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We present the supersymmetric standard model three-loop $\beta$-functions for gauge and Yukawa couplings and consider the effect of three-loop corrections on the standard running coupling analyses.

The unification (or near-unification) of the gauge couplings at $M_{G} \approx 10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}$ has catalysed intensive study of the supersymmetric standard model (SSM). The evolution of the Yukawa couplings from $M_{Z}$ to $M_{G}$ is also of interest. For small $\tan \beta$, the $t$-quark Yukawa coupling $y_{t}$ exhibits quasi-infrared fixed point (QFP) behaviour [1] , and the corresponding form of $y_{t}(\mu)$ is favourable for $b / \tau$ unification at $M_{G}$. For large $\tan \beta$, trinification $\left(y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right)=y_{b}\left(M_{G}\right)=y_{\tau}\left(M_{G}\right)\right)$ is possible [2]. Contemporary analyses generally employ the two-loop gauge and Yukawa $\beta$-functions, and apply one loop corrections in the low energy theory. In general the change in low energy predictions resulting from use of two-loop rather than one-loop $\beta$-functions is quite small; it appears that perturbation theory is reliable. In this paper we take the first step beyond these calculations by presenting the three loop $\beta$-functions for the dimensionless couplings. We deduce the three-loop Yukawa $\beta$-functions from the recent dimensional reduction (DRED) calculation of the three loop anomalous dimension of the chiral supermultiplet in a general $N=1$ theory [3]. We also need the three-loop gauge $\beta$-functions. In Ref. [7] it is shown by explicit calculation that in the abelian case the DRED result for $\beta_{g}^{(3)}$ differs from that obtained from the exact NSVZ formula of Ref. [5] by a simple coupling constant redefinition, and the corresponding redefinition for the non-abelian case is inferred. From Ref. [4] we are thus able to obtain the DRED results for the three-loop gauge $\beta$-functions. In fact the effect of using these rather than the results of Ref. [5] is very small in the examples we present here.

We run gauge and Yukawa couplings between $M_{Z}$ and $M_{G}$ and compare the results with the corresponding calculations at one and two loops. In general the three-loop effects are small and opposite in sign to the two-loop ones; certainly not more significant than one- and two-loop radiative corrections at $M_{Z}$ (some of which are not yet calculated). We do not, therefore, perform detailed phenomenological analysis. The relevant part of the SSM superpotential is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=H_{2} t Y_{t} Q+H_{1} b Y_{b} Q+H_{1} \tau Y_{\tau} L \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{t}, Y_{b}, Y_{\tau}$ are $n_{g} \times n_{g}$ Yukawa matrices, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=Y_{t}^{\dagger} Y_{t}, B=Y_{b}^{\dagger} Y_{b}, E=Y_{\tau}^{\dagger} Y_{\tau}, \tilde{T}=Y_{t} Y_{t}^{\dagger}, \tilde{B}=Y_{b} Y_{b}^{\dagger}, \tilde{E}=Y_{\tau} Y_{\tau}^{\dagger} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S U_{3} \otimes S U_{2} \otimes U_{1}$ gauge $\beta$-functions are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{g_{i}}=\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{-1} b_{i} g_{i}^{3}+\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{-2} g_{i}^{3}\left(\sum_{j} b_{i j} g_{j}^{2}-a_{i}\right)+\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{-3} \beta_{g_{i}}^{(3)}+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}=2 n_{g}+\frac{3}{5}, \quad b_{2}=2 n_{g}-5, & b_{3}=2 n_{g}-9 \\
a_{1}=\frac{26}{5} \operatorname{tr} T+\frac{14}{5} \operatorname{tr} B+\frac{18}{5} \operatorname{tr} E, & a_{2}=6 \operatorname{tr} T+6 \operatorname{tr} B+2 \operatorname{tr} E, \quad a_{3}=4 \operatorname{tr} T+4 \operatorname{tr} B \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
b_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{38}{15} n_{g}+\frac{9}{25} & \frac{6}{5} n_{g}+\frac{9}{5} & \frac{88}{15} n_{g}  \tag{5}\\
\frac{2}{5} n_{g}+\frac{3}{5} & 14 n_{g}-17 & 8 n_{g} \\
\frac{11}{15} n_{g} & 3 n_{g} & \frac{68}{3} n_{g}-54
\end{array}\right)
$$

The three loop terms are 7 :

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{g_{1}}^{(3)}= & g_{1}^{3}\left[\frac{84}{5} \operatorname{tr} T^{2}+18(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2}+\frac{54}{5} \operatorname{tr} B^{2}+\frac{36}{5}(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2}+\frac{58}{5} \operatorname{tr} T B+\frac{54}{5} \operatorname{tr} E^{2}+\frac{24}{5}(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2}\right. \\
& +\frac{84}{5} \operatorname{tr} E \operatorname{tr} B-\left(\frac{169}{75} g_{1}^{2}+\frac{87}{5} g_{2}^{2}+\frac{352}{15} g_{3}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} T-\left(\frac{49}{75} g_{1}^{2}+\frac{33}{5} g_{2}^{2}+\frac{256}{15} g_{3}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} B \\
& -\left(\frac{81}{25} g_{1}^{2}+\frac{63}{5} g_{2}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} E-\left(\frac{88}{5} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{572}{9} n_{g}\right) g_{3}^{4}-\left(\frac{18}{5} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{9}{5} n_{g}-\frac{54}{5}\right) g_{2}^{4} \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{38}{5} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{1261}{225} n_{g}+\frac{54}{125}\right) g_{1}^{4}-\frac{1096}{225} n_{g} g_{3}^{2} g_{1}^{2}-\frac{8}{5} n_{g} g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{27}{25}+\frac{14}{25} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}\right],  \tag{6a}\\
\beta_{g_{2}}^{(3)}= & g_{2}^{3}\left[24 \operatorname{tr} T^{2}+18(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2}+24 \operatorname{tr} B^{2}+18(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2}+12 \operatorname{tr} B T+12 \operatorname{tr} B \operatorname{tr} E\right. \\
& +8 \operatorname{tr} E^{2}+2(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2}-\left(32 g_{3}^{2}+33 g_{2}^{2}+\frac{29}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} T-\left(32 g_{3}^{2}+33 g_{2}^{2}+\frac{11}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} B \\
& -\left(11 g_{2}^{2}+\frac{21}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} E-\left(24 n_{g}^{2}-\frac{260}{3} n_{g}\right) g_{3}^{4}-\left(26 n_{g}^{2}-123 n_{g}+100\right) g_{2}^{4} \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{6}{5} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{169}{75} n_{g}+\frac{18}{25}\right) g_{1}^{4}+\left(\frac{2}{5} n_{g}+\frac{3}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+8 n_{g} g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\frac{8}{15} n_{g} g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}\right],  \tag{6b}\\
\beta_{g_{3}}^{(3)}= & g_{3}^{3}\left[18(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2}+12 \operatorname{tr} T^{2}+8 \operatorname{tr} B T+12(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2}+18 \operatorname{tr} B^{2}+6 \operatorname{tr} E \operatorname{tr} B\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{104}{3} g_{3}^{2}+12 g_{2}^{2}\right)(\operatorname{tr} T+\operatorname{tr} B)-g_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{44}{15} \operatorname{tr} T+\frac{32}{15} \operatorname{tr} B\right)-\left(44 n_{g}^{2}-\frac{3236}{9} n_{g}+567\right) g_{3}^{4} \\
& \left.+2 n_{g} g_{3}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\frac{22}{45} n_{g} g_{3}^{2} g_{1}^{2}-\left(\frac{11}{5} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{217}{225} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}-\left(9 n_{g}^{2}-18 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{5} n_{g} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}\right] . \tag{6c}
\end{align*}
$$

For the anomalous dimensions of the chiral superfields we have at one loop:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{t}^{(1)}=2 \tilde{T}-\frac{8}{3} g_{3}^{2}-\frac{8}{15} g_{1}^{2}, \\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{b}^{(1)}=2 \tilde{B}-\frac{8}{3} g_{3}^{2}-\frac{2}{15} g_{1}^{2}, \\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{Q}^{(1)}=B+T-\frac{8}{3} g_{3}^{2}-\frac{3}{2} g_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{30} g_{1}^{2}, \\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{H_{2}}^{(1)}=3 \operatorname{tr} T-\frac{3}{2} g_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{10} g_{1}^{2},  \tag{7}\\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{H_{1}}^{(1)}=\operatorname{tr} E+3 \operatorname{tr} B-\frac{3}{2} g_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{10} g_{1}^{2}, \\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{L}^{(1)}=E-\frac{3}{2} g_{2}^{2}-\frac{3}{10} g_{1}^{2}, \\
& 16 \pi^{2} \gamma_{\tau}^{(1)}=2 \tilde{E}-\frac{6}{5} g_{1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

and at two loops [6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{t}^{(2)} & =-2 \tilde{T}^{2}-6(\operatorname{tr} T) \tilde{T}-2 Y_{t} B Y_{t}^{\dagger}+\left(6 g_{2}^{2}-\frac{2}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \tilde{T} \\
& +\left(\frac{8}{15} b_{1}+\frac{64}{225}\right) g_{1}^{4}+\frac{128}{45} g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{8}{3} b_{3}+\frac{64}{9}\right) g_{3}^{4}  \tag{8a}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{b}^{(2)} & =-2 \tilde{B}^{2}-6(\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{B}-2 Y_{b} T Y_{b}^{\dagger}-2(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{B}+\left(6 g_{2}^{2}+\frac{2}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \tilde{B} \\
& +\left(\frac{2}{15} b_{1}+\frac{4}{225}\right) g_{1}^{4}+\frac{32}{45} g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{8}{3} b_{3}+\frac{64}{9}\right) g_{3}^{4}  \tag{8b}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{Q}^{(2)} & =-2 T^{2}-3(\operatorname{tr} T) T-2 B^{2}-3(\operatorname{tr} B) B-(\operatorname{tr} E) B+g_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{4}{5} T+\frac{2}{5} B\right)+\frac{1}{10} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2} \\
& +8 g_{3}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\frac{8}{45} g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{8}{3} b_{3}+\frac{64}{9}\right) g_{3}^{4}+\left(\frac{3}{2} b_{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\left(\frac{1}{30} b_{1}+\frac{1}{900}\right) g_{1}^{4}  \tag{8c}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{H_{2}}^{(2)} & =-9 \operatorname{tr} T^{2}-3 \operatorname{tr} B T+\left(16 g_{3}^{2}+\frac{4}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} T+\left(\frac{3}{2} b_{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\frac{9}{10} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{3}{10} b_{1}+\frac{9}{100}\right) g_{1}^{4}  \tag{8d}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{H_{1}}^{(2)} & =-9 \operatorname{tr} B^{2}-3 \operatorname{tr} B T-3\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right)+\left(16 g_{3}^{2}-\frac{2}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} B+\frac{6}{5} g_{1}^{2} \operatorname{tr} E \\
& +\left(\frac{3}{2} b_{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\frac{9}{10} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{3}{10} b_{1}+\frac{9}{100}\right) g_{1}^{4},  \tag{8e}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{L}^{(2)} & =-2 E^{2}-3(\operatorname{tr} B) E-(\operatorname{tr} E) E+\frac{6}{5} g_{1}^{2} E+\left(\frac{3}{2} b_{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4} \\
& +\frac{9}{10} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{3}{10} b_{1}+\frac{9}{100}\right) g_{1}^{4}  \tag{8f}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \gamma_{\tau}^{(2)} & =-2 \tilde{E}^{2}-6(\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{E}-2(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{E}+\left(6 g_{2}^{2}-\frac{6}{5} g_{1}^{2}\right) \tilde{E}+\left(\frac{6}{5} b_{1}+\frac{36}{25}\right) g_{1}^{4} . \tag{8g}
\end{align*}
$$

The three loop results are[3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{t}^{(3)} & =(2 \kappa+6) \tilde{T}^{3}+36\left(\operatorname{tr} T^{2}\right) \tilde{T}+6(\operatorname{tr} T) \tilde{T}^{2}-18(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2} \tilde{T}+12(\operatorname{tr} T B) \tilde{T} \\
& -2 Y_{t} B T Y_{t}^{\dagger}-2 Y_{t} T B Y_{t}^{\dagger}-6(\operatorname{tr} T) Y_{t} B Y_{t}^{\dagger}+12(\operatorname{tr} B) Y_{t} B Y_{t}^{\dagger}+6 Y_{t} B^{2} Y_{t}^{\dagger} \\
& +4(\operatorname{tr} E) Y_{t} B Y_{t}^{\dagger}+\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}+(9-3 \kappa) g_{2}^{2}+\left(\kappa-\frac{1}{3}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] \tilde{T}^{2}+\left[(16 \kappa-16) g_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-(9 \kappa-27) g_{2}^{2}+\left(7+\frac{7}{5} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} T) \tilde{T}+\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}-(3 \kappa-9) g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{19}{15}+\frac{3}{5} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] Y_{t} B Y_{t}^{\dagger} \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{304}{3}-\frac{272}{9} \kappa-32 n_{g}\right) g_{3}^{4}+(16 \kappa-88) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{112}{45} \kappa+\frac{8}{15}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa+24 n_{g}-\frac{57}{2}\right) g_{2}^{4}-\left(\frac{67}{5}-\frac{13}{5} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{247}{450} \kappa+\frac{237}{150}+\frac{24}{5} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] \tilde{T} \\
& -\left(\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}+\frac{104}{15} g_{1}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} T-\left(\frac{56}{15} g_{1}^{4}+\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} B-\frac{24}{5} g_{1}^{4} \operatorname{tr} E \\
& +\left(\frac{160}{9} \kappa n_{g}+\frac{32}{3} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{368}{9} n_{g}-\frac{3184}{27}\right) g_{3}^{6}-\left(4 \kappa n_{g}-20 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{4} \\
& +\left(\frac{92}{45} n_{g}-\frac{44}{45} \kappa n_{g}-\frac{448}{45}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{4}-\left(\frac{352}{225} \kappa n_{g}+\frac{1216}{225}-\frac{224}{45} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4} g_{3}^{2}-\left[\left(\frac{12}{25}+\frac{8}{25} n_{g}\right) \kappa\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{8}{5} n_{g}-\frac{12}{5}\right] g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2}-\left[\left(\frac{12}{125}+\frac{152}{225} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{184}{45} n_{g}-\frac{32}{15} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{668}{3375}\right] g_{1}^{6}, \tag{9a}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{b}^{(3)}=(2 \kappa+6) \tilde{B}^{3}+36\left(\operatorname{tr} B^{2}\right) \tilde{B}+6(\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{B}^{2}-18(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2} \tilde{B}+12(\operatorname{tr} B T) \tilde{B}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 Y_{b} T B Y_{b}^{\dagger}-2 Y_{b} B T Y_{b}^{\dagger}-6(\operatorname{tr} B) Y_{b} T Y_{b}^{\dagger}+12(\operatorname{tr} T) Y_{b} T Y_{b}^{\dagger}+6 Y_{b} T^{2} Y_{b}^{\dagger} \\
& +12\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right) \tilde{B}+2(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{B}^{2}-2(\operatorname{tr} E) Y_{b} T Y_{b}^{\dagger}-12(\operatorname{tr} E)(\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{B}-2(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2} \tilde{B} \\
& +\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}-(3 \kappa-9) g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{3}{5} \kappa-\frac{29}{15}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] Y_{b} T Y_{b}^{\dagger}+\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}-(3 \kappa-9) g_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{5} \kappa-\frac{1}{3}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] Y_{b} B Y_{b}^{\dagger}+\left[(16 \kappa-16) g_{3}^{2}-(9 \kappa-27) g_{2}^{2}+(7-\kappa) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{B} \\
& +\left[16 g_{3}^{2}+(9-3 \kappa) g_{2}^{2}+(\kappa-3) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{B}+\left[-\left(\frac{272}{9} \kappa-\frac{304}{3}+32 n_{g}\right) g_{3}^{4}\right. \\
& +(16 \kappa-88) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{16}{9} \kappa-\frac{24}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa+24 n_{g}-\frac{57}{2}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\left(\frac{7}{5} \kappa-\frac{43}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{49}{30}+\frac{16}{5} n_{g}+\frac{7}{450} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] \tilde{B}-\left(\frac{26}{15} g_{1}^{4}+\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} T-\left[\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}+\frac{14}{15} g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} B \\
& -\frac{6}{5} g_{1}^{4} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{E}+\left(\frac{160}{9} \kappa n_{g}+\frac{32}{3} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{368}{9} n_{g}-\frac{3184}{27}\right) g_{3}^{6}-\left[\left(\frac{3}{25}+\frac{2}{25} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{2}{5} n_{g}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{3}{5}\right] g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{112}{45}+\frac{44}{45} \kappa n_{g}-\frac{188}{45} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{4}-\left(\frac{88}{225} \kappa n_{g}-\frac{56}{45} n_{g}+\frac{112}{225}\right) g_{1}^{4} g_{3}^{2} \\
& -\left(4 \kappa n_{g}-20 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{4}-\left[\left(\frac{38}{225} n_{g}+\frac{3}{125}\right) \kappa-\frac{8}{15} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{254}{225} n_{g}-\frac{103}{675}\right] g_{1}^{6}, \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{Q}^{(3)}=\kappa T^{3}+18\left(\operatorname{tr} T^{2}\right) T+6(\operatorname{tr} T) T^{2}-9(\operatorname{tr} T)^{2} T+\kappa B^{3}+18\left(\operatorname{tr} B^{2}\right) B+6(\operatorname{tr} B) B^{2}
$$

$$
-9(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2} B+6(\operatorname{tr} B T) T+4 T B T+6(\operatorname{tr} T B) B+4 B T B+6\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right) B-(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2} B
$$

$$
+2(\operatorname{tr} E) B^{2}-6(\operatorname{tr} B)(\operatorname{tr} E) B+\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}+(3 \kappa-3) g_{2}^{2}-\left(\kappa-\frac{11}{3}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] T^{2}
$$

$$
+\left[(8 \kappa-8) g_{3}^{2}+18 g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{4}{5} \kappa-2\right) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} T) T+\left[\frac{64}{3} g_{3}^{2}+(3 \kappa-3) g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{5} \kappa-\frac{7}{15}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] B^{2}
$$

$$
+\left[(8 \kappa-8) g_{3}^{2}+18 g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{4}{5} \kappa-\frac{16}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} B) B+\left[8 g_{3}^{2}+6 g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{5} \kappa-\frac{8}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} E) B
$$

$$
+\left[-\left(\frac{136}{9} \kappa+16 n_{g}-\frac{152}{3}\right) g_{3}^{4}-4 g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{68}{5}-\frac{64}{45} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(9 n_{g}+\frac{21}{4} \kappa-\frac{63}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa-\frac{59}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{143}{900} \kappa-\frac{707}{300}-\frac{17}{5} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] T+\left[-\left(\frac{136}{9} \kappa+16 n_{g}-\frac{152}{3}\right) g_{3}^{4}\right.
$$

$$
-4 g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{64}{45} \kappa-\frac{76}{15}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(9 n_{g}+\frac{21}{4} \kappa-\frac{63}{4}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\left(\frac{3}{10} \kappa-\frac{41}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}
$$

$$
\left.-\left(\frac{9}{5} n_{g}+\frac{279}{300}-\frac{7}{180} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] B-\left[\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}+\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{13}{30} g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} T
$$

$$
-\left[\frac{80}{3} g_{3}^{4}+\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{7}{30} g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} B-\left(\frac{15}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{3}{10} g_{1}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} E+\left[\frac{160}{9} n_{g} \kappa+\frac{32}{3} n_{g}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{368}{9} n_{g}-\frac{3184}{27}\right] g_{3}^{6}+\left(12 n_{g}-4 \kappa n_{g}-28\right) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{4}+\left(\frac{212}{45} n_{g}-\frac{44}{45} \kappa n_{g}-\frac{28}{45}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{4}
$$

$$
-\left[\left(\frac{3}{100}+\frac{1}{50} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{11}{100}\right] g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2}-\frac{8}{5} g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{14}{45} n_{g}-\frac{22}{225} \kappa n_{g}-\frac{16}{225}\right) g_{1}^{4} g_{3}^{2}
$$

$$
+\left[\left(\frac{15}{2} n_{g}+\frac{15}{4}\right) \kappa-\frac{87}{4}+18 n_{g}+6 n_{g}^{2}\right] g_{2}^{6}-\left[\left(\frac{9}{20}+\frac{3}{10} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{7}{5} n_{g}-\frac{41}{20}\right] g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(6 \kappa n_{g}-22 n_{g}+16\right) g_{2}^{4} g_{3}^{2}-\left[\left(\frac{3}{500}+\frac{19}{450} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{2}{15} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{13}{45} n_{g}-\frac{557}{13500}\right] g_{1}^{6} \tag{9c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{H_{2}}^{(3)}=(3 \kappa+3) \operatorname{tr} T^{3}+54 \operatorname{tr} T \operatorname{tr} T^{2}+9 \operatorname{tr} T B^{2}+18 \operatorname{tr} B \operatorname{tr} B T+6 \operatorname{tr} E \operatorname{tr} B T$

$$
+\left[(72-24 \kappa) g_{3}^{2}+(9+9 \kappa) g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{57}{5}-\frac{3}{5} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] \operatorname{tr} T^{2}+\left[(24-8 \kappa) g_{3}^{2}+18 g_{2}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{5} \kappa+\frac{6}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] \operatorname{tr} B T-\left[\left(64 n_{g}+\frac{8}{3} \kappa-\frac{416}{3}\right) g_{3}^{4}+\left(27 n_{g}-\frac{99}{4}+\frac{63}{4} \kappa\right) g_{2}^{4}\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{43}{5} n_{g}+\frac{115}{12}+\frac{13}{60} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{4}-(24 \kappa-132) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{104}{15} \kappa-\frac{124}{3}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{21}{10} \kappa-\frac{57}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}\right] \operatorname{tr} T-\left(\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{21}{10} g_{1}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} B-\left(\frac{15}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{27}{10} g_{1}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} E+\Xi, \\
& \left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{H_{1}}^{(3)}=(3 \kappa+3) \operatorname{tr} B^{3}+54 \operatorname{tr} B \operatorname{tr} B^{2}+18 \operatorname{tr} T \operatorname{tr} B T+9 \operatorname{tr} B T^{2}+(\kappa+1) \operatorname{tr} E^{3} \\
& +6 \operatorname{tr} E \operatorname{tr} E^{2}+18 \operatorname{tr} B \operatorname{tr} E^{2}+18 \operatorname{tr} E \operatorname{tr} B^{2}+\left[\left(\frac{7}{5} \kappa-\frac{12}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+(24-8 \kappa) g_{3}^{2}+18 g_{2}^{2}\right] \operatorname{tr} B T+\left[(72-24 \kappa) g_{3}^{2}+(9+9 \kappa) g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{9}{5} \kappa+3\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] \operatorname{tr} B^{2} \\
& +\left[(3 \kappa+3) g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{9}{5} \kappa-9\right) g_{1}^{2}\right]\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{39}{10} g_{1}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} T+\left[\left(\frac{416}{3}-64 n_{g}-\frac{8}{3} \kappa\right) g_{3}^{4}\right. \\
& +(24 \kappa-132) g_{2}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+\left(\frac{56}{15} \kappa-\frac{284}{15}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{63}{4} \kappa-\frac{99}{4}+27 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{4} \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa+\frac{3}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{77}{300} \kappa+\frac{191}{60}+\frac{19}{5} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} B+\left[\left(\frac{33}{4}-\frac{21}{4} \kappa-9 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{27}{10} \kappa-\frac{81}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{27}{100} \kappa-\frac{33}{5} n_{g}-\frac{207}{20}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} E+\Xi,  \tag{9e}\\
& \left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{L}^{(3)}=\kappa E^{3}+6\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right) E+2(\operatorname{tr} E) E^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2} E+6(\operatorname{tr} B) E^{2}-6(\operatorname{tr} B)(\operatorname{tr} E) E \\
& +18\left(\operatorname{tr} B^{2}\right) E+6(\operatorname{tr} B T) E-9(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2} E+\left[(3 \kappa-3) g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{9}{5} \kappa-9\right) g_{1}^{2}\right] E^{2} \\
& +\left[(8 \kappa-32) g_{3}^{2}+18 g_{2}^{2}-(2 \kappa-8) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} B) E+6 g_{2}^{2}(\operatorname{tr} E) E-\left(\frac{39}{10} g_{1}^{4}+\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr} T \\
& +\left[\left(\frac{63}{4}-\frac{21}{4} \kappa-9 n_{g}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\left(\frac{27}{10} \kappa-\frac{81}{10}\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{27}{100} \kappa-\frac{153}{20}-\frac{33}{5} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] E \\
& -\left[\frac{21}{10} g_{1}^{4}+\frac{45}{2} g_{2}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} B-\left[\frac{15}{2} g_{2}^{4}+\frac{27}{10} g_{1}^{4}\right] \operatorname{tr} E+\Xi,  \tag{9f}\\
& \left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \gamma_{\tau}^{(3)}=(2 \kappa+6) \tilde{E}^{3}+12\left(\operatorname{tr} E^{2}\right) \tilde{E}+2(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{E}^{2}-2(\operatorname{tr} E)^{2} \tilde{E}+6(\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{E}^{2} \\
& -12(\operatorname{tr} B)(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{E}+36\left(\operatorname{tr} B^{2}\right) \tilde{E}-18(\operatorname{tr} B)^{2} \tilde{E}+12(\operatorname{tr} B T) \tilde{E}+\left[(9-3 \kappa) g_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{9}{5} \kappa+\frac{9}{5}\right) g_{1}^{2}\right]\left[\tilde{E}^{2}+(\operatorname{tr} E) \tilde{E}\right]+\left[(16 \kappa-64) g_{3}^{2}-(9 \kappa-27) g_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{107}{5}+\frac{7}{5} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{2}\right](\operatorname{tr} B) \tilde{E}-\frac{78}{5} g_{1}^{4} \operatorname{tr} T-\frac{42}{5} g_{1}^{4} \operatorname{tr} B-\frac{54}{5} g_{1}^{4} \operatorname{tr} E \\
& +\left[-\left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa+24 n_{g}-\frac{57}{2}\right) g_{2}^{4}+\left(\frac{27}{5} \kappa-27\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{2}-\left(\frac{63}{50}+\frac{27}{10} \kappa+\frac{48}{5} n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4}\right] \tilde{E} \\
& +\left(\frac{88}{5} n_{g}-\frac{88}{25} \kappa n_{g}\right) g_{1}^{4} g_{3}^{2}+\left[\frac{18}{5} n_{g}+\frac{27}{5}-\left(\frac{27}{25}+\frac{18}{25} n_{g}\right) \kappa\right] g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{24}{5} n_{g}^{2}+\frac{38}{5} n_{g}-\left(\frac{38}{25} n_{g}+\frac{27}{125}\right) \kappa-\frac{351}{125}\right] g_{1}^{6}, \tag{9g}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa=6 \zeta(3)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi & =n_{g}(30-6 \kappa) g_{2}^{4} g_{3}^{2}+n_{g}\left(\frac{22}{5}-\frac{22}{25} \kappa\right) g_{1}^{4} g_{3}^{2}+\left[\left(\frac{15}{2} n_{g}+\frac{15}{4}\right) \kappa-\frac{87}{4}+18 n_{g}+6 n_{g}^{2}\right] g_{2}^{6} \\
& -\left[\left(\frac{9}{20}+\frac{3}{10} n_{g}\right) \kappa-\frac{9}{20}-\frac{3}{5} n_{g}\right] g_{1}^{2} g_{2}^{4}-\left[\left(\frac{9}{50} n_{g}+\frac{27}{100}\right) \kappa-\frac{27}{100}\right] g_{1}^{4} g_{2}^{2} \\
& -\left[\left(\frac{19}{50} n_{g}+\frac{27}{500}\right) \kappa-\frac{27}{100}-\frac{6}{5} n_{g}^{2}-\frac{61}{25} n_{g}\right] g_{1}^{6} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the anomalous dimensions, the Yukawa $\beta$-functions are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{Y_{t}}=\gamma_{t} Y_{t}+Y_{t}\left(\gamma_{Q}+\gamma_{H_{2}}\right), \quad \beta_{Y_{b}}=\gamma_{b} Y_{b}+Y_{b}\left(\gamma_{Q}+\gamma_{H_{1}}\right), \quad \beta_{Y_{\tau}}=\gamma_{\tau} Y_{\tau}+Y_{\tau}\left(\gamma_{L}+\gamma_{H_{1}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the approximation that we retain only $\alpha_{3}$ and the $t$-quark Yukawa coupling $y_{t}$ then we have (for $n_{g}=3$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
16 \pi^{2} \beta_{y_{t}}^{(1)} & =y_{t}\left(6 y_{t}^{2}-\frac{16}{3} g_{3}^{2}\right)  \tag{12a}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2} \beta_{y_{t}}^{(2)} & =y_{t}\left(-22 y_{t}^{4}+16 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-\frac{16}{9} g_{3}^{4}\right)  \tag{12b}\\
\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3} \beta_{y_{t}}^{(3)} & =y_{t}\left([102+6 \kappa] y_{t}^{6}+\frac{272}{3} y_{t}^{4} g_{3}^{2}-\left[\frac{296}{3}+48 \kappa\right] y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{4}+\left[\frac{5440}{27}+\frac{320}{3} \kappa\right] g_{3}^{6}\right) \tag{12c}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that for values of $y_{t}$ in the neighbourhood of or greater than the one-loop QFP (which corresponds to $y_{t} \approx 1$ ) we have $\beta_{y_{t}}^{(2)}<0$ and $\beta_{y_{t}}^{(3)}>0$. We may therefore expect that where three loop contributions are not completely negligible they will tend to cancel the two loop contributions. We will see examples of this behaviour presently.


Fig.1: Plot of $y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right)$ against $y_{t}\left(M_{Z}\right)$. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to one, two and three-loop $\beta$-functions respectively.

For small $\tan \beta$, QFP behaviour is of interest because there is a large domain of possible values of $y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right)$ that lead to the same value of $y_{t}\left(M_{Z}\right)$. (For a recent discussion, see Ref. [7].) It is important, however, to consider to what extent this domain is restricted by the requirement of perturbative believability. Setting $g_{3}=0$ above, we see that $\left|\beta_{Y_{t}}^{(2)} / \beta_{Y_{t}}^{(1)}\right| \approx 1$ for $y_{t} \approx 6.6$ while $\left|\beta_{Y_{t}}^{(3)} / \beta_{Y_{t}}^{(2)}\right| \approx 1$ for $y_{t} \approx 4.9$. So since the QFP corresponds to $y_{t} \approx 1.1$, we may expect there to be a good sized domain available for $y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right)$ such that
we have both perturbative believability and approach to the QFP at low energies. This is illustrated in Figure 1, where (with $m_{t}^{\text {pole }}=175 \mathrm{GeV}$, and using the complete $\beta$-functions, not the Eq. (12) approximations) we plot $y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right)$ against $y_{t}\left(M_{Z}\right)$, for values close to the QFP. The breakdown of perturbation theory at $y_{t}\left(M_{G}\right) \approx 6$ is clearly seen.

In our running analysis $\dagger$ we take the effective field theory to be the SSM for all scales between $M_{Z}$ and $M_{G}$ : see Ref. [9] for a discussion of this procedure. A reason to prefer this to the traditional stepwise method is that the latter involves non-supersymmetric intermediate theories for which (beyond one loop) use of DRED rather than DREG is problematic [10]. This choice, means, of course, that the input values of $\alpha_{1 \rightarrow 3}$ are sensitive to our assumptions about the sparticle spectrum. We have corrected for this at one-loop using Ref. [9]; of course we should use two-loop corrections for consistency, as we should, for example, in converting the running $m_{t}$ to the pole $m_{t}$. These calculations remain to be done however; there exists a result for the two-loop gluon contribution to $m_{t}^{\text {pole }}$ but this is in DREG not DRED. We do not impose trinification of the gauge couplings, since this leads to somewhat high values of $\alpha_{3}\left(M_{Z}\right)$; for a discussion and references see Ref. [11]. We input $\alpha_{3}$ and define unification to be where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ meet. Our input values at $M_{Z}$ are $\alpha_{1}=0.0167, \alpha_{2}=0.032$ and $\alpha_{3}=0.1$. These values correspond to a superpartner spectrum with an effective supersymmetric scale 12 of $T_{\text {SUSY }}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$, and $\alpha_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}=0.117$.


Fig.2: Plot of $\tan \beta$ against $m_{t}^{\text {pole }}$ with Yukawa trinification. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to one, two and three-loop $\beta$ functions respectively.
$\dagger$ For a different approach to this running analysis based on the NSVZ $\beta_{g}$, see Ref. [Z]].

In Figure 2 we plot $\tan \beta$ against $m_{t}$ assuming trinification $\left(y_{t}=y_{b}=y_{\tau}\right)$ at $M_{G}$. As anticipated, the three loop corrections counteract (to some extent) the two loop ones. Their effect, while small, is not completely negligible. Proximity of $y_{t}$ and $y_{b}$ to their QFPs leads to an upper limit $m_{t} \approx 181 \mathrm{GeV}$. (In comparing with, for example Fig. 1 of Ref. [13], it is important to note that there they have taken the sparticle masses to be at $M_{Z}$.)


Fig.3: Plot of $m_{b}^{\text {pole }}$ against $\tan \beta$. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to one, two and three-loop $\beta$-functions respectively.

As another example, consider the low $\tan \beta$ region. In Figure 3 we plot $m_{b}^{\text {pole }}$ against $\tan \beta$, where we have imposed $b-\tau$ unification. (For running from $M_{Z}$ to $m_{b}$ we use the $S U_{3} \otimes U_{1} \beta$-functions given, for example in Ref. [14].) In this graph the QFP is approached as $\tan \beta$ decreases. (The fact that proximity to the QFP gives a better value of $m_{b}^{\text {pole }}$ has been noted by a number of authors; for an early example see Ref. [6].) The result for $m_{b}^{\text {pole }}$ is quite sensitive to the input value of $\alpha_{3}$ (and hence to the sparticle spectrum).

In conclusion: detailed running coupling analyses for the dimensionless SSM couplings have been performed by a number of groups; we have not here duplicated in full these efforts, but instead investigated the effect on them of the three loop $\beta$-functions. We have seen that the corrections are small; nevertheless it is possible that one day they will play a part in a very accurate comparison between the SSM and experiment.

In non-minimal models, the three-loop terms may assume more immediate importance. Specifically, consider the possibility that $\beta_{g_{3}}^{(1)}=0$. In such cases two-loop corrections will clearly dominate the evolution of $g_{3}$. It was shown in Ref. [6] that at two loops perturbative unification is only just achievable. Evidently three-loop contributions will be important
here, and in fact improve matters. Models of this kind will have interesting phenomenology, with significant differences from the SSM. (15]
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