

RU-96-25
 hep-ph/9605266
 May, 1996

High Temperature Dimensional Reduction of the MSSM and other Multi-Scalar Models

Marta Losada¹

Department of Physics and Astronomy
 Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08855, USA

Abstract: Using dimensional reduction we construct an effective 3D theory of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model at finite temperature. The nale effective theory is obtained after three successive stages of integration out of massive particles. We obtain the full 1-loop relation between the couplings of the reduced theory and the underlying 4D couplings and masses. The procedure is also applied to a general two Higgs doublet model and the Next to Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model.

¹On leave of absence from Centro Internacional de Física and Universidad Antonio Nariño, Santa Fe de Bogotá, COLOMBIA.

1 Introduction

A crucial problem in particle physics is the understanding of the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe. A possible scenario which has been explored involves physics at the electroweak scale. For electroweak baryogenesis to occur via a sufficiently strong first order phase transition, such that baryon number violation is suppressed after the phase transition, the sphaleron transition rate in the low temperature phase must be less than the universe expansion rate [1]. This requires that the ratio of the vacuum expectation value in the broken phase to the phase transition temperature (T_c) must be [2]

$$\frac{v(T_c)}{T_c} > 1; \quad (1)$$

which in turn places constraints on the Higgs structure of the low temperature theory. In fact, these requirements may not be fulfilled in the Standard Model at least for experimentally allowed values of the Higgs mass [2, 3].

In order to study the properties of the phase transition, standard perturbation techniques (with resummation) were initially utilized. However, there are two intrinsic problems with perturbation theory. First of all, the loop expansion parameter ($\frac{g^2 T}{M_W} - \frac{g^2}{g^2}$) is proportional to the zero temperature ratio of Higgs mass to the W boson mass. This implies that unless the Higgs is sufficiently light the perturbative approximations will break down when higher order corrections are included [4]. Secondly, high temperature gauge theories are subject to infrared divergences arising from massless gauge boson modes in the theory [5]. In the broken phase these divergences are absent because the gauge bosons of the broken symmetry have non-zero β -dependent masses. Consequently, even when the aforementioned perturbative effects are under control, non-perturbative physics in the unbroken phase, for values of $\beta \rightarrow 0$, can limit the reliability of the perturbative calculations of the phase transition. In other words, knowledge of the effective potential for small values

of the scalar field is required to study the properties of the phase transition, and the assumptions underlying perturbative calculations must be verified by calculations which include non-perturbative effects.

Using the fact that in the symmetric phase at finite temperature the long distance theory is described by a three dimensional bosonic gauge theory, Kajantie, Laine, Rummukainen, Shaposhnikov and Farakos [9, 10, 11] devised a procedure which separates the perturbative and non perturbative aspects of the study of the electroweak phase transition. As explained in section 2.1, due to antiperiodicity of the boundary condition at finite temperature, fermions in the theory acquire thermal masses proportional to the temperature $\sim T$. The bosonic field decomposition into thermal modes contains a static mode which does not have a thermal mass, as well as modes with masses on the order of $\sim T$. The construction of the effective theory for the static modes amounts to perturbatively integrating out the effects of all the massive modes. As a consequence of the interaction with the heavy modes, the masses of some of the static scalar fields are modified enough that they become heavy ($\sim gT$) and one can further construct a second effective 3D theory describing only the light fields. This reduced three dimensional gauge theory for the light scalars can then be analyzed by numerical (lattice) calculations so that all non perturbative effects are handled correctly.

Kajantie et al. reduced the Standard Model to a 3D gauge theory with a single light scalar, which they studied on the lattice. They concluded that many, but not all, features of the phase transition were similar to results of perturbation theory. The results showed that there is no value of the Higgs mass in the Minimal Standard Model which is compatible with correct electroweak symmetry breaking in the low temperature theory, given the measured large top mass [10].

Consequently, it is of interest to analyze extensions of the Standard Model to see whether there are any cases in which there is a sufficiently strong first order phase transition. Using the numerical results of Kajantie et al. we

can include non perturbative effects for any 4D theory which reduces to an effective three dimensional theory containing a single light scalar coupled to $SU(2)$ gauge fields. This is the generic situation at the phase transition, except when the theory is fine-tuned, even when the theory has multiple scalar fields as in supersymmetry, general two Higgs doublet models, etc.

A feature of multi-scalar models is the inclusion of tree level masses for all scalars. Additionally, some of the scalar fields may carry colour charge. This implies that in the unbroken phase the static modes of the scalars can acquire masses between 0 and gT . In particular, the masses of the squarks static modes receive corrections proportional to $g_s T$. Consequently, unlike the Standard Model case, we encounter an additional intermediate effective theory in which the static modes with masses in this range are integrated out before the final reduction procedure of modes with masses $> gT$ is performed. For supersymmetric theories the static squark (slepton) modes are integrated out at this second stage.

For the generic case, the reduction procedure leaves us with the same effective theory as in [10], defined by the effective couplings β_3 and g_3^2 with a different relationship which define these quantities in terms of the masses and couplings of the 4D theory. As explained by Kajantie et al [11], the dynamics of the electroweak phase transition is governed by the quantity,

$$x_c = \frac{3}{g_3^2} \quad (2)$$

at the critical temperature, and the constraint given by equation (1) translates into [10]

$$x_c < 0.04: \quad (3)$$

In this paper we present the relations between the masses and couplings of the reduced theory and the parameters of the underlying four dimensional theory for three models: the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

(MSSM), a general two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) and the Next to Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (NMSSM). The analysis of the electroweak phase transition for the MSSM will be the subject of a second paper [22]. In section 2.1 we review the finite temperature formalism which is the basis for the construction of the three dimensional theory. Sections 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 discuss the three effective theories for the MSSM as we integrate out in successive stages, (1) the non-static modes, (2) the heavy squarks and sleptons and (3) the heavy Higgs and the temporal component of the SU(2) gauge field A_0 . Section 2.5 discusses the non-generic case in which there are two light scalar fields in the final effective theory. We present a short discussion of other multi-scalar models in Section 3. Our conclusions are given in Section 4. Appendix A introduces the MSSM 4D Lagrangian, which is the model discussed in the text. Appendices B.1 and B.2 give the explicit expressions for our results of the first and second stage. In appendix B.3 we give the results for the case in which there are two light scalar Higgs fields in the final effective theory. The results of the application of the procedure to the two Higgs doublet model and the NMSSM is given in appendices C.1 and C.2. Finally, we present some useful high temperature formulae in appendix D.

2 Three Dimensional Theory

2.1 Effective Theory

A given theory in four dimensions at finite temperature reduces, in the high temperature limit, to an effective 3D theory describing the static degrees of freedom. This is the statement of dimensional reduction [7, 8, 11, 14].

The action at finite temperature is given by

$$S = \int_0^Z d\tau \int d^3x \mathcal{L}; \quad (4)$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$, such that the theory is characterized by bosonic (fermionic) fields obeying periodic (anti-periodic) boundary conditions in Euclidean time [6]. The finite temperature expansion of the fields in our theory is given by

$$S(x; \beta) = T^{1/2} [S_0(x) + \sum_{n \neq 0} S_n(x) e^{i n \beta}] \quad (5)$$

$$\psi(x; \beta) = T^{1/2} \sum_n \psi_n e^{i n \beta}; \quad (6)$$

where S and ψ represent bosonic and fermionic fields, respectively. S_0 is the static component of the bosonic field, for which $\beta_B = 0$. The resulting propagators are of the form $(k^2 + \beta_B^2 + m^2)^{-1}$, where $\beta_B = 2\pi nT$; $\beta_F = (2n + 1)T$ for bosons and fermions, respectively. That is, non-static modes have masses $\propto T$ and thus all of the fermionic modes may be integrated out at large T . Our calculations will be carried out in Landau gauge. By integrating over ψ and using the orthonormality of the modes we can obtain the terms in the Lagrangian describing the static modes, the non-static modes and finally the interaction terms between the heavy and light modes.

2.2 First Stage

We describe in detail the dimensional reduction of the MSSM. In the appendices we treat a general 2HDM model and supersymmetric models with an additional gauge singlet superfield. We work in the $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0$ limit and ignore the hypercharge $U(1)$ gauge boson and gaugino. The Lagrangian for the MSSM is given in Appendix A, where we introduce our notation. Most of the discussions in the paper will be general enough to include all three models. We leave the notation and particular results corresponding to the 2HDM and NMSSM to Appendix C.

Starting with the 4D theory we generalize the procedure delineated by Kajantie et al. to obtain a 3D effective theory. The first stage corresponds to

the integration of the massive (non-static) modes. As a result, all fermions are integrated out and we are left with a three dimensional bosonic theory. We can systematically construct the dimensionally reduced theory and relate its parameters to those of the more fundamental four dimensional theory by computing the effective interactions among the static modes generated by integrating out the $n \neq 0$ modes. This procedure is perturbative in the coupling constants of the theory and we will calculate consistently throughout to order g^4 . As explained in [10, 11], for our purposes it is sufficient to perform a one loop calculation as it provides the specified accuracy and the determination of the critical temperature is precise enough as the temperature dependence in the ratio in equation (2) enters only through logarithmic terms.

As a result of the first stage of integration the $n = 0$ bosonic modes in the theory acquire a thermal mass to leading order (excluding logarithmic corrections) of the generic form :

$$m^2(T) = m^2 + T^2; \quad (7)$$

here m^2 is the tree level mass squared, which for gauge bosons is identically zero. As explained above the quantity is determined from the one loop integration and in particular it remains zero for the spatial components of the vector field A_i . This reflects the fact that the A_i fields are precisely the gauge fields of the 3D theory. For the temporal component of the $SU(2)$ gauge field A_0 , which is a gauge-triplet of scalars in the effective theory, $\neq 0$. This implies that the temporal mode acquires a mass, the so-called Debye mass, which will be on the order of gT .² Scalar particles, on the other hand, have a tree level mass as well as a non-zero value of $\neq 0$. In the high temperature approximation, their masses are of order $(m^2 + g_s^2 T^2)^{1/2}$ for squarks. For stops the contribution proportional to the top Yukawa coupling

²Similarly the longitudinal $SU(3)$ gauge field acquires a mass $g_s T$.

squared can also be significant. The rest of the scalars in the theory will have masses on the order of $(m^2 + g^2 T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Higher order effects are suppressed by powers of $m^2 = T^2$.

The exact value of the tree level mass is important as it may lead to the existence of a very light scalar particle. This may have several different consequences but specifically, if the tree level mass nearly cancels the term proportional to T^2 one could be close to a phase transition. We will discuss the non-generic case in which there are two light scalar particles in the effective theory in section 3.

In order to establish our notation we write the 3D scalar potential after the first stage,

$$\begin{aligned}
V(A_o; \mathbf{1}_i; \mathbf{2}_i; Q_i; U_i; D_i) = & \frac{1}{2} M_D^2 A_o A_o + H(A_o A_o) \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 + \frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 + \sum_i^X Q_i^Y Q_i \right) \\
& + M_1^2 \frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 + M_2^2 \frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 + M_3^2 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{2}_2 + \frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{1}_1 \right) + \mathbf{1}_1 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 \right)^2 + \mathbf{2}_2 \left(\frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 \right)^2 \\
& + \mathbf{3} \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 \right) \left(\frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 \right) + \mathbf{4} \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{2}_2 \right) \left(\frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{1}_1 \right) + \sum_i^X Q_i^{11} \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 \right) (Q_i^Y Q_i) \\
& + \frac{Q_i^2}{3} \left(\frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 \right) (Q_i^Y Q_i) + \left(\frac{Q_i^1}{4} + f_{d_i}^{L^2} \right) \left(\frac{Y}{1} Q_i \right) (Q_i^Y \mathbf{1}_1) + \left(\frac{Q_i^2}{4} \right. \\
& + \left. f_{u_i}^{L^2} \right) \mathbf{2}_2 Q_i^Y + M_Q^i Q_i^Y Q_i + M_u^i U_i^Y U_i + M_d^i D_i^Y D_i + f_{d_i}^{R^2} \left(\frac{Y}{1} \mathbf{1}_1 \right) (D_i^Y D_i) \\
& + f_{u_i}^{R^2} \left(\frac{Y}{2} \mathbf{2}_2 \right) (U_i^Y U_i) + A f_{d_i} \frac{Y}{1} Q_i D_i \quad A f_{u_i} \mathbf{2}_2 Q_i U_i + f_{d_i} \frac{Y}{2} Q_i D_i \\
& f_{u_i} \mathbf{1}_1 Q_i U_i + \text{h.c.} \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ are $SU(2)$ indices. Note that the fields in equation (8) are the static components of the scalar fields, properly renormalized, and having dimension $[\text{GeV}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Quartic couplings have dimensions of $[\text{GeV}]$ and trilinear couplings involving A or $\mathbf{4}$ have dimension of $[\text{GeV}]^{\frac{3}{2}}$. We have omitted the terms corresponding to the scalar leptons and the quartic A_o term.

The full expressions for the effective masses and couplings are given in Appendix B.1 where we also show the diagrams contributing to the calculations for each one of the parameters. We would like to point out some of

the features of the calculations, though most technical details are discussed in Appendix B.

The expressions for the 3D parameters contain temperature dependent logarithmic corrections denoted L_b and L_f . In general the coefficients of these quantities are the corresponding bosonic and fermionic contributions to the beta functions for the masses and couplings in the underlying theory [9]. In the 4D theory the quartic scalar couplings (Higgs self couplings and part of the interactions between Higgses and squarks/sleptons), are fixed above the SUSY breaking scale in terms of the gauge couplings. In the zero temperature theory, at energy scales above the SUSY breaking scale, or if there is no particle decoupling, the beta function coefficients are related by the same algebraic relation as the couplings themselves [20]. This is no longer true if one considers particles decoupling in the 4D theory below the SUSY scale. Similarly, in the finite temperature theory the relation between the (scale dependent part of the) 3D expressions of the couplings differ, upon 1-loop integration of heavy modes, from the expression relating the beta functions of the zero temperature theory. As a by-product our 3D expressions for the couplings and masses, yield the full 1-loop beta function coefficients in the zero temperature theory, including particle decoupling for the three models we have considered.

The static modes squark (slepton) masses are non-degenerate as a result of the integration procedure even if their masses are taken to be degenerate in the 4D theory. Not only do right and left handed type squarks acquire different values for their masses, so do up and down type right handed squarks. This occurs because right handed squarks do not couple to SU(2) gauge fields, their quartic coupling to Higgses is proportional to their corresponding Yukawa coupling, and the trilinear coupling to Higgses differs for up and down type right handed squarks.

As in the case of the Standard Model, the gauge coupling between the spatial magnetic field and scalars in the three dimensional theory is not

equal to the quartic coupling between the A_0 field and scalars. In addition, at the next stage of integration-out this latter quartic scalar coupling will be different for each type of scalar field to which the A_0 couples to as a consequence of the soft SUSY breaking trilinear couplings.

2.3 Second Stage

The aim of the second and third stage is twofold. First, as explained qualitatively above, after the first stage of integration we are still left with several different mass scales, while the purpose of an effective theory is to have only one characteristic mass scale. Secondly, if we can construct an effective theory in which we are left with only one light scalar particle then we have arrived at exactly the same theory which has already been analyzed on the lattice by Kajantie et al [10].

What we define as second stage is necessary only when the mass of the squarks and sleptons is such that the high temperature expansion is valid. If the squarks and sleptons were extremely massive they would have decoupled in the four dimensional theory, or alternatively a low temperature expansion might be applicable [16]. After obtaining our reduced theory we must verify that the non-renormalizable terms of the effective theory are indeed suppressed. That is, we must check that higher order corrections to the scalar potential at the critical temperature do not change qualitatively our results.

For the MSSM and NMSSM the second stage corresponds to the integration of heavy squarks and sleptons. We include the sleptons even though their masses do not have contributions $\propto g_s T$, since their tree level mass at some high scale is presumably $> gT$. The results are also applicable to the case in which we study a purely SU(2) gauge theory with multiple scalars, if we ignore all of the contributions which include g_s . For the MSSM the resultant theory after the second stage is described by a 2HDM with com-
pli-

cated expressions, in terms of the 4D couplings and masses, for the masses and strengths of interactions. The 3D potential for the scalar fields A_0 , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} V(A_0; \phi_1; \phi_2) = & \frac{1}{2} M_D^2 A_0 A_0 + H_1 (A_0 A_0) \frac{Y}{1} \phi_1 + H_2 (A_0 A_0) \frac{Y}{2} \phi_2 \\ & + M_1^2 \frac{Y}{1} \phi_1 + M_2^2 \frac{Y}{2} \phi_2 + M_3^2 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \phi_2 + \frac{Y}{2} \phi_1 \right) + \phi_1 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \phi_1 \right)^2 \\ & + \phi_2 \left(\frac{Y}{2} \phi_2 \right)^2 + \phi_3 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \phi_1 \right) \left(\frac{Y}{2} \phi_2 \right) + \phi_4 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \phi_2 \right) \left(\frac{Y}{2} \phi_1 \right); \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have labeled the couplings and masses after the second stage with bars and for simplicity we maintain the same notation for the fields. The explicit expressions for the parameters are given in Appendix B.2.

2.4 Third Stage

After the second stage the scalar fields we are left with are the two Higgs doublets and the A_0 triplet. For a phase transition to occur at least one of the thermal masses of the Higgses must become zero and then negative as the temperature decreases. At this temperature we can determine the mass of the other Higgs doublet; if it is heavy $\gg T$, it can be integrated out in a third stage, together with the A_0 field.

To determine which is the correct scalar Higgs field which is heavy at the critical temperature one can analyze the eigenvalues of the mass matrix as a function of the temperature. We find that only with fine-tuning can one have two light Higgs fields in addition to the spatial gauge fields.

The critical temperature T_c , for which only one of the eigenvalues of the mass matrix is zero is determined from the following equation,

$$M_1^2(T_c) M_2^2(T_c) = (M_3^2)^2(T_c); \quad (10)$$

Upon diagonalization of the scalar mass matrix the expression for the mass of the heavy Higgs field at the critical temperature is,

$$\mathcal{L}_2(T_c) = M_1^{-2}(T_c) + M_2^{-2}(T_c): \quad (11)$$

We denote by λ_i , the quartic Higgs coupling interactions in the second stage after diagonalization to the mass eigenstate basis requiring one light Higgs. Where λ_1 is the quartic self-coupling of the massless Higgs field and λ_3, λ_4 are quartic couplings between the light and heavy Higgs scalar fields,

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1 \cos^4 \theta + \lambda_2 \sin^4 \theta + (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta \quad (12)$$

$$\lambda_3 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_3 (\cos^4 \theta + \sin^4 \theta) - 2\lambda_4 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda_4 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta - 2\lambda_3 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_4 (\cos^4 \theta + \sin^4 \theta) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2M_3^{-2}}{(M_1^{-2} - M_2^{-2})}: \quad (15)$$

We now proceed to the third stage in which we integrate out the massive scalars which are left in the theory.³ We are then left with an expression for the strengths of the interactions of the static magnetic fields and the light Higgs field, in terms of the quantities of the previous stage. In particular, we obtain the expression for the effective 3D gauge coupling

$$g_3^2 = G^2 \left(1 - \frac{G^2}{24} \left(\frac{1}{(T_c)} + \frac{1}{M_D} \right) \right); \quad (16)$$

and the effective Higgs self-coupling

³We have explicitly checked that the precise order of integration out of the A_0 field, before or after diagonalization, is not relevant up to terms $\sim g^6$.

$$\gamma_3 = \gamma_1 \left(\gamma_3^2 + \frac{\gamma_4^2}{2} + \gamma_3 \gamma_4 \right) \frac{1}{8 (T_c)} - \frac{3 (H_1 \cos^2 \theta + H_2 \sin^2 \theta)^2}{8 M_D}; \quad (17)$$

in terms of the original 4D parameters and the temperature. We point out that there is no wavefunction renormalization at the third stage as there is no trilinear coupling between the light Higgs and the heavy scalars.

If any of the squark (slepton) thermal masses were of the order of \overline{M}_D rather than $\gg \overline{M}_D$ they would be integrated out at this point instead of previously. If, for example, we suppose the sleptons to be light the modifications to the second stage equations would be such that the sums in the equations of Appendix B 2 would not run over the sleptons. Furthermore, at the third stage after the rotation to the mass eigenstate basis the sleptons could be integrated out together with the heavy Higgs and the A_0 . The results of the third stage, equations (16), (17) would contain additional terms of the same form as the contributions in the second stage. However, it is clear that the expressions for the $\gamma_i, G^2, M_D, H_1^2, H_2^2, (T_c)$ would in general be different. We point out that the trilinear slepton-Higgs coupling would vary after the rotation to the mass eigenstate basis and the light Higgs field would suffer a wavefunction renormalization. In addition, if the slepton(s) is nearly massless then we cannot integrate it out, if we had some non-renormalizable terms would not be suppressed.

2.5 Non-Generic Case

In this section we present a short discussion of the non-generic case in which there are two light Higgs fields in the final 3D theory. If the parameters are fine tuned we could have a theory with two or more light scalar particles whose interactions are described by some potential⁴. In this case the infrared behaviour must be studied with new numerical simulations.

⁴The authors of reference [21] have suggested a scenario in which the right handed stop and one Higgs are light. For this case as they have pointed out one must be careful with

This re-tuned scenario can be realized in several different ways:

- two light Higgses (two doublets, a doublet and a singlet, etc.)
- a Higgs and a slepton.
- a Higgs and a squark (stop).

In this last case the main features are a screened $SU(3) A_0$ field, and spatial A_i gluonic fields which are not decoupled from the squark in the 3D theory. Numerical calculations must also take this into account and the scalar octet should be integrated out⁵. For parameters of the MSSM such that two scalars remain light, at the third stage only A_0 is integrated out. The expression of the two Higgs doublet potential for the case with two light Higgses is given in appendix B.3.

3 Other Models

In appendix C we give the full results of for the parameters of the effective 3D theory for the 2HDM and the NMSSM. Here we summarize salient characteristics of these models.

The reduction of a general 2HDM to a three dimensional effective theory is realized in only two stages and the main differences with the MSSM are:

- the Higgs couplings are not fixed in terms of the gauge couplings.
- there are additional scalar interaction terms.
- there are no superpartner contributions to the theory.
- the $SU(3)$ gauge bosons completely decouple once the fermions are integrated out.

colour (and charge) breaking minima of the scalar potential, or in the slepton case lepton number violation.

⁵In the generic case for the MSSM with one light Higgs, we did not have to worry about the $SU(3)$ fields once the squarks have been integrated out as they decouple from the rest of the particles in the theory, even though there is a Debye mass for the longitudinal gluonic field, etc.

- the off-diagonal Higgs mass term acquires a dominant contribution on the order of T^2 .

All of the above can in principle change considerably the dependence of the critical temperature on the parameters in the theory.

The reduction procedure with the addition of a singlet super field to the MSSM has the following features:

- it introduces additional couplings in the scalar and higgsino sector which are not determined in terms of g_s or g .
- the first stage 3D parameters $G, H, M_D, M_{Q_i}, M_{u_i}, M_{d_i}$ do not receive additional contributions.
- there are additional contributions to the wavefunction renormalizations of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .
- for values of the parameters for which the mass of the scalar singlet is on the order of the $SU(2)_D$ Higgs mass, after the second stage in which squarks and sleptons are integrated out, we are left with three scalar Higgs fields.

4 Conclusions

We have constructed, in the high temperature limit, effective three dimensional theories for the MSSM, a general 2HDM and the NMSSM which contain a single light scalar field. We obtained the full 1-loop relation between the couplings of the effective theory and the underlying 4D couplings and masses. For the case that two Higgs scalars are light at the phase transition, we have also given the expression for the two Higgs doublet potential whose infrared behaviour must be studied with numerical methods.

The original parameters of these theories can now be related to physical parameters at the electroweak scale. For the effective theories containing a single light scalar Higgs, this will allow us to evaluate the quantity $x_c = \beta_3/g_3^2$ as a function of the physical parameters. In this way, we can determine for which regions of parameter space the electroweak phase transition may be

sufficiently first order. The results for the MSSM will be presented elsewhere [22].

Acknowledgments: I would like to especially thank Glennys Farrar for proposing this line of research, as well as many inspiring discussions on the physics related to this paper and comments on the manuscript. I am also indebted to Mikhail Shaposhnikov whom I thank for many useful discussions. I have benefited from discussions with P. Arnold and email correspondence with S. Martin. Research supported in part by Colciencias, Colombia.

A M SSM in Four Dimensions

Our 4-dimensional Lagrangian will be a supersymmetric $SU(3) \times SU(2)$ gauge theory with the same particle content as in the M SSM with the exclusion of $U(1)$ vector particles and corresponding superpartner [17, 18, 19].

The M SSM chiral superfield content is

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e} \\ \hat{e} \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{E}^c \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{d} \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{U}^c \quad \hat{D}^c \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{1}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{1}_1 \\ \hat{1}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{2}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{2}_2 \\ \hat{2}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

When we refer to the scalar component of the superfield we will drop the hat.

Instead of writing out explicitly the full 4D Lagrangian we will denote only the quantities we will need to refer to. In particular, the Yukawa interactions are derived from the superpotential, which for the M SSM is

$$\begin{aligned} W = & (\hat{1}_1 \hat{2}_2 + \hat{1}_1 \hat{2}_2) + f_u (\hat{2}_2 \hat{u} \hat{d} \hat{2}_2) \hat{U}^c \\ & + f_d (\hat{1}_1 \hat{d} + \hat{u} \hat{1}_1) \hat{D}^c \\ & + f_e (\hat{1}_1 \hat{e} + \hat{e} \hat{1}_1) \hat{E}^c: \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In order to maintain supersymmetry's virtue of stabilizing the electroweak scale via the cancellation of quadratic divergences it is standard to introduce SUSY breaking terms which do not reintroduce this type of divergence, so-called soft SUSY breaking terms. In particular, there are new scalar interactions proportional to terms in the superpotential as well as mass terms for

scalars, gauginos and higgsinos. The scalar interactions are obtained replacing each chiral super field in the superpotential by its corresponding scalar component. Without any further assumptions we would have an extraordinary amount of parameters which make it extremely difficult to do phenomenology. To simplify our parameter space we assume above the SUSY breaking scale:

1. A unified gaugino mass, $m_{1=2}; m_g$.
2. Common mass for squarks and sleptons m_0^2 .
3. A universal parameter. In the formulae presented in this paper we have kept all Yukawa coupling dependence, although with the exception of the top Yukawa coupling these contributions generally can be dropped.

The scalar Higgs self-interactions generate, along with the soft terms for the scalar Higgs fields, a two Higgs doublet potential of the form

$$V(\phi_1; \phi_2) = m_1^2 \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 + m_2^2 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 + m_3^2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1) + \frac{1}{4} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) + \frac{1}{4} (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_1) \quad (22)$$

in which the quartic couplings are fixed in terms of the gauge coupling constants. We comment that in order to express the scalar potential in this way the ϕ_1 field has been SU(2) rotated. All μ_i are real and fixed by supersymmetry at some high scale to be

$$\mu_1 = \frac{g^2}{8}; \quad \mu_2 = \frac{g^2}{8} \quad (23)$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{g^2}{4}; \quad \mu_4 = \frac{g^2}{2} \quad (24)$$

in the $g^0 = 0$ limit. As is well known the model contains five physical Higgs bosons: a charged pair, two neutral CP-even scalars, and a neutral CP-odd scalar [17, 18, 19].

B Explicit Relationships between Parameters

B.1 First Stage Parameters

The explicit relations between the 3D coupling constants and masses expressed in terms of underlying 4D couplings and the temperature, obtained as a result of 1-loop integration are given below. These results reduce to the partial results given for the MSSM in the literature [15], as well as the Standard Model results [11], by taking the appropriate limit. The formulae of Appendix D was used to obtain the final results. N_c , N_f , N_s denote the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group, number of fermion doublets and number of scalar doublets, respectively. N_c is the number of colours and it is taken to be 3 for (s)leptons but we do not insert an explicit index for simplicity. N_{sq} is the number of squark and slepton doublets. The index i is a generation index. As the values of the A and μ parameters are not known we have kept the explicit dependence on these quantities throughout the calculation.

The thermal masses for the Higgs scalars are given by the evaluation of the diagrams in figure 1⁶:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1^2 = & m_1^2 \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + \frac{3}{16} g^2 T^2 \\
& + N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{12} + T^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{6} + \frac{4}{12} \right) + N_c \sum_i \frac{f_{d_i}^2}{6} T^2 + N_{sq} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{4}{2} \right) \frac{T^2}{6} \\
& + \frac{g^2}{8} T^2 - \frac{L_b}{16^2} (6 m_1^2 + 2 m_2^2 + 4 m_3^2 + 2 N_c m_o^2 \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + N_c \sum_i (f_{d_i}^2 A^2 \\
& + f_{u_i}^2 \mu^2) + N_{sq} m_o^2 (2 m_3^2 + m_4^2) + 3 (g^2 + m_{1=2}^2 g^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$M_2^2 = m_2^2 \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + \frac{3}{16} g^2 T^2$$

⁶Figures were drawn using feynmf.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{12} + T^2 \left(\frac{2}{2} + \frac{3}{6} + \frac{4}{12} \right) + N_c \sum_i \frac{f_{u_i}^2}{6} T^2 + N_{sq} \left(\frac{3}{3} + \frac{4}{2} \right) \frac{T^2}{6} \\
& + \frac{g^2}{8} T^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} (6 m_2^2 + 2 m_3^2 + 4 m_1^2 + 2 N_c m_o^2 \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + N_c \sum_i (f_{u_i}^2 A^2 \\
& + f_{d_i}^2)) + N_{sq} m_o^2 (2 m_3^2 + 4 m_1^2) + 3 (g^2 + m_{1=2}^2 g^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3^2 &= m_3^2 \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i \left(\frac{f_{d_i}^2}{2} + \frac{f_{u_i}^2}{2} \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{L_b}{16^2} (2 m_4^2 + m_3^2) + N_c A \sum_i (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \\
&+ 3 (g^2 m_{1=2}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_b &= 2 \log \frac{4e}{4 T} \\
&= \log 4 T^2 + \log \frac{2}{4} \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_f = L_b + 4 \ln 2: \quad (29)$$

m_4 is the mass scale defined by the \overline{MS} scheme. For every 3D parameter, the bracket multiplying the corresponding 4D parameter contains the wavefunction renormalization correction. We mention specially that the scalar-gauge boson loop contributes only to the wavefunction renormalization of the ϕ field, while the fermionic loops contribute to the wavefunction renormalization and the mass.

The Debye mass induced for the temporal component of the $SU(2)$ gauge field has additional contributions from those of the Standard Model arising from higgsino, squarks, sleptons and chargino contributions as shown in figure 2.




Figure 1: Feynman diagrams contributing to the mass of the scalar Higgses and to wavefunction renormalization.




Figure 2: Diagrams contributing to the mass of the A_0 field and wavefunction renormalization of the gauge fields. We use a wavy line for both spatial and temporal components of the gauge fields.

$$M_D^2 = \frac{g^2 T^2}{6} (6 + N_s + N_F = 2 + N_H = 2): \quad (30)$$

Figure 3 shows the diagrams which contribute to the mass terms for a squark (slepton) doublet. For the up and down right handed squarks (sleptons) we must neglect the diagrams with gauge boson and gaugino loops.

$$\begin{aligned} M_Q^{i^2} = & m_o^2 (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\ & \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + \frac{2}{3} g_s^2 T^2 + \frac{3}{16} g^2 T^2 + (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{T^2}{12} + T^2 (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{3} + \frac{4}{6}) \\ & + (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{T^2}{6} + \frac{g^2}{8} T^2 - \frac{L_b}{16^2} (\frac{4}{3} g_s^2 m_o^2 + 6 m_o^2 + m_o^2 (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2)) \\ & + f_{d_i}^2 m_1^2 + f_{u_i}^2 m_2^2 + (f_{d_i}^2 A^2 + f_{u_i}^2 A^2) + (f_{u_i}^2 A^2 + f_{d_i}^2 A^2) + 2 m_3 (m_1^2 + m_2^2) \\ & + m_4 (m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (3 m_{1=2}^2 g^2 + \frac{16}{3} m_g^2 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} + 2 T^2 (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\ & + \frac{T^2}{24} (N_{sq} - 1) (4 m_3 + 2 m_4) - (N_{sq} - 1) m_o^2 (2 m_3 + m_4) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_u^{i^2} = & m_o^2 (1 - 2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + \frac{2}{3} g_s^2 T^2 + f_{u_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{3} + f_{u_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{6} \\ & \frac{L_b}{16^2} [\frac{4}{3} g_s^2 m_o^2 + f_{u_i}^2 (2 m_2^2 + 2 T^2 + 2 A^2 + 2 m_o^2)] \\ & + (4 T^2 f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{16}{3} m_g^2 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_d^{i^2} = & m_o^2 (1 - 2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + \frac{2}{3} g_s^2 T^2 + f_{d_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{3} + f_{d_i}^2 \frac{T^2}{6} \\ & \frac{L_b}{16^2} [\frac{4}{3} g_s^2 m_o^2 + f_{d_i}^2 (2 m_1^2 + 2 T^2 + 2 A^2 + 2 m_o^2)] \\ & + (4 T^2 f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{16}{3} m_g^2 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The expressions for the slepton masses are omitted although they may be readily obtained by excluding the g_s corrections, noting that there is no right




Figure 3: Diagrams contributing to the mass and wavefunction renormalization of the squarks and sleptons.

handed sneutrino and dropping all $f_{u_i}^2$ contributions to M_Q , and M_{d_i} . This is because the sleptons do not have a Yukawa-type coupling to the ϕ_2 eld.

In gure 4 we show the diagrams contributing to the quartic Higgs couplings. The full expressions for the scalar couplings are

$$\begin{aligned}
_1 = & \quad _1 T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + T \left[\frac{9}{16} g^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{8} \frac{g^4}{16^2} + N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \left(12 \frac{^2}{1} + \frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{2} + \frac{^2}{3} + 4 \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + N_c \left[\left(\frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right) \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \sum_i f_{d_i}^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right] \\
& + N_{sq} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right)^2}{2} \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{^2}{2} \frac{L_b}{16^2} + \frac{5}{4} g^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right] \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
_2 = & \quad _2 T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + T \left[\frac{9}{16} g^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{8} \frac{g^4}{16^2} + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \left(12 \frac{^2}{2} + \frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{2} + \frac{^2}{3} + 4 \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + N_c \left[\left(\frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right) \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \sum_i f_{u_i}^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right] \\
& + N_{sq} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right)^2}{2} \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{^2}{2} \frac{L_b}{16^2} + \frac{5}{4} g^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right] \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
_3 = & \quad _3 T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + T \left[\frac{9}{8} g^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{4} \frac{g^4}{16^2} \left(6 \frac{^2}{1} \frac{^2}{3} + 6 \frac{^2}{2} \frac{^2}{3} + 2 \frac{^2}{1} \frac{^2}{4} + 2 \frac{^2}{2} \frac{^2}{4} + 2 \frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + N_c \sum_i \left[\left(\frac{^2}{3} + \frac{^2}{4} \right) (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) + 2f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2 \right] \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_{sq} \left[\left(2 \frac{^2}{3} + 2 \frac{^2}{3} + 4 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{^2}{4} \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + \frac{5}{2} g^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right] \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: Diagrams contributing to the quartic Higgs couplings.

$$\begin{aligned}
4 = & 4T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) + T \left[(2 - 1 - 4 \right. \\
& + 2 - 2 - 4 + 2 - 2 + 4 - 3 - 4) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + N_c \sum_i [(f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + N_{sq} \frac{2}{4} \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 2g^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2}]: \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

There are similar diagrams to those in figure 4 for the quartic couplings of the Higgses to squarks and sleptons which have not been shown. They make the following contributions to these couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{d_i}^{L^2} = & f_{d_i}^2 T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right. \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3}g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \left. \right) - \frac{T}{2} \left[(2 - 4 - 4f_{u_i}^2 + 4 - 4f_{d_i}^2 + 2f_{d_i}^4 + 4 - 3 - 4 \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4 {}_3f_{d_i}^2 + 2 {}_2{}_4 + 2 {}_1f_{d_i}^2 + 2 {}_1{}_4 + 2 {}_2f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{4}{3} ({}_4 + f_{d_i}^2)g_s^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& [(\mathbb{N}_{sq} - 1)({}_4^2) + N_c {}_4^X \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 - {}_4f_{d_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2g^4 - \frac{16}{3}f_{d_i}^2g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3^{i1} & = {}_3T (1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \mathbb{N}_c {}_i^X \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3}g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + T [\frac{9}{8}g^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{g^4}{16^2} - (\frac{4}{3} {}_3g_s^2 + {}_4{}_3^2 \\
& + {}_6{}_1{}_3 + 2 {}_4^2 + 2 {}_4f_{d_i}^2 + 2f_{d_i}^4 + 6 {}_2{}_3 + 2 {}_3{}_4 + {}_4f_{u_i}^2 + {}_3f_{u_i}^2 \\
& + 2 {}_1{}_4 + 2 {}_2f_{d_i}^2 + 2 {}_2{}_4 + 2 {}_1f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - [(\mathbb{N}_{sq} - 1)({}_3^2 + 2 {}_3{}_4 \\
& + {}_4^2) + N_c ({}_3 + {}_4)^X \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 - ({}_3 + {}_4)f_{d_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2f_{d_i}^4 + \frac{5g^4}{2}) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_4^{i1} & = {}_4T (1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \mathbb{N}_c {}_i^X \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3}g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - \frac{T}{2} [({}_4^2 - {}_4f_{u_i}^2 + 4 {}_4f_{d_i}^2 + 2f_{d_i}^4 + 4 {}_3{}_4 \\
& + 4 {}_3f_{d_i}^2 + 2 {}_2{}_4 + 2 {}_1f_{d_i}^2 + 2 {}_1{}_4 + 2 {}_2f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{4}{3} ({}_4 + f_{d_i}^2)g_s^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& [(\mathbb{N}_{sq} - 1)({}_4^2) + N_c {}_4^X \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 - {}_4f_{d_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2g^4 - \frac{16}{3}f_{d_i}^2g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

$$f_{u_i}^{L2} = f_{u_i}^2 T (1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \mathbb{N}_c {}_i^X \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - \frac{T}{2} [(\frac{2}{4} - 4f_{d_i}^2 + 4_4 f_{u_i}^2 + 2f_{u_i}^4 + 4_3 - 4 \\
& + 4_3 f_{u_i}^2 + 2_2 - 4 + 2_1 f_{u_i}^2 + 2_1 - 4 + 2_2 f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{4}{3} (- 4 + f_{u_i}^2) g_s^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& [(N_{sq} - 1) (\frac{2}{4}) + N_c - 4 \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 - 4 f_{u_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2g^4 - \frac{16}{3} f_{u_i}^2 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3^{i2} = & - 3T (1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + T [\frac{9}{8} g^4 \frac{L_b}{16^2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{g^4}{16^2} - (\frac{4}{3} - 3g_s^2 + 4_3 - 4 \\
& + 6_1 - 3 + 2_2 - 4 + 2_4 f_{u_i}^2 + 2f_{u_i}^4 + 6_2 - 3 + 2_3 - 4 + 4f_{d_i}^2 + 3f_{d_i}^2 \\
& + 2_1 - 4 + 2_2 f_{u_i}^2 + 2_2 - 4 + 2_1 f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - [(N_{sq} - 1) (2_3 - 2 + 2_3 - 4 \\
& + \frac{2}{4}) N_c (- 3 + - 4) - \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 - (- 3 + - 4) f_{u_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2f_{u_i}^4 + \frac{5g^4}{2}) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_4^{i2} = & - 4T (1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - \frac{T}{2} [(\frac{2}{4} - 4f_{d_i}^2 + 4_4 f_{u_i}^2 + 2f_{u_i}^4 + 4_3 - 4 \\
& + 4_3 f_{u_i}^2 + 2_2 - 4 + 2_1 f_{u_i}^2 + 2_1 - 4 + 2_2 f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{4}{3} (- 4 + f_{u_i}^2) g_s^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& [(N_{sq} - 1) (\frac{2}{4}) + N_c - 4 \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 - 4 f_{u_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + (2g^4 - \frac{16}{3} f_{u_i}^2 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$f_{d_i}^{R2} = f_{d_i}^2 T (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + 2f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + T [\frac{L_b}{16^2} (\frac{4}{3} f_{d_i}^2 g_s^2 + 2_{3+4} \\
& + f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 + 3f_{d_i}^4 + 6_{11} f_{d_i}^2) + \frac{L_f}{16^2} (\frac{16}{3} f_{d_i}^2 g_s^2 + 2f_{d_i}^4 + 3f_{d_i}^2 g^2)] \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{u_i}^{R^2} &= f_{u_i}^2 T (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + 2f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + T [\frac{L_b}{16^2} (\frac{4}{3} f_{u_i}^2 g_s^2 + 2_{3+4} \\
& + f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 + 3f_{u_i}^4 + 6_{22} f_{u_i}^2) + \frac{L_f}{16^2} (\frac{16}{3} f_{u_i}^2 g_s^2 + 2f_{u_i}^4 + 3f_{u_i}^2 g^2)] \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to point out that the $\frac{T}{2}$ factor in the expressions for $\frac{Q_{i1}}{4}$, $f_{d_i}^{L^2}$, $\frac{Q_{i2}}{4}$ and $f_{u_i}^{L^2}$ is due to the fact that the sum of the first two is the full quartic coupling to the ϕ_1 field, and analogously for the second pair.

As explained in [11] we can obtain the gauge and quartic A_0 -scalar doublet couplings from the same set of diagrams depicted in figure 5:

$$G^2 = g^2 T [1 + \frac{g^2}{16^2} (\frac{44}{6} N_s L_b - \frac{1}{3} (N_f + N_H + 4) L_f + \frac{2}{3})] \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{g^2 T}{4} [1 + \frac{g^2}{16^2} (\frac{44}{6} N_s L_b - \frac{1}{3} (N_f + N_H + 4) L_f) \\
& + \frac{1}{16^2} (\frac{35}{6} g^2 - \frac{N_s}{3} g^2 + \frac{g^2}{3} (N_F + N_H + 4) + 12_{11} \\
& + 2(N_s - 1)(2_{3+4}))] : \quad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

The scalar trilinear couplings are also modified as can be seen in figure 6:

$$\begin{aligned}
A f_{u_i} &= A f_{u_i} T^{1=2} (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (\frac{f_{d_i}^2}{2} + \frac{N_c}{2} \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - A f_{u_i} T^{1=2} (_{3+4} + 2_{4+} + 3f_{u_i}^2 + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2 \\
& \frac{4}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - m_{1=2} f_{u_i} T^{1=2} (3g^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - m_g f_{u_i} T^{1=2} (\frac{16}{3} g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 5: Diagrams contributing to the gauge and quartic A_0 -scalar couplings.




Figure 6: Diagrams contributing to the trilinear scalar couplings.

$$\begin{aligned}
A f_{d_i} &= A f_{d_i} T^{1=2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \left(\frac{f_{u_i}^2}{2} + \frac{N_c}{2} \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{d_i}^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right. \\
&+ \left. 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) A f_{d_i} T^{1=2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + 3 f_{d_i}^2 + N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2 \right. \\
&\left. \frac{4}{3} g_s^2 \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - m_{1=2} f_{d_i} T^{1=2} (3 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - m_{\vartheta} f_{d_i} T^{1=2} \left(\frac{16}{3} g_s^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (49)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{u_i} &= f_{u_i} T^{1=2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{u_i}^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right. \\
&+ \left. 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) f_{u_i} T^{1=2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 - 2 f_{d_i}^2 \right. \\
&\left. \frac{4}{3} g_s^2 \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + f_{u_i} T^{1=2} (2 f_{d_i}^2 - 3 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{d_i} &= f_{d_i} T^{1=2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{d_i}^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right. \\
&+ \left. 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) f_{d_i} T^{1=2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{4} + N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 - 2 f_{u_i}^2 \right. \\
&\left. \frac{4}{3} g_s^2 \right) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + f_{d_i} T^{1=2} (2 f_{u_i}^2 - 3 g_s^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} : \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

We comment that at zero temperature the beta function coefficients for the four trilinear couplings given above, which are products of two parameters,

can be obtained from the running of each parameter separately. This is true up to an arbitrary number of loops.

B.2 Second Stage Parameters

The gauge coupling is given by the expression

$$G^2 = G^2 (1 + \sum_i N_c \frac{G^2}{24 M_Q^i}) : \quad (52)$$

A clear difference appears now in the coupling of A_0 to ψ_1 and ψ_2 which is not protected by any symmetry. How large this difference is depends strongly on the values of the soft-breaking parameters. In general, the expressions simplify considerably if we ignore the trilinear scalar interaction terms. Additional diagrams, shown in figure 7, which were suppressed by powers of T^2 for the first stage are included. We point out that the box diagram with two external scalar Higgs legs only contributes to the four point function which determines G^2 , as there is no trilinear A_0 interactions in the three dimensional theory.

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 = & H (1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3}) \\ & + \sum_i N_c [H (2 \frac{Q_{i1}}{3} + \frac{Q_{i1}}{4}) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + H f_{d_i}^L \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \\ & + H \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} (\frac{f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} + \frac{f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2})] \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 = & H (1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3}) \\ & + \sum_i N_c [H (2 \frac{Q_{i2}}{3} + \frac{Q_{i2}}{4}) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + H f_{u_i}^L \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \\ & + H \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} (\frac{f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} + \frac{f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2})] : \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$




Figure 7: Additional diagrams included in the second stage.

The elements of the scalar Higgs doublet mass matrix are now given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1^2 = & M_1^2 \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right) \\
 & + \sum_i N_c \left[(f_{d_i}^L)^2 + 2 \frac{Q_i^1}{3} + \frac{Q_i^1}{4} \right] \frac{M_Q^i}{4} + f_{d_i}^R \frac{M_d^i}{4} \\
 & + \frac{f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} + \frac{f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)} \quad (55)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_2^2 = & M_2^2 \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \right) \\
 & + \sum_i N_c \left[(f_{u_i}^L)^2 + 2 \frac{Q_i^2}{3} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4} \right] \frac{M_Q^i}{4} + f_{u_i}^R \frac{M_u^i}{4} \\
 & + \frac{f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)} + \frac{f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} \quad (56)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3^2 = & M_3^2 \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right. \\
& + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \Big) \\
& + \sum_i N_c \left[\frac{f_{u_i}^2 A}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)} + \frac{f_{d_i}^2 A}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} \right] : \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

The resulting D boson mass from the second stage of integration is

$$M_D^2 = M_D^2 + \sum_i N_c H \frac{M_Q^i}{4} : \quad (58)$$

We remark that the previous quantity is always positive for values of the parameters for which both perturbation theory and the high temperature expansion are valid.

The resulting quartic Higgs couplings are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 = & \lambda_1 \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{6 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{6 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right) \\
& + \sum_i N_c \left[\frac{(\frac{Q_3^i}{3} + \frac{Q_4^i}{4})^2}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + \frac{\frac{Q_3^i}{3}}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{Q_3^i}{3} + \frac{Q_4^i}{4} \right) f_{d_i}^L \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + \frac{f_{d_i}^L{}^4}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + \frac{f_{d_i}^R{}^4}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_d^i} \\
& + f_{d_i}^2 A^2 \left(\frac{Q_3^i}{3} + \frac{Q_4^i}{4} + f_{d_i}^L{}^2 \right) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} \\
& + f_{u_i}^2 A^2 \frac{Q_3^i}{3} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} + f_{d_i}^2 A^2 f_{d_i}^R{}^2 \frac{1}{8 M_d^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} \\
& \left. + f_{u_i}^4 \frac{1}{8 M_u^i M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} + f_{d_i}^4 \frac{1}{8 M_d^i M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \right] \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_2 \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{6 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{6 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_i N_c \left[\frac{(\frac{Q_i^2}{3} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4})^2}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} - \frac{\frac{Q_i^2}{3}}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (\frac{Q_i^2}{3} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4}) f_{u_i}^{L^2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} - \frac{f_{u_i}^{L^4}}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} - \frac{f_{u_i}^{R^4}}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_u^i} \right. \\
& + f_{u_i}^2 A^2 (\frac{Q_i^2}{3} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + f_{u_i}^{L^2}) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} \\
& + f_{d_i}^2 \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} + f_{u_i}^2 A^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{1}{8 M_u^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} \\
& \left. f_{d_i}^4 \frac{1}{8 M_d^i M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} - f_{u_i}^4 A^4 \frac{1}{8 M_u^i M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right] \quad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
_3 = & \quad _3 (1 \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \\
& \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3}) \\
& + \sum_i N_c \left[\frac{Q_i^1}{2} \frac{Q_i^1}{3} \frac{Q_i^2}{3} + \frac{Q_i^1}{4} \frac{Q_i^1}{4} \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q_i^1}{3} \frac{Q_i^1}{4} \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q_i^2}{3} \frac{Q_i^1}{4} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \right. \\
& \quad \left. (f_{u_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^1}{4} + f_{d_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + 2 f_{u_i}^{L^2} f_{d_i}^{L^2} + f_{u_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^1}{3} + f_{d_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^2}{3}) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \right. \\
& + f_{u_i}^2 (f_{d_i}^{L^2} A^2 + \frac{Q_i^2}{3} + A^2 \frac{Q_i^1}{4} + \frac{Q_i^1}{3} A^2) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} \\
& + f_{d_i}^2 (f_{u_i}^{L^2} A^2 + \frac{Q_i^1}{3} + A^2 \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + \frac{Q_i^2}{3} A^2) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} \\
& + f_{u_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{1}{8 M_u^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} + f_{d_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{1}{8 M_d^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} \\
& \quad 2 ((f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^4 - 2 f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 A^2 + f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 A^4) f(M_Q^i; M_u^i; M_d^i) \\
& + f_{d_i}^4 A^2 f(M_Q^i; M_d^i; M_d^i) + f_{u_i}^4 A^2 f(M_Q^i; M_u^i; M_u^i))] \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

$$_4 = \quad _4 (1 \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \times \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \\
& + \sum_i N_c \left[\frac{Q_i^1}{4} \frac{Q_i^2}{4} \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} + (f_{u_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^1}{4} + f_{d_i}^{L^2} \frac{Q_i^2}{4} + 2 f_{u_i}^{L^2} f_{d_i}^{L^2}) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \right. \\
& + (f_{u_i}^{2^2} (f_{u_i}^{L^2} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4}) - (f_{d_i}^{L^2} + \frac{Q_i^1}{4}) f_{u_i}^2 A^2) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_u^i)^2} \\
& + (f_{d_i}^{2^2} (f_{d_i}^{L^2} + \frac{Q_i^1}{4}) - (f_{u_i}^{L^2} + \frac{Q_i^2}{4}) f_{d_i}^2 A^2) \frac{1}{8 M_Q^i} \frac{1}{(M_Q^i + M_d^i)^2} \\
& \left. 2 (f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^4 - 2 f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^2 A^2 + f_{d_i}^2 f_{u_i}^4) f(M_Q^i; M_u^i; M_d^i) \right. \\
& \left. + 2 f_{u_i}^4 A^2 f(M_Q^i; M_u^i; M_u^i) + 2 f_{d_i}^4 A^2 f(M_Q^i; M_u^i; M_d^i) \right] \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(m_1; m_2; m_3) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{2m_1 + m_2 + m_3}{m_1 (m_1 + m_3)^2 (m_1 + m_2)^2 (m_2 + m_3)} : \quad (63)$$

B.3 Two Light Higgses

As mentioned in section 2.5, for the case in which both eigenvalues of the mass matrix of the Higgs doublets are such that we cannot integrate out one of the scalar Higgs fields, the third stage corresponds to the integration of only the A_0 field. Since there is no trilinear A_0 interaction term there is no wavefunction renormalization at this stage. Consequently, the two Higgs doublet potential is

$$\begin{aligned}
V(A_0; \varphi_1; \varphi_2) = & m_1^2 \frac{\varphi_1}{1} + m_2^2 \frac{\varphi_2}{2} + m_3^2 \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{1} \frac{\varphi_2}{2} + \frac{\varphi_2}{2} \frac{\varphi_1}{1} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{1} \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varphi_2}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{1} \right) \left(\frac{\varphi_2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi_1}{1} \right) \left(\frac{\varphi_2}{2} \right); \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_1^2 = M_1^2 - 3H_1 \frac{M_D}{4} \quad (65)$$

$$m_2^2 = M_2^2 - 3H_2 \frac{M_D}{4} \quad (66)$$

$$m_1^2 = m_1^2 - 3 \frac{H_1^2}{8 M_D} \quad (67)$$

$$m_2^2 = m_2^2 - 3 \frac{H_2^2}{8 M_D} \quad (68)$$

$$m_3^2 = m_3^2 - 6 \frac{H_1 H_2}{8 M_D} \quad (69)$$

and $m_3^2 = M_3^2$ and $m_4^2 = m_4^2$.

C 2HDM and NMSSM

Our discussion of the 2HDM and NMSSM will be brief as we have already introduced all of the relevant points in presenting the effective theory for the MSSM. We will limit ourselves as much as possible to giving our results after each stage.

C.1 Two Higgs Doublet Model

In the case of a general two Higgs doublet model the scalar potential can contain additional quartic terms of the form,

$$V = \lambda_5 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \right)_2 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \right)_2 + \lambda_6 \left(\frac{Y}{1} \right)_1 \left(\frac{Y}{2} \right)_1 + \lambda_7 \left(\frac{Y}{2} \right)_2 \left(\frac{Y}{2} \right)_1 + \text{h.c.} \quad (70)$$

In this case the values of the λ_i are not expressed in terms of the weak coupling constant. We take all parameters to be real.

The reduction procedure differs from that of the MSSM because the model does not contain superpartners. This implies that we will have only two

stages of reduction. The first one would once again correspond to the integration out of the heavy non-static modes. Consequently, the SU (3) gauge particles decouple when the fermions are eliminated. The resulting theory for the static modes is described by a scalar potential with scalar masses

$$M_D^2 = \frac{g^2 T^2}{6} (4 + N_s + N_F = 2) \quad (71)$$

$$M_1^2 = 6m_3^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (72)$$

$$M_2^2 = 6m_3^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (73)$$

$$M_3^2 = \frac{T^2}{4} (\epsilon_6 + \epsilon_7) (12m_5^2 + 3m_6^2 + 3m_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} : \quad (74)$$

We note that, unlike in the MSSM, the M_3^2 term receives a contribution proportional to T^2 , which is in fact the dominant correction. The quartic Higgs couplings are modified by the terms:

$$\lambda_1 = T (2\epsilon_5^2 + 6\epsilon_6^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (75)$$

$$\lambda_2 = T (2\epsilon_5^2 + 6\epsilon_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (76)$$

$$\lambda_3 = T (4\epsilon_5^2 + 2\epsilon_6^2 + 8\epsilon_6\epsilon_7 + 2\epsilon_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (77)$$

$$\lambda_4 = T (32\epsilon_5^2 + 5\epsilon_6^2 + 2\epsilon_6\epsilon_7 + 5\epsilon_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \quad (78)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_5 = & \epsilon_5 T (1 + \frac{9}{2} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - T (4\epsilon_1\epsilon_5 + 4\epsilon_2\epsilon_5 \\ & + 8\epsilon_3\epsilon_5 + 12\epsilon_4\epsilon_5 + 5\epsilon_6^2 + 2\epsilon_6\epsilon_7 + 5\epsilon_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
6 = & \quad 6T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i^X \left(\frac{f_{u_i}^2}{2} + \frac{3f_{d_i}^2}{2} \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) - T (12 \quad_1 \quad_6 + 3 \quad_3 \quad_6 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \quad_4 \quad_4 \quad_6 + 10 \quad_5 \quad_6 + 3 \quad_3 \quad_7 + 2 \quad_4 \quad_7 + 2 \quad_5 \quad_7) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right) \quad (80)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
7 = & \quad 7T \left(1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i^X \left(\frac{f_{d_i}^2}{2} + \frac{3f_{u_i}^2}{2} \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) - T (12 \quad_2 \quad_7 + 3 \quad_3 \quad_7 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \quad_4 \quad_4 \quad_7 + 10 \quad_5 \quad_7 + 3 \quad_3 \quad_6 + 2 \quad_4 \quad_6 + 2 \quad_5 \quad_6) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \right) : \quad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

We have written above only the additional contributions but we remind the reader that superpartner contributions to the formulae in Appendix B must be dropped. This is true for the G and H couplings as well, which do not receive new additional contributions from the extra interaction terms.

For the second stage there are two possibilities. First, as in the generic case of the MSSM, after the first stage one Higgs is much heavier than the other and it can be integrated out with the A_0 field after the mass matrix has been diagonalized. This is completely analogous to the procedure in section 2.4, with the parameters changed as indicated above (ignoring all bars in the parameters of section 2.4). The expressions for the μ_i in equations (12), (13) and (14) have additional contributions from the μ_5 , μ_6 and μ_7 terms:

$$\mu_1 = 2 \mu_5 \cos^2 \sin^2 + 2 \mu_6 \cos^4 \sin + \mu_7 \sin^3 \cos \quad (82)$$

$$\mu_3 = 4 \mu_5 \cos^2 \sin^2 - (2 \mu_6 - 2 \mu_7) (\cos^3 \sin - \sin^3 \cos) \quad (83)$$

$$\mu_4 = 4 \mu_5 \cos^2 \sin^2 - (2 \mu_6 - 2 \mu_7) (\cos^3 \sin - \sin^3 \cos) \quad (84)$$

The second possibility is that both Higgs fields are light in which case only the A_0 field is integrated out at this second stage. This is identical to the situation described in Appendix B.3. The quantities y_5 , y_6 and y_7 are not modified by the A_0 field.

C.2 Next to Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

If we now turn to the supersymmetric case with an additional singlet superfield \hat{N} , we will have additional terms in the superpotential of the form [17, 18]

$$W = (\hat{y}_1 \hat{y}_2 + \hat{y}_1 \hat{y}_2) \hat{N}^3 - \frac{k}{3} \hat{N}^3 - r \hat{N} : \quad (85)$$

Consequently, the extra terms in the scalar potential, including additional soft SUSY breaking terms, are

$$\begin{aligned} V = & m_N N_1 N_2 + m_4 \frac{y}{1} N_2 + \frac{1}{3} m_5 N^3 + m_7^2 N^2 + y_5 \left(\frac{y}{1} N_1 \right) (N_1 N_2) \\ & + y_6 \left(\frac{y}{2} N_2 \right) (N_1 N_2) + y_7 \left(\frac{y}{1} N_2 \right) N^2 + y_8 (N_1 N_2)^2 + y_9 \left(\frac{y}{1} N_2 \right) \left(\frac{y}{2} N_1 \right) \\ & + N \left(\frac{y}{1} N_1 + \frac{y}{2} N_2 \right) + f_{d_i} \frac{y}{2} Q_i D_i N + f_{u_i} \frac{y}{1} Q_i U_i N + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The quartic couplings are expressed in terms of the parameters in the superpotential at the SUSY scale by

$$y_5 = y^2; \quad y_6 = y^2; \quad y_9 = y^2 \quad (87)$$

$$y_7 = k; \quad y_8 = k^2 : \quad (88)$$

We will have three reduction stages just as in the MSSM. For the first stage, we see that the G and H couplings and the weak and strong Debye masses are not modified because the particles we have introduced are gauge

singlets. The 3D squark masses are also not modified by the introduction of the singlets. We mention that there are additional contributions to the wavefunction renormalization of the ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 fields from the fermionic loops involving the singlet higgsino. For the scalar Higgs doublet masses and quartic couplings we have additional contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}^2 = & (\phi_5^2 + \phi_9^2 + \phi_{12}^2) \frac{T^2}{12} - m_{11}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} - (m_{29}^2 + m_{N5}^2) \\ & + (m_4^2 + 2\phi_{12}^2) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} + 2\phi_{12}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{22}^2 = & (\phi_6^2 + \phi_9^2 + \phi_{12}^2) \frac{T^2}{12} - m_{22}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} - (m_{19}^2 + m_{N6}^2) \\ & + (m_4^2 + 2\phi_{12}^2) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} + 2\phi_{12}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

$$M_{33}^2 = -m_3^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} - (2m_{39}^2 + 2\phi_{12}^2 m_4^2 - 2\phi_{12}^2 m_7^2) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} : \quad (91)$$

$$\lambda_1 = T^2 \phi_{11}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} + \left(\frac{\phi_5^2}{2} + \frac{\phi_9^2}{2} + (\phi_{12}^2 + \phi_4^2) \phi_9 \right) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} - \phi_{12}^4 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} \quad (92)$$

$$\lambda_2 = T^2 \phi_{22}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} + \left(\frac{\phi_6^2}{2} + \frac{\phi_9^2}{2} + (\phi_{12}^2 + \phi_4^2) \phi_9 \right) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} - \phi_{12}^4 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} \quad (93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3 = & T^2 \left(2\phi_{13}^2 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} + (\phi_5^2 \phi_6^2 + 2\phi_{11}^2 \phi_9^2 + 2\phi_{22}^2 \phi_9^2 + 2\phi_{49}^2 + \phi_9^2) \frac{L_b}{16\pi^2} \right) \\ & + 2\phi_{12}^4 \frac{L_f}{16\pi^2} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4 = & (4 + 9)T (1 + \frac{9}{2}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i (f_{u_i}^2 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& 2^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + T [(2 - 1(4 + 9) + 2 - 2(4 + 9) + 2(4 + 9)^2 \\
& + 4 - 3(4 + 9) + 2 - \frac{2}{7}) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + N_c \sum_i [4(f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2] \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& + N_{sq} \frac{2}{4} \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 2g^4 \frac{L_f}{16^2}]: \quad (95)
\end{aligned}$$

The interaction terms between the scalar Higgs doublets and the squarks and sleptons are modified by

$$Q_{31}^{i1} = T (- 3^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - (2^2 f_{u_i}^2 + 9(3 + 4 + f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \quad (96)$$

$$Q_{31}^{i2} = T (- 3^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - (2^2 f_{d_i}^2 + 9(3 + 4 + f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \quad (97)$$

$$(f_{d_i}^{L2} + \frac{Q_{41}^{i1}}{4}) = T ((f_{d_i}^2 + 4)^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + (2^2 f_{u_i}^2 + 9(f_{u_i}^2 + 4) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \quad (98)$$

$$(f_{u_i}^{L2} + \frac{Q_{41}^{i2}}{4}) = T ((f_{u_i}^2 + 4)^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} + (2^2 f_{d_i}^2 + 9(f_{d_i}^2 + 4) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 2^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \quad (99)$$

$$f_{u_i}^{R2} = T (f_{u_i}^2 - 2^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 2^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2^2 f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \quad (100)$$

$$f_{d_i}^{R2} = T (f_{d_i}^2 - 2^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 2^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} + 2^2 f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2}): \quad (101)$$

$$A f_{u_i} = T^{1=2} [A f_{u_i} \frac{^2 L_f}{2 16^2} + f_{u_i} m_4 \frac{L_b}{16^2}] \quad (102)$$

$$A f_{d_i} = T^{1=2} [f_{d_i} \frac{^2 L_f}{2 16^2} + f_{d_i} m_4 \frac{L_b}{16^2}] \quad (103)$$

$$f_{u_i} = T^{1=2} [f_{u_i} \frac{^2 L_f}{2 16^2} + ^2 f_{u_i} \frac{L_b}{16^2}] \quad (104)$$

$$f_{d_i} = T^{1=2} [f_{d_i} \frac{^2 L_f}{2 16^2} + ^2 f_{d_i} \frac{L_b}{16^2}] \quad (105)$$

The 3D expressions after the first stage for the mass and interaction terms of the singlet Higgs are:

$$\begin{aligned} 5 = & \quad 5T (1 + \frac{9}{4}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2}g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} (2k^2 + 3^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \\ & T [(6_{15} + 2_{5^2} + 2_{36} + 4_{6^2} + 4_{7^2} + 4_{58} \\ & + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (3g^2 + 8k^2 + 2^4) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6 = & \quad 6T (1 + \frac{9}{4}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2}g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} (2k^2 + 3^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) \\ & T [(6_{26} + 2_{6^2} + 2_{35} + 4_{5^2} + 4_{7^2} + 4_{68} \\ & + N_c \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (3g^2 + 8k^2 + 2^4) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 7 = & \quad 7T (1 + \frac{9}{4}g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i (\frac{f_{u_i}^2}{2} + \frac{f_{d_i}^2}{2}) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2}g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} (2k^2 \\ & + 3^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - T [(2_{67} + 2_{78} + 2_{47} + 2_{57} + 3_{7}) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\ & 2k^3 \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
_8 = & \quad _8 T (1 - 4(k^2 + ^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - T [(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{6} + 2 \frac{2}{7} + 10 \frac{2}{8}) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& (8k^4 + 2^4) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \quad (109)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_5 = & \quad m_5 T^{1=2} (1 - 3(k^2 + ^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - 3T^{\frac{1}{2}} [(2 - m_4 \\
& + 2 - m_5 + 2 - _6 + 2 - _5) \frac{L_b}{16^2} - 4^3 \frac{L_f}{16^2}]) \quad (110)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 = & \quad m_4 T^{1=2} (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \sum_i (\frac{f_{u_i}^2}{2} + \frac{f_{d_i}^2}{2}) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} (k^2 \\
& + 2^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - T^{1=2} [(4 - _7 + - m_4 + 2(- _4 + - _9) m_4 + - m_4 + - m_4 \\
& + 2 - m_5 + A - N_c \sum_i (f_{d_i}^2 + f_{u_i}^2)) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& (3g^2 m_{1=2} + 4k^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}] \quad (111)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_N = & \quad m_N^2 (1 - 2(k^2 + ^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) + (k^2 + ^2 + _5 + _6 + 2 - _8) \frac{T^2}{6} \\
& \frac{L_b}{16^2} (8 - ^2 + 2 - m_1^2 + 2 - m_2^2 + 4 - m_3^2 + 4 - m_N^2 + 2m_4^2 + 2m_5^2 \\
& 4m_7^2 - _8) + 12 - ^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \quad (112)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{u_i} = & \quad f_{u_i} T (1 + \frac{9}{4} g^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - (\frac{N_c}{2} \sum_i f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{d_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - (\frac{3}{2} - ^2 + k^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2}) - T [\frac{L_b}{16^2} (- 2 f_{u_i} f_{d_i}^2 \\
& \frac{4}{3} f_{u_i} g_s^2 + f_{u_i} - _3 + f_{u_i} - _5 - f_{u_i} - _4 + N_c \sum_i f_{u_i}^2) \\
& \frac{L_f}{16^2} (- 2 f_{u_i} f_{d_i}^2 + 3 f_{u_i} g^2)] \quad (113)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{d_i} = & f_{d_i} T \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{u_i}^2 + \frac{3}{2} f_{d_i}^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} \\
& + 4 g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - \frac{8}{3} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \left(\frac{3}{2} k^2 + k^2 \right) \frac{L_f}{16^2} - T \left[\frac{L_b}{16^2} (2 f_{d_i} f_{u_i}^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{4}{3} f_{d_i} g_s^2 + f_{d_i}^3 + f_{d_i}^6 - f_{d_i}^4 + N_c \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{d_i}^2 \right) \\
& \left. \frac{L_f}{16^2} (2 f_{d_i} f_{u_i}^2 + 3 f_{d_i}^2) \right]:
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

We denote the 3D coupling of the trilinear $\frac{Y}{1} \frac{1}{1} N$ term by J_1 and similarly for the $\frac{Y}{2} \frac{2}{2} N$ term by J_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 = & T^{1=2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{d_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - (k^2 + 2^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) \\
& T^{1=2} [(6_{-1} + 2_{-3} + 4_{-4} + 2_{-5} + N_c \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{u_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& (3g_s^2 + 2^3) \frac{L_f}{16^2}]
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 = & T^{1=2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} g_s^2 \frac{L_b}{16^2} - N_c \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{u_i}^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - \frac{3}{2} g_s^2 \frac{L_f}{16^2} - (k^2 + 2^2) \frac{L_f}{16^2} \right) \\
& T^{1=2} [(6_{-2} + 2_{-3} + 4_{-4} + 2_{-6} + N_c \left(\frac{N_c}{2} \right)_i^X f_{d_i}^2) \frac{L_b}{16^2} \\
& (3g_s^2 + 2^3) \frac{L_f}{16^2}]:
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

The second stage proceeds just like in the MSSM. The interaction terms between doublet and singlet Higgs fields are modified by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_5 = & \mathcal{L}_5 \left(1 - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right) \\
& \times \sum_i \frac{2 f_{u_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)}
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
6 = & \sum_i \left(1 - \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} - \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \right) \\
& \times \frac{f_{d_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} \quad (118)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 = & M_4 \left(1 - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} \right) \\
& \times \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2}{24 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \\
& \times N_c \left(\frac{A f_{d_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} + \frac{A f_{u_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)} \right) \quad (119)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 = & J_1 \left(1 - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right) \\
& \times N_c \frac{f_{u_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)} \quad (120)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 = & J_2 \left(1 - \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{u_i}^2 A^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)^3} + \sum_i \frac{N_c f_{d_i}^2}{12 (M_Q^i + M_u^i)^3} \right) \\
& \times N_c \frac{f_{d_i}^2}{4 (M_Q^i + M_d^i)} \quad (121)
\end{aligned}$$

There are no triangle and box diagram corrections to the above second stage quantities.

The scalars in the resulting theory are two Higgs doublets, a Higgs singlet and the A_0 triplet. Depending on the values of the parameters which determine the 3D mass of the singlet, if it is heavy ($\gg T$) it can be integrated out at the third stage after the diagonalization of the scalar doublets mass matrix. We remind the reader that we have determined the critical

temperature by finding the direction in which the curvature of the potential vanishes at the origin. Consequently, there are no mixing terms in the mass matrix between the doublets and the singlet Higgs fields. The additional contributions to γ_3 from the singlet, including wavefunction corrections, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3 = & \gamma_1 \left(\frac{\gamma_5^2 + \gamma_6^2}{6 (T_c + M_N)^3} \right) - \frac{\gamma_7^2}{2} \frac{1}{8 M_N} - 2 \gamma_7 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta \frac{1}{8 M_N} \\ & + \gamma_7 \left(\frac{\gamma_5^2 + \gamma_6^2}{8} \right) \frac{1}{(T_c) (T_c + M_N)^2} \\ & \left(\frac{\gamma_5^2 + \gamma_6^2}{8} \right)^2 \frac{1}{(T_c) M_N (T_c + M_N)^3} \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where

$$\gamma_5 = M_4 \cos^2 \theta - J_1 \sin \theta \cos \theta + J_2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad (123)$$

$$\gamma_6 = M_4 \sin^2 \theta - J_1 \sin \theta \cos \theta + J_2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \quad (124)$$

$$\gamma_7 = \gamma_5 \cos^2 \theta + \gamma_6 \sin^2 \theta : \quad (125)$$

D Appendix of Finite Temperature Formulae

In this appendix we include the basic integrals which appear in the calculation over the non-static modes. These results can be derived from formulae presented in the literature. We refer the reader to references [11, 12, 13] and references within for more details regarding finite temperature formulae.

D.1 $m = 0$.

Let us consider first the massless case. We can define the following quantities

$$A_s = \int_{\mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{2s}} = 2^{-2} T \frac{(\frac{D}{2} + s)}{(4)^{D=2} (s)} (2T)^{2s+D} (2s-D) \quad (126)$$

with $p^2 = \mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{l}_B^2$, $\mathfrak{l}_B = 2nT$, $D = 3 - 2$. For bosonic sums, $n = 0$ is excluded. Where,

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}} = \int_{\mathbb{P}_0}^{\infty} T^x \frac{d^{3-2} p}{(2)^{3-2}} \quad (127)$$

Similarly we have for fermionic excitations,

$$B_j = \int_{\mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \quad (128)$$

with $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{l}_F^2$, $\mathfrak{l}_F = 2(n+1=2)T$. Using the fact that

$$A_s + B_s = 2^{2s-D} A_s \quad (129)$$

we can easily determine the fermionic contributions in terms of the bosonic integrals. Generalizing, we can write

$$B_{s^{1-k}} = (2^{2s-D-k} - 1) A_{s^{1-k}} \quad (130)$$

where the superscripts $1-k$ indicate additional powers of momenta in the integrals. In particular we have:

$$A_1 = \frac{T^2}{12} + O(\epsilon) \quad (131)$$

and

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{(4)^2} (-1 + L_b) \quad (132)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_b &= 2 \log \frac{4e}{4T} \\ &= \log 4T^2 + \log \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

μ_4 is defined by the \overline{MS} scheme.

$$D/2 - m \notin 0$$

If we now include the effect of masses our formulas will be modified in the following way.

$$A_s(m) = \int \frac{1}{(p^2 + m^2)^s} = T \frac{(\frac{D}{2} + s)}{(4)^{D/2} (s)} (2T)^{2s+D} \frac{m}{(2s-D) \frac{m}{2T}} \quad (134)$$

where

$$(\cdot; \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 + \cdot^2) \quad (135)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$A_s(m) = (s-1)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} A_{s-1}(m) \quad (136)$$

and for high temperature, dropping the $O(\cdot)$ terms, we can use the expansion

$$A_1(m) = \frac{T^2}{12} - \frac{m^2}{16T^2} \left(\frac{1}{T} + L_b \right) + O\left(\frac{m^4}{T^2}\right) \quad (137)$$

and

$$A_2(m) = \frac{1}{(4)^2} \left(\frac{1}{T} + L_b \right) + \quad (138)$$

We can now extend our considerations to express the fermionic integrals in terms of the bosonic ones, obtaining,

$$B_s^{1-k}(m) = 2^{2s-D-k} A_{s-1-k}(2m) - A_s^{1-k}(m) \quad (139)$$

Let us write the explicit results for:

$$A_s^i = \int_P \frac{p_i}{(p^2 + m^2)^s} = 0 \quad (140)$$

$$A_s^0 = \int_P \frac{p_0}{(p^2 + m^2)^s} = 0 \quad (141)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_s^{ij} &= \int_P \frac{p_i p_j}{(p^2 + m^2)^s} = A_1(s) \delta_{ij} \\ A_s^{00} &= \int_P \frac{p_0 p_0}{(p^2 + m^2)^s} = A_1(s) + A_2(s) \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(s) &= (s-1)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} A_1(s-1) \\ A_1(1) &= \frac{m^4}{64\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + L_b \right) + \frac{1}{24} m^2 T^2 \\ A_2(1) &= \frac{2\pi^2}{45} T^4 + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} m^4 + \frac{1}{12} m^2 T^2 + \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

We would also like to write the explicit results for the following integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} C(p; m_1; m_2) &= \int_P \frac{1}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + m_2^2} \\ &= A_2 \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^i(p; m_1; m_2) &= \int_P \frac{k_i}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + m_2^2} \\ &= p_i A_2 \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^{ij}(p; m_1; m_2) &= \int_P \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + m_2^2} \\ &= p_i p_j C_{21} + g_{ij} C_{22} \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

with

$$C_{21} = A_2=3 \quad (147)$$

$$C_{22} = \frac{T^2}{24} (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{p^2}{3}) A_2=4 \quad (148)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\infty}(p; m_1, m_2) &= \frac{Z}{P} \frac{k_o^2}{k^2 + !_n^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + !_n^2 + m_2^2} \\ &= \frac{T^2}{24} \frac{1}{64^2} \left(\frac{1}{-} + L_b + 2 \right) (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{p^2}{3}) \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{ij}(p; m_1, m_2) &= \frac{Z}{P} \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2 + !_n^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + !_n^2 + m_2^2} \frac{1}{(k-p^0)^2 + !_n^2 + m_3^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{16^2} \left(\frac{1}{-} + L_b \right) \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\infty}(p; m_1, m_2) &= \frac{Z}{P} \frac{k_o^2}{k^2 + !_n^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + !_n^2 + m_2^2} \frac{1}{(k-p^0)^2 + !_n^2 + m_3^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{16^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{-} + L_b \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

We would like to relate the fermionic integrals of this type to the bosonic ones.

$$D^{-1-m}(p) = \frac{Z}{P} \frac{K_1}{K^2 + !_n^2} \frac{K_m}{(K-p)^2 + !_n^2} \quad (152)$$

with, once again, $p^2 = p^2 + !_F^2$, $!_F = 2(n+1=2)T$. We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} D(p; m_1, m_2) &= \frac{Z}{P} \frac{1}{k^2 + !_n^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k-p)^2 + !_n^2 + m_2^2} \\ &= B_2 \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{ii}(\mathbf{p}; m_1, m_2) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{k_i}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k - \mathbf{p})^2 + m_2^2} \\
&= p_i B_{2=2}
\end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{ij}(\mathbf{p}; m_1, m_2) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k - \mathbf{p})^2 + m_2^2} \\
&= p_i p_j D_{21} + g_{ij} D_{22}
\end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

explicitly,

$$D_{21} = B_{2=3} \tag{156}$$

$$D_{22} = \frac{T^2}{48} (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{p^2}{3}) B_{2=4} \tag{157}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{\circ\circ}(\mathbf{p}; m_1, m_2) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{k_o^2}{k^2 + m_1^2} \frac{1}{(k - \mathbf{p})^2 + m_2^2} \\
&= \frac{T^2}{48} \left[\frac{1}{64} \left(\frac{1}{2} + L_f + 2 \right) (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \frac{p^2}{3}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

where $L_f = L_b + 4 \ln 2$.

References

- [1] V. A. Kuzm in, V. A. Rubakov, M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. 155B :36 (1985).
- [2] M. E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 287:757 (1987); Nucl. Phys. B 299:707 (1988).
- [3] M. Dine, R. Leigh, P. Huet, A. Linde, D. Linde, Phys. Lett. 283B :319 (1992); Phys. Rev. D 46:550 (1992).

- [4] P. A mold and O .E spinosa, Phys. Rev. D 47:3546 (1993).
- [5] D .G ross, R .P isarski, L .Ya e, Mod. Phys. 53:43 (1981).
- [6] J. K apusta, Finite Tem perature Field Theory, Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge, England, 1989.
- [7] T .A pplequist and R .P isarski, Phys. Rev. D 23:2305 (1981).
- [8] S.N adkami, Phys. Rev. D 27:917 (1983); Phys. Rev. D 38:3287 (1988)
- [9] K . K a jantie, K . R um mukainen, M E . Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 407:356 (1993).
K .Farakos, K . K a jantie, K . R um mukainen, M E . Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 425:67, (1994)
- [10] K . K a jantie, M .Laine, K . R um mukainen, and M E . Shaposhnikov, hep-lat/9510020
- [11] K . K a jantie, M .Laine, K . R um mukainen, and M E . Shaposhnikov, hep-ph/9508379
- [12] I.M oss, D .Tom s, A .W right, Phys. Rev. D 46:1671 (1992)
- [13] P. A mold, and C .Zhai Phys. Rev. D 51:1906 (1995).
- [14] N .Landsm an, Nucl. Phys. B 322:498 (1989)
- [15] A .B rignole, J.R .E spinosa, M .Q uiros, F .Zw imer, Phys. Lett. 324B :181 (1994)
J.R .E spinosa, M .Q uiros, F .Zw imer, Phys. Lett. 307B :106 (1993).
- [16] G .A nderson and L .H all, Phys. Rev. D 45:2685 (1992).
- [17] J.G union and H .H aber, Nucl. Phys. B 272:1 (1986).

- [18] H . Haber and G . Kane, Phys. Reports 117:75 (1985).
- [19] J. G union, H . Haber, G . Kane, S. Dawson, The Higgs Hunter's Guide , Addison-W esley, Redwood C ity, CA , 1990.
- [20] H . Haber and R . Hemp ling, Phys. Rev. D 48:4280 (1993).
- [21] M . Carena, M . Quiros and C E M . W agner, hep-ph 9603420
- [22] G . Farrar and M . Losada, RU-96-26, in preparation.