

DPNU-96-26

May 1996

# The $\Lambda_Q - \Lambda_Q^0$ Mixing and Heavy Baryon Masses

Toshiaki Ito and Yoshimitsu Matsui

Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

**Abstract :** We examine the  $\Lambda_Q - \Lambda_Q^0$  mixing and heavy baryon masses in the heavy quark effective theory with the  $1/m_Q$  corrections. In the conventional baryon assignment, we obtain the mixing angle  $\cos^2 \theta = 0.88 \pm 0.12$  in virtue of the Gell-Mann–Okubo mass relation. On the other hand, if we adopt the new baryon assignment given by Falk, the allowed region of the  $\Lambda_c$  mass is upper from 2372 MeV.

The heavy quark effective theory (HQET) is an efficient approach to investigating the properties of hadrons containing a single heavy quark  $Q$ . [1, 2] Heavy hadron mass spectra have been studied in the HQET by taking into account  $1/m_Q$  corrections. [3] In the heavy quark symmetry limit, the total spin of the heavy hadron  $J$  and the spin of the light degrees of freedom  $s_\ell$  (i.e., the angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom in the heavy quark rest frame) are separately conserved under strong interactions, because the heavy quark spin  $s_Q$  decouples from the hadron dynamics. The hadron containing a heavy quark is the simultaneous eigenstate of the energy, the total angular momentum-parity  $J^P$  and the spin-parity of the light degrees of freedom  $s_\ell^P$ . Therefore, the heavy hadrons can be classified by  $s_\ell^P$  rather than the relative internal orbital angular momentum. [4] At the infinite quark mass limit (i.e.,  $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ ), the states with the same  $s_\ell^P$  become degenerate. By incorporating the effect of the  $1/m_Q$  correction, this degeneracy is resolved. In this case the mixing between two states with the same total spin-parity is unavoidable in general since they are no longer eigenstates of  $s_\ell^P$ . For instance,  $\chi_{c0}$  and  $\chi_{c0}'$  mix with each other. In this paper, we discuss the  $\chi_{c0} - \chi_{c0}'$  mixing and heavy baryon mass spectra in both the cases of the conventional assignment and the new assignment given by Falk. [5]

The HQET Lagrangian with  $1/m_Q$  corrections is

$$L = h_v (i v \cdot D) h_v + \frac{1}{2m_Q} h_v (i D)^2 h_v + Z_Q \frac{1}{2m_Q} h_v \not{G} h_v; \quad (1)$$

where  $v$  is the four velocity of the heavy quark  $h$ ,  $Z_Q$  is a renormalization factor and  $G$  is the gluon field strength. [6] Neglecting higher order corrections, we have

a heavy hadron mass formula

$$M_{H_Q} = m_Q + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \langle H_Q(v) | \mathbf{h}_v \cdot (\mathbf{D})^2 \mathbf{h}_v | H_Q(v) \rangle \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{D}$  is the energy of the light degrees of freedom in the hadron. Two  $1/m_Q$  correction coefficients are given by the matrix elements

$$a_1 = \langle H_Q(v) | \mathbf{h}_v \cdot (\mathbf{D})^2 \mathbf{h}_v | H_Q(v) \rangle \quad (3)$$

and

$$d_{H_Q} = \frac{1}{2} Z_Q \langle H_Q(v) | \mathbf{h}_v \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{h}_v | H_Q(v) \rangle \quad (4)$$

where  $d_{H_Q}$  is the Clebsch-Gordan factor.

Let us apply the heavy hadron mass formula (2) to the ground state baryons containing a single heavy quark,  $Qqq$  ( $q = u$  or  $d$ ). There are three states in the ground state  $Qqq$  baryons (i.e.,  $\Sigma_Q$ ,  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Xi_Q$ ). The  $\Sigma_Q$  baryons have  $s^P = 0^+$  and  $I = 0$ , while the  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Xi_Q$  baryons have  $s^P = 1^+$  and  $I = 1$ . The baryon with  $s^P = 0^+ (1^+)$  and  $I = 1 (0)$  is not allowed due to the antisymmetrization of the wave function of the baryon. These baryon masses are given by

$$\Sigma_Q = m_Q + \langle 0^+ | \frac{1}{2m_Q} | 0^+ \rangle \quad (5)$$

$$\Lambda_Q = m_Q + \langle 1^+ | \frac{1}{2m_Q} | 1^+ \rangle - 4 \frac{1}{2m_Q} \quad (6)$$

$$\Xi_Q = m_Q + \langle 1^+ | \frac{1}{2m_Q} | 1^+ \rangle + 2 \frac{1}{2m_Q} \quad (7)$$

In this paper, the symbols which represent the baryon states in equations stand for their masses.

If two light quarks are both s quarks, there are two baryon states (i.e.,  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q$ ) with  $s^P = 1^+$ . The  $\Lambda_Q$  ss baryon with  $s^P = 0^+$  does not exist for the same reason as the case of the  $\Lambda$  qq baryons. So the masses of  $\Lambda_Q^{( )}$  baryons are written as

$$\Lambda_Q^{1^+} = m_Q + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 4 \frac{1}{2} \right); \quad (8)$$

$$\Lambda_Q^{0^+} = m_Q + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{1}{2} \right); \quad (9)$$

Attention should be paid when we apply the mass formula to the  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Lambda_Q^0$  and  $\Lambda_Q^+$  baryons whose valence quarks are q, s and Q. In the heavy quark limit, the spin-parity of the light degrees of freedom of these baryons is  $s^P = 0^+$  or  $s^P = 1^+$ . The  $s^P = 0^+$  state has one baryon state  $\Lambda_Q$  with total spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ , while the  $s^P = 1^+$  state has two baryon states  $\Lambda_Q^0$  with  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$  and  $\Lambda_Q^+$  with  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ . Since in the heavy quark limit the spin-parity of the light degrees of freedom is conserved under the strong interaction, a hadron containing a heavy quark is an eigenstate of the spin-parity of the light degrees of freedom. Thus  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Lambda_Q^0$  never mix with each other in this symmetry limit. If the  $1/m_Q$  corrections are introduced, the heavy quark spin symmetry is broken. Then one should take the  $\Lambda_Q - \Lambda_Q^0$  mixing into account.

The relation between the heavy quark limit  $\Lambda_Q^H$  ( $\Lambda_Q^{0H}$ ) states and physical  $\Lambda_Q$  ( $\Lambda_Q^0$ ) states can be written in terms of the mixing angle

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_Q^0 \\ \Lambda_Q^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_Q^H \\ \Lambda_Q^{0H} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

We may assume the mixing angle to be a function of  $m_{QCD} = m_Q$  and that it becomes zero at  $m_Q \rightarrow 1$ . So the masses of  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Sigma_Q^0$  and  $\Sigma_Q$  baryons are given by

$$m_{\Lambda_Q} = m_Q + \cos^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q^0}}{2} + \sin^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q^1}}{2} - \cos^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q^{0+}}}{2m_Q} - \sin^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q^{1+}}}{2m_Q} + 4 \sin^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q^{2+}}}{2m_Q}; \quad (11)$$

$$m_{\Sigma_Q^0} = m_Q + \sin^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^0}}{2} + \cos^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^1}}{2} - \sin^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^{0+}}}{2m_Q} - \cos^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^{1+}}}{2m_Q} + 4 \cos^2 \theta \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^{2+}}}{2m_Q}; \quad (12)$$

$$m_{\Sigma_Q} = m_Q + \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^0}}{2} + 2 \frac{m_{\Sigma_Q^{2+}}}{2m_Q}; \quad (13)$$

The mixing angle can be expressed in terms of the baryon masses,

$$\cos^2 \theta = \frac{m_{\Lambda_Q} + m_{\Sigma_Q} - m_{\Lambda_Q^0} - m_{\Sigma_Q^0}}{2m_Q}: \quad (14)$$

This sum rule is obtained by use of Eqs. (11)–(13). Since heavy quark mass corrections in these equations are of order  $1/m_Q$ , the following discussions are valid.

In this paper, we treat charmed baryons because among bottom baryons only the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon is confirmed experimentally.[7] First we discuss the case of the conventional assignment for the heavy baryons, in which measured charmed baryon masses are assigned as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\Lambda_c} &= 2285 \text{ MeV};^{7)} & m_{\Sigma_c} &= 2453 \text{ MeV};^{7)} & m_{\Lambda_c^0} &= 2530 \text{ MeV};^{7)} \\ m_{\Lambda_c} &= 2468 \text{ MeV};^{7)} & m_{\Sigma_c^0} &= 2563 \text{ MeV};^{8)} & m_{\Sigma_c} &= 2644 \text{ MeV};^{9)} \\ m_{\Lambda_c} &= 2704 \text{ MeV};^{7)} \end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(14) the mixing angle becomes

$$\cos^2 \theta = 0.96 - 0.23: \quad (15)$$

At present, it is difficult to determine the mixing angle definitely due to large experimental errors. Here we introduce an additional condition,

$$\frac{0}{1^+} - \frac{0}{1^+} = \frac{0}{1^+} - \frac{1^+}{1^+}; \quad (16)$$

the so-called Gell-Mann–Okubo mass relation. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{0}{2} - \frac{0}{2}) = \frac{0}{2} - \frac{0}{2}; \quad (17)$$

Substituting the measured mass values into Eq.(17), we find that the left-hand side of this equation is 124.3 MeV while the right-hand side is 114.9 MeV. Thus this relation is consistent with the data. By virtue of the relation (16), we can obtain the sum rule for heavy baryon masses

$$\frac{0}{2} - \frac{0}{2} = (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \frac{0}{2} - (\frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{2}) + \frac{0}{2}; \quad (18)$$

The left-hand side of this equation is 95.16 MeV. On the other hand, the second factor of the right-hand side is 127.21 MeV. This leads to the value of the mixing angle

$$\cos^2 \theta = 0.88 \pm 0.12; \quad (19)$$

which is consistent with (15). In order to determine the value of the mixing angle, an accurate measurement of the  $\frac{0}{c}$  mass is needed.

Next let us turn our attention to Falk's assignment in which the observed  $\frac{c}{c}(2453)$  is regarded as the  $\frac{c}{c}$  state.[5] The advantage of this assignment is the fact that we can solve the  $\frac{b}{b} - \frac{b}{b}$  mass problem<sup>y</sup>. In this assignment, the  $\frac{c}{c}$  state

---

<sup>y</sup>We can obtain the relation

$$\frac{\frac{b}{c}}{\frac{b}{c}} = \frac{\frac{B}{D}}{\frac{B}{D}}$$

is unknown. From Eq.(14), we obtain

$$m_c > 2372 \text{ MeV} : \quad (20)$$

Here it is useful to comment on the  $1/m_Q^2$  correction effects. In the above discussion we neglect these effects. However, if more exact analysis is required, we should consider these effects. Because, for a  $c$  quark baryon mass, the magnitude of the effect due to  $1/m_Q^2$  corrections is about 4% of the baryon mass.

In this paper, the heavy baryon mass spectra is discussed by taking into account the  $\Lambda_Q - \Lambda_Q^0$  mixing from  $1/m_Q$  order corrections. By use of the sum rule (14) we estimate the  $\Lambda_Q - \Lambda_Q^0$  mixing angle,  $\cos^2 \theta = 0.96 - 0.23$ , in the conventional assignment for charmed baryons. This is very small if we employ this central value. In this assignment, the Gell-Mann–Okubo mass relation is effective. This mass relation gives  $\cos^2 \theta = 0.88 - 0.12$ . The origin of the large uncertainty of these two values is almost entirely the experimental error of the  $\Lambda_Q^0$  mass. On the other hand, Falk's new assignment leads to the allowed  $\Lambda_c$  mass region,  $m_c > 2372 \text{ MeV}$ . We are eager for an experimental measurement of the total spin-parity of  $\Lambda_c(2453)$  in order to clarify the baryon assignment.

---

from the mass formula (2). However, the masses of  $\Lambda_b$  and  $\Lambda_b^0$  measured by the DELPHI Collaboration [10] are not consistent with this relation. This is a serious question for the HQET.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Professor T. Matsuka for useful advice.

## References

- [1] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 232 (1989), 113; B 237 (1990), 527.
- [2] M. Neubert, Phys. Rep. 245 (1994), 259.
- [3] T. Ito, T. Morii and M. Tanimoto, Z. Phys. C 59 (1993), 57.
- [4] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991), 1130.
- [5] A. F. Falk, JHU-TIPAC-96007, hep-ph/9603389.
- [6] M. E. Luke, Phys. Lett. B 252 (1990), 447.
- [7] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994), Review of Particle Properties' and 1995 one-year partial update for the 1996 edition.
- [8] WA89 Collaboration, Charmed Baryon Production in the CERN Hyperon Beam', presented by E. Chudakov at Heavy Quark '94, Virginia, October, 1994.
- [9] CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995), 4364.
- [10] DELPHI Collaboration, DELPHI 95-107.