## $\rm Q^2$ evolution studies of nuclear structure function F $_2$ at H E R A

S.Kum ano and M.M. iyam a

D epartm ent of Physics Saga University Saga 840, Japan

contribution to \Future Physics at HERA"

<sup>\*</sup> Em ail: kum anos or 96td25@ cc.saga-u.ac.p

 $Q^2$  evolution studies of nuclear structure function  $F_2$  at HERA

S.K um ano and M.M iyam a
Department of Physics, Saga University, Saga 840, Japan

Nuclear modi cation of the structure function  $F_2$  has been an interesting topic since the discovery of the EMC e ect in 1983. Although most studies discuss x dependence of the modi cation,  $Q^2$  dependence becomes increasingly interesting. It is because the NMC measured  $Q^2$  variations of the ratio  $F_2^A = F_2^D$  [1]. Furthermore, it is found recently that there exist signicant dierences between tin and carbon  $Q^2$  variations,  $Q^2 = F_2^D = Q[\ln Q^2] =$ 

The Q  $^2$  dependence of structure functions can be calculated by using the D G LAP equations. They have been successful in describing m any experimental data. How ever, as it becomes possible to reach the small x region by high-energy accelerators, it is necessary to investigate the details of small x physics. The longitudinal localization size of a parton exceeds the average nucleon separation in a nucleus in the small x region (x < 0:1). It means that partons in dierent nucleons could interact in the nucleus, and the interaction is called parton recombination (PR). This mechanism is used for explaining nuclear shadowing. There are a number of studies on the recombinations. Among them, we employ the evolution equations proposed by Mueller and Qiu. They investigated gluon-gluon recombination expects on the evolution. The DGLAP and PR evolution equations are given by (see Ref. [2] for the details)

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \, q_i \, (x;t) \, = \, \begin{array}{c} Z_{1} \, \frac{dy}{y} \, \frac{2}{4} \, X \\ \times \, \frac{1}{y} \, \frac{dy}{y} \, \frac{x}{y} \, \frac{1}{y} \, q_j \, (y;t) \, + \, P_{qg} \, \frac{x}{y} \, g \, (y;t) \, 5 \\ + \, recom \, bination \, term \, s \, / \, \frac{s^{A^{1=3}}}{Q^2} \, ; \quad (1a) \\ \frac{\theta}{\theta t} \, g \, (x;t) \, = \, \begin{array}{c} Z_{1} \, \frac{dy}{y} \, \frac{4}{4} \, X \\ \times \, \frac{2}{y} \, \frac{x}{y} \, q_j \, (y;t) \, + \, P_{gg} \, \frac{x}{y} \, g \, (y;t) \, 5 \\ + \, recom \, bination \, term \, s \, / \, \frac{s^{A^{1=3}}}{Q^2} \, ; \quad (1b) \end{array}$$

where the variable t is de ned by  $t = (2 = _0) \ln [_s (Q^2) = _s (Q_0^2)]$ . In the PR evolution case, there is an extra evolution equation for a higher-dimensional gluon distribution. The rst two terms in Eqs. (1a) and (1b) describe the process that a parton

 $p_j$  with the nucleon's momentum fraction y splits into a parton  $p_i$  with the momentum fraction x and another parton. The splitting function  $P_{p_ip_j}(z)$  determines the probability that such a splitting process occurs and the  $p_j$ -parton momentum is reduced by the fraction z.

A lthough the D G LAP equations are well tested by various experimental data, the PR equations are not well established yet. An interesting problem is possible nuclear dependence in the  $Q^2$  evolution. There are two possible sources for the nuclear dependence in the evolution equations. One is the input parton distributions, and another is the recombination expectation of the input x-distributions in a nucleus a ects the  $Q^2$  evolution through splitting functions. The recombination contributions enter into the evolution equations as additional higher-twist expects.

In studying the Q² evolution, it is very important to have correct input distributions. Fortunately, there are many data on the x dependence of  $F_2^A = F_2^D$ , so that we could have reasonable nuclear input distributions. We employ a hybrid parton model with recombination and Q² rescaling mechanisms in Ref. [3]. However, it does not matter in the Q² evolution studies what kind of model is used if it can explain the experimental x dependence of  $F_2^A = F_2^D$ . In the hybrid model, we rst calculate Q² rescaled valence-quark distributions at Q²0. Sea-quark and gluon distributions are simply modiled by a constant mount so as to satisfy the momentum conservation. Then, obtained distributions are used as input distributions for calculating the recombination elects. In this way, nuclear parton distribution with the rescaling and recombination elects are obtained at Q²0. Because the recombinations are higher-twistled ects, nall distributions are very sensitive to the choice of Q²0. It is xed so that obtained shadowing agrees with the NMC ratios  $F_2^{Ca} = F_2^{Da}$  at small x. In the following, we discuss two topics on the Q² evolution. The rst is Q² variation of  $F_2^{Aa} = F_2^{Aa}$  [3] and the second is Q  $F_2^{Aa} = F_2^{Aa} = F_2^{Aa}$  [4].

We compare calculated evolution results with the NMC data in Fig. 1 at x=0.0085 [2]. The initial distributions at  $Q_0^2$ =0.8 GeV in the nucleon and the calcium nucleus are taken from Ref. [3]. In Fig. 1, the dotted, solid, and dashed curves are obtained in the leading-order (LO) DGLAP, next-to-leading-order (NLO) DGLAP, and NLO evolution equations with parton-recombination contributions respectively ( =0.2 GeV and N<sub>f</sub>=3). As shown in the gure, NLO and recombination con-




Figure 1: Q  $^2$  variation of F  $_2^{\text{C a}}$  = F  $_2^{\text{D}}$  .

tributions to the ratio are conspicuous at such a smallx. If we evolve  $F_2$  from  $Q_0^2 = 0.8$  GeV², the recombination exects are larger than the NLO ones. It is interesting to nd such large recombination contributions in Fig. 1. However, the recombination cannot be tested at this stage because we do not have the data in the wide  $Q^2$  region at smallx. The future HERA nuclear program should be able to study the large  $Q^2$  region, so that the parton recombination mechanism could be tested.

Next, Q2 evolution di erences in various nuclei could also be investigated at

HERA. There are signicant dierences between tin and carbon  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$  variations according to recent NMC analysis. It is the extraction of nuclear elects on the  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^2$  evolution of  $F_2$ . The phenomena are worth investigating theoretically.




Figure 2: Nuclear dependence in  $Q^2$  evolution of  $F_2$ .

The Q<sup>2</sup> evolution of the structure functions  $F_2$  in tin and carbon nuclei is investigated in Ref. [4]. As the input distributions, we employ those in Ref. [3].  $F_2$  is evolved by using LO DGLAP, NLO DGLAP, and PR equations with the help of a computer program in Ref. [2]. Calculated results for  $(F_2^{Sn} = F_2^C) = (\ln Q^2)$  at  $Q^2 = 5$  GeV<sup>2</sup> are compared with the NMC data. The DGLAP evolution curves agree roughly with the experimental tendency, but the PR results are signicantly different from the data. However, it does not mean

that the recombination mechanism should be ruled out because there exists an unknown parameter K  $_{\rm H\ T}$  associated with the higher-dimensional gluon distribution in the recombination. In order to discuss the validity of the PR evolution, the constant K  $_{\rm H\ T}$  must be evaluated theoretically.

In this way, the NMC experim ental result  $@\mathbb{F}_2^{Sn} = \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \models @[\ln Q^2] \in 0$  could be essentially understood by the dierence of parton distributions in the tin and carbon nucleitogether with the ordinary D G LAP evolution equations. However, we noted an interesting indication that \large" higher-twist elects on the  $Q^2$  evolution could be ruled out. As shown in Fig. 2, there are large dierences among three evolution results at small x (  $10^{-4}$ ). The future HERA program can study nuclear dependence of the  $Q^2$  evolution ( $\mathbb{F}_2^{A} = \mathbb{F}_2^{D} \models \mathbb{F}_2^{D} = \mathbb{F}_$ 

## A cknow ledgm ent

This research was partly supported by the Grant-in-A id for Scienti c Research from the Japanese M inistry of Education, Science, and Culture under the contract number 06640406.

## R eferences

- [1] P.Am audruz et al. (NMC collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B 441 (1995) 3. Sn/C Q<sup>2</sup> variation data are prelim inary.
- [2] M .M iyam a and S.Kum ano, Comput. Phys. Commun. 94 (1996) 185.
- [3] S. Kum ano, Phys. Rev. C48 (1993) 2016; Phys. Rev. C50 (1994) 1247; S. Kum ano, M. Miyama, and K. Umekawa, research in progress.
- [4] S.Kum ano and M.Miyama, Phys. Lett. B 378 (1996) 267.