

# Erratum for "Nucleosynthesis Constraints on Active-Sterile..."

by

V. B. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle,

published in

*Nucl. Phys.* **B425** (1994) 65.

The magnetization asymmetry  $M_j^{(a)} - M_j^{(\bar{a})}$  given in eq. 3.6 of ref. [1] has a wrong relative sign between particle and anti-particle contributions. Here we present the derivation of the correct sign and its implications for the limits derived in ref. [1]. We start from eq. (2.9) of [1],

$$M_j^{(a)} - M_j^{(\bar{a})} \equiv \mu_B \langle \bar{\Psi}_a \gamma_j \gamma_5 \Psi_a \rangle_0 = \mu_B \langle \Psi_a^\dagger \Sigma_j \Psi_a \rangle_0 \quad (1)$$

where  $\langle \rangle_0$  is an averaged axial-vector current of the  $a$ -type particle in the medium, with a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}=(0,0,B)$  along the  $z$ -axis. The Fermi distributions for the case of leptons are given by

$$\langle b_\lambda^{a\dagger} b_{\lambda'}^a \rangle_0 = f_{\lambda'\lambda}^{(a)}(k_z, n) = \frac{\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}}{\exp[(\epsilon_{n\lambda}(k_z) - \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \quad (2)$$

where the spectrum is

$$\epsilon_{n\lambda}(k_z) = \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2 + |e| B(2n+1) - |e|\lambda B} \quad (3)$$

Here  $k$  is the momentum,  $n$  the Landau number ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\lambda$  is twice the eigenvalue of the conserved spin projection on the magnetic field,  $(\sigma_z)_{\lambda\lambda'} = \lambda \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ . For anti-leptons one has

$$\langle d_\lambda^{a\dagger} d_{\lambda'}^a \rangle_0 = f_{-\lambda, -\lambda'}^{(\bar{a})}(k_z, n) = \frac{\delta_{-\lambda, -\lambda'}}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n\lambda}(k_z) + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \quad (4)$$

where the change in sign of the last term in the spectrum accounts for the opposite electric charge,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n\lambda}(k_z) = \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2 + |e| B(2n+1) + |e|\lambda B} \quad (5)$$

The lepton contribution  $M_j^{(a)}$  to the magnetization asymmetry defined in eq. (1) is correctly given by eq. (3.3) of [1] where, due to the degeneracy of states  $\epsilon_{n,-1} = \epsilon_{n+1,1}$ , only the  $\epsilon_{0,1}$  term survives in summing over Landau numbers. In contrast, for anti-leptons one obtains a negative sign from the re-ordering of the anti-particle Fock operators  $d^a$  and  $d^{a\dagger}$  in the distribution function eq. (4). Note that this (-) sign *has already been extracted* in the definition of the magnetization asymmetry, eq. (1). From the relation  $v_a = C \bar{u}_a^T$  and the conjugation property  $-\sigma_3^T = \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_2$  we can write

$$-M_z^{(\bar{a})} = -\mu_B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|e|B}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \text{Tr}[-\sigma_3^T f^{(\bar{a})}(k_z, n)] \quad (6)$$

where

$$\text{Tr}[-\sigma_3 f^{(\bar{a})}] = \sum_{\lambda} (-\lambda) f_{-\lambda, -\lambda}^{(\bar{a})} \quad (7)$$

Again the sum over Landau numbers is greatly simplified, due to the degeneracy  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,1} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n+1,-1}$  that follows from eq. (5). The only term that contributes is that for which  $n = 0$  and  $\lambda = -1$ , leading to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ -\frac{1}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,1} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} + \frac{1}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,-1} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

As a result the magnetization asymmetry is obtained by *subtracting* lepton and anti-lepton contributions,

$$M_z^{(a)} - M_z^{(\bar{a})} = \mu_B \frac{2|e|B}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dk_z \left[ \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2} - \zeta_a)/T] + 1} - \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \right] \quad (9)$$

in contrast to our claim in [1]. To summarize our derivation, notice that the relative minus in eq. (9) follows from the three minus signs obtained above: one from operator ordering, one from the conjugation property, and one from the different way in which the Landau levels are summed over in the case of particles and anti-particles (different helicities in each case). This sign has also been recently derived in ref. [2], using a different approach.

As a result one should use for the magnetic field contribution  $f(q, B)$  in Eq. (3.7) of [1] the non-local form

$$f(q, B) = 0.47 G_F \epsilon \vec{B} \vec{q} T^2 / M_W^2 \quad (10)$$

given by Eq. (12) of [2]. Note that our asymptotic solution for the active-sterile neutrino conversion probability Eq. (4.9) of [1] remains valid, as well as our final expression for that probability after averaging over fast neutrino collisions, Eq. (6.6) of [1]. However, the bounds on active-sterile neutrino mixing parameters derived for a hot charge-symmetric plasma derived in [1] change substantially as a result of the new dispersion relation that follows from eq. (10). Substituting eq. (10) above into Eq. (6.6) of [1] (where  $\langle \Delta_B^2 \rangle \equiv \langle f(q, B)^2 \rangle$ ) we can write the nucleosynthesis bound on neutrino mixing parameters as <sup>1</sup>,

$$| \Delta m^2 | \sin 2\theta \lesssim 0.76 (2.75)^p \times 10^{-12-8p} \left( \frac{T}{\text{MeV}} \right)^{13/2+4p}, \quad (11)$$

where the r.m.s. field scaling parameter  $p$  obeys  $0 \leq p \leq 3/2$ . For the case  $p = 0.5$  analyzed in [1,2] the constraint in eq. (11) looks more restrictive than our Eq. (6.9) in [1]. However, the self-consistent condition  $\Gamma \gg V_{\nu_e}$  [1] requires the substitution of high temperatures  $T \sim T_{QCD} \sim 150$  MeV into eq. (10) leading to

$$| \Delta m^2 | \sin 2\theta \lesssim 400 \text{ eV}^2 \quad (12)$$

which is substantially weaker than Eq. (6.10) in [1]. This revised constraint is more restrictive than the bounds obtained in the absence of random magnetic fields (Eq. (1.1) [1]) for very small neutrino mixing,  $\sin 2\theta \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ .

We acknowledge Per Elmfors who sent us the paper [2] before publication. We would like to stress that, unlike implied in [2], the sign error in eq. (3.6) of [1] does not affect in any way the conclusions reached in subsequent papers, such as those in ref. [3]. We thank H. Nunokawa and S. Pastor for checking this derivation.

## References

- [1] V. B. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B425** (1994) 65.
- [2] P. Elmfors, D. Grasso and G. Raffelt, preprint hep-ph/9605250.
- [3] S. Pastor, V. B. Semikoz, J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett.* **B369** (1996) 301;  
S. Pastor, V. B. Semikoz, J. W. F. Valle *Astropart. Phys.* **3** (1995) 87.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we assume that magnetic fields survive after re-combination time and seed the galactic magnetic fields.