# R enorm alization group analysis of the H iggs boson m ass in a noncom m utative di erntial geom etry

Yoshitaka O kumura

Department of Natural Science, Chubu University, Kasugai, 487, Japan

#### A bstract

W ithin one loop approximation, the renormalization group analysis of the Higgs boson mass is performed with an initial condition induced by  $m_{_{\rm H}} = 2m_{_{\rm W}}$  which is presented in the new scheme of our noncommutative dimensional geometry for the reconstruction of the standard model. This initial condition holds at energy derived from  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{02}$  with the running SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1)<sub>Y</sub> gauge coupling constants.  $\sin^2 m_{_{\rm W}} = 3=8$  is obtained under same conditions. However, contrary to SU (5) GUT without supersymmetry, the grand unic cation of coupling constant is not realized in this scheme. The physical mass of the Higgs boson considerably depends on the top quark mass m top since the top quark Yukawa coupling constant in wide range. The Higgs boson mass varies from 153.42G eV to 191.94G eV corresponding to 168G eV m top 192G eV. We nd m = 164.01G eV for m top = 175G eV and m = 171:92G eV for m top = 180G eV.

PACS num ber(s): 11.15 Ex,02.40.-k,12.15.-y,12.10 Kt K eywords: spontaneously broken gauge thery; H iggs m echanism; noncom m utative geom etry

## 1 Introduction

Noncommutative di erential geometry (NCG) on the discrete space such as  $M_4 = Z_2$  and, in general,  $M_4 = Z_N$  (N = 2;3;); M inkowski space multiplied by several points space allows one to regard the Higgs eld as the gauge eld on the same footing as the ordinary gauge eld. A number of articles in various versions of NCG [1]-[13] have con rm ed this fact by reconstructing the standard m odel and the grand uni ed theory (GUT) [3], [7], [8] without any theoretical and experimental contradictions. This is because the NCG approach to understand the Higgs mechanism apparently shows the reason for the existence of the Higgs boson eld and, in addition, it does not requires any other extra physical mode except for those in the standard m odel and GUT.

The bosonic Lagrangian of the spontaneously broken gauge theory derived from the NCG approach has the restrictions on the gauge and the Higgs quartic coupling constants. These restrictions yield the numerical estimate of the W einberg angle and the mass relation between the Higgs boson and other particle such as gauge boson and top quark, though these relations hold only in tree level. Several works have been done [11], [12] to estimate the quantum elects of these relations by assuming them to hold at some renormalization point. Shinohara, N ishida, Tanaka and Sogam i [12] have recently calculated in a very clear formulation the renormalization group (RG) evolution of the mass relation m<sub>H</sub> =  $2m_{top}$ for the Higgs boson and top quark, which was proposed by Sogam i [13] in a variant of NCG with the generalized covariant derivative with gauge and Higgs boson elds operating on the total fermion eld.

Since Connes proposed the rst idea [1] concerning NCG, many versions of the NCG approach [2]-[13] have appeared to understand the Higgs mechanism, among which the present author [6], [7] has also proposed a characteristic formulation of NCG. Our formulation of a NCG is a generalization of the usual di erential geometry on an ordinary manifold to the discrete manifold M<sub>4</sub>  $Z_N$ . The reconstruction of SO (10) GUT [8] and left-right symmetric gauge model [9] had been already performed according to our NCG scheme. In a NCG on M<sub>4</sub>  $Z_2$ , the extra di erential one-form in Z<sub>2</sub> is introduced in addition

e-m ail address: okum @ isc.chubu.ac.jp

to the usual one-form dx in M<sub>4</sub>, and therefore our form ulation is very sin ilar to ordinary di erential geom etry, whereas, in C onnes'original one and his follower's NCG, the D irac matrices and <sub>5</sub> are used to describe the generalized gauge eld. In a NCG, the gauge and the Higgs boson elds are given as coe cients of dx and in the generalized connection on M<sub>4</sub> Z<sub>2</sub>, respectively. However, there is no symmetry to mix dx and , and, therefore, the ordinary gauge eld can not be transform ed to the Higgs boson eld. In Ref.[10], three generations of ferm ions including left and right-handed quarks and leptons and the strong interaction are incorporated in a new scheme of our NCG to reconstruct the standard m odel. By setting coupling constants on the y = + and y = planes with an argument y(= ) in Z<sub>2</sub> to be equal, we could obtain sin<sup>2</sup> w = 3=8 and m<sub>H</sub> = <sup>1</sup> 2m<sub>W</sub> . Following Shinghara and co-workers [12], we will perform in this article the RG analysis of the mass relation m<sub>H</sub> = <sup>2</sup> 2m<sub>W</sub> for the gauge and Higgs bosons, which holds at the energy derived from g<sup>2</sup> = (5=3)g<sup>02</sup> as for the running SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1)<sub>y</sub> gauge coupling constants. In other version of NCG including Sogam i's approach [13], the mass relation between the Higgs and charged gauge bosons. This is because the Y ukaw a coupling constants written in matrix form in the generalized gauge the Y ukaw a coupling constants written in matrix form in the generalized gauge end the Higgs boson and top quark is presented, whereas ours is the mass relation between the Higgs and charged gauge bosons. This is because the Y ukaw a coupling constants written in matrix form in the generalized gauge eld in our form ulation.

This article consists of ve sections. The next section presents the brief review of our new scheme of a NCG in Ref.[10] which incorporates the strong interaction. In the third section, the reconstruction of the standard model based on the new scheme of our NCG presented in the second section is reviewed. A characteristic point is to take the ferm ion eld to be a vector in 24-dimensional space containing color and three generations indices. The fourth section, the main section in this paper, includes the num erical estimation of the RG evolution of the mass relation  $m_{\rm H} = 2m_{\rm W}$  on the assumption that it holds at a energy of  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{\rm CR}$  with SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1) gauge coupling constants. The last section is devoted to concluding remarks.

# 2 OurNCG on $M_4$ $Z_2$

We review our previous formulation [10] proposed to reconstruct the standard model in which three generations of left and right-handed quarks and leptons are taken into account and also the strong interaction is incorporated. A characteristic point of this formulation is to take the left and right-handed ferm ions (x;y) with arguments x and y (= ) in M<sub>4</sub> and Z<sub>2</sub>, respectively as

$$(x;+) = \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & u_{L}^{r} & 1 & & 0 & u_{R}^{r} & 1 \\ B & u_{L}^{g} & C & & & B & u_{R}^{g} & C \\ B & u_{L}^{b} & C & & & \\ 0 & u_{L}^{c} & C & ; & & \\ 0 & u_{L}^{c} & C & ; & & \\ 0 & u_{R}^{g} & C & & \\ 0 & u_{R}^{g} & U & & \\ 0 & u_{R}^{$$

where subscripts L and R denote the left-handed and right-handed ferm ions, respectively and superscripts r, g and b represent the color indices. It should be noticed that (x;y) has the index for the three generation and so do the explicit expressions for ferm ions on the right hand sides of Eq.(2.1). In the strict expressions, u; d; and e in Eq.(2.1) should be replaced by

respectively. Thus, (x; ) is a vector in the 24-dimensional space. In order to construct the Dirac Lagrangian of standard model corresponding to (x; ) in Eqs.(2.1), we must need 24-dimensional generalized covariant derivative composed of gauge and Higgs elds on M<sub>4</sub> Z<sub>2</sub>. This is achieved by developing a NCG on the discrete space in the follow s.

Let us start with the equation of the generalized gauge eld A (x;y) written in one-form on the discrete space M<sub>4</sub> Z<sub>2</sub>. v

$$A (x;y) = \begin{cases} x & x \\ a_{i}^{y}(x;y)da_{i}(x;y) + \\ i \end{cases} b_{j}^{y}(x;y)db_{j}(x;y);$$
(2.3)

where  $a_i(x;y)$  and  $b_j(x;y)$  are the square matrix-valued functions and are taken so as to commute with each other, because  $a_i^y(x;y) da_i(x;y)$  is the avor sector including the avor gauge and the Higgs elds and  $b_j^y(x;y) db_j(x;y)$  correspond with color gauge eld. i and j are variables of the extra internal space which we can not now identify. d in Eq. (2.3) is the generalized exterior derivative de ned as follow s.

$$d = d + d ; (2.4)$$

$$da_i(x;y) = 0 a_i(x;y)dx;$$
 (2.5)

$$d a_{i}(x;y) = [a_{i}(x;y)M(y) + M(y)a_{i}(x;y)]; \qquad (2.6)$$

$$db_{j}(x;y) = 0 \ b_{j}(x;y)dx$$
 (2.7)

$$d b_j(x;y) = 0$$
: (2.8)

Here dx is ordinary one-form basis, taken to be dimensionless, in M inkowski space M<sub>4</sub>, and is the one-form basis, assumed to be also dimensionless, in the discrete space  $Z_2$ . If the operator  $Q_y$  is dened as

 $\theta_{v}a_{i}(x;y) = [a_{i}(x;y)M(y) + M(y)a_{i}(x;y)]; \qquad (2.9)$ 

Eq.(2.6) is rewritten as

$$d a_i(x;y) = Q_y a_i(x;y)$$
; (2.10)

just in the same form as in Eq.(2.5). The operator (0,y) is a di erence operator on the discrete space with the modi cation by M (y). M (y) in Eq.(2.9) is required because  $a_i(x; +)$  and  $a_i(x; -)$  are in general square matrices with di erent degree and, therefore, M (y) ensures the consistent calculations of matrices. (0,y) in  $Z_2$  is an alternative of (0,y) in M<sub>4</sub>. We have introduced x-independent matrix M (y) whose herm it in conjugation is given by M (y)<sup>y</sup> = M (y). The matrix M (y) determines the scale and pattern of the spontaneous breakdown of the gauge symmetry. Thus, Eq.(2.8) means that the color symmetry of the strong interaction does not break spontaneously.

In order to nd the explicit form s of gauge and H iggs elds according to Eq.(2.3), we need the following in portant algebraic rule of non-commutative geometry.

$$f(x;y) = f(x; y);$$
 (2.11)

where f(x;y) is a eld de ned on the discrete space such as  $a_1(x;y)$ , gauge eld, Higgs eld or ferm ion elds. It should be noticed that Eq.(2.11) does not express the relation between the matrix elements of f(x;+) and f(x;-) but insures the product between the elds expressed in di erential form on the discrete space. Using Eq.(2.11) and some other algebraic rules in Eqs.(2.4)–(2.8), A (x;y) is rewritten as

$$A(x;y) = A(x;y)dx + (x;y) + G(x)dx;$$
 (2.12)

where

$$A (x;y) = \begin{cases} X \\ a_{i}^{y}(x;y) \\ a_{i}(x;y); \end{cases}$$
(2.13)  
$$(x;y) = \begin{cases} X \\ a_{i}^{y}(x;y) \\ a_{i}(x;y)M \\ (y) + M \\ (y)a_{i}(x;y) \end{cases}$$

$$= a_{y_{1}}^{y}(x;y) \varrho_{y} a_{i}(x;y); \qquad (2.14)$$

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} b_j^{y}(\mathbf{x}) \\ b_j(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.15)

A (x;y), (x;y) and G (x) are idential ed with the gauge eld in the avor symmetry, Higgs elds, and the color gauge eld responsible for the strong interaction, respectively.

G

In order to identify A (x;y) and G (x) with true gauge elds, the following conditions have to be imposed.

$$a_{i}^{Y}(x;y)a_{i}(x;y) = 1; \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\sum_{j}^{X} b_{j}^{Y}(x)b_{j}(x) = \frac{1}{g_{s}}; \qquad (2.17)$$

where  $g_s$  is a constant related to the coupling constant of the strong interaction.

Before constructing the gauge covariant eld strength, we address the gauge transform ation of  $a_i(x;y)$  and  $b_j(x)$  which is dened as

where  $g_f(x;y)$  and  $g_c(x)$  are the gauge functions with respect to the avorunitary group and the color SU (3)<sub>c</sub> group, respectively. It should be noticed that  $g_c(x)$  can be taken to commute with  $a_i(x;y)$  and M (y) and at the same time  $g_f(x;y)$  is taken to commute with  $b_j(x)$ .  $g_f(x;y)$  and  $g_c(x)$  commute with each other. Then, we obtain the gauge transform ation of A (x;y) to be

$$A^{g}(x;y) = g_{f}^{1}(x;y)g_{c}^{1}(x)A(x;y)g_{f}(x;y)g_{c}(x) + g_{f}^{1}(x;y)dg_{f}(x;y) + \frac{1}{g_{s}}g_{c}^{1}(x)dg_{c}(x); \qquad (2.19)$$

where use has been m ade of Eq. (2.3) and Eq. (2.18), and as in Eqs.(2.5) and (2.6),

$$dg_{f}(x;y) = 0 g_{f}(x;y)dx + 0_{y}g_{f}(x;y)$$
(2.20)

with the operator  $\theta_y$  de ned in Eq.(2.9). Using Eqs.(2.19) and (2.20), we can discuss the gauge transform ations of gauge and Higgs elds as

$$A^{g}(x;y) = g_{f}^{1}(x;y)A(x;y)g_{f}(x;y) + g_{f}^{1}(x;y)Q(g_{f}(x;y)); \qquad (2.21)$$

$${}^{g}(x;y) = g_{f}^{1}(x;y) (x;y)g_{f}(x; y) + g_{f}^{1}(x;y)\theta_{y}g_{f}(x;y); \qquad (2.22)$$

$$G^{g}(x) = g_{c}^{1}(x)G(x)g_{c}(x) + \frac{1}{g_{s}}g_{c}^{1}(x)Q(g_{c}(x))$$
 (2.23)

Equation (2.22) is very similar to two other equations and so it strongly indicates that the H iggs eld is a kind of gauge eld on the discrete space. From Eqs. (2.20) and (2.22) it is rewritten as

$$g'(x;y) + M(y) = g_f^{-1}(x;y)((x;y) + M(y))g_f(x; y);$$
 (2.24)

which makes it obvious that

$$H(x;y) = (x;y) + M(y)$$
 (2.25)

is un-shifted H iggs eld whereas (x;y) denotes shifted one with the vanishing vacuum expectation value. In addition to the algebraic rules in Eqs.(2.4)-(2.8) we add one m ore important rule that

$$d M (y) = M (y)M (y)$$
 (2.26)

which yields together with Eqs.(2.4)-(2.8) the nilpotency of the generalized exterior derivative d;

$$d^{2}f(x;y) = (d^{2} + dd + d d + d^{2})f(x;y) = 0$$
(2.27)

with the condition dx  $^{\circ}$  =  $^{\circ}$  dx . In proving the nilpotency, the following rule must be taken into account that whenever the d operation jumps over M (y), minus sign is attached, for example

d fa(x;y)M (y)b(x; y)g = (d a(x;y))M (y)b(x; y)  
+ a(x;y)(d M (y))b(x; y) 
$$a(x;y)M$$
 (y)(d b(x; y)): (2.28)

W ith these considerations we can construct the gauge covariant eld strength.

$$F(x;y) = F(x;y) + G(x);$$
 (2.29)

where F (x; y) and G (x) are the eld strengths of avor and color gauge elds, respectively and given as

$$F (x;y) = dA (x;y) + A (x;y)^{A} (x;y);$$
  

$$G (x) = dG (x) + g_{s}G (x)^{G} (x);$$
(2.30)

where it should be noted that  $dA(x;y) = \int_{i}^{P} da_{i}^{y}(x;y) da_{i}(x;y) da_{i}(x;y)$  and  $dG(x) = \int_{j}^{P} db_{j}^{y}(x) db_{j}(x) db_{j}(x)$  are followed because of the nilpotency of d and d. We can easily not the gauge transform ation of F(x;y) as

$$F^{g}(x;y) = g^{1}(x;y)F(x;y)g(x;y);$$
 (2.31)

where  $g(x;y) = g_f(x;y)g_c(x)$ . The algebraic rules de ned in Eqs.(2.4)-(2.11) and (2.26) yield

$$F(x;y) = \frac{1}{2}F(x;y)dx \wedge dx + D(x;y)dx \wedge + V(x;y) \wedge ; \qquad (2.32)$$

where

$$F (x;y) = (0 A (x;y)) (0 A (x;y) + [A (x;y);A (x;y)]; (2.33)$$

$$D (x;y) = (0 (x;y) + (x;y)) (y (y) + (x;y)) ((x;y) + (y)) (y (y) + (x;y)) ((x;y) + (y)) (y (y) + (x;y)) ((x;y) + (x;y)) ((x;y)) ((x;y)) ((x;y)) ((x;y)) ((x;y$$

$$V(x,y) = ((x,y) + M(x))((x,y) + M(x)) + V(x,y) + M(x)) + V(x,y) + M(x) + M(x)$$

$$\forall (x;y) = ((x;y) + M(y))((x;y) + M(y)) + M(y)) + M(y) +$$

Y (x;y) in Eq. (2.35) is auxiliary eld and expressed as

$$Y(x;y) = \begin{cases} X \\ a_{i}^{y}(x;y)M(y)M(y)M(y)a_{i}(x;y); \\ i \end{cases}$$
(2.36)

which m ay be independent or dependent of (x;y) and/orm ay be a constant eld. In contrast to F (x;y), G (x) is simply denoted as

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{2}G(x)dx \wedge dx$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2}f(G(x)) (G(x) + g_s)G(x);G(x))gdx \wedge dx : (2.37)$ 

W ith the same metric structure on the discrete space M  $_4$  Z  $_2$  as in Ref.[6] that

$$< dx ; dx >= g ; g = diag(1; 1; 1; 1);$$
  
 $< ; dx >= 0;$   
 $< ; >= 1$ 
(2.38)

we can obtain the gauge invariant Yang-M ills-H iggs lagrangian (YMH)

$$L_{YMH}(x) = Tr \frac{1}{g_{Y}^{2}} < F(x;y); F(x;y) >$$

$$= Tr \frac{1}{2g_{Y}^{2}} F^{y}(x;y)F(x;y)$$

$$= Tr \frac{1}{2g_{Y}^{2}} F^{y}(x;y)F(x;y)$$

$$+ Tr \frac{1}{g_{Y}^{2}} (D(x;y))^{y}D(x;y)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{y=\\ y=\\ y=}}^{X} \frac{1}{g_{Y}^{2}} V^{y}(x;y)V(x;y)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{y=\\ y=}}^{X} \frac{1}{2g_{Y}^{2}} G^{y}(x)G(x); \qquad (2.39)$$

where  $g_y$  is a constant relating to the coupling constant of the avorgauge eld and Tr denotes the trace over internal sym m etry m atrices including the color, avor sym m etries and generation space. The third term on the right hand side is the potential term of H iggs particle.

The ferm ion sector of the standard model was also reconstructed in Ref.[10]. However, it is not presented in this article because it is not necessary to perform the RG analysis. W ith these preparations, we can apply the formulation in this section to reconstruction of the Yang-M ills-H iggs Lagrangian in the standard model.

# 3 Reconstruction of standard model

A coording to a NCG in the previous section, the standard model is reconstructed. This section is also the review of Ref.[10]. The gauge elds A (x;y) and G (x) in the covariant derivative must be the di erential representation of the ferm ion elds in Eqs.(2.1) and (2.2) and, therefore, they are expressed in

24 24 m atrices. The H iggs eld (x;y) is also taken to give the correct Y ukawa interaction in the D irac Lagrangian and expressed in the 24 24 m atrix. We specify A (x;y); (x;y) and G (x) in Eq.(2.12) as follows:

A 
$$(x;+) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X^3 \\ k \\ k=1 \end{pmatrix}^k 1^4 A_L^k + aB 1^3;$$
 (3.1)

where  $A_L^k$  and B are SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1) gauge elds, respectively and so <sup>k</sup> is the Paulim atrices. 1<sup>3</sup> represents the unit matrix in the generation space and a is the U (1) hypercharge matrix corresponding to (x;+) in Eq.(2.1) and expressed as

$$a = \operatorname{diag} \left(\frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}; 1\right):$$
(32)

A (x; ) = 
$$\frac{i}{2}bB$$
 1<sup>3</sup>; (3.3)

where b is the U (1) hypercharge matrix corresponding to (x; ) in Eq.(2.1) and so it is 8 8 diagonal matrix expressed in

b = diag 
$$(\frac{4}{3}; \frac{4}{3}; \frac{4}{3}; 0; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; 2)$$
: (3.4)

G (x) is denoted by

G (x) = 
$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{X^8}{a=1} = 0$$
 (3.5)

where  $^{0a}$  is 4 4 m atrix m ade of the G ell-M ann m atrix  $^{a}$  by adding 0 components to fourth line and column.  $_{0}$  1

$${}^{0a} = {}^{B}_{0e} {}^{a} {}^{0C}_{0A} :$$

$${}^{0a} {}^{0a} {}^{0C}_{0A} :$$

$${}^{0a} {}^{0a} :$$

$${}^{0a} {}^{0a} :$$

$${}^{0a} :$$

Higgs eld (x;y) is represented in 24 24 m atrix by

$$(+) = \begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & 1^{4} & 1^{3}; \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ ( ) = & \begin{array}{cccc} & & & & 1^{4} & 1^{3}; \\ & & & & & 1^{4} & 1^{3}; \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$
(3.7)

Corresponding to Eq.(3.7), sym m etry breaking function M (y) is given by

$$M (+) = \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad 1^{4} \quad 1^{3}; M () = M (+)^{y}: \tag{3.8}$$

It should be noted that G is taken so as to commute with A (y) and (y). With these speci cations, all quantities needed to express the explicit expression of F (x;y) in Eq.(2.29) can be explicitly written down as follows.

$$F(x;+) = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X^{3} & & \\ & k & 1^{4} F_{L}^{k} + & 0 & aB & 1^{3}; \\ & & k = 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.9)

F (x; ) = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
bB 1<sup>3</sup>; (3.10)

G 
$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{X^{a}} {}^{0} {}^{0} {}^{a} G^{a} {}^{1} 1^{3};$$
 (3.11)

where

$$F_{L}^{k} = Q A_{L}^{k} Q A_{L}^{k} + {}^{klm} A_{L}^{l} A_{L}^{m} ;$$
 (3.12)

- B = Q B Q B; (3.13)
- $G^{a} = 0 G^{a} 0 G^{a} + g_{s} f^{abc} G^{b} G^{c}$ : (3.14)

D (x;y) in Eq.(2.34) is represented in

$$D (+) = (D ())^{y} = (h^{0} \frac{i}{2} (\sum_{k=1}^{X^{3}} h^{k} h^{0} + h^{0} dB) 1^{4} 1^{3}; \quad (3.15)$$

where  $h^0$  and c are given as

$$h^{0} = {}^{0} + {}^{+} ; c = {}^{1} 0 : (3.16)$$

The matrix c com es from

<sup>0</sup> a b = 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
  $1^4 = c \quad 1^4$  (3.17)

to insure that Higgs doublet  $h = (+; _0 + )^t$  has plus one hypercharge and  $\tilde{h} = i^2 h$  m inus one. V (x;y) in Eq.(2.35) is expressed in

$$V (\mathbf{x}; +) = (h^0 h^{0y} \quad {}^2) \quad 1^4 \quad 1^3;$$
  

$$V (\mathbf{x}; \ ) = (h^{0y} h^0 \quad {}^2) \quad 1^4 \quad 1^3:$$
(3.18)

It should be noticed that Y (x;y) in Eq.(2.36) can be estimated by use of Eq.(3.8) to be

$$Y (x; ) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X \\ a_{i}^{y}(x; )M ( )M ( )a_{i}(x; ) \\ = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} {}^{i}X \\ {}^{2}X \\ {}^{i}X \\ {}^{i}(x; )a_{i}(x; ) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} {}^{2}1^{24}; \\ {}^{i}X \end{array}} (3.19)$$

where use has bendequationn m ade of Eq.(2.16).

Putting above equations into Eq.(2.39) and rescaling gauge and Higgs elds we can obtain Y ang-M ills-Higgs lagrangian for the standard m odel as follows:

$$L_{YMH} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{X^{3}}{k_{e1}} F^{k} \frac{2}{4} \frac{1}{4} B^{2} + \frac{1}{2} h^{2} h^{$$

where

$$F^{k} = Q A^{k} Q A^{k} + g^{klm} A^{l} A^{m};$$
 (3.21)

$$B = 0 B \quad 0 B ;$$
 (3.22)

$$D h = [0 \frac{i}{2} ( k^{k} g A_{L}^{k} + g^{0} g^{0} B)]h; h = f^{+} ; (3.23)$$

$$G^{a} = 0 G^{a} 0 G^{a} + g_{c} f^{abc} G^{b} G^{c};$$
 (3.24)

w ith

$$g^2 = \frac{g_+^2}{12};$$
 (3.25)

$$g^{0^2} = \frac{2g_+^2 g^2}{3g^2 \operatorname{Tra}^2 + 3g_+^2 \operatorname{Trb}^2} = \frac{g_+^2 g^2}{16g_+^2 + 4g^2}; \qquad (3.26)$$

$$=\frac{g_{+}^{2}g^{2}}{24(g_{+}^{2}+g^{2})};$$
(3.27)

$$g_c^2 = g_s^2 \frac{g_+^2 g^2}{6(g_+^2 + g^2)}$$
: (3.28)

Equation (3.26) yields the W einberg angle with the parameter = g = g to be

$$\sin^2 w = \frac{3}{4(2+1)}$$
 (3.29)

The gauge transform ation a ords the Higgs doublet h to take the form that

$$h = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} + v$$
(3.30)

which makes possible along with Eqs.(3.20) (3.23) to expect the gauge boson and H iggs particle masses.

$$m_{W}^{2} = \frac{1}{48}g_{+}^{2}v^{2};$$
 (3.31)

$$m_{Z}^{2} = \frac{1+2}{12(4^{2}+1)}g_{+}^{2}v^{2}; \qquad (3.32)$$

$$m_{\rm H}^{2} = \frac{1}{12(^{2}+1)}g_{+}^{2}v^{2}:$$
(3.33)

In the lim it of equal coupling constant  $g_+ = g$ ,  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{02}$  is followed and, therefore,  $\sin^2_{W} = 3=8$  which is the same value expected by the SU (5) and SO (10) GUTs. This fact makes possible to conclude that the lim it of  $g_+ = g$  yields the relations that hold at the energy derived from  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{02}$  with the running SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1)<sub>Y</sub> gauge coupling constants. However, it should be noted that the color gauge coupling constant  $g_c$  includes an additional parameter  $g_s$  as in Eq.(3.28), and so  $g_c$  does not necessarily coincide with the SU (2)<sub>L</sub> gauge coupling constant g. Thus, contrary to SU (5) GUT without supersymmetry, the grand unication of coupling constants is not realized in the present scheme. In the next section, in order to predict the physical Higgs mass, we will perform the RG analysis with the initial condition induced by  $m_H = 2m_W$  at the energy derived from  $g^2$  (t) = (5=3)g^{02} (t) with the running coupling constants.

# 4 Num erical estim ation of the Higgs m ass

In order to perform the RG analysis, the renorm alizable Lagrangian is necessary. We have the Yang-M ills-Higgs Lagrangian of the standard model expressed in Eq.(3.20). However, it has the special restriction on the gauge couplings and the Higgs quartic coupling which yield the mass relation for the Higgs and gauge bosons. In a sense, the restriction on coupling constants is an obstacle for renorm alizability. Thus, we consider that the NCG approach provides the Lagrangian at some renorm alization point. The more general Lagrangian is obtained by adding the term for the Higgs potential considered by Sitarz. He de ned the new metric g with and running over 0;1;2;3;4 by g = diag(+; ; ; ; ). The fth index represents the discrete space  $Z_2$ . Then, dx = (dx<sup>0</sup>; dx<sup>1</sup>; dx<sup>2</sup>; dx<sup>3</sup>; ) is followed. The generalized eld strength F (x; y) in Eq.(2.22) is written by F (x; y) = F (x; y) dx ^ dx where F (x; y) is denoted in Eq.(2.23). Then, it is easily derived that Trfg F (x; y) g is gauge invariant. Thus, the term

$$fTrfg F (x;y)g^{2} = fTrV (x;y)g^{y}fTrV (x;y)g \qquad (4.1)$$

can be added to Eq.(3.20). This procedure gives rise to the Lagrangian without any restriction on Higgs quartic coupling constants. In this article, we assume that this Sitarz term vanishes at a point that Eqs.(3.26)-(3.28) hold and perform the renormalization group analysis by adopting the mass relation  $m_H = 2m_W$  as an initial condition which holds at a renormalization point giving  $g_+ = g$  in Eq.(3.26). In this context, the way to obtain the BRST invariant Lagrangian of spontaneously broken gauge theory in NCG has already presented by Ref.[14] and [15], which includes the FadeefP opov ghosts and N akanishi-Lautrup eld for the gauge xing. Thus, we can obtain the functions for various coupling constants in the standard m odel just as already done.[16]

Shinohara, N ishida, Tanaka and Sogam i [12] have estim ated the H iggs boson m ass by changing the renormalization point  $_0$  at which the m ass relation m  $_H = 2m_{top}$  holds and obtained the result that m  $_H$  approaches to m  $_{top}$  from the upper side for large values of  $_0$ . Following Shinohara and co-workers, the RG equations for coupling constants and the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs eld are used to estim ate the physical Higgs boson m ass. In our case, the renormalization point at which the m ass

relation  $m_{\rm H} = \frac{p_{\rm Z}}{2m_{\rm W}}$  holds is determined by looking for the point giving the relation  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{\rm P}$  which results in  $\sin^2_{\rm W} = 3=8$ .

Let us start by writing the RG equations for coupling constants in the standard model. W ith notations

$$g_3 = g_c;$$
  $g_2 = g;$   $g_1 = \frac{r}{\frac{5}{3}}g^0$  (4.2)

for SU  $(3)_c$ , SU  $(2)_L$  and U  $(1)_Y$  gauge coupling constants, respectively, the RG equations are written as

$$\frac{Q_{i}}{Q} = i; \qquad i = \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{4} \qquad (i = 1; 2; 3); \qquad (4.3)$$

where [16]

$$a_{1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}n_{f} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{1};$$
 (4.4)

$$_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{22}{3} \frac{3}{4} n_{f} \frac{1}{6} \frac{2}{2}; \qquad (4.5)$$

$$_{3} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{33}{3} + \frac{4}{3}n_{f} + \frac{2}{3}$$
 (4.6)

with the generation number  $n_f$ . The RG equation of the quartic Higgs coupling constant is expressed as

$$\frac{Q}{Q} = H; \qquad H = \frac{1}{4}; \qquad (4.7)$$

where [16]

$$H = \frac{6}{4} \frac{2}{4} \frac{9}{20} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{9}{4} + \frac{27}{800} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{9}{80} + \frac{9}{12} + \frac{9}{32} \frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} (A_{e}^{y}A_{e}) + 3(A_{u}^{y}A_{u}) + 3(A_{d}^{y}A_{d}) + \frac{1}{32^{3}} (A_{e}^{y}A_{e})^{2} + 3(A_{u}^{y}A_{u})^{2} + 3(A_{d}^{y}A_{d})^{2} (4.8)$$

 $A_e, A_u$  and  $A_d$  in Eq.(4.8) are the Yukawa coupling constants written in 3 3 m atrices for the lepton, up quark and down quark sectors, respectively. In view of the large top quark m ass in the low energy region, we now assume the top quark dom inance in the evaluation of traces with respect to the Yukawa coupling constants in Eq.(4.8). In this approximation, H is rewritten [16] as

$$H = \frac{6}{2} \frac{2}{H} = \frac{9}{20} H \frac{9}{H} \frac{9}{4} H \frac{2}{2} + \frac{27}{800} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{9}{80} \frac{1}{12} + \frac{9}{32} \frac{2}{2} + 3\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} H \frac{3}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{12} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$

with the de nition that

$$_{\rm Y} = \frac{j(A_{\rm u})_{33} j^2}{4} :$$
 (4.10)

 $_{\rm Y}$  is subject to the following RG equation.

 $\frac{\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ y \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}} = \begin{array}{c} y \end{array}; \tag{4.11}$ 

where the function is given as

$$_{Y} = \frac{Y}{4} \quad 9_{Y} \quad 16_{3} \quad \frac{9}{2}_{2} \quad \frac{17}{10}_{1} \quad :$$
 (4.12)

The RG equations for  $_i$  (i = 1;2;3) in Eq.(4.3) are analytically solved for  $n_f = 3$  and the solutions are given as

$${}_{1}(t) = \frac{{}_{1}(0)}{1} \frac{41}{20} t; \qquad (4.13)$$

$$_{2}(t) = \frac{_{2}(0)}{1 + _{2}(0)\frac{19}{12}t};$$
(4.14)

$$_{3}(t) = \frac{_{3}(0)}{1 + _{3}(0)\frac{7}{2}t}; \qquad (4.15)$$

where  $t = \log(t = 0^{\circ})$ . By use of these solutions, the RG equation for y can be solved to be 2

$$Y_{Y}(t) = F(t) \begin{cases} \frac{Y(0)}{4} & \frac{Y(0)}{2t} \\ 1 & Y(0) \\ \frac{9}{4} & F(t^{0}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{cases} \begin{cases} 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{cases};$$
(4.16)

where the function F (t) is de ned [18] as

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{1} \frac{(t)}{1} \frac{17=82}{2} \frac{2}{2} \frac{(t)}{2} \frac{27=38}{3} \frac{3}{3} \frac{(t)}{3} \frac{8=7}{3}$$
(4.17)

with the condition F(0) = 1.

M asses of all particles in the standard m odel are introduced by the vacuum expectation value v of the Higgs eld. From Eqs. (3.26)-(3.28) and (3.31)-(3.33),

$$m_{W}^{2} = \frac{1}{4} v^{2}; m_{Z}^{2} = \frac{1}{4} v^{2} + \frac{3}{5} v^{2} m_{H}^{2} = 8 u^{2} v^{2}$$
 (4.18)

are given by use of the vacuum expectation value v. Top quark mass is also expressed with v as

$$m_{top} = (A_u)_{33} \frac{v}{p_2} = \frac{p}{2_y} v:$$
 (4.19)

In order to analyze the RG evolution of these masses, we need the RG evolution of v. It is given [16] in the following equation.

$$\frac{9}{2} \frac{v}{4} = \frac{v}{4} - 3 \frac{v}{4} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{9}{20} \frac{9}{1}$$
 (4.20)

with the same approximation as in the equations for coupling constants. It should be noted that all variables in Eqs.(4.18)-(4.20) depend on  $t = \log(1 = 0^{\circ})$ .

Eq.(4.20) is analytically solved to result in

$$v(t) = v(0) - \frac{y(t)}{y(0)} - \frac{1}{1}(0) - \frac{1}{2}(0) - \frac{2}{2}(0) - \frac{3}{3}(0) = \frac{8}{2}(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1)$$

with  $t = \log(1 = 0)$ . In these RG equations, we take the renormalization point  $_0$  to be the neutral gauge boson mass  $m_Z = 91:187 \text{GeV}$  [17]. Thus, we must determ ine the gauge coupling constants in Eqs.(4.13)-(4.15) and v(0) in Eq.(4.21) at  $= m_Z$ . They takes the values [17]

$$_{1}(0) = 0.017; _{2}(0) = 0.034; _{3}(0) = 0.12$$
 (4.22)

and

$$v(0) = \frac{s}{p\frac{1}{2G_F}} = 246G \text{ eV}$$
: (4.23)

A coording to Eq.(4.19), the physical top quark m ass m  $_{\rm top}$  satis es the equation

$$m_{top} = \frac{4}{2} \frac{1}{y_{top}} v(t_{top}); \qquad (4.24)$$

where  $t_{top} = \log (m_{top} = m_z)$ . This equation along with Eqs.(4.16), (4.17) and (4.22) xes the num erical value of  $_{\rm Y}$  (0) which depends on the physical mass m  $_{\rm top}$  .

Let us consider to solve the RG equation in Eq.(4.7) by adopting the mass relation  $m_{\rm H} = \frac{p_{-}}{2m_{\rm W}}$  as the initial condition. From Eqs.(3.26), (3.31) and (3.33), this mass relation leads to the relation  $g_1 = g_2$ in Eq.(4.2). Then, according to Eqs.(4.13) and (4.14) together with Eq.(4.22), we can determ ine the renorm alization point  $t_0$  at which  $m_H = 2m_W$  holds. The num erical value of  $t_0$  is

$$t_0 = 25:431:$$
 (4.25)

From Eq.(4.18), the initial condition of Eq.(4.7) is determined as

$$_{\rm H} (t_0) = \frac{1}{16} _2 (t_0): \qquad (4.26)$$

W ith these considerations, we can d the running Higgs boson m ass from Eq.(4.18) as

$$m_{\rm H}$$
 (t) =  $p_{\rm H}$  (t) v (t): (4.27)

The physical H iggs boson m ass  $m_{H}$  is given by in posing the condition that

with  $t_H = \log (m_H = m_Z)$ .

Top quark m ass m top reported by particle data group [17] is given as

$$m_{top} = 180 \quad 12G \text{ eV}$$
: (4.29)

We investigate the Higgs boson m ass by varying the top quark m ass in the range of Eq.(4.29). Fig.1 shows the running Higgs boson m ass for the top quark m ass 180G eV. The intersection of two functions  $y = m_{_{\rm H}}$  (t) and  $y = m_{_{\rm Z}} \exp$  (t) indicates the position of the physical Higgs boson m ass  $m_{_{\rm H}}$ , which gives  $m_{_{\rm H}} = 171:92$  GeV. Fig.2 shows the relation of the Higgs boson and top quark m asses in which the top quark m ass varies from 168G eV to 192G eV according to the experimental data in Eq.(4.29). Corresponding to the variation of top quark m ass, the Higgs boson m ass varies from 153.42G eV to 191.94G eV.



190 180 180 170 160 160 160 160 160 100 190 100

Fig.1: t = log( $=m_z$ ) and a curve of y =  $m_{\mu}$  (t) shows the running Higgs boson mass num erically calculated according to Eq.(4.27). An intersection of two curves indicates the position of the physical Higgs boson mass from which we can read  $m_{\mu} = 171.92 \text{ GeV}$ .

Fig2: This gure shows the relationship between the Higgs boson and top quark masses. Corresponding to the variation of top quark mass, the Higgs boson mass varies from 153.42G eV to 191.94G eV.

# 5 Concluding rem arks

The RG analysis has been carried out for the H iggs boson m ass by adopting the initial condition induced by  $m_{\rm H} = 2m_{\rm W}$  which was presented in the new scheme of NCG [10] for the reconstruction of the standard m odel. This mass relation together with  $\sin^2_{\rm W} = 3=8$  holds under same condition that  $g_{\rm H} = g$  (see Eqs.(3.25) and (3.26) which yields  $g^2 = (5=3)g^{\rm CR}$  with the SU (2)<sub>L</sub> and U (1)<sub>Y</sub> gauge coupling constants. However, it should be noted that the color gauge coupling constant  $g_c$  includes an additional parameter  $g_s$  as in Eq.(3.28, and so  $g_c$  does not necessarily coincide with the SU (2)<sub>L</sub> gauge coupling constant g. Thus, contrary to SU (5) GUT without supersymmetry , the grand unication of coupling constants is not realized in the present scheme. In solving the RG equation we use these relations as initial conditions not inconsistent with the current observation of the grand unication of coupling constants.

The numerical mass of the Higgs boson considerably depends on the top quark mass since the top quark Yukawa coupling constants t gives a negative contribution to H in the range of 2.0 t 25 in Eq.(4.9). We have the initial condition induced by  $m_H = 2m_W$  at t = 25.431 and the calculation

has perform ed down to t = 2. The larger top quark m ass is, the larger H iggs boson m ass we have as in Fig2. If we adopt 180G eV as top quark m ass, the H iggs boson m ass becomes 171.92G eV which is smaller than that predicted by Shinohara and co-worker. If we use the data reported by D aw son [19],  $m_{\rm H} = 164.01$  GeV for  $m_{\rm top} = 175$  GeV. Generally speaking, the NCG approach provides the Y ang-M ills-H iggs Lagrangian with the restrictions on gauge and H iggs quartic coupling constants. Our m ass relation  $m_{\rm H} = 20$  m<sub>W</sub> is with respect to gauge and H iggs boson m asses, whereas other version of NCG [4], [11] including Sogam is approach [13] present the m ass relation between the H iggs boson and top quark. This is because the Yukawa coupling constants written in matrix form in the generation space are not contained in the generalized gauge eld in our form ulation. This aspect is a characteristic point of our approach com pared to others. This is due to the introduction of one-form basis d in M <sub>4</sub> and in Z<sub>2</sub> instead of and <sup>5</sup>. This leads to the meaningful H iggs potential even in one ferm ion generation and the m ass relation for the H iggs and gauge bosons. Therefore, we predict relatively sm all H iggs boson m ass as seen in this article.

Calculations have perform ed within one loop approximation and top quark dominance is assumed in the whole range of  $t = \log(=m_z)$  in the RG equations for functions. Though there are fairly uncertainties with respect to Yukawa coupling constants for three generations of fermions, it is very interesting to analyze the RG equations for the Higgs boson mass in two loop approximation. This will be attempted in future work.

### A cknow ledgem ent

The author would like to express their sincere thanks to J. IIzuka, H.Kase, K.Morita and M.Tanaka for useful suggestion and invaluable discussions on the non-commutative geometry.

### References

- [1] A. Connes, p.9 in The Interface of M athem atics and Particle Physics,
   ed. D. G. Quillen, G. B. Segal, and Tsou. S. T., C larendon Press, Oxford, 1990. See also, A lain Connes and J. Lott, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 188, 57 (1990).
- [2] References in J.M adore and J.M ourad, \N oncom m utative K aluza-K lein Theory ", hep-th/9601169.
- [3] A.H.Cham seddine, G.Felder and J.Frolich, Phys.Lett. B 296 (1992), 109; Nucl. Phys. B 395, 672 (1993); A.H.Cham seddine and J.Frolich, Phys.Rev.D 50, 2893 (1994).
- [4] D. Kastler, Rev. M ath. Phys. 5, 477 (1993); M. Dubois-Violette, Class. Quantum. G rav. 6, 1709 (1989); R. Coquereaux, G. Esposito-Farese, and G. Vaillant, Nucl. Phys. B 353, 689 (1991); M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kemer, and J. M adore, J. M ath. Phys. 31, 316 (1990); B. Balakrishna, F. Gursey and K.C. W ali, Phys. Lett. B 254, 430 (1991); Phys. Rev. D 46, 6498 (1992), R. Co-quereaux, G. Esposito-Farese and G. Vaillant, Nucl. Phys. B 353, 689 (1991), R. Coquereaux, G. Esposito-Farese and G. Vaillant, Nucl. Phys. B 353, 689 (1991), R. Coquereaux, G. Esposito-Farese and F. Scheck, Int. Journ. M od. Phys., A 7, 6555 (1992); R. Coquereaux, R. Haussling, N. Papadopoulos and F. Scheck, ibit. 7, 2809 (1992).
- [5] A.Sitarz, Phys.Lett., B 308, 311 (1993), Jour.Geom.Phys.15, 123 (1995).
- [6] Y.O kum ura, Prog. Theor. Phys., 91, 959 (1994).K.M orita and Y.O kum ura, Phys. Rev. D ,50, 1016 (1994).
- [7] Y.Okumura, Phys. Rev. D, 50 (1994), 1026.
- [8] Y.Okumura, Prog. Theor. Phys., 94, 589 (1995).
- [9] Y.Okum ura and M.Morita, Nuovo Cimento A, 109A, 311 (1996).
- [10] Y.Okum ura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95, 969 (1996).
- [11] E. A lvarez, JM. Gracia-Bondia and C.P. Martin, Phys. Lett., B 306, 55 (1993); ibit. B 329, 259 (1994).
- [12] T. Shinohara, K. Nishida, H. Tanaka and I.S. Sogami, \Renormalization G roup E ects on the M ass Relation P redicted by the Standard M odelwith G eneralized C ovariant D erivatives, hep-ph/9606278.

- [13] I.S. Sogam i, Prog. Theor. Phys. 94,117 (1995); ibit. 95 (1996).
- [14] C.Y. Lee, D.S. Hwang and Y. Ne'em an, \BRST Quantization of Gauge Theory in Noncommutative Geometry: Matrix Derivative Approach", hep-th/9512215.
- [15] Y.Okumura, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4114 (1996).
- [16] H.Arason, D.J.Castano, B.Kesthelyi, S.Mikaelian, E.J.Piard, P.Ram ond, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3945 (1992); M.E.Machacek and M.T.Vaughn, Phys. Lett. 103B, 427 (1981); Nucl. Phys. B 222, 83 (1983); ibit. B 136, 221 (1984); ibit. B 249, 70 (1985).
- [17] Particle Data Group, R M. Barnett et al, Phys. Rev. D, 54, 1 (1994).
- [18] G.Jungman, Phys. Rev. D 46, 4004 (1992).
- [19] S.Dawson, \DEF '96: The trium ph of the standard m odel", hep-ph/9609340.