{\operatorname{int}}[\overline{2} \bar{d} \underline{0}$, (171) adm its localized (particle-like) solutions, the sem iclassical quantization can be used to nd, at least the low est, bound states.
$T$ here exist two di erent types of particle-like solutions for the sine\{ G ordon equation. The rst one refers to solutionswhidh are tim e\{ independent in their rest fram es (static, solitonic), called usually solitons ( $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{a}}=+1$ ) and antisolitons ( $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{a}}=1$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=Q^{a} \frac{4}{\arctan } e^{M^{a}\left(x x_{a}\right)}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy density for such a solution is localized around som epoint $x_{a}$. The classical $m$ asses are $M \underset{c l}{a}=8 M^{a}=2$. As the quantum (nite) corrections do not introduce any new $m$ ass scale, we can denote the quantum $m$ ass of
solitary solitons as $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{qu}}^{\mathrm{a}}=8 \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{a}}=\varrho$, and quantum e ects are reduced to the change of the coupling constant. The sem iclassicalW K B quantization around solitons or antisolitons gives the follow ing e ective coupling constant 즈는,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Perp=\frac{2}{1 \frac{2}{8}}={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{!^{4}} 8 \quad: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{4}$, the sam e result has been obtained by the calculation based on Feynm an integrals [2] $[$ and it is very close to the num erical value obtained w th variationalm ethods [2] $\overline{\mathrm{G}}]$. P resum ably, for $=\overline{4}$ the result of K K approxim ation can be expected to be exact.

The second type of particle-like solutions for the sine\{G ordon equation corresponds to periodic in tim e solutions, called breather m odes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{4}{-\arctan }-\frac{\sin !t}{\cosh x} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=2=!$ is a period and $=\frac{p}{\left(M^{\text {a }}\right)^{2}!^{2}}$. These solutions are localized and 'topologically chargeless' $Q^{a}=0$. Theirquantization via W K B $m$ ethods $\left[\underline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime},{ }_{1}^{\prime} \bar{L}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ is analagous to the quantization of the B ohr orbits of the hydrogen atom . T he B ohr\{Som m erfeld quantization condition is here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+E T=2 n ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n$ is positive integer, $S$ is the action per one period, and $E$ is the energy. A gain, 辻 can be checked that all quantum e ects can be acknow ledged in the change of coupling constant according to $\left(\overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$. T he $m$ asses of quantum states produced on the basis of classical breather $m$ odes are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{a}=\frac{16 M^{a}}{\varrho} \sin \frac{n^{\varrho}}{16} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::<8=\mathbb{Q}$. For $=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\text { there are no such states. }}$
A fter this briefdescription of the spectrum of the quantized sine\{G ordon system, we can pass to the discussion of the quantum states for the Schw inger m odel $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. The $m$ ain result of interactions $\left[\underline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is to im pose the zero total charge condition (1] $\overline{1})$. T he lightest bound states is com posed of a pair of a soliton and an antisoliton. Its $m$ ass (up to $e=m$ a corrections) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M \underset{q u}{a}+M \underset{q u}{b}=\frac{2 e}{}\left(m_{a}+m_{b}\right): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us specify $=0$ and $M^{a}=M^{b}=M$, and $Q^{a}=Q^{b}=1$. Then, the corresponding pair of $w$ ave packets (22) is an exact solution of equations of $m$ otion provided that $x_{a}=x_{b}$, i.e. the soliton and the antisoliton are localized at the sam e point. It can be im $m$ ediately checked that the energy of C oulomb interactions $\left[\overline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is zero, so that both particle-like waves do not interact together. T he state is com posed of a free soliton and a free antisoliton, living together around the sam e point $x_{a}=x_{b}$. But if we try to separate them for $a \operatorname{sm}$ all distance $x=x_{a} \quad x_{0}$, they start to interact and the energy increase due to Coulomb interactions $\left(\overline{1}_{\overline{1}} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ can be easily calculated,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{e^{2} M}{2}(x)^{2}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have observed that the asym ptotic freedom and the con nem ent in the Schw ingerm odel can be already seen at the classical level, provided that the bosonization has been perform ed. In this way, the bosonization provides us $w$ ith som e kind of the dual description of the $m$ odel.
$T$ hem eson state described above w ere com posed of a pair ofw ave packets $(\overline{2} \overline{2})$ corresponding to two particle-like solutions of di erent avours. There exist also states w here 'quark' and 'antiquark' w ave packets are of the sam e avour. H ow ever, these solutions are ofdi erent type, being breatherm odes for the system de ned by the equations (인). T heir masses (close to $2 \mathrm{M}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and their shapes can be found using the approxim ate $m$ ethod described in the A ppendix.

## 4 Light quarks

 perform appropriate change of eld variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=O_{b}^{a}+p \overline{4 N_{f}}{ }_{1}^{a} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the orthogonalm atrix O de ned below :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}^{1} & =\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}}}(1 ; 1 ;::: ; 1) \\
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}} 1\right)}\left(1 ; 1 ;::: 1 ; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{a}^{3} & =\frac{1}{\left(\mathbb{N}_{f} 1\right)\left(\mathbb{N}_{f} 2\right)}\left(1 ; 1 ;::: 1 ; N_{f}+2 ; 0\right) \\
::: & \\
O_{a}^{N_{f}} & =P_{\overline{2}}^{1}(1 ; 1 ; 0 ;::: ; 0) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing new elds the Lagrangian density ( $\overline{\text { G/ }}$ (1) $)$ takes the form :
$L_{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}_{a=1}^{X^{f}}\left(@ \quad{ }^{a}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}\left({ }^{1}\right)^{2}+{ }_{a=1}^{X^{f}} a_{a}^{2} N_{m a}\left[\cos ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{4}\left(O^{T} \quad\right)^{a} \quad \frac{N_{f}}{}\right]+$ const;
where ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{e}^{2}=$. The topological charges are de ned now w th respect to a elds, and they can be interpreted as the electrom agnetic charge

$$
Q=p \frac{1}{2}{ }^{1} \begin{array}{r}
+1 \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

and $S U\left(\mathbb{N}_{f}\right)$ hypercharges for other elds. For instance, in the case of two avours $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{3}=\frac{1}{P_{2}} 2_{1}^{+1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a third com ponent of isospin. For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=3$ we have tw o conserved hypercharges,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y=P_{\frac{2}{3}}^{p} p_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}{ }^{+1} \quad ;  \tag{34}\\
& I^{3}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{3} \begin{array}{r}
+1
\end{array} \quad: \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Follow ing Colem an [1] $\overline{3}]$, we can decouple the only heavy eld ${ }^{1}$. It is straightforw ard to perform necessary renorm al-ordering,

and rem ove ${ }^{1}$ from [311),

$$
L_{e f f}^{\operatorname{light}}=\frac{1}{2}_{a=2}^{X^{\mathrm{f}}}(@ \quad \mathrm{a})^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+{ }_{a=1}^{X^{f}} a_{a}^{2} \frac{-}{m_{a}}{ }^{\frac{1}{N_{f}}} N_{m_{a}}^{4} \cos \left(\frac{1}{N_{f}}+{ }^{2} \overline{4}_{b=2}^{X^{f}} O_{a}^{b}\right)^{b}\right)^{5}+\text { const; } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest case $N_{f}=2 \mathrm{w}$ as already elaborated by Colem an [1]i]. He notioed that the Lagrangian density $\left[\overline{3} \overline{7}_{1}\right.$ ) is equivalent (for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ ) to the sine-G ordon theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N_{f}=2}^{\text {light }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(@{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} M^{2} N_{M} \quad h \quad \operatorname{pos}\left(\overline{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{i} \text {; } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=e^{1=2} \bar{m}_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}+2 m_{1} m_{2} \cos \quad 2=3 \quad: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he quantum states of the sine\{G ordon theory were desribed brie $y$ in the previous section. H ere we have $=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}$, what im plies that there are two breathers $(\underline{2} \overline{4})$ ) $w$ ith $m$ asses $M$ and $M{ }^{P} \overline{3} M$ is here the quantum $m$ ass of the solition). Colem an identi ed three solutions: the soliton $(Q=0$, $\left.I_{3}=+1\right)$, the antisoliton $\left(Q=0, I_{3}=1\right)$ and the lighter breather $(Q=0$, $I_{3}=0$ ) as the com ponents of the isotriplet. T hese are the lightest physical states. C olem an notioed that they form the degenerate isotriplet even if the $S U$ (2) avour sym $m$ etry is apparently broken (i.e. $m_{1}=m_{2}$ is very large or very $s m$ all). H ow ever, it is not true that the $S U$ (2) sym $m$ etry is restored here exactly. The di erences in the $m$ asses of the isotriplet states arise as we take into account the corrections of the order $m_{a}=. N$ ote that the origin of $I_{3}=0$ state (the analogue of ${ }^{0}$ ) is di erent that the origin of $I_{3}=1$ states (the analogues of ). A nother interesting thing is that the dependence on the vacuum param eter is only via the sine\{G ordop $\frac{m}{-}$ ass value. As far as the heavier breather is concemed (that of $m$ ass $M \quad \overline{3}$ ), C olem an w rongly [19] ${ }^{-1}$ ] identi ed that as the isosinglet state (the analogue of particle). In fact, the isosinglet state should be $m$ atched w the the non-trivial con guration for 1, so that its m ass is of the order. Then, the heavier breather m ode should be rather interpreted as a bound state of pions (or ${ }^{0}$ excitation).

N ow, let us consider $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=3$ case. W e restrict ourselves to the case w hen the vacuum angle is zero ( C ; P sym $m$ etries are not broken). O ure ective Lagrangian contains now two light elds,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.L_{N_{f}=3}^{\text {light }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(@ 2^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(@)^{3}\right)^{2} \quad V_{\text {eff }} ; \\
V_{e f f}=c^{1=3} m_{1}^{5=3} N_{m_{1}} 1 \quad \cos \left(\overline{2} \overline{3}^{3}+\frac{r}{\frac{2}{3}}{ }^{2}\right)+
\end{gathered}
$$

It gives the follow ing eld equations of $m$ otion $(a=2 ; 3)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}^{2} \quad a \quad @_{x}^{2} a+\frac{@ V_{e f f}}{@ a^{a}}=0: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

At rst, we discuss the case when all ferm ion $m$ asses are equal, nam ely $m_{1}=m_{2}=m_{3}=m$, so that $S U$ (3) avour sym $m$ etry rem ains unbroken. W e list several exact classical solutions, which correspond to the lightest bound states. At the begining, note that if the eld ${ }^{2}$ takes its vacuum value, say ${ }^{2}=0$, the equations ( $4 \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) reduce to the sine $\{G$ ordon equation for the eld ${ }^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}^{2} \quad \varrho_{x}^{2}+\frac{M^{2}}{\overline{2}} \sin \left({ }^{P} \overline{2}\right)=0 ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=2 e^{1=3} m^{5=3^{1=2}}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a soliton, an antisoliton and the lighter one ofbreathers represent here three solutions w ith equalm asses, and quantum numbers $Y=0$ and $I_{3}=+1 ; 1 ; 0$ respectively ('pions'). Because of the exact SU (3) avour sym $m$ etry, there are $m$ ore solutions of the sam e m ass as pions. In order to obtain them, we need to put ${ }^{2}=\overline{3} \quad 3=0$ and observe that $\quad{ }_{2}=\overline{3}$
${ }^{3}$ satis es the equation ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$ (the sign corresponds to tw o altematives). Solitons an antisolitons constructed in these tw o cases describe four bound states w ith quantum numbers $Y=1$ and $\bar{b}=1=2$ ('kaons'). On the other hand, periodic solutions (breathers) for both altemative cases can be identi ed, so that the lighter breather gives us the eighth state of the pion mass (' 8 -particle'). In this way, we have com pleted the whole m eson octet, being the fam ily of the lightest physical particles. Let us rem ark that this sym $m$ etric case allows to construct further exact $s$ solutions. H eavier breathers give next three particles ( m ass/octet m ass $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}, \mathrm{Y}=0$; $1, \mathrm{I}=$ 0 ). A nother exam ple we obtain if we assume ${ }^{3}=0$ and construct the soliton solution for the corresponding equation for ${ }^{2}$ ( m ass/octet m ass $=$ $3=2+{ }^{5} 3 \log \left(5+P \frac{1}{24}\right), Y=2$ and $\left.I=0\right)$.

We now tum to the discussion of the case when $m \quad m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{3} \mathrm{~m}$, i.e. the 'strange' quark is much heavier but still below the
scale of interactions. T he states of the low est isotriplet satisfy the equation (4)른) w ith the sam e assignm ent of the solutions as before. T he low est isodoublet states can be constructed in the sim ilar way as in the case of equal masses. The only di erence is that the combinations of the elds ${ }_{2}=\overline{3} \quad 3$ do not take vacuum values, but they rem ain still sm all w ith respect to $\quad{ }^{2}=\overline{3} \quad 3$. Solving the static equations of $m$ otion (41') (using $m$ ethods given in the A ppendix) for these variables we found that the $m$ ass ratio betw een isptriplet states (pions) and isodublet states (kaons) is approxim ately ${ }^{2} \overline{\mathrm{P}}=4 \quad \overline{3} \mathrm{w}$ here $\mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{3}=\mathrm{m}\right)^{5=3}$.
$F$ inally, we discuss the case when $m \quad m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $m_{3} \quad m$, i.e. one of the avours refers to heavy quarks. In this case we rotate both of the light elds a $(a=1 ; 2)$ through the transform ation $\left(\overline{2}_{2} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$ into the new eld variables ${ }^{a}$, and heavy eld ${ }^{3}$ rem ainshere as the third eld variable. $U$ sing the new elds, our e ective lagrangian ( $\overline{5}$ ) ( w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=3$ and $=0$ ) takes the form :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(@^{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(@^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(@^{3}\right)^{2} \quad V_{\text {eff }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \quad \mathrm{m}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{m}_{3}} \cos ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{4}{ }^{3}+\text { const: } \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

To consider the low est states, let us put the heavy eld in its vacuum state
${ }^{3}=0$. Then, we derive from the equations ofm otion that eld ${ }^{1}$ is in its vacuum state as well, and eld $p \frac{2}{2}$ satis es the sine $\{G$ ordon equation $w$ ith to this equation, a soliton, an antisoliton and one of the breathers represent the three partners of the isotriplet (as it was described after Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{2} \bar{i}^{\prime}\right)$ ) . T he other light states w ith a nontrivial contribution from the heavy eld can also be constructed. These are isodublets $I^{3}=1=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith nonzero avour num ber (7) $Q^{3}=1$, built on the static solutions of the equation ofm otion. Since the avour sym $m$ etry is broken, them ass of th is state di ers from that of the isotriplet. W e found the approxim ate value of the $m$ ass ratio isotriplet m ass/isodoublet m ass $={ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{3}=(12 \mathrm{~m})$. W e also calculated the m ass of the -particle (the appropriate solution is based on the breather), and its value calculated up to the rst order of the $m$ ethod described in the A ppendix, is consistent $w$ th the value predicted by GellM ann \{O kubo m ass form ula $m^{2}+3 m^{2}=4 m_{K}^{2}$ w th the accuracy of 10 per cent.

In this paper, we have described the lightest physicalm eson states form ed from the fundam ental ferm ion elds in the Schw inger model. Of course, the picture is dependent of the hierarchy betw een the quark $m$ asses and the scale of interactions. For heavy quarks, we have noticed that both con nem ent and asym ptotical freedom can be anticipated at the classical level. Physical quantities are analytical both in the coupling constant and in the inverses ofquark $m$ asses, so that both param eters can be used to de ne perturbative expansions. To describe the physics of light quarks govemed by the Schw inger m odel, we nd it convenient to use a di erent set of bosonic eld variables. If all light quark $m$ asses are equal (ie. SU (N) avour sym m etry occurs), then we have checked for SU (2) and SU (3) exam ples that the lightest physical states belong to the $m$ esonic $S U(\mathbb{N})$ m ultiplets, exchuding alw ays the singlet state. The $m$ ass of the singlet state lies near the scale of interactions (i.e. the Schw inger $m$ ass). It is im portant to note here that the $m$ em bers of the sam emultiplet correspond usually to several di erent types of classical solutions of the bosonized $m$ odel. In the case of SU (2) sym $m$ etry, the breaking of avour sym $m$ etry can be noticed only as a 'hyper ne' splitting of the lightest $m$ ultiplet ('hyper ne' $m$ eans here being of the order of the inverse of the Schw inger $m$ ass). In the case of SU (3) sym $m$ etry (and higher groups), the situation is di erent. W hen the avour sym $m$ etry is broken, we notice im $m$ ediately with in the lightest $m u l t i p l e t ~ t h e ~$ splitting of states corresponding to di erent isospins.

## The A ppendix

W e describe here the general approxim ate $m$ ethod to derive particlelike solutions for the given system of non-linear eld equations. W e assume that we are dealing w ith two types of particle-like solutions: static solutions (solitons) and periodic solutions (breathers). W e present them ethod looking at the sim ple exam ple of the exactly solvable sine\{G ordon equation, but there no obstacles if put it into use in $m$ ore com plicated cases.

The sine $\{\mathrm{G}$ ordon equation reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \quad \varrho_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{} \sin (\quad)=0: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we are attem pting to nd a static solution localized around some point $x_{0}$. We specify the asym ptotic conditions $(x=1)=0$ and $(\mathrm{x}=+1)=2=$. Far away from the localization point $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ the eld is close to its vacuum value, and the equation ( $\overline{4} 5 \mathbf{5}$ ) can be linearized. We
w rite dow the linear di erential equations for $\mathrm{x} \ll \mathrm{x}_{0}$ and for x$\rangle>\mathrm{x}_{0}$ respectively. H aving im posed proper asym ptotic conditions, we glue both solutions together at the point $x_{0}$. The nal result yields,

$$
=\begin{array}{llll}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
M & x_{0}
\end{array}\right. & \text { if } x & x_{0}  \tag{46}\\
\underline{2} & -e^{M}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & x_{0}
\end{array}\right) & \text { if } x & x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

It gives the $m$ ass ${ }^{2} M={ }^{2}$, being close to the exact result $8 \mathrm{M}={ }^{2}$. U sing this procedure, one can calculate further corrections.

To nd periodic solutions (breather $m$ odes) we need to use som e $m$ ore tricky procedure. A ny periodic solution can be expanded in the Fourier series,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x_{n=1}^{x^{3}} n(x) \sin (!n t) ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! = $2=T$. We restrict here to solutions antisym metric in tim e, $(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{x})$. It allow s us also to write down the classical energy in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}^{z+1} d x{ }^{h} a_{t=0}^{i_{2}}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bohr\{Som m erfeld quantization condition ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} \mathrm{dx}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{T}}} \mathrm{dt}\left[\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{t}}\right]^{2}=2 \mathrm{~N}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum e ects will come to drive the coupling constant (2̄3]). To nd a classical solution being the starting point for the W KB quantization procedure $[\overline{2} \overline{3}=1$, as a rst approxim ation we take only the rst term in the
 $\mathrm{N}=1$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{2} d x_{1}^{2}(x)=2 ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Fourier coe cient 1 is calculated from som e ordinary di erential equation, which is dependent of the param eter!. The energy ( m ass) ( $\overline{4} \overline{\overline{1}}$ ) corresponding to the low est breather $m$ ode in this rst approxim ation is given by the follow ing sim ple form ula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=!: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is nothing else but the fam ous de Broglie relation. H ere, this approxi$m$ ate form ula is as well as the harm onic approxim ation of the breatherm ode
solution is good enough. If we take the exact solution, we can verify that $E=!=2 \overline{3}=1: 102$, so that it is pretty accurate. But it is im portant to stress that the above $m$ ethod allow s to calculate further corrections if one w ishes.
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