On the indistinguish ibility of Majorana-from Dirac-neutrino propagation in a stellar medium

Salvatore E sposito

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli Mostra d'Oltrem are Pad. 19-20, I-80125 Napoli Italy e-mail: sesposito@na.infn.it

A bstract

We study, in the fram ework of the Standard Model, the propagation of (pure) Majorana neutrinos in a typical stellar medium and show that Majorana neutrino matter oscillations are completely indistinguishable from Dirac ones, even if in the case of no family mixing Majorana neutrinos can be distinguished from Dirac ones in the non-relativistic limit. Moreover, if CP violation is present, an elective phase arises in the elective mixing matrix but, due to a symmetry of the Majorana elds, this cannot be univocally determined

PACS 12.10 - Uni ed eld theories and models.

PACS 12.15 Ff-Quark and lepton masses and mixing.

PACS 13.15 - Neutrino interactions.

PACS 95.90 - 0 ther topics in astronomy and astrophysics.

1 Introduction

An open question of the M odem E lem entary Particle Physics is the problem of neutrino m ass. In the Standard M odel by G lashow, W einberg and Salam [1] neutrinos are m assless but there is no fundam ental reason to believe in that (on the contrary to that happens for photons, for which the electrom agnetic gauge invariance forces them to be m assless), and in particular the structure of the Standard M odel rem ains unchanged if we introduce a neutrino m ass term in the electroweak lagrangian. On the other hand, there are some experimental indications (even if not conclusive on this argument) [2] that neutrinos could be massive, such as the solar neutrino problem [3], the atmospheric neutrino anomaly [4] and so on. Moreover, in G rand Uni ed Theories it is quite natural for neutrinos to acquire a non vanishing mass [5].

In general, if neutrinos are massive, they could be of the \D irac-type" or of the \M a jorana-type". For D irac neutrinos, in strict analogy to that happens for the other ferm ions present in the Standard M odel, the particle states are dierent from the antiparticle states, so in addition to $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}^{\rm C}$, revealed by weak interactions, there must be also the states $_{\rm R}$ and $_{\rm L}^{\rm C}$, which however are sterile for weak interaction. In a lagrangian description, a D irac neutrino of 4-m om entum k propagating freely in vacuum is described by

$$L = {}^{-}_{L} \& {}_{L} + {}^{-}_{R} \& {}_{R} \qquad {}^{-}_{L}M {}_{D R} \qquad {}^{-}_{R}M {}_{D L}$$
 (1)

where T = ($_{e}$; ;) are the avour-eigenstate neutrino elds and M_{D} is a 3x3 D irac mass matrix.

A more economical way for accounting neutrinos mass is that of considering neutrinos to be Majorana particles, for which the particle states coincide (up to a phase factor) with the anti-particle states, so there is no need for introducing sterile $_{\rm R}$, $_{\rm L}^{\rm C}$ states. In this case the lagrangian term (1) is substituted by

where now M $_{\rm M}$ is a 3x3 M a jorana m ass m atrix that, from the ferm ionic anti-com m utation rules of the neutrino elds, m ust be sym m etric [8].

A more general lagrangian is that with both the Dirac mass term in (1) and the Majorana one in (2), whose diagonalization leads again to Majorana elds; however, for simplicity, in this paper we consider pure Majorana neutrinos with the lagrangian (2).

In general, if the mass matrices (Dirac or Majorana) are non diagonal (in analogy to that happens in the quark sector) lepton avour violation phenomena can occur, between which neutrino oscillations seem to be the most likely to detect experimantally the elects of a non vanishing neutrino mass [6]. Anyhow, the properties of Dirac and Majorana neutrinos are completely dierent. For example, in the Majorana case the CPT invariance forces neutrinos to have zero diagonal electromagnetic moments, while this doesn't happen for Dirac particles. Further, while for Dirac neutrinos the global lepton number is a conserved quantity, for Majorana neutrinos this is no longer true, and phenomena such as Pontecorvo neutrino-antineutrino oscillations [7] and neutrinoless double beta decay

[2], [8] can arise. Moreover, if CP invariance in the leptonic sector is violated, as in the quark sector, the number of physical CP breaking phases in the mixing matrix depends on the Dirac or Majorana nature of neutrinos and, in particular, for Majorana neutrinos there is one CP breaking phase also in the case of mixing of only two families [9].

We stress that the know ledge of neutrino properties is of relevant in portance not only for particle physics, but also for astrophysics and cosmology. In fact, for example, the most abundant neutrino sources are the active stars, in which neutrinos are produced by nuclear processes [10]; due to their weak interaction, these neutrinos play a fundamental role in the mechanism of star cooling. However, to this purpose, the study of neutrino interactions with the stellar matter (and in particular the study of coherent e ects of matter on neutrino propagation) is necessary.

In this paper we want to extend the recent analysis [11] made on Dirac neutrino propagation in magnetized matter (such as that present in supernov, for example) to the case of Majorana neutrinos and to point out the relevant dierences of behaviour.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we study the propagation of M a jorana neutrinos in m atter in the case of no family mixing for pointing out the main properties. In section 3 the coherent e ects on neutrino (avour) oscillations is considered in the fram ework of CP invariance, while in section 4 the insorgence of ective phases in the case of CP violation is studied. Finally, in section 5 conclusions are outlined.

2 Dispersion relation and the free propagation condition

Let us st consider the propagation of non-mixed Majorana neutrinos in matter, so that the mass matrix is diagonal and we can consider one neutrino avour at a time. From the lagrangian in Eq.(2) follows that in vacuum the equations of motion governing neutrino propagation are

$$(6k m)_{T_1} + (6k m)_{R}^{C} = 0$$
 (3)

When neutrinos propagate in matter, a way for taking into account their interactions with the particles in the background is to replace the mass m in Eq.(3) with the complete self-energy, so the equations of motions can be written in the form

$$(6k m +)_L + (6k m + -)_R^C = 0$$
 (4)

For propagation in a m edium with a magnetic edB, the calculation of proceeds with the evaluation of the Feynman diagrams in Fig.1. At order G_F , the self-energy term can be cast in the form [11], [12]

$$= b_L 6u + c_L 6B$$
 (5)

where u is the medium 4-velocity (for sim plicity we consider the rest fram e of the medium , in which u = (1;0)) and B = $\frac{1}{2}$ u F (in the rest fram e B = (0;B). The

coe cients b_L , c_L are given by [14], [11]

$$b_{L}^{e} ' \frac{p}{2G_{F}} (N_{e} \frac{1}{2}N_{n})$$
 (6)

$$b_{L} ' ' \frac{G_{F}}{P - 2} N_{n}$$
 (7)

and

$$c_{L}^{e} = 0$$
 (8)

$$C_{L}$$
; $\frac{eG_{F}}{P_{\overline{2}}} \frac{(3^{2}N_{e})^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}$ (9)

for a degenerate Fermigas, while

$$c_{L}^{e}$$
 ' $\frac{3eG_{F}}{4} \frac{N_{e}}{2} \frac{N_{e}}{m_{e}^{2}}$ (10)

$$c_{L}$$
, $+\frac{3eG_{F}}{4^{1}}\frac{N_{e}}{2}$ (11)

for a classical non-relativistic plasm a. Here N $_{\rm e}$,N $_{\rm n}$ are the number density of electrons and neutrons respectively, and we have assumed the medium to be electrically neutral.

The Feynman diagrams for - are showed in Fig 2; from [11],[12],[14] it is easy to deduce that - takes the form

$$- = b_1 \cdot 6a \cdot c_1 \cdot 6B \tag{12}$$

where b_L , c_L are again given by Eqs.(6)-(11) 1 Then, the equation of motion can be written as

$$! \qquad b_{L} \qquad \sim \quad \tilde{k} \qquad c_{L} \quad \tilde{B} \qquad {}^{C}_{R} \quad = \quad m \quad {}_{L} \qquad (14)$$

where! and K are respectively the neutrino energy and m om entum, while $_{\rm i}$ are the Pauli m atrices. Introducing the helicity eigenstates [13]

$$\frac{\sim \tilde{k}}{\tilde{j}\tilde{k}j} = \tag{15}$$

with = 1, because the interaction here considered between neutrinos and the particles in the medium preserves neutrino helicity [11], we can write $_{\rm L}$ = $_{\rm 1}$ and $_{\rm R}^{\rm C}$ = $_{\rm 2}$ with $_{\rm 1}$; $_{\rm 2}$ satisfying

 $^{^1}$ — can be obtained from $\,$ multiplying every neutrinic quantity appearing in that by its phase acquired under charge conjugation (in particular L! R) and with the substitution k! k. A nyhow, at order G_F, the self-energy does not depend explicitely on k, so that b_L, c_L rem ain unchanged.

0 0 11₁
2 '
0
! 0 ! 0 k 0 k 0 AA 0 m 1 (17)

From (16) we then deduce that for = 1 the dispersion relation reads

$$!^{2}$$
 $k^{2} = m^{2}$ $2k b_{L} + 2! c_{L} \frac{\Re B}{k}$ (18)

while for = +1 the signs of $b_L c_L$ are reversed. The solution of Eq. (18) are the eigen-energies of propagating neutrino eigen-modes.

Let us now compare this result with the analogous dispersion relation found for D irac neutrinos [11]:

$$!^{2}$$
 $k^{2} = m^{2}$ $b_{L}(! + k) + c_{L}(! + k)\frac{\tilde{k} B'}{k}$ (19)

From this, it is immediately evident that the two dispersion relations become equal for ultrarelativistic neutrinos. We stress, however, that this conclusion is valid only at order G_F . To stop at this order in the Fermi coupling constant is su cient in all physical situations in which the background is CP-asymmetric [14], such as stellar interior. As a consequence, there is no physical difference in the behaviour of Dirac and Majorana neutrinos produced in active stars and interacting with the matter inside. We also observe that for non-relativistic neutrinos the obtained results do not apply to extremely low energy particles such as relic neutrinos present in our epoch. In fact, in this case the neutrino momentum K (of the order of 10^2-10^4 eV for relic neutrinos [15]) is no longer conserved in the interaction with the medium, so that the same dispersion relation formalism loses of sense.

Let us note that when higher order than G_F become important, as for example in the Early Universe, where the particle-antiparticle symmetry is believed to be present, the propagation of Dirac neutrinos would be quantitatively dierent from that of Majorana neutrinos.

From (18) we also derive the modi cations to the free propagation condition [11]

$$b_{L} k = c_{L} ! \frac{\tilde{k} \tilde{B}}{k}$$
 (20)

If this relation is realized (see [11] for the conditions under which this happens for ultrarelativistic neutrinos) the coherent e ects durproperly to the magnetic eld are opposite to those generated in the absence of the eld, so that Majorana neutrinos can propagate freely in matter as in vacuum.

² In the fram ework of the Standard M odel the intrinsic magnetic moment interaction of D irac and M a jorana neutrinos are dierent, but extremely small [8] compared to the elects here considered

3 CP conserving Majorana neutrino matter oscillations

Let us now exam ine the case in which the Majorana mass matrix in (2) is non-diagonal (but real), so that neutrino avour oscillations can occur; for simplicity, we consider the mixing between only two generations (for example e,).

Now, the eigen-energies of = 1 neutrinos are the solution of the eigenvalue equation

where b_L , c_L , m are m attrices in the avour space that, in the m ass eigenstate basis, have the form [11]

$$b_{L} = b_{L}^{W} \cos^{2} + b_{L}^{Z} \qquad b_{L}^{W} \sin \cos b_{L}^{W} \sin \cos b_{L}^{W} \sin^{2} + b_{L}^{Z}$$

$$(23)$$

$$c_{L} = \begin{array}{c} c_{L}^{W} \cos^{2} + c_{L}^{Z} & c_{L}^{W} \sin \cos \\ c_{L}^{W} \sin \cos & c_{L}^{W} \sin^{2} + c_{L}^{Z} \end{array}$$
(24)

Here, is the vacuum mixing angle while $\frac{1}{L}$, c_{L}^{W} and b_{L}^{Z} , c_{L}^{Z} are respectively the charged-current and neutral-current contribution to the ∞ e cients in the self-energy. A fiter $\sin p$ le algebra, in the approximation of non-exceptionally dense medium, we arrive at the following eigenvalue equation 3

$$! \quad \overline{k_{1}} \quad \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{! + k_{1}} \quad ! \quad \overline{k_{2}} \quad \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{! + k_{2}} \quad +$$

$$+ \quad (! \quad \overline{k_{1}}) (! \quad \overline{k_{2}}) \quad 1 \quad \frac{S_{12}^{2}}{(! + k_{1}) (! + k_{2})} \quad 1 \quad \frac{D_{12}^{2}}{(! \quad \overline{k_{1}}) (! \quad \overline{k_{2}})} \quad 1 \quad +$$

$$+ \quad \frac{2m_{1}m_{2}S_{12}D_{12}}{(! + k_{1}) (! + k_{2})} = 0$$

$$(25)$$

where them atrices S;D are given respectively by $b_L + c_L \frac{kB}{k}$, $b_L - c_L \frac{kB}{k}$ and $k_1 = k$ S_{11} , $k_2 = k$ S_{22} , $\overline{k_1} = k$ D_{11} , $\overline{k_2} = k$ D_{22} . Compare this result with that analogous for D irac neutrinos [11]

!
$$\overline{k_1}$$
 $\frac{m_1^2}{!+k}$! $\overline{k_2}$ $\frac{m_2^2}{!+k}$! $D_{12}^2 = 0$ (26)

³N eglecting damping e ects, the energy eigenvalues completely determine neutrino avor oscillations. In fact, all the physically interesting quantities (survival probability, resonance and no-resonance conditions and so on) can be expressed in terms of these quantities, as can be seen, for example, in [11]

Let us observe that in astrophysical environm ents the term s

$$\frac{b_{L}^{W} \quad c_{L}^{W} \quad \frac{\tilde{k} \, \tilde{B}}{k}}{1 + k} \qquad ; \qquad \frac{b_{L}^{Z} \quad c_{L}^{Z} \quad \frac{\tilde{k} \, \tilde{B}}{k}}{1 + k} \tag{27}$$

are completely negligible, so that we arrive at the conclusion that Majorana neutrino matter oscillations are indistinguishable from Dirac ones, irrispective of the relativistic properties of the neutrinos them selves. Obviously, as in section 2, this conclusion is not applyable to oscillations in the Early Universe.

4 E ective CP violating phases

Finally, let us consider the general case of a complex non-diagonal Majorana mass matrix arising when CP invariance in the leptonic sector is violated. Now, the mixing matrix V is unitary and, in general, for two generations three phases are present, two of which physical meaningless because they can be absorbed [8] by the charged lepton elds in the weak charged interaction lagrangian term. Then the mixing matrix V can be cast in the form [9]

$$V = \begin{cases} \cos & \sin \dot{e} \\ \sin \dot{e} \end{cases}$$
 (28)

Considering matter oscillations, the eigenvalue equation is again of the form (21), but now the matrix b_L , in the mass eigenstate basis, is given by

$$b_{L} = b_{L}^{W} \cos^{2} + b_{L}^{Z} \qquad b_{L}^{W} \sin \cos \dot{e}^{\dot{i}}$$

$$b_{L}^{W} \sin \cos \dot{e}^{\dot{i}} \qquad b_{L}^{W} \sin^{2} + b_{L}^{Z}$$

$$(29)$$

and analogously for c_L . For de niteness, let us consider only ultrarelativistic neutrinos. The eigenvalue problem (21) is equivalent to the diagonalization of the ham iltonian [13]

H '
$$k + \frac{m^2}{2k}$$
 $b_L + c_L \frac{\tilde{k} B}{k}$ (30)

that, disregarding term sproportional to the identity matrix which play no role in neutrino oscillations, in the avour eigenstate basis takes the form

$$H_{flav} = \frac{m^{2}}{2k} \qquad \sin^{2} \qquad \sin^{2} \qquad \sin^{2} \qquad e^{i} \qquad e^$$

This is an herm itian matrix that can be diagonalized by a unitary elective mixing matrix V_m (V_m^y H $_{\text{flav}}$ V_m = H $_{\text{m}}$ with H $_{\text{m}}$ diagonal and real) whose general form is [9]

$$V_{m} = P V_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i_{1}} & 0 & \cos_{m} & \sin_{m} e^{i} \\ 0 & e^{i_{2}} & \sin_{m} e^{i} & \cos_{m} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (32)

Obviously, the phases $_{1,2}$ don't produce separately observable e ects, but only their di erence $_{2}$ $_{1}$ could. In fact, P y H $_{flav}$ P has the same form as H $_{flav}$ but with replaced by $_{m}$. Furtherm ore, regarding neutrino oscillations, only one e ective phase $_{m}$ plays a physical role. In fact, the diagonalization equation which determ ine the e ective m ixing angle $_{m}$ and the e ective phase $_{m}$ are

$$\frac{0}{0} \frac{m^{2}}{2k} \cos 2 + k_{L}^{W} c_{L}^{W} \frac{K B}{k}^{A} \sin 2_{m} = \frac{m^{2}}{2k} \sin 2 \cos^{2}_{m} e^{i(+m)} \sin^{2}_{m} e^{i(+m)}$$
(33)

$$\cos^2 m e^{i(+m)} \sin^2 m e^{i(+m)} = \cos^2 m e^{i(+m)} \sin^2 m e^{i(+m)}$$
 (34)

with $_{\rm m}$ = . From these we get that the e ective mixing angle $_{\rm m}$ is una ected respect to the case of CP conservation, and this follows from the fact that the e ective phase is exactly opposite to the intrinsic (vacuum) one:

$$tg 2_{m} = \frac{\frac{m^{2}}{2k} \sin 2}{\frac{m^{2}}{2k} \cos 2 + k_{L}^{W} c_{L}^{W} \frac{k B}{k}}$$
(35)

$$_{\rm m}$$
 = (36)

We now give a physical interpretation of the obtained results.

In vacuum, there is no way to observe CP violating phases in avour oscillations, because a CP violation means that there is a dierence in the physical behaviour of neutrinos and antineutrinos, so that if we observe only transistions between neutrinos (even if of dierent avour) this dierence can not be detectable. The same is true for avour matter oscillations (even if neutrinos and antineutrinos have dierent refractive index), so the elective mixing angle must have no changes and this explains (35).

Note, however, that (36) is a bit more general condition, and it is surprising the fact that the elective CP violating phase modeterm ined by (36) does not determ ine univocally the elective phase present in the mixing matrix (32). This result is a consequence of the fact that the physical meaningfule ective mixing matrix has the same form as the vacuum mixing matrix, i.e. it is V_m , not V_m , or, in other words, that the matrix P has no physical elect. In fact, as already noted, it has no elect on the hamiltonian (! +), but this is not sulcient because it must have no elect also on the Majorana matter mass eigenstate neutrino elds. That happens because these elds can be written in the form

and the phases present in P can be absorbed simultaneously by $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}^{\rm C}$, rede ning the physical states as

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have analyzed the behaviour of pure M a jorana neutrinos when they pass through a dense medium (typically a stellar medium) and outlined the main di erences with the behaviour of D irac neutrinos.

The self-energy in matter of neutrino and antineutrino states are dierent (compare (5) with (12)). However, this brings to observable dierences in the propagation of Majorana neutrinos in stellarmatter with respect to the Dirac case only for non-relativistic neutrinos (at rst order in the Fermi coupling constant). It is anyhow remarkable the fact that Majorana neutrino matter oscillations are completely indistinguishable from Dirac ones in astrophysical environments (both for ultrarelativistic anf for non-relativistic neutrinos), due to the smallness of the exceptive potential (27).

Finally, we have considered the case of a possible CP (intrinsic) violation that can be present, for M a jorana neutrinos, also in the m ixing of only two generations. O bviously this has no e ect on neutrino avour conversion (both in vacuum and in matter) but should have on neutrino-antineutrino oscillations. We have then analyzed the properties of the elective unitary mixing matrix and have shown that the elective phase present in it, due to the invariance for phase change of the Majorana matter eigenstate neutrino elds (37), is not univocally determined.

A cknow ledgem ents

The author is greatly indebted with Prof. F. Buccella for very stimulating discussions and valuable hints.

R eferences

- [1] S.L.G. lashow, Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579
 S.W. einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264
 A. Salam, W. eak and Electromagnetic interactions", in Svartholm N., Elementary
 Particle Theory, Stockholm, A. Im quist and W. iksell, 1968
- [2] G Gelminiand E Roulet, Rep. Prog. Phys. 58 (1995) 1207.

- [3] R D avis Jr., Proc. of the 23rd ICRC, Calgary, Canada (1993), Prog. in Nucl. and Part. Phys., 32 (1994);
 - K Hirata et al, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 2241;
 - A Abazov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 3332;
 - P Anselm ann et al., Phys. Lett., B 327 (1994) 377.
- [4] Y Fukuda et al., Phys. Lett., B 335 (1994) 237;R Becker-Szendy et al, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 3720.
- [5] M F Abud, F Buccella, L Rosa and A Sciarrino, Zeit. fur Phys. C 44 (1989) 589; F Buccella and L Rosa, Nucl. Phys B (Proc. Suppl.) 28A, (1992) 168; R N. Mohapatra and M K. Parida, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 264.
- [6] B Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP V (1957) 1297;
 B Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP V I (1958) 429;
 B Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP V II (1958) 171.
- [7] V G ribov and B Pontecorvo, Phys. Lett B 28 (1969) 493; B Pontecorvo, JETP Lett. 13 (1971) 199.
- [8] R N M ohapatra and P B Pal, M assive neutrinos in Physics and A strophysics, (W orld Scienti c, 1991).
- [9] A Barroso and JM aalam pi, Phys. Lett B 132 (1983) 355.
- [10] JN Bahcall, Neutrino Astrophysics, (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
- [11] S E sposito and G C apone, Zeit. fur Physik C 70 (1996) 55, hep-ph/9511417.
- [12] J.C. D'O livo, J.F. N ieves and P.B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3679.
- [13] J.C. D'O livo and J.F. Nieves, preprint LTP-044-UPR, hep-ph/9501327.
- [14] D Notzold and G Ra elt, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988) 924.
- [15] E.W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, The Early Universe, (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1990).

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9607371v1