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#### Abstract

The experim ental results on the falling dow $n$ of scaled factorialm om ents in azim uthal variable is studied in som e detail. It is show $n$ that this phenom enon $m$ ay be referred to the in uence of transverse $m$ om entum conservation. The existing experim ental data from DELPHI, EM U 08, NA 22 and UA 1 are successfully explained. Various methods are proposed to partly elim inate th is in uence and rule out the 'falling dow $n$ ' of factorialm om ents.
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Since the last decade the anom alous scaling of scaled factorial mom ents (SFM 's) or interm ittency 团] has been studied extensively 目] w ith the aim of exploring the possible existence ofdynam ical uctuation orm ultifractalstructure ofm ultiparticle spectrum in high enengy collision processes. T he corresponding experim ents have been perform ed in various kind ofcollisions | from $e^{+}-e$, hadron-hadron, hadron-nucleus to nucleus-nucleus. P lenty ofnew and interesting experim ental results have been put in front of us. Variouse orts 3, 4, 5] have been taken to understand the physics behind these experim ental ndings.

In th is respect, it $m$ erits attention that, in alm ost all the cases, the logarithm of SFM 's versus that of the cell width rises as the decreasing of (or as the increasing of partition num ber $M$ of phase space, where $M==$, is the total phase space region in consideration), when M is not very big. There is, how ever, a noticeable exception, i.e. the logarithm of SFM 'swith azim uthal angle as variable fall down as the increasing of $\ln M$ in the rst
 behind the scaling behaviour of SFM 's found in experim ents.

The aim of this paper is to study this phenom enon in some detail. W e will show that the falling dow $n$ of SFM's in azim uthal angle $m$ ay be referred to the in uence of m om entum conservation ( MmCn ) constraint in the collision processes. Variousm ethods will be im plem ented to partly elim inate this in uence and explore the inherent scaling property of SFM's.

A $s$ is well known [1], interm ittency refers to the power-law behaviour of the scaled factorial moments $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ as fiunction of a cell width of the phase space, in which the hadron multiplicity is $m$ easured. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1\right) \quad \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n} \mathrm{q}+1) \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{q}}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $M$ is the num ber of cells in horizontal average, $n_{m}$ is the $m$ ultiplicity in $m$ th -cell in a high energy collision event, and h i denotes vertically averaging over m any events.

H ow ever, it should be taken into account that the total mom enta of collision system $m$ ust keep invariant in the space-tim e evolution process of the high energy collisions. T his m om entum conservation constraint m ay create som e correlation betw een the m ultiplicity $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of distinct phase space cells. But what is the in uence of this correlation due to MmCn constraint upon the values of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ for di erent partition num ber M ? In order to attack the solution of this question $m$ ore directly, Let us at rst take the 1 -dim ensional (1D ) m om entum phase space as an exam ple.

Let $p$ denotes the total $m$ om entum district in consideration. The $m$ om entum $p_{i}$ of
 num ber of particle inside the region $p$.
$F$ irstly, it is clear that $M m C n$ has no in uence on the value of $F_{q}$ for $M=1$, because $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ for $\mathrm{M}=1$ depends only on the total number n of particles in the whole region p no $m$ atter how these particles are distributed, cf. Eq. (1]). M ore brie $y, F_{q}$ is 'blind' to the di erent distributions of $p$, therefore it takes the sam e value regardless of whether there is or isn't M m Cn.
$W$ hen $M=2$ the in uence of $M \mathrm{mCn}$ com es in force, dim inishing the value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$. This can be seen as the follow ing. W hen $M=2$ the total region $p$ is divided into two bins $w$ ith positive and negative $p$ respectively. Let $P_{+}$and $P$ be the probabilities for a particle to lie in these two bins respectively. If there were neither dynam ical uctuation DF (i.e. if the probability did not uctuate in itself) norm om entum conservation constraint, these tw o possibilities w ould be the sam e:

$$
P_{+}=P \quad \text { (in case of nether } D F \text { nor } M m C n \text { ). }
$$

In an individualevent, the statistical uctuation (SF) will make the multiplicity $n_{+}$and $n$ of particles $w$ ith positive and negative $p$ uctuate,

$$
\left.\mathrm{n}_{+} \notin \mathrm{n} \quad \text { (random ly due to } \mathrm{SF}\right) .
$$

A ssum ing SF to be of Bemouli or P oisson type, it can be elm inated by m eans of factorial m om ents m ethod [1] , resulting in a at SFM versus M, ie.

$$
F_{q}{ }^{(M=2)}=F_{q}{ }^{(M=1)} \quad \text { (in case of nether } D F \text { nor } M m C n \text { ). }
$$

If there is dynam ical uctuation, the $P_{+}$and $P$ them selveswillbe unequal in an individual event, resulting in much larger uctuations in $n_{+}$and $n$, so that $F_{q} w i \frac{11}{P}$ rise from $M=1$ to $M=2$. On the other hand, $T$ hemomentum conservation constraint ${ }_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=0$ tends to separate particles to the positive and negative $p$ regions, which reduces the di erence between $n_{+}$and $n$ caused by $D F$ and/or $S F$, $m$ aking $F_{q}$ sm aller than what would be expected w ithout the restriction of MmCn .

T hus it is predicted that $F_{q}$ should not rise so steeply as required by D $F$ or even $m$ ay fall dow $n$ from the rst point $(M=1)$ to the second point $(M=2)$ in contradiction to the at $S F M$ required by pure $S F$, because of the correlation e ect betw een $m$ ultiplicity $n_{+}$and n from MmCn .

In F ig 2a, b is show n the results of M onte C arlo sim ulation of a random cascading $m$ odel w th and w thout MmCn . In this m odel, the total region p is divided subsequently w th the subdividing ratio $=2$. The probability $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for a subdivision is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}=P \frac{1+r}{i=1}\left(1+r_{i}\right) \quad ; \quad i=1 ; 2 ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the param eter of uctuation strength, $0 \quad 1 ; r_{i}$ is a random num ber in the interval $[-1,1]$. A fter steps, the probability in $k$ th subdivided bin $\left(k=f i_{1} ; i_{2}::: ; i \operatorname{l}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}^{(1)} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}}^{(2)} \quad i_{\mathrm{i}}^{( } \mathrm{w}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The n particles are then assigned to the subdivided bins according to the Bemoulli distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{K}\right)=\frac{n!}{n_{1} m_{2}!{ }_{k}{ }^{n}} p(1)^{n_{1}} p(2)^{n_{2}} \quad p \text { 肤 } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $K=2$ is the totalnum ber ofbins. Taking random ly the $m$ om enta of the $n_{k}$ particles in the $k$ th bin ( $k=1 ; 2 ;::: ; K$ ), a $M$ onte C arlo sam ple of particle $m$ om enta is obtained.

In the calculation we have taken the $m$ odel param eter $=0: 5$, the cascading has been perform ed up to $=7$ th step.

In this model the dynam ical uctuation is taken into account up to the th step of random cascading, i.e. up to the bin width as sm all as $p=p=2 . W$ hen is large, $p$ is very sm all, the neglecting of further D F w ill cause trivial error in the nal results.
$>$ From the construction of $m$ odel the resulting SFM 's have the property of anom alous scaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M}) / \mathrm{M}^{\prime} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the $\log -\log p l o t$ of $\ln \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ versus $\ln M$ is a straight line pointing upw ard for the point of M = 2 , cf. F ig 2 a 。

In the above $m$ odel there is, of course, not any restriction from MmCn in the resulting


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and shift the $m$ om entum of every particle in the event by an am ount $p_{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{0}=p_{i} \quad p_{c}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the new $m$ om enta $p_{i}^{0}$ obey the conservation law, $P_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{0}=0$. This transform does e ect the distribution of $p, c f . F$ ig.3, but not very $m u c h$, and at the sam e tim e the fractal structure existing in the originalsam ple retains in the new one. U sing the cum ulative variable as usual 11], the distribution becom es at again and the SFM 's can be calculated.
$T$ he results are show $n$ in $F i g 2 \mathrm{~b}$. It can be seen in the gure that the rst point, corresponding to $M=1$ has the same height as that of $F$ ig $2 a$ while the second point, $M=2$, is lower than that of $F$ ig 2 a due to $M \mathrm{mCn}$, resulting in a falling dow $n$ of $\operatorname{lnF} \mathrm{m}_{2}$ from the rst to the second point, con $m$ ing the prediction given above. $W$ hen $M$ increases further, $\operatorname{lnF}_{2}$ increases again due to dynam ical uctuation.
$T$ hus we see that the falling down of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ at the rst few points is due to putting in force of the $\mathrm{MmCn} e$ ect. If we take the positive and negative p particles as particles of two "events", calculating SFM 's in these "events" separately and then take the vertical average over them, the falling dow $n$ phenom enon $w$ ill disappear. It is really the case in our $m$ odel, cf. F ig 2c.

On the other hand, if we make a cut and consider only the particles with jpj $\mathrm{p}^{\text {cut }}$, then the rem aining particles w ill no longerbe restricted so strongly by Mm Cn and the falling dow $n$ phenom enon $m$ ay graduately disappear. In $F$ ig $2 d$ is show $n$ the result of our $m$ odel w ith $\mathrm{p}^{\text {cut }}=0: 8$, a straight line is recovered.

Let us now tum to the $m$ ore realistic case of 3 -dim ensional (3D ) phase space. It is noticeable that the $m$ om entum conservation constraint of sam ple is very di erent in transverse and longitudinalphase space. G enerally, not all nal fragm ents of high energy collisions are

[^0]considered in the interm ittency experim ents. In particular, the leading particles, which take aw ay in the average 50\% of longitudinalm om enta, are neglected. Therefore, the restriction of longitudinalm om entum conservation on the particles analysed is quite weak. In fact, the experim ental data of SFM 's in longitudinal phase space (e.g. rapidity y or pseudorapidity ) do not fall down in the plot of $\ln \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \ln \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{y})$, cf. Ref. $\left.\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right]$.

On the other hand, the constraint e ect of MmCn in transverse plan is a little di erent betw een the sam ples from the di erent experim ents. It depends on the distinct experim ental conditions, i.e. the analysed phase space district cover both the central and fragm ental regions, or only the central region, or just part of the central region.

There is usually no cut in transverse $m$ om entum in the xed target experim ents and the $e^{+} e$ collisions, and the transverse $m$ om enta of leading particles are alm ost zero. So if the produced particles in both central and fragm ental region are considered (e.g. DELPHI collaboration 8$]$ ), the transverse $m$ om entum conservation $m$ ust be satis ed very well.

In som e interm ittency experim ents, only nal state hadrons of central region are analysed. For exam ple, the NA 22 collaboration put a cut of rapidity yj 2 in longitudinal direction 6] although there is no cut in the transverse directions. So the transverse $m$ om enta of nalhardons in fragm entalregion have been neglected. H ow ever, since m any experim ental nding had show $n$ that the nalparticles in centraland fragm entalregionsm ay com e respectively from several relatively independent sources (or re balls) [12], the transverse M m Cn condition is also kept approxim ately for the particles of central region alone.

In UA1 experim ental data [0], only a part of the particles in the central region are analysed. A pseudorapidity cut of $j j 1: 5$ is taken in addition to the beam pipe cut of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}>0: 15 \mathrm{GeV}$ in transverse direction. So the in uence of transverse MmCn in UA1 experim entaldata is not so strong, which willm anifest itself by the w eaker falling degree of SFM's in the plot of $\ln F_{q} \quad \ln M()$.

In order to reveal the in uence of transverse MmCn upon the anom alous scaling behaviour of SFM's in 3D phase space, we generalize the above 1D cascading $m$ odel with MmCn into 3D phase space. Constructing a 3D random cascading model in the space of $0 \quad \mathrm{y} 1 ; 0 \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}} 1 ; 0 \quad 2$, the results of M onte C arlo simulation are show n in the rst colum $n$ of $F$ ig.5. The 3D ( $y ; p_{t}$; ) curve is a straight line as required by the cascading m odel, and the 1-, 2 -dim ensionalSFM 's get saturated, which is referred to the well know $n$ projection e ect 13.

N ow let us im pose the transverse MmCn condition on the random cascading m odel. A fter all the vector $m$ om entum $p_{i}$ of the produced particles are determ ined in a sim ulated event, we shift the vector transverse $m$ om entum $\rho_{t_{i}}$ of every particle by an am ount $\rho_{t_{c}}=$ $\frac{1}{n}{ }^{n}{ }_{i=1}^{n} P_{t_{i}}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}_{t_{i}}^{0}=\rho_{t_{i}} \frac{1}{n}_{j=1}^{X^{n}} p_{t_{j}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the transverse M m C n condition

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \tilde{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{0}=0
$$

is satis ed.
$T$ he distribution function of rapidity $y$ is, of course, not in uenced by this transform (not shown in gure). The e ect of the translation upon the distribution of $p_{t}$ and are show $n$ in Fig.4. It can be seen from the gure that the distribution is alm ost unin uenced by the transform due to the axialsym $m$ etry. So the cum ulative variable has to be used only for $p_{t}$.
$T$ he results of calculation $w$ ith $M m C n$ put in in this way are show $n$ in the second colum $n$ off ig.5. It can be seen clearly that the falling dow $n$ e ect does take place for variable. It is also interesting to notioe that the $m$ inim um of $S F M$ in the $\ln F_{2}$ versus $\ln M$ plot occurs at $M()=4$, contradicting the $1 D M C$ results $w$ ith $m$ in im um location at $M()=2$. This is as expected, because $M()=4 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the positive and negative transverse m om enta in both directions (denoted by $p_{t y}$ and $p_{t z}$ respectively) are divided into di erent bins, while in the case of sm aller M ( ) , M ( ) = 2 for exam ple, the positive and negative transverse m om entum in only one direction (say $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ty}}$ ) are separated. Therefore, in the case ofM ()$=2$, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is 'blind' to the di erent distributions in $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{tz}}$ and the ect of reduction ofD F by transverse MmCn is weaker correspondingly. T his is why $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M}=1)>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M}=2)>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{M}=4)$.

If we consider the four quadrants as four di erent "events" and then take the vertical average, the falling dow $n$ phenom enon $w$ ill disappear, as can be seen in the result of $m$ odel calculation show $n$ in the third colum $n$ of $F$ ig.5.

If we im pose a cut on $p_{t}$ to weaken the in uence of $M \mathrm{mCn}$, and consider only particles $w$ ith $p_{t}<p_{t}^{\text {cut }}$, then the falling down e ect gets weakened too and disappear at about $p_{t}^{\text {cut }}=0: 7, \mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{F}$ ig.6.
$T$ huswe have successfilly explained the experim ental nding on the falling dow $n$ of the rst few points in the $\ln \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}($ ) versus $\ln \mathrm{M}$ ( ) plot, $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{Fig}$.1. T he transverse m om entum conservation is satis ed in case that all the produced particles in both centraland fragm ental regions [ , 8] are considered, or approxim ately satis ed if only those in central region are considered. A ccording to the above argum ent the $m$ inim $u m$ of $\ln F_{q}$ versus $\ln M$ () should occur at about $M()=4$. It does occurs at about $M()=5$ in the experim ental data in $F$ ig.la,b. On the contrary, in the pp collider experim ents of UA 1 collaboration, only a part of the produced particles in central region are analysed, and there is a transverse $\dot{p}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{j}>0: 15 \mathrm{GeV}$ cut due to the existence of beam pipe. So the e ect of transverse Mmm is m uch w eaker, cf. Fig.1c and Fig.6c.

A lthough there is a di erence betw een these tw o cases, i.e. in our m odel of F ig. 6 c we have im posed a cut at the upper side of $p_{t}$, while in UA 1 experim ent ( $F$ ig.1c), the cut is im posed at the low er side, the physics is the sam e, both are the relaxation of the restriction of transverse M m Cn due to the particles carrying only a part of transverse $m$ om enta. It is noticeable that, besides the w eakening of the falling dow $n$ of SFM, this e ect also $m$ akes its $m$ inim um $m$ ove to a sm aller value of $M$, locating at $M<4$. $T$ his is the case both for the M onte C arlo m odel and for the experim ental data, Cf . F ig. 1 c and F ig.6c.

W e conclude that in order to explore the physics behind the experim entalphenom ena on the scaling behaviour of scaled factorialm om ents (interm iltency phenom ena), the in uence of transverse $m$ om entum conservation $m$ ust be taken into account. From the constraint of
transverse $m$ om entum conservation, the falling-down of SFM w ith azim uthal angle variable in DELPH I, EM U 08, NA 22 and UA 1 can be explained successfully.
$U$ sing the $m$ ethod of "quadrant analysis", i.e. taking the particles in di erent quadrant as di erent "event", or im posing a $p_{t}$ cut, this in uence can be partly elim inated, especially, the falling dow $n$ of the rst few points can be ruled out. So these $m$ ethods are helpfil to reveal the inherent scaling behaviours of a fractal system.
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## Figure C aptions

Fig.1 Scaled factorialm om ents with azim uthalangle as variable, show ing the 'falling dow n' phenom enon. D ata taken from Ref's. [6, [7, 回].

Fig. 2 The scaling behaviours of SFM's in one-dim ensional random cascading $m$ odel:
(a), original cascading m odel w ithout M m C n constraint;
(b), the cascading $m$ odel w ith M m C n considered;
(c), the result of 'quadrant analysis' to the cascading m odelw ith M m Cn;
(d), the result of the cascading $m$ odelw ith $M \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Cn}$ after a cut of $\mathrm{pj} \quad 0: 8$ is im posed.

F ig. 3 D istribution of p in 1D cascading m odel w th and w thout MmCn .
Fig. 4 T he in uence of shift of transverse $m$ om enta upon the distribution function of $p_{t}$ and in 3D ( $y ; p_{t}$; ) phase space. The solid curve denote the distribution function after Mm Cn is inputted, and the dashed ones denote that without M mCn .

Fig. 5 The scaling behaviours of SFM's and their low er dim ensional projection in 3D cascading $m$ odel, where the rst row is results of 3D ( $y p_{t}$ ) phase space, the second and third row s are that of 2D (y ) and 1D ( ) projections respectively
(a), the original cascading $m$ odelw thout MmCn (the rst colum $n$ );
(b), the cascading $m$ odelw ith MmCn (the second colum $n$ );
(c), the result of 'quadrant analysis' for the cascading $m$ odelw ith M m C n (the third colum $n$ ).

Fig. 6 The results of the 3D cascading $m$ odelw th $M m C n$ after a cut of $\dot{p} j p_{t}^{\text {cut }}$ is im posed, (a)-(d) correspond to di erent $p_{t}^{\text {cut }}$ values respectively.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} T$ he 'chain $e$ ect' $m$ ay appear in the plot of $\ln F_{q}$ versus $\ln M$ if the continuously dim inishing scale is taken in this kind of random cascading $m$ odel 10].

