

Production of scalar  $K\bar{K}$  molecules in  $\phi$  radiative decays \*

N.N. Achasov, V.V. Gubin and V.I. Shevchenko †

*Laboratory of Theoretical Physics**S.L. Sobolev Institute for Mathematics**Novosibirsk 90, 630090, Russia ‡*

(May 12, 2019)

## Abstract

The potentialities of the production of the scalar  $K\bar{K}$  molecules in the  $\phi$  radiative decays are considered beyond the narrow resonance width approximation. It is shown that  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi (\pi \eta)) \approx (1 \div 2) \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-) \lesssim 10^{-6}$  and  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 \bar{K}^0) \lesssim 10^{-8}$ . The mass spectra in the  $\pi\pi$ ,  $\pi\eta$ ,  $K^+K^-$ ,  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  channels are calculated. The imaginary part of the amplitude  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  is calculated analytically. It is obtained the phase of the scalar resonance production amplitude that causes the interference patterns in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^-$  in the  $\phi$  meson mass region.

12.39.-x, 13.40.Hq.

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*This work was supported partly by RFBR, Grant 94-02-05 188, and INTAS, Grant 94-3986

†Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow B-259, 117259, Russia

‡E-mail: achasov@math.nsk.su

## I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of the scalar  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  mesons has come into a central problem of hadron spectroscopy up to charm. The point is that these states possess peculiar properties in terms of the naive  $(q\bar{q})$  model, see e.g. the reviews [1,2]. Whereas, all their challenging properties can be understood [1–3] in the framework of the four-quark  $(q^2\bar{q}^2)$  MIT-bag model [4]. Along with the  $q^2\bar{q}^2$  nature of the  $a_0(980)$  and  $f_0(980)$  mesons the possibility of them being the  $K\bar{K}$  molecules is discussed [5]. Furthermore, probably, the  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  mesons are eye-witnesses of confinement [6].

During years of time there was established by efforts of theorists [7,8] (see also references quoted in [8]) that the research of the decays  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$  and  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0 \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi$  could play a crucial role in the elucidation of the nature of the scalar  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  mesons.

At present, the investigation of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi$  decay by detector CMD-2 is being carried out at an upgraded  $e^+e^-$ -collider VEPP-2M in Novosibirsk. Another detector, SND, aiming to study the decays under consideration has put into the operation at the same facility. Moreover, in the immediate future in Frascati there is expected the start of the operation of the  $\phi$  factory DAΦNE which, probably, makes possible studying the scalar  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  mesons in the exhaustive way.

It seems clearly that the definition of theoretical predictions prior to data securing is a natural prerequisite to clear up a mystery of the scalar mesons.

In all theoretical papers (see [8] and references quoted there) except for [7], where the  $q^2\bar{q}^2$  nature of the scalar mesons was considered, the approximation of narrow widths of the  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  mesons was used for their visible widths are  $25 - 50 \text{ MeV}$ . Moreover, experimenters used this approximation to give upper limits to branching ratios of the decays  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0$  and  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0$  [9].

But recently [10] there was shown that narrow resonance approximation in this instance is not valid and predictions of decay branching ratios gained in narrow resonance approximation are at least two times overstated.

In this connection, we study the scope for production of scalar molecules in the  $\phi$  radiative decays beyond the narrow resonance width approximation.

In Section 2 we introduce the formulae needed for our investigation and discuss corrections for finite widths to propagators of scalar mesons.

Section 3 is devoted to the model of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0(f_0)$  transition amplitude. In Section 3.A we state (more precise, make clear) the model of the production of the scalar  $K\bar{K}$  molecules in the  $\phi$  radiative decays. In Section 3.B we calculate in the analytical form the imaginary part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0(f_0)$  transition amplitude that gives near 90% of the branching ratios in the  $\pi\pi$  and  $\eta\pi$  channels. In Section 3.C the real part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0(f_0)$  transition amplitude, dominating in the  $K\bar{K}$  channels, is derived partly in the analytic form partly in the integral one suitable for the simulation of the experimental data.

In Section 4 we give the numerical results of our analysis. We found that in the case of the molecule nature of the  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  resonances  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0) \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi(\pi\eta)) \approx (1 \div 2) \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-) \lesssim 10^{-6}$  and  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0\bar{K}^0) \lesssim 10^{-8}$ . The mass spectra for the  $\pi\pi$ ,  $\pi\eta$ ,  $K^+K^-$ ,  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  are presented. The phase of the scalar resonance production amplitude, causing the interference patterns in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$  in the  $\phi$  meson mass region, is calculated.

Section 5 reviews our results and discusses experimental perspectives.

## II. FUNDAMENTAL PHENOMENOLOGY OF $\phi$ RADIATIVE DECAYS INTO SCALAR MESONS

Let us introduce the amplitudes

$$M(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m) = g_R(m)(\vec{e}(\phi) \cdot \vec{e}(\gamma)), \quad R = a_0, f_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{e}(\phi)$  and  $\vec{e}(\gamma)$  are polarization three-vectors of the  $\phi$ -meson and the  $\gamma$ -quantum in the  $\phi$ -meson rest frame,  $m$  is an invariant mass of two pseudoscalar mesons  $a$  and  $b$  produced in the  $R \rightarrow ab$  decay.

In  $e^+e^-$  collisions the  $\phi$ -meson is produced transversely polarized relative to the beam axis in the center mass system. That is why the amplitudes from Eq. (1) lead to the angular distribution

$$W(\theta) = \frac{3}{8}(1 + \cos^2 \theta) \quad (2)$$

if one is not interested in a polarization of the  $\gamma$ -quantum in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \gamma R$ . In Eq. (2)  $\theta$  is the angle between the momentum of the  $\gamma$ -quantum and the axis of the beams.

According to the gauge invariance condition, the decay amplitudes are proportional to the electromagnetic (electric) field, that is the photon energy ( $\omega$ ) in a low energy region:

$$g_R(m) \rightarrow \omega \times const, \quad (3)$$

if  $m \rightarrow m_\phi$ ,  $\omega = (1/2)m_\phi(1 - m^2/m_\phi^2) \rightarrow 0$ .

The decay width in narrow scalar resonance width approximation

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{|g_R(m)|^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2m_\phi} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{m_\phi^2}\right). \quad (4)$$

The physically measurable partial widths

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma ab) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{m_a+m_b}^{m_\phi} m dm \frac{m \Gamma(R \rightarrow ab; m) \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m)}{|D_R(m)|^2} \quad (5)$$

for  $ab = \pi\pi, \pi^0\eta$ .

For  $ab = K^+K^-, K^0\bar{K}^0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-) &= \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2m_{K^+}}^{m_\phi} m^2 \Gamma(f_0 \rightarrow K^+K^-; m) \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0; m) &\left| \frac{1}{D_{f_0}(m)} + \frac{g_{a_0}(m)g_{a_0K^+K^-}}{g_{f_0}(m)g_{f_0K^+K^-}} \frac{1}{D_{a_0}(m)} \right|^2 dm, \\ \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0\bar{K}^0) &= \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2m_{K^0}}^{m_\phi} m^2 \Gamma(f_0 \rightarrow K^0\bar{K}^0; m) \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0; m) &\left| \frac{1}{D_{f_0}(m)} + \frac{g_{a_0}(m)g_{a_0K^0\bar{K}^0}}{g_{f_0}(m)g_{f_0K^0\bar{K}^0}} \frac{1}{D_{a_0}(m)} \right|^2 dm, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/D_R(m)$  is a propagator of a scalar meson.

The width of the decay of the scalar meson  $R$  into two pseudoscalar meson state  $ab$  with an invariant mass  $m$  is

$$\Gamma(R \rightarrow ab; m) = \frac{g_{Rab}^2}{16\pi} \frac{1}{m} \rho_{ab}(m) ,$$

$$\rho_{ab}(m) = \sqrt{(1 - m_+^2/m^2)(1 - m_-^2/m^2)} , \quad m_{\pm} = m_a \pm m_b . \quad (7)$$

The final particle identity in the  $\pi^0\pi^0$  case is taken into account in the determination of  $g_{f_0\pi^0\pi^0}$ .

In Eq. (6) it is well to bear in mind isotopic symmetry

$$g_{f_0K^+K^-} = g_{f_0K^0\bar{K}^0} , \quad g_{a_0K^+K^-} = -g_{a_0K^0\bar{K}^0} . \quad (8)$$

The use of narrow scalar resonance width approximation in the case under consideration is not valid for two reasons [10].

The first and major reason is connected with soft (according strong interaction standards) photons. From Eqs. (3), (4), (5) and (6) it follows that the right slope of the resonance is suppressed at least by the factor  $(\omega/\omega_0)^3$ , where  $\omega_0 = m_\phi(1 - M_R^2/m_\phi^2)/2$  and  $M_R$  is the resonance mass. As was shown [10] it leads to the suppressions of the integral contribution from the right slope of the resonance at least by a factor of five for the decays into  $\pi\pi$  ,  $\pi\eta$  channels and at least by a factor of fifty for the decays into the  $K^+K^-$  ,  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  channels. So, the physically measurable widths Eq. (5) are caused practically fully by "a half " of resonance, that is by its left slope in the channels  $\pi\pi$  and  $\pi\eta$ . Only for this reason the results ( $\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; M_R)$ ) obtained in narrow width approximation are overstated two times.

The second reason is connected with finite width correction in propagators of the scalar mesons. Let us take the generally accepted Breit - Wigner formulae.

If  $m > 2m_{K^+}$  ,  $2m_{K^0}$  ,

$$\frac{1}{D_R(m)} = \frac{1}{m_R^2 - m^2 - i(\Gamma_0(m) + \Gamma_{K\bar{K}}(m))m}$$

$$\Gamma_{K\bar{K}}(m) = \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} (\sqrt{1 - 4m_{K^+}^2/m^2} + \sqrt{1 - 4m_{K^0}^2/m^2}) \frac{1}{m} . \quad (9)$$

If  $2m_{K^+} < m < 2m_{K^0}$  ,

$$\frac{1}{D_R(m)} = \frac{1}{m_R^2 - m^2 + \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{4m_{K^0}^2/m^2 - 1} - i \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{1 - 4m_{K^+}^2/m^2} - i\Gamma_0(m)m} . \quad (10)$$

When  $2m_{K^+}$  ,  $2m_{K^0} > m$  ,

$$\frac{1}{D_R(m)} = \frac{1}{m_R^2 - m^2 + \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} (\sqrt{4m_{K^+}^2/m^2 - 1} + \sqrt{4m_{K^0}^2/m^2 - 1}) - i\Gamma_0(m)m} . \quad (11)$$

Where the width of the decay of the scalar  $R$  resonance into the  $\pi\eta$  or  $\pi\pi$  channels  $\Gamma_0(m)$  is determined with Eq. (7).

Since the scalar resonances lie under the  $K\bar{K}$  thresholds the position of the peak in the cross section or in the mass spectrum does not coincide with  $m_R$  as is easy to see using Eqs. (9)–(11). That is why it is necessary to renormalize the mass in the Breit - Wigner formulae, Eqs. (9)–(11),

$$m_R^2 = M_R^2 - \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} (\sqrt{4m_{K^+}^2/M_R^2 - 1} + \sqrt{4m_{K^0}^2/M_R^2 - 1}) , \quad (12)$$

where  $M_R^2$  is the physical mass squared (  $M_{a_0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$  and  $M_{f_0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$  ) while  $m_R^2$  is the bare mass squared. So, the physical mass is heavier than the bare one. This circumstance is especially important in the case of a strong coupling of the scalar mesons with the  $K\bar{K}$  channels as in the four-quark and molecule models. Meanwhile it was not taken into account both in fitting of data and theoretical works excluding the papers [1,7,11]. It should be noted that Eqs. (9)–(11) are applied only in a resonance region. They, for example, have incorrect analytic properties at  $m^2 = 0$ . The expressions, in which this defect is removed, can be found in [1,7,11].

Notice that when the scalar resonances lie between the  $K^+K^-$  and  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  thresholds it is necessary to renormalize the mass in the Breit - Wigner formulae in the following manner

$$m_R^2 = M_R^2 - \frac{g_{RK^+K^-}^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{4m_{K^0}^2/M_R^2 - 1} . \quad (13)$$

The coupling constants in the molecule model [5,8,12]:

$$g_{f_0K^+K^-}^2/4\pi = g_{a_0K^+K^-}^2/4\pi = 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2 . \quad (14)$$

Notice that in this model  $M_R - m_R = 24(10) \text{ MeV}$  for  $M_R = 980(2m_{K^+}) \text{ MeV}$ .

### III. MODEL OF $K\bar{K}$ SCALAR MOLECULE PRODUCTION IN $\phi$ RADIATIVE

#### DECAYS

##### A. $K\bar{K}$ loop production of extended scalar mesons

Unfortunately, at present it is not possible to construct the truly relativistic gauge invariant model in the case of a  $K\bar{K}$  scalar molecule production in the  $\phi$  radiative decays for the nonrelativistic nature of a wave function of a molecule. But it is possible to "relativize" a model constructed in the  $K\bar{K}$  molecule rest frame and to place a gauge invariance constraint.

In the rest frame of a scalar  $K\bar{K}$  molecule we consider the production mechanism described by the diagrams in Fig.1, where the cross in the  $K^+K^-f_0(a_0)$  vertex points to a coupling of the  $K^+K^-$  state with a extended meson.

In the scalar molecule rest frame,  $\vec{p} = \vec{q}$ , the decay amplitude

$$T(p, q) = M(p, q) - M(p, 0) ,$$

$$M(p, q) = M_1(p, q) + M_2(p, q) + M_3(p, q) , \quad (15)$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are four-momenta of the  $\phi$  meson and the photon respectively. The amplitudes

$$M_1(p, q) = ieg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\epsilon^\mu(\phi)\epsilon^\nu(\gamma) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\phi(|\vec{k}|)\frac{2g_{\mu\nu}}{D(k)D(k-p+q)} , \quad (16)$$

$$M_2(p, q) =$$

$$-ieg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\epsilon^\mu(\phi)\epsilon^\nu(\gamma) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\phi(|\vec{k}|)\frac{(2k+q)_\nu(2k+2q-p)_\mu}{D(k)D(k-p+q)D(k+q)} , \quad (17)$$

$$M_3(p, q) =$$

$$-ieg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\epsilon^\mu(\phi)\epsilon^\nu(\gamma) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\phi(|\vec{k}|)\frac{(2k-2p+q)_\nu(2k-p)_\mu}{D(k)D(k-p+q)D(k-p)} \quad (18)$$

correspond to the diagrams Fig.1a, Fig.1b, Fig.1c respectively. The function  $\phi(|\vec{k}|)$  describes a momentum distribution of a  $K^+(K^-)$  meson in a molecule,  $D(k) = k^2 - m^2 + i0$ ,  $\epsilon^\mu(\phi)$  and  $\epsilon^\nu(\gamma)$  are polarization four-vectors of the  $\phi$  meson and the  $\gamma$ -quantum,  $e^2/4\pi = \alpha \simeq 1/137$ ,  $g_{RK^+K^-}$  is determined by Eq. (7) and  $g_{\phi K^+K^-}$  is determined by the following manner

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\phi K^+ K^-}^2}{16\pi} m_\phi \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{K^+}^2}{m_\phi^2}} \right)^3. \quad (19)$$

The subtraction at  $q = 0$  is gauge invariant regularization that always is necessary in the field theory.

The "relativization"

$$T(p, q) = (\vec{e}(\phi) \cdot \vec{e}(\gamma)) g_R(m) \equiv g_R(m) [(e(\phi) \cdot e(\gamma)) - (e(\phi)q)(e(\gamma)p)/(pq)]|_{\vec{p}=\vec{q}}. \quad (20)$$

gives the relativistic and gauge invariant amplitude. Emphasize that in Eq. (20)  $\vec{e}(\phi)$  and  $\vec{e}(\gamma)$  are polarization three-vectors of the  $\phi$ -meson and the  $\gamma$ -quantum in the molecule rest frame.

When  $\phi(|\vec{k}|) = 1$  Eqs. (15)–(18) reproduce the well definite gauge invariant field theory expression [7].

Our model is the practically same one as in [8]. Nevertheless, it should be noted that in Eqs. (4.21)–(4.23) of the paper [8] the function  $\phi(|\vec{k}|)$  is used to describe a momentum distribution of a  $K^+(K^-)$  meson in a molecule whereas a  $K^+(K^-)$  meson has the three-momentum equal  $\pm(\vec{k} - \vec{q}/2)$ . So, Eqs. (4.21)–(4.23) of [8] are not quite correct and our Eqs. (15)–(18) make clear the molecule production model <sup>1</sup>.

Let us integrate Eqs. (16)–(18) over  $k_0$  in the molecule rest frame.

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(p; q) &= -2e g_{RK^+K^-} g_{\phi K^+K^-} \vec{e}(\phi) \vec{e}(\gamma) \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \phi(|\vec{k}|) \frac{1}{E_k(m^2 - 4E_k^2)}, \quad (21) \\ M_2(p; q) &= e g_{RK^+K^-} g_{\phi K^+K^-} \vec{e}(\phi) \vec{e}(\gamma) \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \phi(|\vec{k}|) \left( \vec{k}^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}\vec{q})^2}{q^2} \right) \times \\ &\times \left( \frac{1}{E_k(m^2 + 2E_k m)(2\omega E_k + 2\vec{k}\vec{q})} + \frac{1}{E_k(m^2 - 2E_k m)(2p_0 E_k - m_\phi^2 + 2\vec{k}\vec{q})} - \right) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In contrast to [8] we treat with an amplitude rather than a S-matrix element. The difference is the factor  $i$ .

Besides, note that in Eq. (4.24) of [8] the sign (-) is lost! Probably, it is a misprint otherwise the gauge invariance constraint, see Eq. (3), is destroyed.



$$-\frac{1}{E_{k+q}(2\omega^2 + 2\omega E_{k+q} + 2\vec{k}\vec{q})(p_0^2 + \omega^2 + 2p_0 E_{k+q} + 2\vec{k}\vec{q})}, \quad (22)$$

$$M_3(p; q) = -eg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\vec{\epsilon}(\phi)\vec{\epsilon}(\gamma) \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\phi(|\vec{k}|) \left( \vec{k}^2 - \frac{(\vec{k}\vec{p})^2}{\vec{p}^2} \right) \times$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1}{E_k(m^2 + 2E_k m)(2p_0 E_k + m_\phi^2 + 2\vec{k}\vec{p})} + \frac{1}{E_k(m^2 - 2E_k m)(2\omega E_k + 2\vec{k}\vec{p})} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{E_{k-q}(\omega^2 + \vec{p}^2 - 2\omega E_{k-q} - 2\vec{k}\vec{p})(p_0^2 + \vec{p}^2 - 2p_0 E_{k-q} - 2\vec{k}\vec{p})} \right), \quad (23)$$

where

$$\vec{p} = \vec{q}, \quad p_0 - q_0 = m, \quad q_0 = |\vec{q}| = \omega = (m_\phi^2 - m^2)/2m, \quad E_k = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m_{K^+}^2 - i0},$$

$$E_{k+q} = \sqrt{(\vec{k} + \vec{q})^2 + m_{K^+}^2 - i0}, \quad E_{k-q} = \sqrt{(\vec{k} - \vec{q})^2 + m_{K^+}^2 - i0}. \quad (24)$$

It is easily seen that the integration over the angle between  $\vec{k}$  and  $\vec{q}$  (or  $\vec{p}$ ) is performed analytically.

### B. Imaginary part of amplitude of $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$ decay

Following [8,12] we use

$$\phi(|\vec{k}|) = \frac{\mu^4}{(|\vec{k}|^2 + \mu^2)^2} \quad (25)$$

where  $\mu = 141 \text{ MeV}$ .

The function  $\phi(|\vec{k}|)$  suppresses the contribution of virtual  $K^+K^-$  pairs. That is why it is natural to expect that the imaginary part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude is essential in comparison with the real one. The numerical analyses in Section 4 supports this hope.

So, the imaginary part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude

$$ImT(p, q) = Im(M(p, q) - M(p, 0)) = \vec{\epsilon}(\phi)\vec{\epsilon}(\gamma)Img_R(m) =$$

$$= \vec{\epsilon}(\phi)\vec{\epsilon}(\gamma)Im(\bar{g}_R(m) - \bar{g}_R(m_\phi)) \quad (26)$$

merits the individual consideration here. Eq. (25) makes possible calculating the imaginary part in the analytical form. This calculation is too cumbersome to present it here. Because

of this we shall only explain the genesis of the imaginary part of the amplitude and shall adduce its analytical form.

The contributors of the imaginary part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude are the regions of the integration where the denominators in Eqs. (21)–(23) vanish. We treat these zeros using an infinitesimal negative imaginary addition to the  $K^+$  mass square ( $m_{K^+}^2 - i0$ ), see Eq. (24).

When  $m < 2m_{K^+}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}g_R(m) = & \pi e g_{RK^+K^-} g_{\phi K^+K^-} \frac{\mu^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{m_\phi^2}{\omega^3} \left( \ln \frac{(E_1 - a)(E_2 + a)}{(E_2 - a)(E_1 + a)} \times \right. \right. \\
& \times \left. \frac{E_1 E_2 m^2 (12a^2 - m^2) - a^2 m_\phi^2 (m^2 + 4a^2)}{4a^3 m^2} \right) + \\
& + \frac{4m_{K^+}^2}{m\omega} \ln \frac{E_1^2 - a^2}{E_2^2 - a^2} - \frac{8m_{K^+}^2}{m\omega} \ln \frac{m_\phi - \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m_\phi + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} + \\
& \left. + \frac{m_\phi^2 (m^2 - 4a^2)(E_1 - E_2)}{2a^2 \omega^3} - \frac{32(m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2)^{3/2} (m^2 - 4a^2)^2}{3m_\phi (m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^3} \right\} \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a^2 = m_{K^+}^2 - \mu^2$ ,  $E_1 = \frac{p_0}{2} - \frac{\omega}{2m_\phi} \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}$ , and  $E_2 = \frac{p_0}{2} + \frac{\omega}{2m_\phi} \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}$ .

When  $m > 2m_{K^+}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}g_R(m) = & \pi e g_{RK^+K^-} g_{\phi K^+K^-} \frac{\mu^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left\{ \frac{m_\phi^2}{\omega^3} \left( \ln \frac{(E_1 - a)(E_2 + a)}{(E_2 - a)(E_1 + a)} \times \right. \right. \\
& \times \left. \frac{E_1 E_2 m^2 (12a^2 - m^2) - a^2 m_\phi^2 (m^2 + 4a^2)}{4a^3 m^2} \right) + \\
& + \frac{4m_{K^+}^2}{m\omega} \ln \frac{E_1^2 - a^2}{E_2^2 - a^2} - \frac{8m_{K^+}^2}{m\omega} \ln \frac{m_\phi - \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m_\phi + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} + \\
& + \frac{m_\phi^2 (m^2 - 4a^2)(E_1 - E_2)}{2a^2 \omega^3} - \frac{32(m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2)^{3/2} (m^2 - 4a^2)^2}{3m_\phi (m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^3} + \\
& \left. + \frac{4\sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2} m_\phi^2}{\omega m^2} + \frac{8m_{K^+}^2}{\omega m} \ln \frac{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \right\} \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\text{Im}\bar{g}_R(m_\phi) = e g_{RK^+K^-} g_{\phi K^+K^-} \frac{8}{3\pi} \frac{\mu^4 (m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2)}{(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{K^+}^2}{m_\phi^2}}. \quad (29)$$

### C. Real part of amplitude of $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$ decay

As will be seen from Section 4 the real part of  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} ReT(p, q) &= Re(M(p, q) - M(p, 0)) = \vec{\epsilon}(\phi)\vec{\epsilon}(\gamma)Reg_R(m) = \\ &= \vec{\epsilon}(\phi)\vec{\epsilon}(\gamma)Re(\bar{g}_R(m) - \bar{g}_R(m_\phi)) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

dominates in the suppressed  $K^+K^-$  and  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  channels.

When  $m < 2m_{K^+}$

$$\begin{aligned} Reg_R(m) &= eg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\frac{\mu^4}{(2\pi)^2} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{8m_\phi^2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left[ \frac{\mu}{a} \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} - \frac{\sqrt{4m_{K^+}^2 - m^2}}{m} \arctan \frac{m}{\sqrt{4m_{K^+}^2 - m^2}} \right] + \right. \\ &+ \frac{8m_{K^+}^2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left[ \left( \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} \right)^2 - \left( \arctan \frac{m}{\sqrt{4m_{K^+}^2 - m^2}} \right)^2 \right] + \\ &\frac{1}{(m^2 - 4a^2)a^2} \left( 2 + \frac{m}{2\omega} + \frac{p_0(m^2 - 4a^2)}{2\omega^2 m} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{m_{K^+}^2}{\mu a} \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} \right) + \frac{2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)} + \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \frac{|\vec{k}|d|\vec{k}|}{(\vec{k}^2 + \mu^2)^2 2\omega^3} \left( E_+ - E_- - \right. \\ &- \frac{\omega^2 m_{K^+}^2}{E_k(2E_k m - m^2)} \ln \frac{(E_k + \omega + E_-)(E_k + \omega - E_+)}{(E_k + \omega + E_+)(E_k + \omega - E_-)} - \\ &- \frac{\omega^2 m_{K^+}^2}{E_k m(2E_k + m)} \ln \frac{(E_- - E_k + \omega)(E_k - \omega + E_+)}{(E_+ - E_k + \omega)(E_- + E_k - \omega)} + \\ &+ \frac{m_\phi^2(E_k + E_1)(E_k + E_2)}{E_k m(2E_k + m)} \ln \frac{(E_k + p_0 + E_-)^2}{(E_k + p_0 + E_+)^2} + \\ &\left. + \frac{m_\phi^2(E_k - E_1)(E_k - E_2)}{E_k m(2E_k - m)} \ln \frac{(E_k - p_0 + E_+)^2}{(E_k - p_0 + E_-)^2} \right) \Big\} - Re\bar{g}_R(m_\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $E_+ = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + \omega^2 + 2|\vec{k}|\omega + m_{K^+}^2}$ ,  $E_- = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + \omega^2 - 2|\vec{k}|\omega + m_{K^+}^2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Re\bar{g}_R(m_\phi) &= eg_{RK^+K^-}g_{\phi K^+K^-}\frac{\mu^4}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{a} \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} - \right. \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{2m_\phi} \ln \frac{m_\phi + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m_\phi - \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \left[ \frac{64(m_\phi^4 - 3m_\phi^2 m_{K^+}^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2 a^2)}{3m_\phi^2(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^3} \right] - \\ &- \arctan\left(\frac{a}{\mu}\right) \left( \frac{2m_{K^+}^2}{\mu a^3(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)} + \frac{8\mu m_{K^+}^2}{3a^3 m_\phi^2(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)} - \frac{16m_{K^+}^2 \mu}{3a^3(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^2} + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2m_{K^+}^2 + \mu}{m_\phi^2 a^5} + \frac{2(5m_\phi^2 m_{K^+}^2 - 20m_{K^+}^4 - 2m_\phi^2 \mu^2 + 12m_{K^+}^2 \mu^2 + 8\mu^4)}{3a^4(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^2} + \\
& + \frac{32m_{K^+}^2 \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{3m_\phi^3(m_\phi^2 - 4a^2)^2} \ln \frac{m_\phi + \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m_\phi - \sqrt{m_\phi^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \}. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

When  $m > 2m_{K^+}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Reg}_R(m) &= e g_{RK^+K^-} - g_{\phi K^+K^-} \frac{\mu^4}{(2\pi)^2} \times \\
& \times \left\{ \frac{8m_\phi^2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left[ \frac{\mu}{a} \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} - \frac{\sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{2m} \ln \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \right] + \right. \\
& + \frac{8m_{K^+}^2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left[ \left( \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \ln^2 \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} - \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right] + \\
& + \frac{1}{(m^2 - 4a^2)a^2} \left( 2 + \frac{m}{2\omega} + \frac{p_0(m^2 - 4a^2)}{2\omega^2 m} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{m_{K^+}^2}{\mu a} \arctan \frac{a}{\mu} \right) + \\
& + \frac{2}{\omega m(m^2 - 4a^2)} - \frac{m_{K^+}^2}{2\omega m} \left( \frac{4}{(m^2 - 4a^2)^2} \left[ \frac{m}{2a} \ln \frac{m_{K^+} - a}{m_{K^+} + a} + \ln \frac{4\mu^2}{(2m_{K^+}^2 - m)^2} \right] - \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2(m^2 - 4a^2)} \left[ \frac{m}{2a^3} \ln \frac{m_{K^+} - a}{m_{K^+} + a} + \frac{m m_{K^+} + 2a^2}{a^2 \mu^2} \right] \right) \ln \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \\
& + \int_0^\infty \frac{|\vec{k}| |d\vec{k}|}{(\vec{k}^2 + \mu^2)^2 2\omega^3} \left( E_+ - E_- + \frac{\omega^2 m_{K^+}^2}{E_k(m^2 - 2E_k m)} \times \right. \\
& \times \ln \frac{(E_k + \omega + E_-)(E_k + \omega - E_+)}{(E_k + \omega + E_+)(E_k + \omega - E_-)} - \\
& - \frac{\omega^2 m_{K^+}^2}{E_k m(2E_k + m)} \ln \frac{(E_- - E_k + \omega)(E_k - \omega + E_+)}{(E_+ - E_k + \omega)(E_- + E_k - \omega)} + \\
& + \frac{m_\phi^2 (E_k + E_1)(E_k + E_2)}{E_k m(2E_k + m)} \ln \frac{(E_k + p_0 + E_-)^2}{(E_k + p_0 + E_+)^2} - \\
& - \frac{m_\phi^2 (E_k - E_1)(E_k - E_2)}{E_k(m^2 - 2E_k m)} \ln \frac{(E_k - p_0 + E_+)^2}{(E_k - p_0 + E_-)^2} + \\
& \left. + \frac{m_{K^+}^2 \omega^2}{E_k(m^2 - 2E_k m)} \ln \frac{m - \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}}{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4m_{K^+}^2}} \right) \} - \text{Re} \bar{g}_R(m_\phi) . \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the integrand in Eq. (33) is nonsingular at  $2E_k = m$  for the principal value of the integral was calculated analytically. That is why Eq. (33) is suitable for a simulation of experimental data.

#### IV. QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Below we consider two variants: i)  $\Gamma_0(M_R) = 50 \text{ MeV}$  that corresponds to the visible width  $\simeq 25 \text{ MeV}$  and the partial intensity of the decay into the  $K\bar{K}$  channels  $BR(R \rightarrow K\bar{K}) \simeq 0.35$  in the molecule model, Eq. (14), and ii)  $\Gamma_0(M_R) = 100 \text{ MeV}$  that corresponds to the visible width  $\simeq 75 \text{ MeV}$  and  $BR(R \rightarrow K\bar{K}) \simeq 0.3$  in the molecule model, Eq. (14). Please see the definition of  $\Gamma_0(m)$  in Eqs. (9)–(11), (7).

We present  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m) = \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m)/\Gamma(\phi)$ , where  $\Gamma(\phi)$  is the  $\phi$  meson full width, in Fig.2, the phase of  $-g_R(m)$  ( $\delta = \arctan(\text{Im}g_R(m)/\text{Re}g_R(m))$ ) in Fig.3, the spectra

$$\frac{dBR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma ab)}{dm} = \frac{d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma ab)}{\Gamma(\phi)dm} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m^2 \Gamma(R \rightarrow ab; m) \Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m)}{\Gamma(\phi) |D_R(m)|^2} \quad (34)$$

for  $ab = \pi\pi^2$  and  $\pi\eta$  for the different  $\Gamma_0(M_R)$  in Fig.4 and Fig.5 respectively. The spectra

$$\begin{aligned} dBR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/dm &= d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\phi)dm = \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} m^2 \Gamma(f_0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-; m) \frac{\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0; m)}{\Gamma(\phi)} \left| \frac{1}{D_{f_0}(m)} + \frac{1}{D_{a_0}(m)} \right|^2 dm \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dBR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 \bar{K}^0)/dm &= d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(a_0 + f_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma(\phi)dm = \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} m^2 \Gamma(f_0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{K}^0; m) \frac{\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0; m)}{\Gamma(\phi)} \left| \frac{1}{D_{f_0}(m)} - \frac{1}{D_{a_0}(m)} \right|^2 dm \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

are presented in Fig.6 and Fig.7 respectively.

As with the four-quark model [7] in the molecule case the interference is constructive in the  $K^+K^-$  channel and destructive in the  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  channel, see Eqs. (35) and (36), for the  $K^+K^-$  loop diagram production model.

---

<sup>2</sup> Notice that  $d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma\pi\pi)/dm = d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^+)/dm + d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma\pi^0\pi^0)/dm = 1.5 \cdot d\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^+)/dm$ .

We study the dependence of the branching ratios under consideration on the resonance mass. Our results are listed at Tables I–III. Calculating Table I we take into account only the imaginary part of the scalar resonance production amplitudes.

## V. CONCLUSION

At  $m_{f_0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Gamma_0(m_{f_0}) = 50(100) \text{ MeV}$   $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi) = 1.9(2.2) \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

At  $m_{a_0} = 980 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Gamma_0(m_{a_0}) = 50(100) \text{ MeV}$   $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi \eta) = 1.9(2) \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

As seen from Tables  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi(\pi \eta)) \approx (1 \div 2) \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-) \lesssim 10^{-6}$  and  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K^0 \bar{K}^0) \lesssim 10^{-8}$ .

When the branching ratios from Table I is compared with the ones in the narrow resonance approximation on Fig. 2, it is apparent that these latter are at least two times overstated. Notice that when the parameter in the momentum distribution  $\phi(|\vec{k}|)$ , see Eq. (25),  $\mu = 100 \text{ MeV}$  the branching ratios decreases three times (the  $\mu^3$  low is natural for a three-dimensional integral if a integrand has a range of the order of  $\mu$ ).

The imaginary part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude gives 90% of the branching ratios in the  $\pi \pi(\pi \eta)$  channel, see also Figs. 4 and 5.

The real part of the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(a_0)$  amplitude dominates in the  $K \bar{K}$  channel branching ratios, see also Fig.6 (a contribution of the imaginary part of the amplitude in the  $K^0 \bar{K}^0$  channel is negligible).

During years of time it was believed by some that the decay  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma K_S K_S$  might prove an obstacle to research on the violation of CP invariance in the decay  $\phi \rightarrow K_L K_S$  despite the fact that it was shown even in 1987 [7] that  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K_S K_S) \simeq 6.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$  in the case which is the worst case from the standpoint of research on the violation of CP invariance, i.e., the case in which the  $f_0(980)$  and  $a_0(980)$  resonances are of a four-quark nature ( $q^2 \bar{q}^2$ ). An upper limit in a Pickwickian sense  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma(f_0 + a_0) \rightarrow \gamma K_S K_S) \simeq 3.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$  was given in [13]. The following investigations, see, for example, [14], only confirm these results. Here, see Table III, we also confirm that the branching ratio for the decay  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma K_S K_S$  is low.

The phase of  $g_R(m)$  is the very important characteristic of the model production because it causes the interference patterns in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$  in the  $\phi$  meson mass region. Emphasize that just these interference patterns are used to identify the  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0$  decay. As seen from Fig.3 the phases in the molecule case (the solid curve) and in the four-quark (point-like) case [7] (the dashed curve) differ considerably. The calculation of the interference patterns under discussion is a rather complex problem that is a valid one for further investigation.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.N. Achasov thanks F.E. Close for many E-mail discussions and for copies of personal notes, mailed kindly, and E.P. Solodov for many discussions of interference patterns in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$  in the  $\phi$  meson mass region. V.V. Gubin thanks International Soros Science Education Program, Grant 962-S, for a financial support. V.I. Shevchenko thanks the National Science Foundation and Russian Government, Grant NJ 77100, for a financial support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N.N. Achasov, S.A. Devyanin and G.N. Shestakov, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* 142 (1984) 361.
- [2] N.N. Achasov, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* 21 (1991) 189.
- [3] N.N. Achasov and G.N. Shestakov, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* 161 (1991) 53.
- [4] R.L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rev. D* 15 (1977) 267, 281.
- [5] J. Weinstein and N. Isgur, *Phys. Rev. D* 41 (1990) 2236.
- [6] F.E. Close, Yu.L. Dokshitzer, V.N. Gribov, V.A. Khoze and M.G. Ryskin, *Phys. Lett. B* 319 (1993) 291.
- [7] N.N. Achasov and V.N. Ivanchenko, *Nucl. Phys. B* 315 (1989) 465,  
Preprint INP 87-129 (1987), Novosibirsk.
- [8] F.E. Close, N. Isgur and S. Kumano, *Nucl. Phys. B* 389 (1993) 513.
- [9] Particle Data Group, *Phys. Rev. D* 50 (1994) 1468, 1469.
- [10] N.N. Achasov, *THE SECOND DAΦNE PHYSICS HANDBOOK*, Vol. II, edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, N. Paver, dei Laboratory Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy (May 1995), p. 671.  
N.N. Achasov and V.V. Gubin, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* 62 (1995) 182.  
N.N. Achasov and V.V. Gubin, *Phys. Lett. B* 363 (1995) 106.
- [11] N.N. Achasov and G.N. Shestakov, *Z. Phys. C* 41 (1988) 309.
- [12] N. Brown and F.E. Close, *THE DAΦNE PHYSICS HANDBOOK*, Vol. II, edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri, N. Paver, dei Laboratory Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy (December 1992), p. 447.
- [13] N.N. Achasov, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* 55 (1992) 373.
- [14] J.L. Lucio, M. Napsuciale, *Nucl. Phys. B* 440 (1995) 237.



## FIGURES

FIG. 1. Diagrams for model. We send you immediatly by request to gubin@math.nsk.su in \*.bmp format.

FIG. 2. Branching ratio  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma R; m)$ . The dotted line is the real part contribution. The dashed line is imaginary part contribution. The solid line is the full branching ratio.

FIG. 3. The phase  $\delta = \arctan(Img_R(m)/Reg_R(m))$ . The solid line presents the m-dependence in the  $K\bar{K}$  molecular case and the dashed one in the  $q^2\bar{q}^2$  case.

FIG. 4. Mass spectra of  $\pi\pi$  channel. The solid line is the full spectra. The dashed and dotted lines are the imaginary and real part contributions respectively. a)  $\Gamma_0 = 50 \text{ MeV}$ . The full branching ratio is  $1.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . The branching ratio with account only imaginary part is  $1.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . b)  $\Gamma_0 = 100 \text{ MeV}$ . The full branching ratio is  $2.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . The branching ratio with account only imaginary part is  $2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

FIG. 5. Mass spectra of  $\pi\eta$  channel. The solid line is the full spectra. The dashed and dotted lines are the imaginary and real part contributions respectively. a)  $\Gamma_0 = 50 \text{ MeV}$ . The full branching ratio is  $1.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . The branching ratio with account only imaginary part is  $1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . b)  $\Gamma_0 = 100 \text{ MeV}$ . The full branching ratio is  $2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ . The branching ratio with account only imaginary part is  $1.75 \cdot 10^{-5}$ .

FIG. 6. Mass spectra of  $K^+K^-$  channel.  $\Gamma_0 = 50 \text{ MeV}$ . The solid line is the full spectra. The dashed and dotted lines are the imaginary and real part contributions respectively. The full branching ratio is  $1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ . The branching ratio with account only imaginary part is  $0.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$ .

FIG. 7. Mass spectra of  $K^0\bar{K}^0$  channel.  $\Gamma_{a_0} = 100 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Gamma_{f_0} = 50 \text{ MeV}$  (see Table III). The ontribution of imaginary part is negligible and not presented here. The branching ratio is  $2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ .

TABLES

TABLE I.  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0(f_0) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \eta(\pi \pi))$

$BR * 10^5$					
$\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi \eta$			$\phi \rightarrow \gamma f_0 \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$		
$m_{a_0}; \text{GeV}$	$\Gamma_{a_0} = 50; \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_{a_0} = 100; \text{MeV}$	$m_{f_0}; \text{GeV}$	$\Gamma_{f_0} = 50; \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_{f_0} = 100; \text{MeV}$
0.960	2.232	2.298	0.960	2.357	2.564
0.965	2.104	2.176	0.965	2.226	2.435
0.970	1.959	2.045	0.970	2.077	2.297
0.975	1.788	1.904	0.975	1.902	2.149
0.980	1.575	1.753	0.980	1.685	1.991
0.985	1.292	1.590	0.985	1.396	1.822
0.990	0.919	1.049	0.990	1.017	1.224
0.995	0.697	0.902	0.995	0.792	1.072

TABLE II.  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-)$ 

$m_{f_0}; \text{GeV}$	$m_{a_0}; \text{GeV}$	$\Gamma_{f_0}; \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_{a_0}; \text{MeV}$	$BR * 10^6$
0.980	0.980	50	50	1.01
0.980	0.980	100	100	0.48
0.980	0.980	50	100	0.715
0.990	0.990	100	100	0.6
0.980	0.990	50	100	0.72
0.990	0.980	50	100	1.0
0.990	0.990	50	100	1.12
0.990	0.990	50	50	1.81

TABLE III.  $BR(\phi \rightarrow \gamma K^0 \bar{K}^0)$ 

$m_{f_0}; \text{GeV}$	$m_{a_0}; \text{GeV}$	$\Gamma_{f_0}; \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_{a_0}; \text{MeV}$	$BR * 10^9$
0.980	0.980	50	50	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$
0.980	0.980	100	100	$2.127 \cdot 19^{-3}$
0.980	0.980	50	100	2
0.990	0.990	100	100	$9.48 \cdot 10^{-4}$
0.980	0.990	50	100	5.1
0.990	0.980	50	100	6.5
0.990	0.990	50	100	4.3
0.990	0.990	50	50	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$