**TTP96-11** *
**MPI/PhT/96-24**
**DPT/96/34**

**hep-ph/9605311**
**April 1996**

# TOP QUARK PRODUCTION TO $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ † ‡

K.G. Chetyrkin[a], A.H. Hoang[b] §, J.H. Kühn[b], M. Steinhauser[b§], T. Teubner[c]

[a] *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 Munich, Germany and Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, 60th October Anniversary Prospect 7a, Moscow 117312, Russia*

[b] *Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

[c] *Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK*

arXiv:hep-ph/9605311v1 15 May 1996

**Abstract**

The cross-section for the production of $t\bar{t}$ pairs in $e^+e^-$-annihilation via a virtual photon is determined up to order $\alpha_s^2$. For the cm-energies of interest the effect of the top mass may not be considered as a small parameter and the full mass dependence must be included.

*The postscript file of this preprint, including figures, is available via anonymous ftp at ftp://www-ttp.physik.uni-karlsruhe.de (129.13.102.139) as /ttp96-11/ttp96-11.ps or via www at http://www-ttp.physik.uni-karlsruhe.de/cgi-bin/preprints/.

†To appear in the proceedings of the workshop "Physics with $e^+e^-$ Linear Colliders".

‡Work supported by BMFT under Contract 056KA93P6, DFG under Contract Ku502/6-1 and INTAS under Contract INTAS-93-0744.

§Supported by Graduiertenkolleg Elementarteilchenphysik, Karlsruhe.

Quark mass effects can often be considered as small perturbations in the analysis of the total cross sections for hadron production in $e^+e^-$-annihilation. The approximation $m_q = 0$, supplemented by "mass corrections" of order $m_q^2/s$ is adequate for most purposes and has lead to reliable predictions including terms of order $\alpha_s^3$ [1]. The situation is drastically different for top quark production at a linear collider, where $2\,M_t$ and $E_{cm} = \sqrt{s}$ will be of comparable magnitude throughout. With this motivation in mind the QCD corrections to the vector current correlator have been calculated in [2, 3, 4] up to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ including the full quark mass dependence. Since the corresponding results for the axial vector current are not yet available, the subsequent discussion is strictly applicable for the production through the virtual photon only. The results presented below should therefore not be considered as absolute predictions but rather as indicative for the magnitude and importance of the second order corrections. Our notation and conventions are based on [3]. The cross-section normalized to the point cross-section can be cast into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \gamma^* \to t\bar{t})}{\sigma_{point}} \\
&= Q_t^2 \Big[ R^{(0)} + \Big(\frac{\alpha_s(M_t^2)}{\pi}\Big) C_F\, R^{(1)} \\
&\qquad + \Big(\frac{\alpha_s(M_t^2)}{\pi}\Big)^2 \Big( C_F^2\, R_A^{(2)} + C_F\, C_A\, R_{NA}^{(2)} + C_F\, T\, n_l\, R_l^{(2)} + C_F\, T\, R_F^{(2)} \Big) \Big] \\
&\quad + \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} Q_q^2 \Big(\frac{\alpha_s(M_t^2)}{\pi}\Big)^2 C_F\, T\, R_g^{(2)} \,. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$M_t$ denotes the top quark pole mass and $\alpha_s(M_t^2)$ the $\overline{\rm MS}$-renormalized strong coupling constant at the scale $M_t$ with $n_l = 5$ light flavours. The abelian and non-abelian parts $R_A^{(2)}$ and $R_{NA}^{(2)}$ are taken from [3], where the Padé approximation method has been employed, whereas $R_l^{(2)}$ and $R_F^{(2)}$ originate from massless and top quark loop insertions, respectively, into the gluon propagator and are given in closed analytical form in [2]. The last term, $R_g^{(2)}$ originates from gluon splitting into $t\bar{t}$ and has been calculated in [5]. To fix our notation explicitely we note in passing that

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{(0)} &= \frac{3}{2}\,\beta\,(3-\beta^2)\,, \\
R^{(1)} &= 3\Big\{ \frac{(3-\beta^2)\;(1+\beta^2)}{2} \Big[ 2\,{\rm Li}_2(p) + {\rm Li}_2(p^2) + \ln p \Big( 2\,\ln(1-p) + \ln(1+p) \Big) \Big] \\
&\qquad - \beta\,(3-\beta^2) \Big( 2\,\ln(1-p) + \ln(1+p) \Big) \\
&\qquad - \frac{(1-\beta)\;(33 - 39\,\beta - 17\,\beta^2 + 7\,\beta^3)}{16}\,\ln p \;+ \frac{3\,\beta\;(5-3\,\beta^2)}{8} \Big\}\,, \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$p \;=\; \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\,, \qquad \beta \;=\; \sqrt{1 - 4\,\frac{M_t^2}{s}}\,. \qquad (3)$$

| $\sqrt{s}$ [GeV] | 400 | 500 | 600 | 700 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\beta$ | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.87 |
| $R^{(0)}$ | 2.0084 | 2.6673 | 2.8513 | 2.9228 |
| $C_F\, R^{(1)}$ | 17.6874 | 10.7599 | 7.7942 | 6.2463 |
| $C_F^2\, R_A^{(2)}$ | 3.5(1) | -21.4(1) | -19.63(1) | -16.32(1) |
| $C_F\, C_A\, R_{NA}^{(2)}$ | 117.8(2) | 31.9(1) | 4.03(1) | -8.61(1) |
| $n_l C_F\, T\, R_l^{(2)}$ | -32.4356 | -6.8356 | 0.8496 | 4.1789 |
| $C_F\, T\, R_F^{(2)}$ | 0.7044 | 1.0441 | 1.2375 | 1.3834 |
| $\sum_q Q_q^2/Q_t^2\, C_F\, T\, R_g^{(2)}$ | $1.2\ \ 10^{-6}$ | 0.0005 | 0.0051 | 0.0209 |
| $R^{(2)}$ | 89.5(3) | 4.7(2) | -13.50(2) | -19.35(2) |
| $R^{(\alpha_s=0.115)}$ | 1.200 | 1.348 | 1.376 | 1.382 |
| $R^{(\alpha_s=0.120)}$ | 1.213 | 1.354 | 1.380 | 1.385 |
| $R^{(\alpha_s=0.125)}$ | 1.227 | 1.360 | 1.384 | 1.388 |

Table 1: Results for the different contributions to $R$ for $M_t = 175$ GeV and the cm-energies $E_{cm} = 400$, 500, 600, 700 GeV. The total cross-section via photon exchange is given for the three different values of $\alpha_s(M_t^2)$ corresponding to $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.115$, 0.120 and 0.125.

$\beta$ is the velocity of one of the produced top quarks in the $t\bar{t}$ cm frame. The result for the different contributions $R_x^{(i)}$ are presented in Table 1 for various energies, adopting a top mass value of 175 GeV. The error indicated for $R_A^{(2)}$ and $R_{NA}^{(2)}$ originates from the possible choice of different Padé approximants. As $R_l^{(2)}$, $R_F^{(2)}$ and $R_g^{(2)}$ are known analytically no error is specified in this case. $R^{(2)}$ denotes the sum of all second order correction functions. To arrive at the prediction for $R$, the correction functions have to be multiplied by the quark charge and the corresponding power of the strong coupling constant evaluated at the scale $M_t$. For $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.115$, 0.120 and 0.125 this corresponds to $\alpha_s(M_t^2) = 0.105$, 0.109 and 0.113, respectively. The complete predictions for the three values of $\alpha_s$ are also presented in Table 1. In Fig. 1a $R$ is plotted against $\sqrt{s}$ including successively higher orders in $\alpha_s$. The dependence on the choice of $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ is shown in Fig. 1b.

# References


[1] K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn and A. Kwiatkowski, *Phys. Reports* (in print), hep-ph/9503396 and references therein.

[2] A.H. Hoang, J.H. Kühn and T. Teubner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 452** (1995) 173.

[3] K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn and M. Steinhauser, *Phys. Lett.* **B 371** (1996) 93.

[4] K.G. Chetyrkin, A.H. Hoang, J.H. Kühn, M. Steinhauser and T. Teubner, Report Nos. DPT/96/12, MPI/PhT/96-10, TTP96-04, hep-ph/9603313.

[5] A.H. Hoang, M. Jeżabek, J.H. Kühn and T. Teubner, *Phys. Lett.* **B 338** (1994) 330.





Figure 1: $R$ as function of $\sqrt{s}$. In figure (b) the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ prediction to $R$ is plotted for different choices of $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$.