PSU/TH/171; hep-ph/9607282

CORRECTIONS OF ORDER $\alpha^6 m$ TO S-LEVELS OF HELIUM

Michael I. Eides*
Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute,
*Gatchina, St.Petersburg 188350, Russia***
and
Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University,
*University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA****

Howard Grotch****
Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA

(July 10, 1996)

arXiv:hep-ph/9607282v1 10 Jul 1996

Abstract

Several new corrections of order $m\alpha^6$ to the energy levels of S states in helium are obtained from radiative corrections to the Breit potential and from the polarization insertions in the two photon exchange graphs. While individual gauge invariant contributions are comparable to current experimental errors, the sum of these corrections is an order of magnitude smaller due to mutual cancellations.

PACS numbers: 31.25.-v, 31.30.Jv

Typeset using REVTEX

Leading logarithmic corrections of order $m\alpha^6 \ln\alpha$ to the energy levels of helium were recently calculated in [1]. These corrections are due to the electron-electron interaction and they turned out to be phenomenologically relevant for comparison of the theory and experiment. Theoretically corrections for helium may be directly obtained from the respective contributions to the positronium energy levels [2,3] if one knows how to calculate matrix elements of the delta function between helium wave functions.

We have recently obtained some nonlogarithmic corrections of order $m\alpha^6$ to the S-levels of positronium induced by radiative corrections to the Breit potential and by the polarization insertions in the graphs with two-photon exchange [4]. Once again all such results for positronium may be readily transformed into respective corrections to the helium energy levels. Description of these helium corrections is presented below.

Let us start with consideration of the corrections to the S-levels of helium induced by the diagrams with radiative insertions in the graph with the one-photon exchange between electrons. As is well known this graph leads to the Breit potential. One may easily obtain the radiatively corrected expression for the Breit potential in the form (see, e.g. [5,4][1])

$$U(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r}) = \alpha\{\frac{1}{r} - \pi\frac{1+8m^2f_1'+2f_2}{m^2c^2}\delta^3(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{4\pi p}{m^2c^2}\delta^3(\mathbf{r}) \tag{1}$$

$$+\frac{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{rp})\mathbf{p}}{2m^2c^2r^3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m^2c^2r} - (3+4f_2)\frac{\mathbf{sl}}{2m^2c^2r^3}$$

$$+\frac{(1+f_2)^2}{4m^2c^2}\{s_i,s_j\}(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{r^3} - 3\frac{r_ir_j}{r^5}) - \frac{(1+f_2)^2\pi}{m^2c^2}(\frac{4}{3}\mathbf{s}^2-2)\delta^3(\mathbf{r})\},$$

where m is the electron mass, $\mathbf{p}$ is the relative momentum of the two electrons, $\mathbf{r}$ is their relative position, f_1' is the slope of the Dirac formfactor, f_2 is the Pauli formfactor at zero momentum transfer and p is the polarization operator contribution. With two-loop accuracy

$$f_1' = \frac{e_1}{m^2}\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \frac{e_2}{m^2}(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2 = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi m^2}(\ln\frac{m}{\lambda} - \frac{3}{8}) + \frac{0.469\ 94}{m^2}(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2, \tag{2}$$

$$f_2 = g_1\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + g_2(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2 = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - 0.328\ (\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2,$$

$$p = p_1\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + p_2(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2 = \frac{\alpha}{15\pi} + \frac{41}{162}(\frac{\alpha}{\pi})^2,$$

where the two-loop contribution e_2 to the slope of the Dirac formfactor was calculated numerically in [6] and analytically in [7], the two-loop electron magnetic moment g_2 was

[1]The expression below differs from the one in the cited works only by an overall sign, since in the case of helium we are dealing with a system of two electrons as opposed to the electron-positron system in the case of positronium considered in the above mentioned papers.

obtained in [8,9], and the value of the two-loop polarization is also well known for a long time [10].

The radiatively corrected Breit potential above leads to the following local electron-electron operators which generate corrections of order α^6 to the S-levels of helium

$$V_{F_1} = -0.469\frac{8\alpha^3}{\pi m^2 c^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}), \tag{3}$$

$$V_{F_2} = 0.328\frac{2\alpha^3}{\pi m^2 c^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$V_{p2} = \frac{82}{81}\frac{\alpha^3}{\pi m^2 c^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}).$$

Consider now corrections of order $\alpha^6 m$ to the energy levels of helium generated by the diagrams with high ($\approx m$) intermediate momenta. These contributions correspond to corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5 m$ and $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5 m^2/M$ in case of hydrogen. It is well known that all such corrections are generated by the diagrams with two exchanged photons containing also either polarization operator insertion in one of the exchanged photons or radiative photon insertions in the electron line. External electron lines in the diagrams under consideration may be safely taken to be on-mass shell with sufficient accuracy. We are going to consider here the simplest gauge-invariant contribution of this kind induced by the one-loop polarization operator insertion in the box diagram postponing consideration of the diagrams with radiative photon insertions in the electron line for future work.

As we have shown in [4] contribution to the energy levels of positronium of order $m\alpha^6$ induced by the box diagrams with one-loop polarization insertion in one of the exchanged photon lines is given by the expression

$$\Delta E_{box} = (\frac{\pi^2}{36} - \frac{5}{27})\frac{\alpha^6}{\pi^2 n^3}m.$$

One may easily restore the respective local operator for the electron-electron interaction which generates this correction (note that since this operator is connected with the two-photon exchange it has the same sign both for the electron-positron and the electron-electron interaction)

$$V_{box} = (\frac{\pi^2}{36} - \frac{5}{27})\frac{8\alpha^3}{\pi m^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}),$$

where we have taken into account that for positronium S-levels $|\psi(0)|^2 = (m\alpha)^3/(8\pi n^3)$.

Let us collect below final expressions for the electron-electron potentials obtained above, using atomic units as is common in the helium case (technically this means restoring one more factor of α in the potentials above)

$$V_{F_1}^{hel} = -0.469\frac{8\alpha^4}{\pi m^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}), \tag{4}$$

$$V_{F_2}^{hel} = 0.328\frac{2\alpha^4}{\pi m^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$V_{p2}^{hel} = \frac{82}{81}\frac{\alpha^4}{\pi m^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}),$$

$$V_{box}^{hel} = (\frac{\pi^2}{36} - \frac{5}{27})\frac{8\alpha^4}{\pi m^2}\delta(\mathbf{r}).$$

Now we may easily obtain numerical values of the corrections to the energy levels of helium if we use the values of matrix element of the operator $\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})$ calculated by Drake [11]. Numerical results of the calculations are presented in the Table. Comparing these results with the experimental data as cited in [1] we see that while individual contributions in the Table for $n = 2$ are of the same order as the experimental errors, the total contribution obtained here is, due to mutual cancellations, about an order of magnitude smaller than the current experimental error.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. Eides is deeply grateful to the colleagues at the Physics Department of the Penn State University for their kind hospitality. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant number PHY-9421408.

REFERENCES

* E-mail address: eides@phys.psu.edu, eides@thd.pnpi.spb.ru
** Permanent address.
*** Temporary address, before December 18, 1996.
**** E-mail address: h1g@psuvm.psu.edu

[1] G. W. F. Drake, I. B. Khriplovich, A. I. Milstein, and A. S. Yelkhovsky, Phys. Rev. A **48**, R15 (1993) .
[2] R. N. Fell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 25 (1992).
[3] I. B. Khriplovich, A. I. Milstein and A. S. Yelkhovsky, Physica Scripta T **46**, 252 (1993).
[4] M. I. Eides and H. Grotch, Phys. Rev. A **52**, 1757 (1995).
[5] H. Grotch and R. A. Hegstrom, Phys. Rev. A **4**, 59 (1971).
[6] T. Appelquist and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 2293 (1970).
[7] R. Barbieri, J. A. Mignaco and E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cimento A **6**, 21 (1971).
[8] A. Peterman, Helv. Phys. Acta **30**, 407 (1957).
[9] C. M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. **107**, 328 (1957).
[10] G. Kallen and A. Sabry, Kgl. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fis. Medd. **29** No.17, (1955).
[11] G. W. F. Drake, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B **31**, 7 (1988).

TABLES

TABLE I. Contributions to the Energy Levels

	$1S$	$2S$
ΔE	kHz	kHz
ΔE_{F_1}	-2374	-193
ΔE_{F_2}	414	34
ΔE_{p2}	639	52
ΔE_{box}	449	37