PHYSICS CAH IERS { No. 1

S.Bellucciay

^a IN FN -Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, P O Box 13 I-00044 Frascati, Italy

A bstract

We begin herew ith the editing of physics notes taken in the course of Journal Club sem in ars at INFN-LNF in 1996. The activity consists of informal talks about work in progress and/or review of (more or less) recent physics results of interest to our laboratory. In the section titles the name of the speakers appear, together with the topics discussed in the sem in ars. We plan to publish these notes twice a year.

Table of contents

- 1 S.Bellucci: In-medium KN scattering and chiral lagrangians
- 2 M . G reco: QCD jets at high p_T
- 3 G. Isidori: The problem of Rb: a phenom enological update
- 4 D. Babusci: DHG sum rule and the nucleon spin polarizability at LEGS
- 5 C. Forti: Underground muons, a tool to study the cosm ic ray composition
- 6 R. Baldini: Question marks in the nucleon time-like form factors
- 7 G. Pancheri: Eikonalized minites cross-section in photon collisions

M ay 1996

PacsNo: 10.

YE m ail: bellucci@ lnf.infn.it

- 1 S.Bellucci: In-medium KN scattering and chiral lagrangians, or the disappearence of (1405) in heavy kaonic atom s
- * Also presented at 2^{nd} DEAR Collaboration Meeting, LNF, 1-2 April 1996. My message here is twofold:

there is a clean prediction of the chiral symmetry elective lagrangian, stating that the K p scattering length in a medium strongly depends on the nuclear density, so that its real part changes sign already at 1/8 of the normal nuclear density [1];

a not negative kaon scattering length on an isolated proton (kaonic hydrogen) can be obtained only for values of the coupling constants among the 6 coupled meson-baryon channels, which are not compatible with SU (3) avour symmetry. These constants are calculated in [2].

In what follows, I focus on the rst point, which is a signal to the experimenters [3] of the importance of a measurement for atoms heavier than hydrogen and deuterium. For the second point, see also [4].

A recent study [1] obtains the following interesting results. Nuclear matter modi es very strongly the low-energy K p interaction. The attractive forces that produce the (1405) as a bound state are reduced by Pauli blocking. In medium the (1405) moves above the K p threshold at one-eighth the normal nuclear matter density. The K p scattering length depends strongly on the density. Its realpart changes sign at one-eighth the normal nuclear matter density. Correspondingly the optical potential for kaonic atoms has an unconventional r-behaviour. The presence of the (1405) bound state just 27 MeV below the K p threshold invalidates the low-density theorem -stating that the optical potential goes linearly with the density - at unusually low density values.

The m icroscopic understanding of the above features is based on the low-energy QCD. A dynam ical model of the (1405) structure as a bound state of K and N in the I= 0 channel (and a resonance in the channel), based on the iteration of a pseudo-potential to in nite order in a Lippm ann-Schwinger equation and describing successfully the data in the S= -1 strangeness sector, is modulated to respect the SU (3) chiral symmetry and have, in the Bom approximation and up to terms of order O (q^2) in the meson momentum, the sames wave scattering length as the elective chiral lagrangian describing the low-energy meson-baryon interaction [2].

The successes of the theory in describing the swave coupled channel dynam ics of the K N and hyperon systems (i.e. the binding energy, its width, and all available low-energy data of K N, , systems), persist in describing how the nuclear matter a ects the formation of the K N bound state. At a small density value, i.e. far out in the nuclear surface where the nuclear density distribution has some overlap with the atom ic K wave function, the bound state disappears, as the Pauli exclusion principle yields enough repulsion energy to compensate the binding energy $E = 27 \, \text{M eV}$. The K p amplitude varies rapidly with the density near threshold, hence the elective scattering length in nuclear matter has a strong dependence on the density. The corrections to the K p amplitude due to the Fermi motion and the nucleon binding are also evaluated. They turn out to be much less important (and mutually opposing) elects, in comparison with the Pauli blocking of intermediate states [1].

2 M.Greco: QCD jets at high pt

In a recent CDF paper [5] the comparison between the data-starting from a rather low p_T ($15~G\,eV$) and up to a maximum of 400 GeV - and the complete O (3_s) calculation [6,7] of the inclusive jet cross-section

$$\frac{d^{jet}}{d^3p_T};$$

at large p_T with the rapidity ranging in the interval 0.1 $\,$ j j 0.7, is carried out. The agreement is quite good for about ten orders of magnitude. However it appears that a small discrepancy is present at large p_T , i.e. the data suggest a departure from the O ($_s^3$) prediction for E $_T$ 200-250 G eV .O nemust bear in m ind that the D 0 collaboration reported very recently new data showing no deviation e ect in the same range. Due to the large errors in the high p_T region, the latter are in agreement with both the QCD calculation and the CDF data. The discrepancy has been indicated as a possible signal for quark compositeness. A composite scale = 1-2 TeV has been estimated by means of an elective four-fermion interaction

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (q q)²:

However, before drawing any de nite conclusion, it is important to evaluate carefully the theoretical uncertainties in the QCD prediction, particularly at large $E_{\rm T}$.

The data in the region of discrepancy are in the large x region (x -0.6) where the structure functions, in particular the gluon one, are not very well measured. Indeed the CTEQ collaboration has tried to change the g structure functions and checked the corresponding effect [8]. G enerally the theoretical uncertainties in the complete O ($_{\rm s}^3$) calculation due to the changes in the scales and the structure functions are of order 20–30%. In the estimate of the full theoretical prediction one needs however to take into account also the corrections coming from higher orders, which could become relevant near the boundary of the phase space (p_T $^{\rm p}$ $_{\rm s}/2$). Indeed, in the large x region the QCD expansion parameter is $_{\rm s}\ln(1-{\rm x})^{\rm p}$,

rather than $_s$. Hence, when x is close to 1 and correspondingly $_s\ln(1-x)=0$ (1), one needs to resum all these term s, i.e. a nite order calculation is not enough. The D okshitzer-G ribov-Lipatov-A ltarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) equation gets modiled [9]. All those terms which diverge for x ! 1 are related to soft and collinear gluon radiation. In D rell-Y an processes this correction has been calculated and the elect of x ! 1 is important, but it has not been measured so far. The CDF data would eventually yield the linear of the inclusive jet cross-section at large p_T , i.e. they go in the right direction.

If the corrections turn out to be large, then one needs also to correct for $x \,! \, 1$ the structure function code used in analyzing the D IS data. Of course before making any claim about possible evidence for the preonic structure of the quarks, one must include the e ect of these higher order corrections.

3 G. Isidori: The problem of R_b : a phenomenological update

- 1. Electroweak precision tests performed at LEP and SLC have con rmed the Standard M odel (SM) predictions with great accuracy. Among several observables which have been measured, only the ratio $R_b = (Z \; ! \; bb) = (Z \; ! \; hadrons)$ shows a departure form the SM prediction larger than three standard deviations. In particular, the most recent determination of R_b obtained by combining the four LEP (experiments, $R_b^{exp} = 0.2219 \; 0.0017 \; [11]$, is 3.5 far form the SM prediction: $R_b^{SM} = 0.2157 \; 0.0001$.
- 2. Within the SM, due to non{decoupling e ects induced by the top quark, the Z! bb vertex receives non{universal corrections [12,13]. The triangular diagram s Z! tt! q_iq_i (W-exchange) and Z! W W! q_iq_i (t-exchange) are completely negligible for q_i = d (due to small CKM matrix elements) whereas they are relevant for q_i = b. Interestingly, these e ects are proportional to the Yukawa coupling of the top (this is the reason why they do not decouple as m_t ! 1) i.e. they are related to the symmetry {breaking breaking sector of the Model.

The leading correction induced by top loops can be written as a modi cation of the universal down (type coupling constants of the b quark with the Z boson: $g_V^b = g_V^d + = 2$ and $g_A^b = g_A^d + = 2$, where $= (g=4)^2$ and $g_t = m_t = (2^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{2} G_F)^{-1}$ 1 is the Yukawa coupling of the top. Since both g_V^d and g_A^b are negative the resulting e ect is a decrease of (Z ! bb) with respect to (Z ! dd).

Besides the modi cation of $g_{V,A}^b$ induced by top exchanges, there are other non {universal corrections which a ect (Z ! dd) [14]: phase space modi cations due to m $_b$ \in 0, of order 0 (m $_b^2$ =M $_z^2$); strong corrections calculated with m $_b$ \in 0, of order 0 ($_s$ m $_b^2$ =M $_z^2$); strong corrections to one{loop top{exchange diagram s 0 ($_s$ g $_t^2$ =16 2). All the corrections have been calculated (up to two loop in many cases [13,14]) and the corresponding uncertainties are negligible ($_s$ M $_t^2$) is a very conservative estimate).

- 3. A ssum ing that the discrepancy of R_b is generated by non (SM physics: $R_b^{\rm exp}=R_b^{\rm SM}$ (1+ $^{\rm non~SM}$), then also the determ ination of $_{\rm s}$ (M $_{\rm z}$) performed at LEP via the ratio $R_h=(Z ! hadrons)=(Z ! ^+) has to be modiled. The value of <math>_{\rm s}$ (M $_{\rm z}$) extracted by R_h introducing $^{\rm non~SM}$ is lower than the uncorrected value and is in better agreement with the low energy determinations (from 2 above the value shift to 1 below [15]). Though not very significant from the statistical point of view, this result enforces the hypothesis of new physics in (Z ! bb). On the other hand, playing the same game with R_c , whose experimental value is about 2 below the SM value, the resulting value of $_{\rm s}$ is completely inconsistent with the low energy determinations.
- 4. New physics sources in the process Z! bb can be generally divided in two classes: loop and tree{levele ects. Let us start to analyze the form er.

The contribution generated by a ferm ion (F), with the relative Yukawa scalar eld (A), to the triangular diagram Z! bb can be calculated in a model independent way (imposing only the conservation of charge and weak{isospin}) [16]. The sign of the correction thus

obtained depends crucially from the weak (isospin assignment of F and can be applied to several interesting cases:

Two Higgs doublets. In this case F = t and A = H (the new physical (charged (Higgs eld). Like in the SM, the correction is negative and there is no possibility to improve the agreement with the data.

Fourth G eneration. In this case $F = \ell$ (the new up{type quark) and $A = \ell$ (the SM unphysical{charged{H iggs eld, which in the unitary gauge appears as the W longitudinal degree of freedom). Also in this case the correction is negative.

M SSM . In this case there are three separate contributions: top and charged {H iggs, charginos and stop, neutralinos and sbottom . The rst one has a negative sign (as in the Two H iggs doublet case) whereas the second and the third one can have a positive sign. For high (1 TeV) and almost degenerate values of SUSY particle m asses the three contribution cancel each other. Only for light stop and charginos (with small tan) or light sbottom and neutralinos (with very large tan) there is a chance to improve the agreement with the data. However, a recent correlated analysis of M SSM parameters (including new LEP data, b! s and Tevatron results) shows that is impossible to decrease to less than 2 the discrepancy [17]. Furthermore, if this was the case, then light SUSY particles should be in the LEP 200 range.

For what concerns tree{level e ects, recently it has been shown that a leptophobic Z 0 [18], universally coupled to up-type and down-type quarks, not only can generate the right correction to R $_b$ but can also in prove the agreem ent with CDF data of the inclusive jet cross section at high p_T (p_T 200 G eV) [5]. The mass of the Z 0 is estimated to be in the TeV range.

5. To conclude, we can say that there is no clear solution to the problem of R_b yet. The possibility of new heavy ferm ions with unconventional weak isospin assignment or the leptophobic { Z^0 hypothesis point in the right direction but are still ad hoc solutions. On the other hand, the possibility of a statistical uctuation in the experimental data is far from being excluded.

4 D. Babusci: DHG sum rule and the nucleon spin polarizability at LEGS

Energy weighted integrals of the di erence in helicity-dependent photoproduction cross sections (= $_{1=2}$ $_{3=2}$) provide information on [19]:

the spin-dependent part of the asymptotic forward amplitude through the DHG sum rule

$$^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{d}$$
 () = $\frac{2^{2}}{M^{2}}$ 2

the nucleon spin-dependent polarizability

$$\frac{Z_{1}}{\frac{d}{3}}$$
 () = 4 2

There are no direct mesurement of $_{1=2}$ and $_{3=2}$, for either the proton and the neutron. Estimates from current—photoproduction multipole analyses [20], particularly for the proton—neutron dierence, are in good agreement with the relativistic 1-loop (+ -resonance) PT calculations [21] for but predict large deviations from the DHG sum rule.

Integral	M ultipole Estim ate	T heory
DHG_p DHG_n (10 4 fm 2)	129	29.4
p n (10 ⁶ fm ⁴)	96	104

The following two possible interpretations have been proposed:

- 1. both the higher order PT corrections to are large and the existing multipole are wrong
- 2. m odi cations to the DHG sum rule are required to fully describe the isospin structure of the nucleon

The helicity-dependent photoreaction am plitudes, for both the proton and the neutron, will be measured at LEGS from the pion-threshold to 470~M~eV. A lm ost 90~% of the integral will be covered by this set of data, providing a reasonable comparison with the PT predictions. This data will also cover about 2/3 of the DHG integral.

In these double-polarization experim ents, circularly polarized photons from LEGS will be used with SPHICE, a new frozen-spin target consisting of H $^\circ$, D $^\circ$ in the solid phase. Reaction channels will be identified in SASY, a large detector array consisting of wire chambers, scintillators and Cerenkov counters with a global solid angle coverage of about 80 % of 4 .

5 C. Forti: Underground muons, a tool to study the cosm ic ray composition and the properties of high energy interactions

We discuss the importance of the detection of underground muons for the study of the cosmic ray composition and spectra and of the properties of very high energy hadronic interactions. In particular, we show the application of the Monte Carlos HEMAS and HEMAS-DPM to the calculation of the muon multiplicity, topology and decorrelation, of the properties of multimuon clusters and of the ux of muons from the decay of charmed mesons (prompt muons).

The study of the chem ical composition of cosm ic rays in the energy region around the knee of the spectrum is of fundamental importance for the understanding of cosm ic ray acceleration and propagation processes. The properties of high energy (TeV) muons detected deep underground are strongly correlated with the mass and energy of the primary cosm ic rays which originated the particle shower. The most important features of underground muon events are:

the muon bundle multiplicity, that is the number of (almost parallel) muons originated by the same primary cosmic ray;

the decoherence, that is the relative distances between all pairs of muons which can be formed within a muon bundle;

the decorrelation curve, which is the relative angle between all muon pairs, as a function of their relative spatial separation;

the number of muon clusters within the muon bundle.

We have shown that the distribution of the bundle multiplicity (called multiple muon rate) is clearly sensitive to the percentage of heavy nuclear species in cosm ic rays. Composition m odels with more heavy nuclei predict more events with high bundle multiplicity, respect to lighter m odels. A lso the decoherence curve is sensitive to the chemical composition, but is peculiar characteristic is to depend on the transverse m om entum (Pt) distribution of hadrons (mainly pions) produced in the cascade. Then, the measurement of decoherence should allow to test the hypothesis about the Pt distribution adopted in the model for very high energy $(1-10^5 \text{ TeV Lab})$ hadron-air interactions. The study of decorrelation and of muon clusters are new tools recently proposed to enhance the e cacity of underground measurements. In particular, the number of muon cluster within a muon bundle (with multiplicity larger than 8 at Gran Sasso Laboratory) is shown to be sensitive both to the primary composition and to the hadronic interaction properties. A great e ort is being devoted to the comparison of di erent M onte C arlo sin ulations, adopting di erent hadronic interaction m odels. A ctually, the evaluation of the system atical uncertainties in these complex calculations is one of the m ost di cult tasks to be accomplished. For example, a new Monte Carlo code (HEMAS-DPM [22]) has been realised: it is based on the Dual Parton Model (DPM JET-II [23]), interfaced to the HEMAS shower code (containing a phenomenological interaction model [24]). The HEM AS-DPM Monte Carlo allows to simulate the interaction of hadron and nuclei. with the air target. Also, the production of charmed particles is accomplished. However,

calculations perform ed [25] indicate that the detection of high energy muons generated in the decay of charm ed particles (the so-called prompt muons) could be a hard experimental task because the ratio signal (prompt muons) to noise (ordinary muons) is less than 1% for typical (> 1 TeV) underground experiments. A challenging control of system atics is thus required.

6 R.Baldini: Question marks in the nucleon time-like form factors

Question marks related to the measurements of the Nucleon Form Factors are reported (for a complete discussion see [26] and references therein), namely:

the factor of 2 between "asym ptotic" values of space-like and time-like of the proton magnetic form factor;

the Nucleon Form Factor mainly imaginary at 9 GeV^2 , according to the FENICE results and a possible explanation within PQCD;

baryonium rides again (according to the equation to talmultihadronic cross section near the N qqq threshold and according to the P roton Form Factor at threshold) and a short review of the old baryonium phenomenology;

the Neutron time-like Form Factors: expectations and experimental results.

D ispersion Relations on the log of the modulus of the Form Factors are discussed, in order to achieve the Nucleon Form Factors in the unphysical region.

7 G. Pancheri: Eikonalized minijets cross-section in photon collisions

* W ork in collaboration with A.G rau and Y.N. Srivastava.

A model for the parton distributions of hadrons in impact parameter space has been constructed using soft gluon summation. This model incorporates the salient features of distributions obtained from the intrinsic transverse momentum behaviour of hadrons. Under the assumption that the intrinsic behaviour is dominated by soft gluon emission stimulated by the scattering process, the b-spectrum becomes softer and softer as the scattering energy increases. In minijet models for the inclusive cross-sections, this will counter the increase from iet.

R eferences

- [1] T.W aas, N.K aiser and W.W eise, Phys. Lett. B 365 (1996) 12.
- [2] N. Kaiser, P.B. Siegel and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 594 (1995) 325.
- [3] R.Baldini et al., DEAR Collaboration, report LNF-95/055 (IR).

- [4] K. Tanaka and A. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C 45 (1992) 2068.
- [5] F.Abe et al., CDF Collaboration, preprint FERM ILAB-PUB-96-020.
- [6] F.Aversa et al., Phys. Lett. 210B (1988) 225; ibid. 211B (1988) 465; Nucl. Phys. B 327 (1989) 105; Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 401.
- [7] S.D. Ellis, Z. Kunszt and D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2121.
- [8] J. Huston, preprint CTEQ 512 (1995).
- [9] G. Curciand M. Greco, 92B (1980) 175; D. Amatietal, Nucl. Phys. B173 (1980) 429.
- [10] M . G reco et al., in progress.
- [11] The LEP Collaborations A leph, Delphi, L3, Opal and the LEP E lectroweak Working Group, preprint CERN-PPE/95-172.
- [12] J. Bernabeu, A. Pich and A. Santamaria, Phys. Lett. B 200 (1988) 569.
- [13] R. Barbieri et al., Nucl. Phys. B 409 (1993) 105.
- [14] F.Comet, W.Hollik and W.Mosle, Nucl. Phys. B 428 (1994) 61
- [15] P. Langacker, preprint NSF-ITP-95-14 (1995).
- [16] J.T. Liu and D. Ng, Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 262; P. Bam ert et al., preprint M c G ill-96/04 hep-ph/9602438.
- [17] J.Ellis, J.L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, preprint CERN-TH/95-314, hep-ph/9512288.
- [18] P. Chiappetta et al., preprint PM -96-05, hep-ph/9601306; G. Altarelli et al., preprint CERN-TH-96-20, hep-ph/9601324.
- [19] D. Babusci et al. (LSC Collaboration), Brookhaven report BNL-61005 (1994).
- [20] A M . Sandor et al., Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) R 6681.
- [21] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meiner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 4 (1995) 193.
- [22] G. Battistoni, C. Forti and J. Ranft, A stroparticle Physics, 3 (1995) 157.
- [23] J.Ranft, Phys. Rev., D 51 (1995) 64.
- [24] C. Fortiet al., Phys. Rev., D 42 (1990) 3668.
- [25] G. Battistoni et al., Frascati preprint LNF-95/38 (P), accepted for publication in Astroparticle Physics (1996).
- [26] R. Baldini and E. Pasqualucci, in Chiral Dynam ics: Theory and Experiment, A. Bernstein and B.R. Holstein eds., (1995, Springer, Berlin), p. 312.