

# SUSY CONTRIBUTIONS TO $R_b$ AND TOP DECAY

D.P. Roy <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 400 005, India

I report on a systematic analysis of the MSSM parameter space to obtain the best SUSY solution to the  $R_b$  anomaly within the constraint of top quark decay. Phenomenological implications for top decay and direct stop production at the Tevatron collider are discussed.

The LEP value of  $R_b = .2202 \pm .0016$ , obtained by assuming the SM value for  $R_c$ ; shows a  $2.8\sigma$  excess over the SM prediction of  $R_b = .2157$  [1]. While it is not possible to explain such a large excess via SUSY, we shall consider a SUSY contribution of half this magnitude, i.e.

$$\delta R_b = .0022 \pm .0004, \quad (1)$$

as a viable solution to the  $R_b$  anomaly [2]. This will bring the theoretical value of  $R_b$  within  $1.6\sigma$  (90% CL) of the LEP data. Moreover the resulting drop in  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ ,

$$\delta\alpha_s(M_Z) \simeq -4\delta R_b \simeq -.01, \quad (2)$$

will bring its estimate from  $\Gamma_Z^{\text{had}}$  in agreement with the DIS value [3]. We shall assume an optimistic upper limit for the SUSY BR of top decay [2],

$$B_s < 0.4, \quad (3)$$

whose validity will be discussed below.

In the low  $\tan\beta$  ( $\simeq 1$ ) region of our interest, the SUSY contribution to  $R_b$  comes from the lighter stop

$$\tilde{t}_1 = \cos\theta_{\tilde{t}}\tilde{t}_{1R} - \sin\theta_{\tilde{t}}\tilde{t}_{1L}, \quad (4)$$

and the charginos

$$\tilde{W}_{iL} = V_{i1}\tilde{W}_L^\pm + V_{i2}\tilde{H}_L^\pm, \quad \tilde{W}_{iR} = U_{i1}\tilde{W}_R^\pm + U_{i2}\tilde{H}_R^\pm. \quad (5)$$

The dominant SUSY contributions to  $Z \rightarrow \bar{b}b$  come from the triangle diagrams involving lighter stop and chargino exchanges,  $\tilde{W}_1\tilde{W}_1\tilde{t}_1$  and  $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1\tilde{W}_1$  [4,5]. The  $b$  vertices, common to both the diagrams, are dominated by the  $b_L\tilde{W}_{1L}\tilde{t}_{1R}$  Yukawa coupling

$$\Lambda_{11}^L \sim -m_t \cos\theta_{\tilde{t}} V_{12}/\sqrt{2}M_W \sin\beta. \quad (6)$$

The  $Z$  vertex for the former process is determined by the couplings

$$O_{11}^L \sim \cos 2\theta_W + U_{11}^2, \quad O_{11}^R \sim \cos 2\theta_W + V_{11}^2, \quad (7)$$

while it is suppressed for the latter by the U(1) coupling factor  $\sim \sin^2\theta_W$ . Thus the large  $b$  vertex (6) favours large higgsino component of  $\tilde{W}_1$  corresponding to the higgsino dominated region ( $|\mu| \ll M_2$ ); but the large  $Z$  vertex (7) favours large gaugino components of  $\tilde{W}_1$  corresponding to the gaugino dominated region ( $|\mu| \gg M_2$ ) [5]. As we shall see below, the combined requirements of large  $b$  and  $Z$  vertices give the best value of  $\delta R_b$  for the mixed region ( $|\mu| \simeq M_2$ ) corresponding to a  $\tilde{\gamma}$  dominated LSP, rather than the higgsino dominated region favoured by some earlier works [2].

The SUSY BR for top decay ( $t \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1\tilde{Z}_{1,2}$ ) is governed by the Yukawa couplings of the lighter neutralinos

$$\tilde{Z}_i = N_{i1}\tilde{B} + N_{i2}\tilde{Z} + N_{i3}\tilde{H}_1 + N_{i4}\tilde{H}_2, \quad (8)$$

i.e.

$$C_i^{L(R)} \sim m_t N_{i4} \cos\theta_{\tilde{t}}(\sin\theta_{\tilde{t}})/M_W \sin\beta. \quad (9)$$

Thus the higgsino dominated region, corresponding to  $\tilde{H}_2$  dominated  $\tilde{Z}_1$  and  $\tilde{Z}_2$ , leads to large values of  $B_s$ . One gets relatively small  $B_s$  in the mixed region, where only  $\tilde{Z}_2$  is higgsino dominated. Thus the top decay constraint (3) favours the mixed region over the higgsino dominated one as well.

Figure 1 shows the SUSY contributions to  $R_b(\delta R_b)$  and top BR ( $B_s$ ) at  $\tan\beta = 1.1$  for 3 representative points in the  $M_2, \mu$  plane [6], i.e.

$$M_2, \mu = (a)150, -40 \quad (b)60, -60 \quad (c)40, -70 \text{ GeV}. \quad (10)$$

The higgsino dominated region (*a*) is seen to give too small a value of  $\delta R_b$  ( $\leq .0014$ ) for  $B_s < 0.4$ . On the other hand one gets acceptable solutions to  $\delta R_b$  (1) for  $B_s < 0.4$  in the mixed region, represented by the points (*b*) and (*c*). The best solutions to  $\delta R_b$  and  $B_s$  are obtained for

$$m_{\tilde{t}_1} \simeq 60 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\tilde{t}} \simeq -15^\circ, \quad (11)$$

where the stop mass is below the D0 excluded region  $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \neq 65 - 88 \text{ GeV}$  [7]. However, the point (*c*) also gives acceptable values of  $\delta R_b$  for a relatively large stop mass of 90-100 GeV.

Figure 2 shows the contour plots of  $\delta R_b$  and  $B_s$  in the  $M_2, \mu$  plane for  $\tan \beta = 1.1$  and 1.4, with the optimal choices of stop mass and mixing (11). The points (*a, b, c*), shown as bullets, are chosen close to the LEP boundary so as to give the best values of  $\delta R_b$  in their respective regions. The higgsino dominated region, represented by the point *a*, clearly corresponds to a low  $\delta R_b$  along with an excessively large  $B_s$ . One sees a 30-40 % increase in  $\delta R_b$  along with a similar fall in  $B_s$  as one goes down to the mixed region, represented by the points *b* and *c*. Consequently one gets acceptable values of  $\delta R_b$  (1) for  $B_s = 0.3 - 0.4$  in this region. By far the best solution to  $\delta R_b$  and  $B_s$  is offered by the point *c*. But it corresponds to  $M_{\tilde{g}} \simeq 160 \text{ GeV}$ , ( $M_{\tilde{W}_1} \simeq 80 \text{ GeV}$ ), which is just above the Tevatron gluino mass limit of  $M_{\tilde{g}} \geq 150 \text{ GeV}$  [8], represented by the x-axis. On the other hand the point *b* corresponds to  $M_{\tilde{g}} \simeq 240 \text{ GeV}$  and  $M_{\tilde{W}_1} \simeq 95 \text{ GeV}$ , which are safely above the reaches of Tevatron and LEP-2.

The SUSY BR of

$$B_s = 0.3 - 0.4 \quad (12)$$

has phenomenological implications for the  $\bar{t}t$  events at Tevatron [9,10]. The isolated lepton plus  $n$ -jet events with  $b$ -tag [9] come from the SM decay of one top ( $\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{b}l\nu$ ), while the other undergoes SM or SUSY decay

$$t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow bq\bar{q}', \quad (13)$$

$$t \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{Z}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_1 c \tilde{Z}_1 q \bar{q}. \quad (14)$$

The SUSY decay is characterised by a lower detection efficiency (due to the absence of lepton

and  $b$  and fewer visible jets, but a larger missing- $E_T$  ( $\cancel{E}_T$ ). They lead to the following differences with respect to the SM prediction [6].

- (i) There is a shift of  $\sim 10\%$  (i.e.3-4) of the above  $\bar{t}t$  events from  $\geq 4$  jets to the 2 jets channel. Such a shift seems to be favoured by the preliminary CDF data [9], but with large uncertainty.
- (ii) The detection efficiency and hence the experimental cross-section in the  $\geq 3$  jets channel are reduced by a factor

$$(1 - B_s)(1 - B_s/3) = 2/3 - 1/2. \quad (15)$$

This is disfavoured by the CDF cross-section.

$$\sigma_t = 7.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ pb}, m_t = 175.6 \pm 9 \text{ GeV}, (16)$$

which is already larger than  $\sigma_t^{\text{QCD}}(175) \simeq 5.5 \text{ pb}$  [11]. But the  $1.6\sigma$  (90% CL) lower limits of  $\sigma_t$  and  $m_t$  would correspond to an experimental  $\sigma_t (= 4.5 \text{ pb})$  of  $\sim 1/2$  the size of the corresponding  $\sigma_t^{\text{QCD}}(160) \simeq 9 \text{ pb}$ , as required by (14). This is the basis for the  $B_s$  limit (3). It will be easier to satisfy with the D0 cross-section,  $\sigma_t = 5.3 \pm 1.6 \text{ pb}$  [10].

- (iii) There is an 50% enhancement of the large transverse mass ( $M_T(\ell\cancel{E}_T) > 120 \text{ GeV}$ ) tail of the above  $\bar{t}t$  events. Similarly one expects a  $\sim 25\%$  deficit in the  $\bar{t}t$  events in the dilepton and double  $b$ -tagged channels. Although these are  $\leq 1\sigma$  effects for the current Tevatron luminosity of  $\sim 100 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ , they will be  $2-3\sigma$  effects for the  $\sim -1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  luminosity expected with the main injector run.

Finally, the large stop mass (90-100 GeV) solution for the point *c* would correspond to the charged current decay  $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{W}_1$ . This would lead to a detectable dilepton signal from stop pair production at Tevatron.

The work reported here was done in collaboration with M. Drees, R.M. Godbole, M. Guchait and S. Raychaudhuri [6].

## REFERENCES

1. LEP Electroweak Working Group Report, LEPEWWG/96-01 (1996).
  2. G. Altarelli *et. al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B314** (1993) 357; J.D. Wells *et. al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B338** (1994) 219; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996) 869; D. Garcia *et. al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B347** (1995) 321; E. Ma, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 255; J. Ellis *et. al.*, hep-ph/9512288.
  3. Review of Particle Properties, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 1173-1826.
  4. M. Boulware and D. Finnell, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 2054.
  5. P.H. Chankowski and S. Pokorski, hep-ph/9603310.
  6. M. Dress, R.M. Godbole, M. Guchait, S. Raychaudhuri and D.P. Roy, hep-ph/9605447.
  7. DØ Collaboration: S. Abachi *et. al.*, Fermilab-pub-95-380-E.
  8. DØ Collaboration: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 618; CDF Collaboration: J. Hauser, Proc. 10th Topical Workshop on  $\bar{p}p$  Collider Physics, Fermilab (1995).
  9. CDF Collaboration: A. Cavner, Renc. Phys. Vallee d'Aoste, Italy (1996).
  10. DØ Collaboration: H. Schellman, Pheno 96 Symp., Madison, Wisconsin (1996).
  11. E.L. Berger *et. al.*, hep-ph/9512212; S. Catani *et. al.*, hep-ph/9602208.
- Fig. 1. SUSY contributions to  $R_b$  (solid) and the top BR (dashed) are shown as contour plots in stop mass and mixing angle for  $M_2, \mu =$  (a)150, -40(b)60, -60, (c)40, -70 GeV with  $\tan(\beta) = 1.1$ .
- Fig. 2. SUSY contributions to  $R_b$  (dashed) and top BR (dotted) shown as contour plots in the  $M_2, \mu$  plane for stop mass (mixing angle) of 60 GeV (-15°), with  $\tan \beta =$  (a) 1.1, (b) 1.4. The boundary of the region  $m_{\tilde{t}_1} < M_{\tilde{Z}_1}$  is not shown in (b).






Figure 1

$\theta_{\tau_1}$





Figure 2