COMMENT ON LEPTOPHOBIC BOSONS AND N NEUTRAL CURRENT SCATTERING DATA

Kevin S.M cFarland, Fermilab

A bstract

The relevance of existing $\,$ N deep inelastic scattering data to the model of an additional leptophobic vector boson presented at this conference is discussed. It is shown that the neutral current data is in good agreem ent with the Standard M odel and disfavors such a leptophobic boson.

A number of dierent new physics models have been suggested to explain the signicant discrepancies between the measured R_b and R_c at LEP and their Standard Model predictions. Generally, these models fall into two classes: those that a ect primarily the third generation (not a exting R_c), and those that introduce changes in couplings to all generations of ferm ions. The latter type of model takes advantage of the observation that the quantity 3 $_{\rm b}$ + 2 $_{\rm c}$ is consistent with zero, where q is the dierence between the Standard M odel and measured widths of Z ! $q\overline{q}$. As has been pointed out by a number of authors [1][2][3], this suggests generation-universal shifts in the hadronic couplings to the Z $^{\rm 0}$ so that

$$d_{is;b} = \sum_{\substack{\text{dis},b\\\text{dis},b}}^{\text{SM}} + b$$

$$u_{ic;t} = \sum_{\substack{\text{uic},t\\\text{uic}}}^{\text{SM}} + c$$
(1)

$$u_{xc,t} = \frac{SM}{u_{xc,t}} + c$$
: (2)

Such a model not only shifts observables at Z opole away from their Standard Model values, but will also a ect lower-energy neutral-current phenom ena such as atom ic parity violation and N deep inelastic scattering, both of which measure the interactions of rst generation quarks.

A model presented at the XXXI iem e Rencontres de Moriond E lectroweak session [2][4] introduced a new neutral vector boson, V, with a 1 TeV mass. In order to avoid con ict with very precise leptonic data from SLD and LEP, the coupling of the V to leptons is chosen to be zero; thus the name \leptophobic". However, such a boson will still a ect processes induced by leptons, be they neutrinos scattering from quarks or electrons annihilating to Z 0 s at resonance, as long as it has non-zero m ixing with the Standard M odel Z $^{\circ}$. The m ixing, param eterized by the angle , will change the couplings of the physical Z^0 to quarks,

$$_{L;R}$$
! $_{L;R}^{SM} \cos + _{L;R}^{V} \sin ;$ (3)

and will add a tree level shift to the param eter,

!
$$\frac{M}{M} + \frac{2}{M} \cdot \frac{M_{V}}{M_{Z}}^{2}$$
: (4)

The V 0 couplings in this model are given by $^{u\,zd}_L=\,x$, $^{u}_R=\,y_u$ and $^{d}_R=\,y_d$.

In the presentation at M oriond [4], the couplings x, yu and the m ixing angle, allowed to oat in a t to 12 observables, $_{\rm Z}$, $_{\rm R_{1}}$, $_{\rm h}$, $_{\rm R_{b}}$, $_{\rm R_{c}}$, $_{\rm M_{W}}$ = $_{\rm M_{Z}}$, $_{\rm A_{1}}$, $_{\rm A_{b}}$, $_{\rm A_{c}}$, $_{\rm A_{EB}}^{\rm b}$, $_{\rm A_{EB}}^{\rm c}$ and the weak charge in Cesium , Q $_{\text{W}}$. M $_{\text{V}}$ was $\,$ xed at 1 TeV , and $\,$ y $_{\text{d}}$ was set to zero. Two of the reported tresults are shown in Table 1. The second set of training eters shown are claim ed to be in better agreem ent with the extremely high pr CDF jet rates than the rst [2][4].

	F±1	F±2		M easured Value		Std.M odel	F±1	F±2
	2 : 8 10 ³	3 : 8 10 ³	$g_{ m L}^2$	0:3017	0:0033	0:303	0:3045	0:3049
Х	-1.8	-1.0	g_R^2	0:0326	0:0033	0:030	0:0266	0 : 0271
Уu	4.7	2 . 7	2			0.77/2 dof	4.03/2 dof	3.72/2 dof
2	14.3/9 dof	15.9/9 dof	C.L.			68%	13%	15%

Table 1: The reported best t values for the param eters of the leptophobic boson model are shown in the left table. The 2 values do not include the neutrino data. The right table shows the N constraints on neutral current quark couplings, and the e ects of the leptophobic boson m odels. Only the isoscalar combinations of couplings $g_{L,R}^2 = \frac{u}{L,R}^2 + \frac{d}{L,R}^2$ are measured to high-precision in N scattering.




Figure 1: The N data and leptophobic models in the $g^2 - g^2$ plane

Global to the neutral-current quark couplings from N scattering data have been performed [5][6], and recently the CCFR collaboration has reported their results in terms of quark couplings [7][8]. The constraint on the neutral current quark couplings from the N data used for this analysis is that reported in the Review of Particle Properties [9] which does not reject the recent update to the CCFR data [8]. Table 1 shows the measured values, Standard M odel predictions, and the values predicted from the two its presented above. The N data is in agreement with the Standard M odel, but excludes the central values of either leptophobic tat about 85% condence. This is shown graphically in Figure 1.

In conclusion, models which introduce generation-universal changes to neutral current quark couplings to explain the $R_{\rm b}$, $R_{\rm c}$ at LEP will also induce changes in parameters measured accurately in N scattering. Although the N does not rule out models such as the one discussed here, it clearly can place constraints on such models. For example, in the preferred t (# 2) of this leptophobic boson model [4], the 2 , including the N data, is now 19.6/11 dof, which is an improvement over the Standard Model 29.0/14 dof, but is still excluded at more than 95% con dence.

The author wishes to thank A lain B londel for the initial suggestion that the neutrino data might constrain these models, N icola D iBartolom eo for an enjoyable public debate on the subject, and Paul Langacker and Chris K olda for sharing their expertise on this subject.

R eferences

- [1] P.Chiappetta et al., PM /96-05, hep-ph/9601306.
- [2] G.A Ltarelli et al., CERN-TH/96-20, hep-ph/9601324.
- [3] K S.Babu et al., TASSNS-HEP-96/20, hep-ph/9603212.
- [4] N.DiBartolom eo, proceedings of the XXXI iem e Recontres de Moriond, March 1996.
- [5] U.Amaldietal., Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1385.
- [6] G. Fogli, D. Haidt, Zeit. Phys. C 40 (1988) 379.
- [7] C.Arroyo, B.J.King et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 3452.
- [8] K.S.M. cFarland et al., proceedings of the XXX I iem e Recontres de Moriond, March 1996, FERM ILAB-Conf-96/227.
- [9] L.M ontanet et al., Review of Particle Properties, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1173. J.Erler, P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 441.