Determ ination of the chiral pion-pion scattering parameters: a proposal

Gerard W anders

Institut de physique theorique, Universite de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

A bstract

An explicitly crossing-sym metric decom position of the pion-pion scattering am plitudes into low - and high-energy components is established. The high-energy components are entirely determ ined by absorptive parts at high energies. With the exception of the two leading-order parameters, all the parameters appearing in the one- and two-loop chiral am plitudes are determ ined by the high-energy components of the exact am plitudes.

1 Introduction and statem ent of results

Chiral perturbation theory of the meson sector is an elective eld theory providing a successful description of low energy strong interaction processes in terms of expansions in powers of external momenta and quark masses [1]. These expansions are derived from an e ective Lagrangian which is itself a series of powers of the pion eld, its derivatives and the quark mass matrix. It contains e ective coupling constants whose number increases dram atically as one proceeds from the leading terms to higher order corrections [2]. The problem of determ ining the values of these coupling constants arises. As one is dealing with an e ective low-energy theory, part of the coupling constants is meant to encode the low -energy manifestations of high-energy phenom ena, therefore it must be possible to relate some of the coupling constants to the characteristics of such phenom ena. In the present context, high energies are of course very m odest, starting around 500 M eV. O rder of m agnitude estimates can be obtained by evaluating contributions of the high-energy states (resonances), by m eans of a Lagrangian describing these states and their coupling to the pion eld [3]. This procedure amounts to saturating high-energy cross-sections by resonance contributions in a narrow width approximation. Other, potentially more accurate, methods are based on the use of dispersion relations which connect low - and high-energy processes [4, 5].

In this paper I follow the dispersion relation path and consider a special process, pionpion scattering. I address the problem of determining the parameters appearing in the chiral amplitudes of this process. These parameters are related in a known way to the coupling constants of the chiral Lagrangian. M ore precisely, I am asking two questions:

 Is it possible to decompose a pion-pion amplitude into a high-and a low energy component? The high-energy component should be determ ined by high-energy absorptive parts and it should be possible to obtain the low energy component from the chiral absorptive part.

2. Is it possible to determ ine unambiguously the chiral parameters and, consequently, the chiral coupling constants, with the aid of the high-energy components?

As crossing symmetry is a basic property of pion-pion scattering, I require the decomposition into low – and high-energy components to be explicitly crossing symmetric. If one works with dispersion relations, the main di culty of question (1) comes from this last requirement. In fact, ordinary dispersion relations are not convenient tools and a technique developed thirty years ago turns out to be more appropriate [6]. It is based on the following considerations. The isospin I s-channel amplitude T^I is a function of the three M andelstam variables s, t and u (I = 0;1;2) [7,8]. C rossing symmetry dictates the transformation of the T^I under permutation of s, t and u. One de nest three amplitudes G_i, linearly related to the T^I, which are totally symmetric functions of s;t;u (i = 0;1;2). C onversely the total symmetry of the G_i implies crossing symmetry for the T^I. W hen expressed in term s of appropriate new variables the G_i obey dispersion relations which do not spoil their symmetry properties. The low – and high-energy components L_i and H_i of G_i are obtained by splitting its dispersion integral into low – and high-energy parts. The L_i and H_i are totally symmetric and de ne a crossing symmetric decomposition of the T^I. Therefore the answer to question (1) is a rm ative.

A strategy for xing the chiral parameters is to adjust them in such a way that the chiral amplitudes T^{I} are good approximations of the true amplitudes T^{I} at points where the chiral expansion has to be valid. I adopt and in plement this strategy by requiring that truncated Taylor expansions of T^{I} and T^{I} coincide at a conveniently chosen point where both amplitudes are regular. Points in the M andelstam triangle $s < 4M^{2}$, $t < 4M^{2}$, $u < 4M^{2}$ are good candidates and I shall work with Taylor expansions around the symmetry point $s = t = u = 4M^{2}=3$. The outcom e will be a set of equations relating the parameters of the higher-order terms of the chiral amplitudes to high-energy pion-pion scattering, two leading-order parameters remaining unconstrained. Consequently the answer to question (2) is also a rmative to a large extent: it con rm s the special status of the leading-order coupling constants.

As a by-product of my investigations I obtain upper bounds for the Taylor coe cients of the high-energy components at the symmetry point. They seem to be compatible with good convergence properties of the chiral expansion.

A similar method for the determ ination of pion-pion parameters has been developed in [4]. The main di erence between this method and my proposal lies in a treatment of crossing symmetry which does not depend on the order of the chiral expansion.

The principalaim of this paper is to establish that the idea of determ ining chiral coupling constants from high-energy processes can be realized precisely and unambiguously in the special case of pion-pion scattering. My technique cannot be extended to other processes in a straightforward way. Here, I am mainly interested in questions of principle and the practical application of my constraints is another task. Due to the poor shape of our information on high-energy pion-pion scattering, it is doubtful that they really can im prove the results already obtained [4].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains an outline of the derivation of dispersion relations for the totally symmetric amplitudes G_i . Section 3 is technical: the analyticity properties allowing Taylor expansions in two variables around the symmetry point are established. The results are stated in two propositions. Constraints for the

chiral coupling constants are derived in Section 4. Explicit equations up to the sixth order of the chiral expansion are written down. Technical details are presented in two Appendices.

2 D ispersion relations for totally symmetric amplitudes

H igh energy components of the pion-pion amplitudes T^{I} will be dened with the help of three totally symmetric functions $G_{i}(s;t;u)$:

$$G_{0}(s;t;u) = \frac{1}{3} T^{0}(s;t;u) + 2T^{2}(s;t;u) ;$$

$$G_{1}(s;t;u) = \frac{T^{1}(s;t;u)}{t u} + \frac{T^{1}(t;u;s)}{u s} + \frac{T^{1}(u;s;t)}{s t};$$

$$G_{2}(s;t;u) = \frac{1}{s t} \frac{T^{1}(s;t;u)}{t u} \frac{T^{1}(t;s;u)}{s u} ;$$

$$(1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t u} \frac{T^{1}(t;u;s)}{u s} \frac{T^{1}(u;t;s)}{t s} + \frac{1}{u s} \frac{T^{1}(u;s;t)}{s t} \frac{T^{1}(s;u;t)}{u t};$$

The M and elstam variables will be expressed in units of M^2 , M = pion m ass (s+t+u = 4). No poles are produced by the denom inators because $T^1(s;t;u)$ is antisymmetric in t and u. The functions G_0 , G_1 and G_2 have been introduced by Roskies [7]: G_0 is simply the 0 amplitude. Crossing symmetry is encoded in the total symmetry of the G_1 . The individual amplitudes T^1 are reconstructed in the following way.

$$T^{0}(s;t;u) = \frac{5}{3}G_{0}(s;t;u) + \frac{2}{9}(3s \quad 4)G_{1}(s;t;u) \quad \frac{2}{27}\beta s^{2} + 6tu \quad 16\beta_{2}(s;t;u);$$

$$T^{1}(s;t;u) = (t \quad u) \quad \frac{1}{3}G_{1}(s;t;u) + \frac{1}{9}(3s \quad 4)G_{2}(s;t;u);$$
(2)

$$T^{2}(s;t;u) = \frac{2}{3}G_{0}(s;t;u) + \frac{1}{9}(3s + 4)G_{1}(s;t;u) + \frac{1}{27}[3s^{2} + 6tu + 16]G_{2}(s;t;u):$$

The symmetry of G_i in plies that it can be expressed as a function of two independent variables which are totally symmetric and homogeneous in s, t and u, for instance the variables x and y de ned by

$$x = \frac{1}{16} (st + tu + us); \quad y = \frac{1}{64} stu:$$
 (3)

No singularities are induced by the change of variables (s;t;u) ! (x;y): each singularity of G_i as a function of x and y is the image of a singularity in the (s;t;u)-space. Analyticity properties of $G_i(x;y)$ have been established [6, 8] by looking at its restrictions to complex straight lines

$$y = a(x x_0) + y_0; a; x_0; y_0 2 C:$$
 (4)

As a function of x, at a $\;$ xed value of the slope a and for a given point $(x_0;y_0),$ the restriction

$$F_{i}(x;a;x_{0};y_{0}) = G_{i}(x;a(x - x_{0}) + y_{0})$$
(5)

has simple analyticity properties. As long as a and y_0 belong to an x_0 -dependent neighborhood V (x_0) of the origin, F_1 is regular in the x-plane with a cut C ($a_ix_0;y_0$). This cut is the image in the x-plane of the physical cut fs;t;u j4 s < 1 g. The Froissart bound for the asymptotic behavior of the pion-pion amplitudes implies a once subtracted dispersion relation for F_0 and F_1 and an unsubtracted relation for F_2 :

$$F_{i}(x;a;x_{0};y_{0}) \quad (1 \quad {}_{i2})F_{i}(x_{1};a;x_{0};y_{0}) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C(a;x_{0};y_{0})}^{Z} d \frac{1}{x} \quad (1 \quad {}_{i2}) \frac{1}{x_{1}} \text{ Disc}F_{i}(;a;x_{0};y_{0});$$
(6)

where D isc F_i is the discontinuity of F_i across the cut C. The relation (6) holds whenever (a;y_0) 2 V (x_0); it is an exact consequence of the general principles of quantum eld theory.

M y high-energy components of the pion-pion amplitudes will be obtained from a decomposition of the right-hand side integral in (6) into low – and high-energy parts. To this end, it is convenient to parameterize the cut C by means of the energy squared s and rewrite the right-hand side of (6) as an integral over s. The variable in (6) becomes a function of s:

$$(s;a;x_0;y_0) = \frac{1}{16(s+4a)} h^{3} s^{2}(s-4) + 64(ax_0 y_0) :$$
(7)

The discontinuity of F_i is related to the absorptive parts $A^{I}(s;t)$ of the pion-pion amplitudes evaluated at a (complex) value ($s;a;x_0;y_0$) of the squared momentum transfer t:

$$(s;a;x_0;y_0) = \frac{1}{2}(s-4)(s-4)^2 \frac{16}{s+4a}(as(s-4)-16(ax_0-y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}}:$$
 (8)

With these prerequisites the change of variable ! s transform s (6) into

$$G_{i}(x;y) = (1 \quad _{i2})G_{i}(x_{1};y_{1}) + \frac{1}{16} \int_{4}^{2} ds \frac{1}{s+4a} - \frac{1}{x} \quad (1 \quad _{i2}) - \frac{1}{x_{1}} B_{i}(s;): (9)$$

The relation (6) has been written in term $s \circ fG_i$, the points (x;y) and $(x_1;y_1)$ belonging to the straight line (2;4). In the integral, and denote the functions de ned in (7) and (8). The function B_i is proportional to D is F_i :

$$B_{i}(s;) = (s) (2s 4 +)D isc F_{i}(;a;x_{0};y_{0}):$$
 (10)

It is obtained from the absorptive parts A^{I} :

$$B_{0}(s;t) = \frac{1}{3}(s t)(2s 4+t) A^{0}(s;t) + A^{2}(s;t) ;$$

$$B_{1}(s;t) = \frac{1}{6}(3s 4) 2A^{0}(s;t) 5A^{2}(s;t) + \frac{(s t)(2s 4+t)}{(2t 4+s)} \frac{1}{2}(2t 4+s) A^{1}(s;t); \quad (11)$$

$$B_{2}(s;t) = \frac{1}{2} 2A^{0}(s;t) 5A^{2}(s;t) + \frac{3}{2}\frac{3s 4}{2t 4+s}A^{1}(s;t):$$

The construction of the dom ain V (x_0) specifying the validity of relation (9) can now be explained. It is based on the known exact properties of absorptive parts [9]. At xed s (4 s < 1) A^I (s;t) is an analytic function of twhich is regular in an ellipse E (s) with fociat t = 0 and t = (s 4) and right extrem ity r(s) given by

$$r(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{16s}{s} & \text{for } 4 < s < 16; \\ \frac{256}{s} & \text{for } 16 & s & 32; \\ \frac{4s}{s} & \frac{4s}{s} & \text{for } 32 & s < 1: \end{cases}$$
(12)

The integrand in (9) is de ned if $(s;a;x_0;y_0)$ always stays within the ellipse E (s). This is precisely the condition de ning the dom ain V (x_0) which I shall use:

$$V(x_0) = fa; y_0 j (s; a; x_0; y_0) 2 E(s); 4 s < 1 g:$$
 (13)

Figure 1 illustrates the limitations resulting from the condition

$$(a; y_0) \ge V(x_0)$$
 (14)

for real values of the parameters a, x_0 and y_0 . Figure 2 displays the permitted values of the slope a when $x_0 = 50$ and $y_0 = 1=27$. If (14) is fullled, the relation (9) not only holds true but the absorptive parts appearing in B_i are given by their convergent partial wave expansions. In this sense the integral in (9) only involves physical quantities.

3 De ning high-energy components

From now on, I keep x_0 and y_0 xed, and con nem yself to the family of straight lines (4) passing through the point $(x_0; y_0)$. Furtherm one, the subtraction point $(x_1; y_1)$ is identieed with $(x_0; y_0)$ and the dispersion relation (9) is used as a representation of the function $G_i(x; y)$ in a domain of the (x; y)-space determ ined by condition (14) with a = $(y \quad y_0) = (x \quad x_0)$. I choose $(x_0; y_0)$ in such a way that this representation holds in a neighborhood of the point $x = x_s = 1=3$, $y = y_s = 1=27$, which is the image of the symmetry point s = t = u = 4=3. The Taylor expansion of G_i around this point can then be obtained from the representation and the parameters of the chiral pion-pion amplitudes are constrained by equating Taylor coe cients of the chiral G_i with the coe cients derived from (9). This explicitly crossing symmetric procedure will be explained in detail in Section 4.

The main aim of the present Section is the extraction of high-energy components from equation (9), but it is rst necessary to ensure that this equation really provides a representation of G_i in a neighborhood of the symmetry point.

P roposition 1 If x_0 is real, $72 < x_0 < 3x_s=2$, $y_0 = y_s$, the function $G_i(x;y)$ given by equation (9) is regular for (x;y) 2 M where M is the cartesian product $D_x D_y$ of two disks D_x and D_y in the x- and y-plane respectively, centered on x_s and y_s .

The integral J (x;a) in (9) is primarily a function of x and a. Since x_0 and y_0 are xed, condition (14) de ness a domain W for a. The integral J (x;a) is de ned and regular if a belongs to W and x is in CnC (a), C (a) being an abbreviation for C (a;x_0;y_0) (the point (x_0;y_0) being xed, explicit reference to the x_0 - and y_0 -dependence will also be dropped in and). Information on the location of the cut C (a) is needed in order to proceed. If the slope a is real, inspection of F ig. 1 shows that

$$(s;a)$$
 (4;a₀) (15)

if s 4 and 1 < a a_0 . This means that for such values of a, the cut C (a), which is on the real x-axis, is entirely on the right of the point $x = (4;a_0) = (a_0x_0 \quad y_0) = (1 + a_0)$. An inequality similar to (15) holds for complex slopes and in a more general context.

Lem m a 1 If $\dot{a}_1 < a_0$, $a_0 < 2=4$, 2 < 4, $y_0 > 0$ and $x_0 + y_0 < 0$, the inequality

Re
$$(s;a) > (^{2};a_{0})$$
 (16)

holds for $2 ext{ s < 1}$.

This lemma follows from a straightforward computation.

Setting $^2 = 4$ in (16) one sees that the whole complex cut C (a) is on the right of the line Rex = $(4;a_0)$.

Lem m a 2 The integral J(x;a) is de ned and regular for $\text{Rex} < (4;a_0)$ and $jaj < a_0$ if $x_0 < 3x_s=2$ and $a_0 < 1$. The circle $jaj = a_0$ has to be inside the domain W.

To prove this lemma I choose a_0 in such a way that $(4;a_0) = x_s = 2 = 1 = 6$. With $y_0 = y_s$ this gives

$$a_0 = \frac{x_s + 2y_s}{x_s - 2x_0};$$
 (17)

As, by assumption, $x_0 < 3x_s=2$, the condition $a_0 < 1$ is satisfied. One verifies that the circle $j_a j = a_0$ is contained in W if $72 < x_0 < 3x_s=2$. Consequently, Lemma 2 shows that J (x;a) is regular in the product $D_x = D_a$ of two disks, D_x with center $x = x_s$ and radius $x = x_s=2$, and D_a with center a = 0 and radius a_0 given in (17). This result implies Proposition 1. Indeed, the representation (9) can be rewritten as

$$G_{i}(x;y) = G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) + J_{x};\frac{y + y_{0}}{x + x_{0}}$$
 : (18)

If x 2 D_x, y 2 D_y, the radius of D_y being $y = a_0 ((3x_s=2) x_0)$, the slope $a = (y y_s)=(x x_0)$ veries the inequality

$$j_{aj} = \frac{j_{y}}{j_{x}} \frac{y_{sj}}{x_{0j}} < a_{0};$$
(19)

because $j_{y} y_{s}j < y_{a}$ and $j_{x} x_{0}j > (3x_{s}=2) x_{0}$ when $j_{x} x_{s}j < x$. Therefore a 2 D_a and the right-hand side of (18) is de ned and regular. 2

The proof of P roposition 1 contains arbitrary choices leading to special, non-optim al, values of the radii $_{\rm x}$ and $_{\rm y}$. This does not matter because the role of P roposition 1

is simply to ensure analyticity in a product of two disks which, in turn, guarantees the convergence of the Taylor expansion of G_i in both variables x and y.

The validity of (18) in a neighborhood of the symmetry point being established, a decomposition of G_i into a low – and high-energy component valid in that neighborhood can be de ned simply by splitting J(x;a) into an integral from 4 to ² and an integral from ² to in nity. The high-energy component H_i of G_i is de ned by

$$H_{i}(x;y) = \frac{1}{16} \int_{2}^{2} ds \frac{1}{(s+4a)} \frac{1}{x} (1 \quad i_{2}) \frac{1}{x_{0}} B_{i}(s;)$$
(20)

where $a = (y y_0) = (x x_0)$. The following proposition, an analogue of Proposition 1, holds for H_i.

Proposition 2 If

$$72 < x_0 < \frac{1}{64} = 4 (2 - 4) + \frac{1}{4} = 2 + 1 - x_s + y_s$$
 (21)

the function H $_{i}$ de ned in (20) is regular in the union M $_{H}$ of a fam ily of cartesian products of two disks:

$$M_{H} = \bigcup_{x} [D_{x}(x) \quad D_{y}(y)]: \qquad (22)$$

The disks D_x and D_y are centered at $x = x_s$ and $y = y_s$ and their radii x and y are related by

$$y = \frac{1}{64} \frac{x_{s}}{x_{s} + x} \frac{x_{0}}{x_{0}}^{11} (2^{2} + 4) = 16^{-2} (x_{s} + x) = 64 y_{s}^{1};$$
(23)

with

$$0 < x < \frac{2}{16} (2 4) x_s \frac{4y_s}{2}$$
: (24)

The proof of P roposition 2 is a paraphrase of the proof of P roposition 1. If J_H (x;a) denotes the integral in (20), this function is dened if a belongs to a domain W_H obtained from (13) by restricting s to values larger than ². As a function of x J_H (x;a) has a cut C_H (a):

$$C_{H}(a) = x j x = (s;a); s^{2}:$$
 (25)

Lemma 1 now indicates that the cut C_H (a) is entirely on the right of the line Re x = (²; a₀) if jaj< a₀ < (²=4), (²; a₀) being given by (7). This quantity is the abscissa of the intersection of the line $y = a_0 (x - x_0) + y_s$ with the in age

$$64y = {}^{2} {}^{2} ({}^{2} {}^{4}) 16x$$
 (26)

of the line $s = 2^{2}$ in the real (x;y)-plane.

By analogy with Lemma 2, it now appears that the integral J_H is defined and regular for Rex < (²; a_0) and $jaj < a_0$, provided that the circle $jaj = a_0$ is within W_H . If x_0 verifies (21) this last condition is satisfied for $a_0 < 1$.

The regularity of H $_{i}$ (x;a) for x 2 D $_{x}$ is ensured if the radius $_{x}$ is such that

$$x < (^{2};a_{0}) x_{s}$$
: (27)

At a given x this xes the maximal slope a_0 :

$$a_{0} = \frac{4(2 + 4) + 16(2)(x_{s} + x_{s}) + 64y_{s}}{64(x_{s} + x_{s} + x_{0})};$$
(28)

As a_0 must be positive, x has the upper bound (24). Furtherm ore, a_0 has to be sm aller than 1 in order to secure regularity with respect to a in D_a , the disk jaj< a_0 , a_0 given by (28). If x is allowed to vanish, this in poses the upper limit in (21). As in the last step of the proof of Proposition 1, the regularity of J_H (x;a) for (x;a) 2 D_x D_a now implies the regularity of $H_i(x;y)$ in $D_x(x)$ $D_y(y)$, the radius of D_y being $y = a_0(x_s x x_0)$. The expression (28) for a_0 leads to the relation (23) between x and y.

As the radii of convergence of the Taylor expansion of H $_i$ will matter, Proposition 2 goes into greater detail than Proposition 1 although it is not aim ed at being optimal.

W hereas (20) de nes crossing-sym metric high-energy components of the T^I via (2), a drawback of these components is that they depend on the choice of the subtraction point $(x_0; y_0)$. This comes from the explicit appearance of x_0 in the integral of (20) (if $i \in 2$) and the $(x_0; y_0)$ -dependence of and (cf. (7) and (8)). In fact, after identi cation of $(x_0; y_0)$ and $(x_1; y_1)$ in (9), the right-hand side has to be independent of $(x_0; y_0)$ and this leads to constraints on the absorptive parts already noticed in [8]. If the integral is split into a low - and a high-energy part, there is a coupling between low - and high-energy absorptive parts, which I shall not discuss.

4 High-energy constraints on the one- and twoloop chiral pion-pion parameters

The outcome of the previous Sections is a representation of the symmetric amplitudes $G_i(x;y)$ in a neighborhood of the symmetry point. It provides a decomposition into low – and high-energy contributions,

$$G_{i}(x;y) = L_{i}(x;y) + H_{i}(x;y);$$
 (29)

where

$$L_{i}(x;y) = (1 \quad _{i2})G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{4}^{2} ds \frac{1}{s+4a} \frac{1}{x} (1 \quad _{i2}) \frac{1}{x_{0}} B_{i}(s;) (30)$$

is the low-energy component and H_i the high-energy component de ned in (20). Proposition 1 applies to L_i: this function is known to be regular in the dom ain M of Proposition 1. The high-energy component H_i is certainly regular in the larger dom ain M_H de ned in (22). These analyticity properties imply that the Taylor expansion of G_i(x;y) around (x_s;y_s) in the two complex variables x and y can be extracted from the representations (20) and (30). It converges in a dom ain containing M whereas the expansion of the high-energy component converges in a larger dom ain containing M_H.

In order to derive well de ned constraints on the parameters appearing in the chiral amplitudes T^{I} from (29), I m ake two assumptions:

- (i) The sym m etric amplitudes G_i obtained from the 2n-th order chiral amplitudes approximate the true symmetric amplitudes G_i in a neighborhood of the symmetry point up to higher order corrections.
- (ii) The discontinuities D isc G_i of the 2n-th chiral symmetric amplitudes approximate D isc G_i in a bounded interval above threshold up to higher order corrections.

This means that the representation (29) can be rewritten in the following way if 2 is conveniently chosen and if (x;y) is close to (x_s;y_s):

$$G_{i}(x;y) = L_{i}(x;y) + H_{i}(x;y) + higher order term s:$$
(31)

The low-energy component L_i is obtained from (30) where B_i is replaced by B_i .

The precise value of 2 plays no role in what follows. A special value I have in m ind is $^2 = 16$ corresponding to an energy of 560 M eV.

Each chiral am plitude T^{I} is a sum of a polynom ial in s, t and u and non-polynom ial term s exhibiting the cuts necessarily present in any scattering am plitude. A coording to (1) the sym metric am plitudes G_{i} have the same structure. The polynom ial part of G_{i} is 0 ($p^{2n_{i}}$) where n_{i} is determined by n and depends on i. A lthough the G_{i} do not have the same e asymptotic behavior as the G_{i} , they share the regularity properties we have established. The coe cients appearing in the polynom ials and in the non-polynom ial term s are determined by the chiral coupling constants.

By construction G_i and L_i have the same discontinuity across the cut C (a) as long as 4 s². This implies that the difference (G_i L_i) can be written as

$$G_{i}(x;y) \quad L_{i}(x;y) = (1 \quad i_{2})G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) + P_{i}(x;y) + H_{i}(x;y)$$
(32)

where P_i is a low-energy component and H_i is the high-energy component of G_i . As P_i has no discontinuity across the low-energy part of the cut C (a), it is regular in a domain which is larger than M. Up to the sixth order of the chiral expansion P_i is in fact a polynom ial of degree $2n_i$. The following discussion applies to that situation, i.e. I assume that n 3 from now on. I show in Appendix A how P_i and H_i are constructed.

Combining (32) and (31) gives

 $P_{i}(x;y)$ (1 $_{i2})G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) = H_{i}(x;y)$ $H_{i}(x;y) + O_{i2}p^{2(n_{i}+1)}$: (33)

This relation has to hold in a neighborhood of the symmetry point. It becomes a strict equality if H $_i$ and H $_i$ are replaced by their $2n_i$ -order truncated Taylor expansions Q $_i$ and Q $_i$

$$P_{i}(x;y) + Q_{i}(x;y) = (1 \quad i_{2})G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) + Q_{i}(x;y):$$
(34)

The left-hand side is entirely determ ined by the 2n-th order chiral amplitudes whereas the right-hand side is xed by the pion-pion absorptive parts above ² and the value of G_i at the subtraction point if i = 0; 1. Equating the coe cients of the left- and right-hand side polynom ials gives a series of constraints on the parameters of the chiral amplitudes. The i = 0 and i = 1 constraints com ing from the constant terms in (34) have a special status because of the presence of $G_i(x_0; y_0)$, the unknown value of G_i at the subtraction point. The remaining constraints relate the chiral parameters to high-energy pion-pion scattering.

The regularity of H $_{i}$ in the fam ily of products M $_{H}$ implies upper bounds for the coe cients C $_{n,m}$ of its Taylor expansion

$$H_{i}(x;y) = \int_{n_{\pi}}^{x} C_{n_{\pi}}^{i} (x x_{s})^{n} (y y_{s})^{m} :$$
(35)

If $_x$ and $_y$ are such that $D_x(_x) = D_y(_y)$ is inside M_H , H_i j is nite on the boundary of this product of two disks and

$$C_{n,m}^{i} < \frac{K_{i}}{(x)^{n} (y)^{m}}$$
: (36)

One checks that x = y = 9 fulls the above requirements if $x_0 = 50$ and 2 = 16 (notice that these values are compatible with (21)). This leads to the simple but severe bound $\int c_{n,m}^{i} j < K_{i} = 9^{(n+m)}$. A more rened bound is derived in Appendix B. The same bounds hold for the Taylor coe cients of H_i. Inequality (36) is an important result. The exponential falls of the $C_{n,m}^{i}$ when n and/orm increase indicates that a rapid decrease of the size of the high-order terms in the chiral expansion is conceivable.

F inally I exam ine the nature of the conditions that equation (34) in poses on the sixthorder, one- and two-bop chiral am plitudes [10, 11]. They are obtained in a standard way from a single function A (s;t;u) which is the sum of a second-, fourth- and sixth-order term

$$A (s;t;u) = {}^{2}A_{2}(s;t;u) + {}^{4}A_{4}(s;t;u) + {}^{6}A_{6}(s;t;u)$$
(37)

with = M = F. The polynom is parts of these terms have the form

$$A_{2}^{\text{pol}}(s;t;u) = a_{2;0} + a_{2;1}s$$

$$A_{4}^{\text{pol}}(s;t;u) = a_{4;0} + a_{4;1}s + a_{4;2}s^{2} + a_{4;3}tu$$

$$A_{6}^{\text{pol}}(s;t;u) = a_{6;0} + a_{6;1}s + a_{6;2}s^{2} + a_{6;3}tu + a_{6;4}s^{3} + a_{6;5}stu$$
(38)

The non-polynom ialparts are sum s of products of polynom ials with analytic functions of a single variable s, t or u exhibiting the cut [4;1). At xed $a_{2;0}$ and $a_{2;1}$, the parameters appearing in these non-polynom ial terms are linear in the $a_{4;}$, = 0;1;2;3. The 2n-th order term $P_{i;2n}$ of the polynom ial P_i de ned in (32) is either a constant or a polynom ial of rst degree.

The $_{i;2n}$, $_{i;2n}$, $_{i;2n}$ are linear combinations of the $a_{2n,m}$.

Now my proposal is to apply the strategy commonly used when dealing with perturbation expansions and to require that equation (34) be satisfied order by order, i.e. by the leading order term, n = 1, the sum of this term and the next-to-leading order correction n = 2 and nally the sum of the three rst order terms, n = 1;2;3. It might be that assumption (ii) above holds good in an interval (4; ²) whose maximal size depends on 2n, the order of the approximation. For simplicity I look at the structure of the conditions obtained with a xed ².

The polynom $ial P_i$ on the left-hand side of (34) becomes

$$P_{i}^{(2n)} = \sum_{p=1}^{X^{1}} P_{i;2p}; \quad n = 1;2;3$$
(40)

and the truncation of H_i and H_i leading to polynom ials $Q_i^{(2n)}$ and $Q_i^{(2n)}$ has to be adapted to the degree of $P_i^{(2n)}$. The $Q_i^{(2n)}$ have the same form as the $P_i^{(2n)}$: they are obtained from (39) by replacing $_{i;2n}$; ::: by new coe cients $_{i;2n}^{H}$; ::: which are linear in the a_4 ; at xed $a_{2;0}$ and $a_{2;1}$.

Twon = 1 conditions are obtained from (34):

$$_{i;2} + \stackrel{H}{_{i;2}} = G_{i}(x_{0};y_{0}) + H_{i}(x_{s};y_{s}); \quad i = 0;1:$$
 (41)

As x_0 and y_0 are such that $G_1(x_0;y_0)$ cannot be obtained from the chiral amplitudes, these conditions do not constrain the chiral parameters in a useful way. This con rm s the fact that high-energy data alone cannot discrim inate between the standard [11] and the generalized [10] versions of chiral perturbation theory.

For n = 2 we have four conditions:

and n = 3 gives six conditions:

$$i_{i_{6}6} + i_{i_{6}6}^{H} = 0; i = 0;1;2;
0_{i_{6}6} + i_{0;6}^{H} = 0;
^{6} 1_{i_{7}6} + i_{1;6}^{H} = (@H_1 = @x)(x_s; y_s); (43)
^{6} 0_{i_{7}6} + i_{0;6}^{H} = (@H_1 = @y)(x_s; y_s):$$

The end result is a system of 10 equations which determ ine the 10 fourth-and sixthorder parameters a_4 ; and a_6 ; in (38) in terms of 4 high-energy quantities. These are integrals over absorptive parts $A^{I}(s; (s))$ evaluated at (s) (64=27)=8s(s 4)²), $s > {}^{2}$, very close to the forward direction.

Sim ilar constraints have been derived in [5] and [10]. I obtain m ore conditions than in [10] because the parameters appearing there are not split into second-, fourth- and sixth-order contributions.

The equations (4.14-15) have not been analyzed in detail to date: this is beyond the scope of the present work. This means that I end up with a proposal whose practicality remains to be explored.

A Constructing the polynom ial P_i: a sam ple calculation

The polynom is lpart of A produces a polynom is lpart of G_i which appears unchanged in the polynom is P_i de ned in (32). The main point is to nd out the contribution to ${\tt P}_i$ coming from the non-polynomial term s of A % i . Up to sixth order, these term s have a simple structure, some of them having the form

$$A^{(s;t;u)} = R (s)f(s);$$
 (44)

where R is a polynom ial and f an analytic function with a right-hand cut [4;1). As an illustration I compute the term $sofP_0$ and L_0 com ing from A. This produces the following term of G_0 :

$$G_{0}(s;t;u) = \frac{1}{3} (R(s)f(s) + R(t)f(t) + R(u)f(u)):$$
 (45)

The functions f of the one- and two-loop amplitudes obey once-subtracted dispersion relations. This allows a decomposition of f into a low - and a high-energy component:

$$f(s) = f_L(s) + f_H(s);$$
 (46)

$$f_{L}(s) = f(0) + \frac{s^{Z^{2}}}{4} \frac{d}{s} \frac{\text{Im } f()}{s}; \qquad (47)$$

$$f_{\rm H}(s) = \frac{s^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{s} \frac{\text{Im } f()}{s};$$
 (48)

The high-energy term ${\rm H}_0$ is simply obtained by replacing f by $f_{\rm H}\,$ in (45). Equation (32) becomes

$$P_{0}^{c}(x;y) = \frac{1}{3} (R (s)f_{L}(s) + R (t)f_{L}(t) + R (u)f_{L}(u)) \quad L_{0}(x;y) + G_{0}(x_{0};y_{0}):$$
(49)

Inserting the representation (47) and introducing D isc $G_0 = (1=3)R$ (s) Im f (s) into (30) gives an explicit expression for the polynom ial P_0 :

$$P_{0}^{*} = C + \frac{1}{3}f(0) \mathbb{R}(s) + R(t) + R(u) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{4}^{Z} \frac{d}{d} \mathbb{S}(s;) + S(t;) + S(u;) \mathbb{I}m f();$$
(50)

where S (s;) is a polynom ial in two variables:

$$S(s;) = \frac{sR(s) R()}{s}$$
 (51)

and the constant C is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{3} \int_{4}^{Z_{2}} d \frac{1}{s_{0}} + \frac{1}{t_{0}} + \frac{1}{u_{0}} R() \text{ Im } f():$$

For convenience, the M and elstam variables are used instead of x and y.

The contributions of the term A of A , de ned in (44), to P_1 , P_2 , H_1 and H_1 are obtained in a sim ilar way, and so are the contributions of the other non-polynom ial term s of A .

B An upper bound for the Taylor coe cients C_{nm}

Replace (23) by the linear relation

$$\frac{x}{1} + \frac{y}{2} = 1;$$
 (52)

 0_x 1, where 1 and 2 are such that $D_x(x)$ $D_y(y)$ belongs to M_H if x and y obey (52). The Taylor coe cients $C_{n,m}$ de ned in (35) have the upper bound

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,m} j < \text{Inf} \frac{K}{\frac{n}{x} \frac{m}{y}} = \frac{K}{\frac{1}{x} \frac{m}{2}} 1 + \frac{m}{n} + \frac{m}{n} + \frac{m}{m} :$$
 (53)

If one chooses $^2 = 16$ and $x_0 = 50$, one can take $_1 = 123$ and $_2 = 493$. This gives an extrem ely rapid decrease if m increases, n being xed.

References

- [1] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 158, 142 (1984). Reviews on the present status of pion-pion scattering are found in: A M. Bernstein and B.R. Holstein (eds.), ChiralD ynam ics: Theory and Experiment, Proceedings of the W orkshop held at M IT, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1994 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1995)
- [2] H.W. Fearing and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D 53, 315 (1996)
- [3] G.Ecker, J.Gasser, A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B 321, 311 (1989)
 G.Ecker, J.Gasser, H.Leutwyler, A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Phys. Lett. B 223, 425 (1989)
 - V.Bemard, N.Kaiser and U.G.Meiner, Nucl. Phys. B 357, 129 (1991)
 - D. Toublan, Lausanne preprint, UNIL-TP-3/95 (hep-ph/9509217)
- [4] M.Knecht, B.Moussalam, J.Stern and N.H.Fuchs, Nucl. Phys. B 457, 513 (1995)
- [5] M R. Pennington and J. Portoles, Phys. Lett. B 344, 399 (1995)
- [6] G.W anders, Helv. Phys. Acta 39, 228 (1966)
- [7] R.Roskies, Nuovo C im ento 65A, 467 (1970)
- [8] G.Mahoux, SM. Roy and G.W anders, Nucl. Phys. B 70, 297 (1974)
- [9] A.Martin, Scattering Theory: Unitarity, Analyticity and Crossing (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969)
- [10] M.Knecht, B.Moussalam, J.Stern and N.H.Fuchs, Orsay preprint IPNO/TH 95-45, 1995 (hep-ph/9507319)
- [11] J.Bijnens, G.Colangelo, G.Ecker, J.Gasser and M.E.Sainio, NORD ITA-95/77 N, P, 1995 (hep-ph/9511397)

Figure captions

- F igure 1: Qualitative picture of the real (x;y)-plane. The real (s;t;u)-space is mapped onto a domain bounded by the curves C₊ and C (C = image of the line s = t, t > 4=3, C₊ = image of the line u = t, t > 4=3). The point s corresponds to the symmetry point s = t = u = 4=3. The line y = x is the image of s = 4. The curves B and A are the images of the extrem it is of the sem image of s = 4. The axes of the ellipses E (s) (s > 4). For a real slope a and a real point P (x₀;y₀), the restriction F₁ to the line d (y = a(x x₀) + y₀) has a real cut starting on the line y = x if the point Q is below d. It starts on C if Q is above d. The dispersion relation (6) is valid if d avoids the shaded region.
- Figure 2: Domain W of the permitted values of the slope a de ned via (14) for $x_0 = 50$ and $y_0 = 1=27$. The dispersion relation (6) is valid if a is within this domain; it contains the circle jaj = 1.



