

Quark Confinement due to Random Interactions¹

K. Langfeld

Service de Physique Théorique, C.E. Saclay,
F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France.

and

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen²
D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.

Abstract

On the level of an effective quark theory, we define confinement by the absence of quark anti-quark thresholds in correlation functions. We then propose a confining Nambu-Jona-Lasinio-type model. Its four fermion interaction in the color channel is mediated by a random color matrix. We study the model's phase structure as well as its behavior under extreme conditions, i.e. high temperature and/or high density. In order to study the interplay of the proposed mechanism of confinement and asymptotic freedom, we consider an extended Gross-Neveu model. Quark anti-quark thresholds are proven to be absent in the scalar correlation function implying that the decay of the scalar meson into free quarks is avoided. The transition from the low energy to the high energy perturbative regime is found to be smooth. Perturbation theory provides a good description of Green's functions at high energies, although there is no quark liberation.

1. Introduction

Since the invention of QCD as the theory of strong interactions in the early seventies, we observe a steady increase in knowledge of its low energy properties. Instantons trigger the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry [1] and give rise to the η - η' mass splitting [2]. Quark confinement is observed in lattice QCD, since Wilson's area

¹Supported by DFG under contracts La 932/1-2.

²present address; email: langfeld@ptsun1.tphys.physik.uni-tuebingen.de

law is satisfied [3]. Even a qualitative understanding of quark confinement is available from the dual super-conductor picture [4]. In the so-called Abelian gauges Yang-Mills theory possesses monopoles. If these monopoles condense, a dual Meissner effect takes place expelling electric flux from the vacuum. A flux tube is formed between static color sources giving rise to a linearly rising confinement potential. A recent success [5] of Seilberg and Witten supports these ideas. They showed that the monopoles of $N = 2$ (non-confining) super-symmetric Yang-Mills theory start to condense, if the theory is explicitly broken down to $N = 1$ (confining) SUSY.

Despite this success in understanding low energy QCD, it is still not possible to describe hadron properties from first principles. One must resort to non-linear meson theories or effective quark models, which are inspired by the QCD symmetry behavior. The model of Nambu-Jona-Lasinio [6] (NJL) is one of the most economical models that possess the essence of dynamical symmetry breaking that is the hall-mark of modern field theories and has enjoyed an impressive phenomenological success in hadron physics [7]. Unfortunately, the NJL-model does not include quark-confinement. This implies that, in particular, a meson can decay into a free quark anti-quark pair, if the decay is allowed by kinematics. This un-physical decay severely limits the predictive power of the NJL-model [8].

Here, I will report results [9, 10] which Mannque Rho and I have obtained from an extended NJL-model which incorporates confinement. Quark confinement will be thereby defined by the absence of quark thresholds in correlation functions. The model's phase structure will be studied as well as its behavior under extreme conditions, i.e. high temperature and/or density. Finally, I will investigate the model in two dimensions, which then is an extended version of the Gross-Neveu model. This model is renormalizable and asymptotically free. It explains why perturbation theory is good at high energies, although there are no free quarks.

2. Random interactions and quark confinement

If electrons are scattered off by randomly distributed impurities, the electron wave function gets localized [11]. One might question whether a similar effect leads to a confinement of quarks. Is there a random interaction which stems from the gluonic interaction? The answer to this question is not known. There is, however, a first hint from a reformulation of QCD in terms of field strength [12]. In the strong coupling limit, a quark current-current interaction occurs which is mediated by the field strength of the gluonic background field [12, 13]. Since all orientations of the background field contribute with equal parts, an average over all orientations is understood. This gives rise to a random interaction in configuration space³.

We do not want to derive a low energy effective quark theory from first principles, but

³The kind of random interaction is, however, conceptually different from that in solid state physics.

we will use the field strength approach to Yang-Mills theory to motivate an extended version of the NJL-model. We write the generating functional for mesonic Green's functions in Euclidean space as

$$W[\phi] = \left\langle \ln \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} \exp \left\{ - \int d^4x [\mathcal{L} - \bar{q}(x)\phi(x)q(x)] \right\} \right\rangle_O, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{q}(x)(i\cancel{D} + im)q(x) + \frac{G_0}{2}[\bar{q}q(x)\bar{q}q(x) - \bar{q}\gamma_5 q(x)\bar{q}\gamma_5 q(x)] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[\bar{q}\tau^\alpha q(x)G^{\alpha\beta}\bar{q}\tau^\beta q(x) - \bar{q}\gamma_5\tau^\alpha q(x)G^{\alpha\beta}\bar{q}\gamma_5\tau^\beta q(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where m is the current quark mass. We assume that the quark interaction is given by a color-singlet four-fermion interaction of strength G_0 and a color-triplet interaction mediated by a positive definite matrix $G^{\alpha\beta}$, which represents gluonic background fields. An average of all orientations O of the background field $G^{\alpha\beta}$, transforming as $G' = O^T G O$ with O being a 3×3 orthogonal matrix, is understood in (1) to restore global $SU(2)$ color symmetry.

The model (1) should be regarded as an effective low energy quark theory which is truncated at the level of energy dimension six operators and which is therefore valid up to a momentum cutoff Λ .

In order to handle the random interaction in (1), we calculate the Green's function of interest for a fixed background interaction $G^{\alpha\beta}$ and average the result over all orientations O . For this purpose, the quark propagator $S(k)$ for fixed strength $G^{\alpha\beta}$ is necessary. Standard methods [6] yield

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{\cancel{k} + i(M_0 + iM^{\alpha\tau\alpha})}, \quad (3)$$

where the constituent quark mass in the color triplet channel, i.e. iM^a , is reminiscent of the background field $G^{\alpha\beta}$. The particular value for M_0 and M^a is obtained as function of the interaction strengths from the gap-equations [9, 10]. It turns out that there is always a solution with $M^a = 0$. In this case, the model is reduced to the NJL-model (NJL-phase). The most striking feature is that there are in addition solutions where the mass in the color triplet channel is purely imaginary (i.e. M^a real). This phase has lower action density and therefore constitutes the vacuum.

Before we will discuss the phase structure of the model, we briefly investigate the physical consequence of the complex mass. For this purpose, we study the scalar correlation function in Euclidean space, i.e.

$$\Delta_s(p) = \int d^4x e^{-ipx} \langle \bar{q}q(x)\bar{q}q(0) \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Let us first consider the NJL-phase ($M^2 := M^a M^a = 0$). The scalar correlation function exhibits a pole at $p^2 = -4M_0$, which signals the existence of a scalar meson.




Figure 1: The scalar correlation function as function of the Euclidean momentum transfer for the extended NJL-model (left) and the extended Gross-Neveu model (right).

For $p^2 < -4M_0^2$ the correlator (4) develops an imaginary part, which describes the decay of the scalar meson into free quarks. It is this unphysical threshold which constrains the applicability of the standard NJL-model.

The situation is completely different in the phase with $M^2 \neq 0$. The scalar correlator $\Delta_s(p)$ is shown in figure 1. No pole occurs. The scalar channel is closed. This result does not contradict experiments since no clear signal of a scalar meson is observed in the spectrum. The most important observation is, however, that the imaginary parts cancel. No unphysical thresholds occur [9, 10]. In the following, we will refer to this phase as confining phase. The order parameter which distinguishes this phase from the NJL-phase is M^2 . It turned out that the model is driven towards the NJL-phase, if the current mass is increased at fix interaction strengths. Figure 1 shows that the peak structure becomes more sharp in this case. In fact, the peak turns into a pole and an imaginary part develops, if the cross-over to the NJL-phase is reached.

Finally, I summarize the results [9, 10] at finite temperature and finite density, respectively. Let us choose the parameters that the confining phase is realized at zero temperature. Increasing the temperature (density) decreases the constituent mass in the color singlet channel M_0 and enhances M^2 . At a critical temperature (density),

the confinement order parameter M^2 suddenly drops to zero and a deconfinement phase transition takes place. This phase transition is accompanied by a restoration of chiral symmetry (for a wide parameter range). One observes that the critical temperature (density) is nearly insensitive to a change in the current mass. This implies that the critical temperature (density) in this model is almost the same for all quark flavors.

3. Why is perturbation theory good, although there are no free quarks?

In order to address this question, we implement the above confinement mechanism in an extended version of the Gross-Neveu model, which is essentially the model (1) in two space-time dimensions. To be specific, the Euclidean generating functional is

$$Z[j] = \left\langle \ln \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} \exp \left\{ - \int d^2x [L - j(x) g \bar{q}(x) q(x)] \right\} \right\rangle_O, \quad (5)$$

$$L = \bar{q}(x) (i\cancel{\partial} + im) q(x) + \frac{g}{2N_f} (\bar{q}(x) q(x))^2 + \frac{1}{2N_f} \bar{q} \tau^a q(x) \bar{q} \tau^b q(x) G^{ab}, \quad (6)$$

where m is the current quark mass. The quarks fields $q(x)$ transform under a global $O(N_f)$ flavor and a global $SU(2)$ (color) symmetry. g and G^{ab} are now dimensionless coupling constants in the color singlet and the color triplet channel respectively. As in the previous case, an average over all orientations O of the background field G^{ab} is understood. The crucial point is that this model is renormalizable and, in addition, asymptotically free. It is therefore suitable to study the interplay of asymptotic freedom and the non-liberation of quarks due to confinement.

The model can be exactly solved in the large N_f limit (for details see [10]). Due to dimensional transmutation, the dimensionless parameter g is turned into a scale s_0 , and a second scale s_c occurs which characterizes the interaction strength in the color triplet channel. If we measure all physical quantities in units of the renormalization group invariant s_0 , the only parameter of the model is $\ln s_0^2/s_c^2$.

It turns out, that the phase structure of the model is quite similar to that of the 4-dimensional model (1). In particular, the quark propagator for a fixed background field has again the structure (3). If the interaction strength in the color triplet channels exceeds a critical value, i.e. $s_c > 2.71828 \dots s_0$, a confining phase with $M^2 \neq 0$ occurs. The scalar correlation function of the present model is also shown in figure 1. No pole and no imaginary parts occur. The new feature is that we are now able to compare the full (large N_f) result with that of perturbation theory. One observes a good agreement at large p^2 as expected from asymptotic freedom. In addition, the Landau pole present in the perturbative approach at low momentum transfer is screened by a dynamically generated mass. This effect is not a particular feature of the present model, but is inherent in the standard Gross-Neveu model, too [14]. Let us analyze the agreement between the large N_f result and the perturbative result by

expanding the full result in inverse powers of p^2 , i.e.

$$\Delta_s(p^2) = \frac{2\pi^2 N_f}{\ln(p^2/s_0^2)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_0^2}{p^2}, \frac{M^2}{p^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

The first term of the right hand side of (7) is precisely the result expected from perturbation theory, which is based on the existence of free quarks. The confinement order parameter M^2 only contributes in sub-leading order, i.e. to the operator product corrections. The present model provides an example that asymptotic freedom is perfectly compatible with the non-liberation of quarks. It explains why perturbation theory yields good results in the high energy regime, although the perturbative approach is based on free quark states.

Acknowledgments:

I am pleased to acknowledge my collaborator Mannque Rho. It is a pleasure to thank Hugo Reinhardt for many inspiring discussions as well as for support.

References

- [1] C. G. Callan, R. Dashen, D. J. Gross, Phys. Rev. **D17** (1978) 2717, **D19** (1978) 1826; E. V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. **B203** (1982) 93, **B302** (1988) 259.
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **156** (1979) 269.
- [3] J. Engels, J. Jersak, K. Kanaya, E. Laermann, C. B. Lang, T. Neuhaus, H. Satz, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987) 577. A. D. Giacomo, M. Maggiore, S. Olejnik, Nucl. Phys. **B347** (1990) 441.
- [4] M. Baker, J. S. Ball, Phys. Rep. **209** (1991) 73; T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80** (1989) 929, **81** (1989) 752; J. Hosek, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3645; H. Suganuma, S. Sasaki, H. Toki, Nucl. Phys. **B435** (1995) 207.
- [5] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 19; Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994) 484.
- [6] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **124** (1961) 246,255.
- [7] D. Ebert, H. Reinhardt, Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 188, U. Vogel and W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **27** (1991) 195; D. Ebert, H. Reinhardt and M. K. Volkov, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **33** (1994) 1; S. P. Klevansky, Review of Modern Physics **64** (1992) 649.

- [8] M. Jaminon, R. M. Galain, G. Ripka and P. Stassart, Nucl. Phys. **A537** (1992) 418; H. Weigel, R. Alkofer and H. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 5958.
- [9] K. Langfeld, M. Rho, Nucl. Phys. **A596** (1996) 451.
- [10] K. Langfeld, Nucl. Phys. **A602** (1996) 375.
- [11] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109** (1958) 1492; D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rep. **67** (1980) 5; E. Abrahams, Phys. Rep. **67** (1980) 9.
- [12] M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1798, 3515; M. Schaden, H. Reinhardt, P. A. Amundsen, M. J. Lavelle, Nucl. Phys. **B339** (1990) 595; H. Reinhardt, Phys. Lett. **B257** (1991) 375.
- [13] K. Langfeld and M. Schaden, Phys. Lett. **B272** (1991) 100; K. Langfeld, R. Alkofer and H. Reinhardt, Phys. Lett. **B277** (1992) 163.
- [14] K. Langfeld, L. v. Smekal, H. Reinhardt, Phys. Lett. **B362** (1995) 128.