Statistical M odel for the Nucleon Structure Functions

R S. Bhalerao

Theoretical Physics Group

Tata Institute of Fundam ental Research

HomiBhabha Road, Colaba, Bombay 400 005, India

Abstract

A phenom enological model for the nucleon structure functions is presented.

Visualising the nucleon as a cavity lled with parton gas in equilibrium and

param etrizing the e ects due to the niteness of the nucleon volume, we

obtain a good  $\,$  t to the data on the structure function F  $_2^{\,\mathrm{p}}$  . The m odel then

successfully predicts other unpolarized structure function data.

PACS:13.60 Hb, 12.40 Ee

K eywords: D eep inelastic scattering, nucleon structure functions, phenom enological models

of the nucleon, statistical or parton gas models, nite-size e ects

E-mail: bhalerao@theory.tifr.res.in, Fax: 091 22 215 2110

1

Recent experiments have revealed some remarkable features of the nucleon structure functions  $F_2^{pm}$ . Data on deep inelastic scattering of muons operation and deuteron targets [1] show that the quark sea in the nucleon is not avor-symmetric,  $u(x) \in d(x)$ ; the Gottfried sum [2]  $S_G$   $^R$   $(F_2^p F_2^n)$  (dx=x), at  $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ , has the value 0.235 0.026 compared to the usual quark model prediction of 1/3. This result has been con rmed by the observed asymmetry in D rell-Yan production of dileptons in pp and pn collisions [3]. Most notably, the HERA electron-proton scattering data [4] reveal a rapid rise of the proton structure function  $F_2^p(x)$  as x decreases. Indeed over a wide range of small x, data from the various groups [4,5], for xed  $Q^2$ , are all well described by a single inverse power of x. Figure 1 is a log-log plot of the data on  $F_2^p(x)=x$  (the combination that enters  $S_G$ ) versus x. We see that, for xed  $Q^2$ , the data fall on straight lines de ned by

$$\frac{F_2^P(x)}{x} = \frac{C}{x^m} ; (0.0004 < x < 0.2):$$
 (1)

For instance, at  $Q^2 = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ , the best-t parameters are c = 0.229 - 0.005 and m = 1.22 - 0.01. In Fig. 1, the straight-line extrapolations in the unexplored low-x region are our predictions based on Eq. (1).

G lobal to the nucleon structure data involve param etrizing the various parton distributions at some low  $Q^2$  and evolving them to higher  $Q^2$  relevant to observations. The to so obtained [6] have very high precision but contain several (typically 15-20) arbitrary param eters and provide little physical insight into the structure of the nucleon. On the other hand, phenom enological models could give us some valuable clues into the physics of parton distributions in the nucleon. From this point of view the parton gas models or the statistical models of the structure functions [7] have been quite interesting due to their intuitive appeal and simplicity. B ickersta and Londergan [8] have provided a strong justication of other the general philosophy of the statistical models and have also discussed  $\frac{1}{2}$  limitations of other

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ For Q  $^{2}$  = 35;120 G eV  $^{2}$  the power-law ts give, respectively, c = 0.229 0.006; 0:163 0:037 and m = 1.26 0:01; 1:35 0:04.

m odels.

We present here a phenomenological model for the unpolarized nucleon structure functions by using ideas from statistical mechanics. As a starting point, we assume that inside the nucleon, the valence quarks together with the sea quarks, antiquarks and gluons constitute a noninteracting gas in equilibrium. (It may be recalled that particles are treated as noninteracting even in the quark-parton model of Feynman.) This simple picture is then improved upon in two respects: (i) Finite-size (of the nucleon) corrections (FSC) to the statistical expression for the number of states per unit energy interval are taken into account, and (ii) the resulting structure functions are evolved to the experimental values of  $Q^2$  by using the standard techniques in quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Each of these two elects is shown to play an essential role. To our knowledge, calculation of the structure functions taking into account FSC has never been reported in the literature. The model reproduces all unpolarized structure function data from x' 1 to x' 10 4 quite well.

# The Model

We picture the nucleon (mass M) to consist of a gas of massless partons (quarks, antiquarks and gluons) in equilibrium at temperature T in a spherical volume V with radius R. We consider two frames, the proton rest frame and the in nite-momentum frame (IMF) moving with velocity v(') 1) along the common z axis. Our interest lies in the limit when the Lorentz factor  $(1 \quad v^2)^{1-2} \,! \, 1$ . The invariant parton number density in phase space [9] is given by (quantities in the IMF are denoted by the index i)

$$\frac{dn^{i}}{d^{3}p^{i}d^{3}r^{i}} = \frac{dn}{d^{3}pd^{3}r} = \frac{gf(E)}{(2)^{3}};$$
(2)

where g is the degeneracy (g = 16 for gluons and g = 6 for q or q of a given avor), (E;p) is the parton four-momentum and f(E) = fexp[(E)] 1g<sup>1</sup> is the usual Fermi or Bose distribution function with  $T^{-1}$ . In order to obtain the number distribution dn<sup>i</sup>=dx in the B jorken scaling variable  $x = p_z^i = (M \ v)$ , we note that

$$d^{3}p^{i} d^{3}r^{i} = 2 p_{T}^{i} dp_{T}^{i} (M v dx) d^{3}r = 2 M^{2}x dE dx d^{3}r;$$

and

$$d^{3}p d^{3}r = 4 E^{2} dE d^{3}r;$$

for massless partons. For xed x the parton energy E varies between the kinematic limits (xM=2) and (M=2), where the lower limit is attained when  $p_T^i=0$  and the upper limit follows simply from energy-momentum considerations. (The kinematics will be described in detail in [10].) Hence  $dn^i=dx$  in the IMF is related to dn=dE and f(E) in the proton rest fram e as follows

$$\frac{dn^{i}}{dx} = \frac{M^{2}x}{2} \sum_{xM=2}^{Z} \frac{dE}{E^{2}} \frac{dn}{dE} = \frac{gV M^{2}x}{(2)^{2}} \sum_{xM=2}^{Z} dE f (E):$$
 (3)

The structure function  $F_2$  (x) is given by

$$F_2(x) = x \int_{q}^{x} e_q^2 \frac{dn^i}{dx} + \frac{dn^i}{dx} = \frac{1}{q}$$
:

The number distribution  $dn^{i}=dx$  in Eq. (3) vanishes linearly as  $x \,! \, 0$  (and also as  $x \,! \, 1$ ) and leads to the behavior of the structure function  $F_2(x) = x^2$  at small x, which disagrees with the observations noted in Eq. (1).

In an attempt to obtain the rise of  $F_2^P(x)$  at small x, we now explore the elects arising from the niteness of the nucleon volume V. We note from Eq. (2) that  $dn=dE=gf(E)VE^2=2^2$ , which is strictly valid in the large-volume limit, i.e., when the surface and curvature terms are negligible. We shall modify the model by incorporating these subleading terms. Various studies [11] of nite-size corrections (FSC) show that they depend on the particular equation of motion that is employed and are sensitive to the precise shape and size of the enclosure, the type of boundary conditions in posed on the wave function, and to the details such as whether the particles are strictly massless. Moreover, these studies invariably involve some simplifying assumptions and thus a blind use of their results cannot be justified in the present context. We feel more work needs to be done to fully understand the nite-size elects in a QCD bound state such as the nucleon.

In keeping with the phenomenological nature of the model, we have incorporated the FSC by rewriting dn=dE in Eq. (3) as in [11]:

$$dn=dE = gf(E)(VE^2=2^2 + aR^2E + bR);$$
 (4)

and treating the num erical coe cients a and b in the surface and curvature terms as free parameters for reasons stated in the previous paragraph.

The model described above is assumed to hold at a certain input momentum scale  $Q_0^2$ , and if necessary can be evolved to higher  $Q^2$  by means of the standard techniques in QCD. To complete the statement of the model, we require the parton distributions to obey the following three constraints at the input scale. The constraints on the net quark numbers in the proton are  $n_u - n_u = 2$  and  $n_d - n_d = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{M^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dx x \int_{xM=2}^{Z} \frac{dE}{E^{2}} \frac{dn}{dE} \int_{E}^{!} \frac{dn}{dE} = n \quad n : \quad (= u;d) \quad (5)$$

O byiously, them ical potentials for heavy avors are necessarily zero. As regards the third constraint, we assume that the longitudinal momentum fractions in the u; d avors and the gluons add up to unity:

$$\frac{M^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dx \, x^{2} \int_{xM=2}^{Z} \frac{dE}{E^{2}} \, dx + \frac{dn}{dE} + \frac{dn}{dE} + \frac{dn}{dE} + \frac{dn}{dE} + \frac{dn}{dE} = 1: \quad (6)$$

The quark avors s and c which are not introduced in Eq. (6) show up at higher  $Q^2$  as a result of QCD evolution.

By interchanging the order of x and E integrations in Eqs. (5-6) and perform ing the x-integration analytically, we see that in order to keep the integrals nite, large powers of 1=E are not allowed in the integrand. This means that the three terms displayed in Eq. (4) are the only ones that are allowed. Thus the model e ectively has only two free parameters a and b.

The tem perature (T) and two chem ical potentials ( $_{\rm u}$ ;  $_{\rm d}$ ) are not free parameters; we determ ine them by solving the three coupled nonlinear equations (5-6) by the D avidenko-B royden method [12]. The resulting values of T,  $_{\rm u}$  and  $_{\rm d}$  are such that the left and right hand sides of these equations agree with each other to typically one part in  $10^6$ . The parton distributions were evolved by means of the D okshitzer-G ribov-Lipatov-A ltarelli-Parisi equations [13] in leading order, taking the input scale  $Q_0^2 = M^2$  and  $Q_{\rm CD} = 0.3$ 

GeV. Finally, the root-mean-square (rms) radius of the parton distribution was taken to be the same as the charge rms radius () of the proton; since '0:862 fm [14], this yields  $R = \frac{q}{5-3} = 1:11 \text{ fm}.$ 

## Results and Discussion

Since the two arbitrary constants a and b in Eq. (4) are not known, we have determ ined them by thing the deep inelastic scattering data on  $F_2^p(x)$  at  $Q^2 = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$  [4,5]. The results of our tincorporating QCD evolution and FSC are shown by the solid curve in Fig. 2. Also shown for comparison in Fig. 2 are: (a) the (dot-dashed) curve labeled GAS' giving the prediction of the (unmodied) parton gas model which has no free parameters by virtue of the constraints, (b) the (dashed) curve labeled QCD' showing the elect of QCD evolution on the gas model, and (c) the (dotted) curve labeled FSC' showing a to the data when only the FSC are introduced in the gas model. For the solid curve in Fig. 2, the ted values of the two parameters are a = 0.400 and b = 0.475, and the corresponding temperature and them ical potentials are T = 72 MeV, u = 162 MeV and u = 81 MeV?

As a test of the model, we show in Fig. 3 the prediction (solid curve) for the di erence  $\mathbb{F}_2^p(x) = \mathbb{F}_2^n(x)$ . Also shown for comparison is the result (dashed curve) based on the param etrization of G luck et al. [6]. The agreement with the NMC data is reasonable.

As for the Gottfried sum  $S_G$ , we have

$$S_G = \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{2}{3} \frac{M^2}{2} \frac{Z_1}{0} dx \quad x = \frac{Z_M = 2}{E^2} \frac{dE}{E} \quad \frac{dn}{dE} \frac{!}{d} \quad \frac{dn}{dE} u$$
:

The inequality  $S_G < \frac{1}{3}$  is thus a result of having in the proton, more valence u quarks than valence d quarks,  $(n_u \quad n_u) > (n_d \quad n_d)$ , implying that u > d (see Eq. (5)) and hence the integral in  $S_G$  is positive. Our model predicts at  $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ , the value  $S_G = 0.22 \text{ which}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is am using to note that the values of a and b determ ined by us are close to the values a=1=2 and b=3=(2) which follow from one of the expressions for dn=dE given by M orse and Ingard [11]; substitute c=1; c=1

is consistent with the experimental value  $S_G = 0.235$  0.026. The natural explanation of the violation of the Gottfried sum rule is an attractive feature of our general fram ework.

In addition, the model is in excellent agreement with data on the gluon distribution g(x). It also reproduces well the ratio  $F_2^p(x)=F_2^p(x)$ , the ratio g(x)=g(x) at g(x)=g(x) at g(x)=g(x). The longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the charged partons, etc. These and other predictions of the model, on the quark and antiquark distributions g(x), g(x), g(x), g(x) and g(x) for various avors, etc. will be discussed in detail elsewhere [10].

Now we brie y describe the salient features of some of the recent calculations of the nucleon structure functions, which use ideas from statistical mechanics. It has generally been dicult so far to model F  $_2^p(x;Q^2)$  such that it is nonzero only in x = [0;1], and is consistent with the two number constraints, the momentum constraint, the Gottfried sum and the observed low-x rise. Mac and Ugaz [7a] calculated rst-order QCD corrections to the statistical distributions and obtained a crude but reasonable agreem ent with  $F_2^p(x)$ data for x > 02. The momentum constraint was not imposed and the tted value of the proton radius was 2.6 fm. Cleym anset al. [7b] used the fram ework of the nite temperature eld theory. They considered O (  $_{\rm s}$ ) corrections to the statistical distributions and obtained a good t to the  $F_2^p(x)$  data for x = 0.25. They also calculated the ratio  $_{L}$  =  $_{T}$  in this region; it was a factor of 6 above the experim ental value. Bourrely et al. [7c] considered polarized as well as unpolarized structure functions and presented a statistical param etrization (with eight param eters) of parton distributions in the IMF. Their fram ework allowed chem ical potential for quarks as well as for gluons. The number constraints were not satis ed very accurately. QCD e ects were not considered. Parton distributions were nonzero for x > 1. xq(x) vanished as x ! 0 and so it was not possible to reproduce the fast increase of the antiquark distributions for x < 0.1. Bournely and So er's [7d] approach was sim ilar to that in [7c]. By incorporating QCD evolution of parton distributions and allowing the antiquark chemical potential to depend on x, they were able to reproduce the HERA data on  $F_2^p$ . Recently Buccella et al. [7e] have introduced in this model a so-called liquid

term to reproduce the low-x behaviour of structure functions.

In conclusion, it is noteworthy that the application of ideas of statistical mechanics to the point constituents of the nucleon can provide a simple description of all the observed features of the unpolarized nucleon structure functions down to the lowest x values so far explored. The model presented here has two free parameters which arise from our treatment of the nite-size corrections. It is hoped that the success of the model would provide a stimulus to further studies of the nite-size e ects in a QCD bound state such as the nucleon.

## ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

I am grateful to K.V. L. Sarm a for num erous discussions during the course of this work.

I thank R.M. Godbole and S.K. um and for discussions and communications regarding QCD evolution. I bene ted from valuable comments by A.K. Rajagopal, Virendra Singh and C.S. Warke.

# REFERENCES

- [1] NM C Collaboration, P. Am audruz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 2712; M. Ameodo et al., Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) R1.
- [2] K. Gottfried, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18 (1967) 1174.
- [3] NA 51 Collaboration, A. Baldit et al., Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 244.
- [4] (a) ZEUS Collaboration, M. Derrick et al., Z.Phys. C 65 (1995) 379; Phys. Lett. B 316 (1993) 412, (b) H1 Collaboration, T. Ahm ed et al., Nucl. Phys. B 439 (1995) 471; I. Abt et al., Nucl. Phys. B 407 (1993) 515.
- [5] NM C Collaboration, P. Am audruz et al., Phys. Lett. B 295 (1992) 159; BCDM S Collaboration, A.C. Benvenuti et al., Phys. Lett. B 223 (1989) 485.
- [6] (a) M. Gluck, E. Reya, and A. Vogt, Z. Phys. C 53 (1992) 127; Phys. Lett. B 306 (1993)
  391, (b) A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, and R. G. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6734,
  (c) CTEQ Collaboration, H. L. Laiet al., Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4763.
- [7] (a) E.M ac and E.Ugaz, Z.Phys. C 43 (1989) 655, (b) J.Cleymans, I.D adic, and J. Joubert, Z.Phys. C 64 (1994) 275, (c) C.Bourrely et al., Z.Phys. C 62 (1994) 431, (d) C.Bourrely and J.So er, CPT Marseille preprint, 95/P 3160, February 1995, (e) F.Buccella et al., Z.Phys. 68 (1995) 631.
- [8] R.P.Bickersta and J.T.Londergan, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3621; see also C.Angelini and R.Pazzi, Phys. Lett. B 135 (1984) 473.
- [9] see, e.g., S.R. de Groot, W.A. van Leeuwen, and Ch.G. van Weert, Relativistic Kinetic Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980), p. 13.
- [10] R.S.Bhalerao and K.V.L.Sarma, in preparation.
- [11] R. Balian and C. Bloch, Ann. of Phys. (N.Y.) 60 (1970) 401; R. K. Bhaduri, J. Dey, and M. K. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 1765; P. M. Morse and K. U. Ingard,

- Theoretical Acoustics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968), p. 587.
- [12] H.M. Antia, Numerical Methods for Scientists and Engineers (Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, 1991), p. 833.
- [13] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15 (1975) 438, 675; G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126 (1977) 297; Yu. L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP 46 (1977) 641.
- [14] G.G.Sim on et al., Nucl. Phys. A 333 (1980) 381.
- [15] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 850.

### FIGURES

- FIG. 1. Log-log plot of the proton structure function data. Experim ental data are from Refs. [4,5]; the error bars show statistical and system atic errors combined in quadrature. The straight lines are our ts described in Eq. (1), and are labeled by  $Q^2 = 15$ , 35, and 120 GeV<sup>2</sup>. Numbers have been scaled by the factors shown in parentheses for convenience in plotting.
- FIG .2. Proton structure function  $F_2^p(x)$  at  $Q^2 = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Data points are as in Fig. 1. Solid curve is our best to the data and includes both FSC and QCD. A lso shown for comparison are: the (dot-dashed) curve labeled GAS' giving the (unmodied) gas model prediction, the (dashed) curve labeled QCD' showing the QCD-evolved gas model, and the (dotted) curve labeled FSC' which is a to the data when nite-size corrections are included in the gas model (without QCD).
- FIG. 3. Dierence ( $F_2^p$   $F_2^n$ ) versus x, at  $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Experim ental data are from Ref. [1]; errors are statistical only. Solid curve is the prediction of our model. Dashed curve is based on the param etrization of G luck et al. [6].





