arXiv:hep-ph/9608353v1 17 Aug 1996

A Phenomenological Formula for KM Matrix

Kouzou Nishida and Ikuo S. Sogami

Department of Physics, Kyoto Sangyo University,
Kita-Ku, Kyoto 603, Japan

August 17, 1996

Abstract

We propose a phenomenological formula relating the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix V_{KM} and quark masses in a form $(m_d,\ m_s,\ m_b) \propto (m_u,\ m_c,\ m_t)V_{KM}$. The formula agrees with experimental data well and has an interesting geometric picture. The origin of such a formula is discussed in the standard model.

Understanding the origin of fermion masses and the Kobayashi-Maskawa (KM) matrix [1] is one of the major problems awaiting solution in particle physics. In the standard model, all components of those matrices are free parameters which must be adjusted by experiment. Until now many attempts [2–9] have been devoted to find formulas relating the fermion masses and the KM matrix. Such efforts are necessary in order to uphold the standard model and to construct the more fundamental theory beyond it. In this note we propose a new phenomenological formula for the KM matrix which has an interesting geometric picture and study a possible mechanism for its origin in the standard model.

Let us consider a three dimensional representation space for the quark masses and introduce in it the two unit vectors as

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_u^2+m_c^2+m_t^2}} \begin{pmatrix} m_u \\ m_c \\ m_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_d^2+m_s^2+m_b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} m_d \\ m_s \\ m_b \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

for the up and down quark sectors. Our basic postulate is to interpret one of unitary matrices, V, satisfying

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} = V\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} \tag{2}$$

as the KM matrix.

An orthogonal matrix which transforms $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$ to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}$ is readily constructed to be

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\theta} \\ &= I\cos\theta - \boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\theta + (1-\cos\theta)\,\boldsymbol{n}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{n} \\ &= I - \boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\theta + (1-\cos\theta)(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the unit vector perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}$ $(\alpha = u, d)$ as

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\,\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} \times \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} = \cos\theta \tag{4}$$

and

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

are generators of the SO(3) group satisfying

$$[J_i, J_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k. \tag{6}$$

To calculate quantities including $\boldsymbol{J}$, it is convenient to use the following formulas for arbitrary vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ as

$$(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})\,\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{a}\times\boldsymbol{b}, \tag{7}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{J},\, \boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}] = (\boldsymbol{a}\times\boldsymbol{b})\cdot\boldsymbol{J} \tag{8}$$

and

$$(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})(\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}) = -(\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{b})\,I + \boldsymbol{b}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{a}. \tag{9}$$

The rotation around each axis $\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha = u,\, d$) leaves the axis unchanged. Namely, the vector $\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}$ is invariant under the orthogonal transformation

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha(\phi_\alpha) &= \mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\phi_\alpha} \\ &= I\cos\phi_\alpha - \boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\phi_\alpha + (1-\cos\phi_\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)} \\ &= I - \boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\phi_\alpha + (1-\cos\phi_\alpha)(\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})^2 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for an arbitrary angle ϕ_α. Therefore, we have a class of orthogonal matrices consisting of $R_u(\phi_u)$, T and $R_d(\phi_d)$ with arbitrary angles ϕ_u and ϕ_d that transforms $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$ to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}$.

It is crucial to note that there exists another class of transformations which leaves Eq.(2) unaltered. Namely, Eq.(2) is invariant under one parameter U(1) operation generated by a matrix whose kernel consists of the vectors $\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$. Such a matrix is found to be $\boldsymbol{n}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{n} = I + (\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})^2$. In fact both vectors $\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$ are invariant under the action of the unitary matrix

$$\begin{aligned} U(\delta) &= \mathrm{e}^{i\delta\boldsymbol{n}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{n}} \\ &= I + \left(\mathrm{e}^{i\delta} - 1\right)\boldsymbol{n}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{n} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{i\delta} I + \left(\mathrm{e}^{i\delta} - 1\right)(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J})^2 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for an arbitrary phase δ, since

$$(\boldsymbol{n}^{\,t}\boldsymbol{n})\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)} = 0 \quad (\alpha = u,\, d). \tag{12}$$

Combining the redundant $R_\alpha(\phi_\alpha)$ and $U(\delta_\alpha)$ operations with T, we get a class of unitary transformations V satisfying Eq.(2).

It is natural to interpret that the redundancy related to the $R_\alpha(\phi_\alpha)$ and $U(\delta_\alpha)$ transformations is inherent in the two vectors $\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$ in the representation space for the quark masses. The vector $\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}$ is indistinguishable from the class of vectors $U^\dagger(\delta_\alpha)^t R_\alpha(\phi_\alpha)\boldsymbol{e}^{(\alpha)}$ with arbitrary angle ϕ_α and phase δ_α. Accordingly, Eq.(2) is essentially identical with

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} = R_u(\phi_u)U(\delta_u)\,T\,U^\dagger(\delta_d)\,{}^tR_d(\phi_d)\,\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} \tag{13}$$

for arbitrary δ_α and ϕ_α. Therefore, the orthogonal matrix T in Eq.(3) and the unitary matrix

$$V(\phi_u,\,\phi_d,\,\delta) = R_u(\phi_u)\,T\,U(\delta)\,{}^tR_d(\phi_d), \quad \delta \equiv \delta_u - \delta_d \tag{14}$$

are equivalent with respect to the action on the vector $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$ in the quark mass space. However, T and V acquire different physical meanings as the transformation matrices when they are postulated to act on a vector consisting of the chiral quark fields in the three-dimensional generation space. It is the unitary matrix $V(\phi_u,\,\phi_d,\,\delta)$ endowed with appropriate values for the angles ϕ_α and phase δ that is interpreted as the KM matrix in this article.

Owing to the identity

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\theta}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{e}^{(u)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\theta}, \tag{15}$$

the unitary matrix V has the other expressions as

$$V(\phi_u,\,\phi_d,\,\delta) = T\,R_d(\phi_u)\,U(\delta)\,{}^tR_d(\phi_d) = R_u(\phi_u)\,U(\delta)\,{}^tR_u(\phi_d)\,T. \tag{16}$$

This matrix function satisfies the periodic property

$$V(\phi_u + m\pi,\,\phi_d + n\pi,\,\delta) = V(\phi_u,\,\phi_d,\,\delta) \tag{17}$$

for integers m and n provided that $m+n =$ even. To prove Eq.(17), it is sufficient to show the relation

$$\begin{aligned} R_d(\phi_u)\,U(\delta)\,{}^tR_d(\phi_d) \;=\; & (I\cos\phi_u - \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\phi_u - \cos\phi_u\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)})\,U(\delta) \\ & \times(I\cos\phi_d - \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}\sin\phi_d - \cos\phi_d\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}) \\ & +\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

by using the identities $\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}\,U(\delta) = U(\delta)\,\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} = \boldsymbol{e}^{(d)\,t}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)}$.

At present the world averages of the absolute values of KM matrix elements are estimated as follows [10]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.9747 \sim 0.9759 & 0.218 \sim 0.224 & 0.002 \sim 0.005 \\ 0.218 \sim 0.224 & 0.9738 \sim 0.9752 & 0.032 \sim 0.048 \\ 0.004 \sim 0.015 & 0.030 \sim 0.048 & 0.9988 \sim 0.9995 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Numerical estimation of our formula for the KM matrix requires the masses of six quarks at the same energy scale. Using the 2-loop renormalization group, Koide [11] obtained the quark masses at the energy scale $\mu = 1$GeV as

$$\begin{aligned} m_u &= 5.6 \pm 1.1 \text{ MeV}, & m_d &= 9.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_s &= 199 \pm 33 \text{ MeV}, & m_c &= 1492^{+23}_{-40} \text{ MeV}, \\ m_b &= 7005^{+29}_{-53} \text{ MeV}, & m_t &= 424^{+54}_{-66} \text{ GeV}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

For the sake of definiteness, we use the following values

$$\begin{aligned} m_u &= 5.6 \text{ MeV}, & m_d &= 9.9 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_s &= 202 \text{ MeV}, & m_c &= 1492 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_b &= 7005 \text{ MeV}, & m_t &= 400 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for the quark masses in the present analysis. In the case of $\delta = 0$, the unitary matrix V in Eq.(16) is reduced into the one parameter form

$$V = T\, R_d(\phi) = R_u(\phi)\, T, \quad \phi \equiv \phi_u - \phi_d. \tag{22}$$

The least-square fitting determines the KM matrix elements to be

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} -0.97526 & 0.22100 & -0.0049805 \\ -0.22104 & -0.97473 & 0.032152 \\ 0.0022508 & 0.032457 & 0.99947 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

by choosing

$$\phi = -3.3645. \tag{24}$$

The absolute values of Eq.(23) are in good agreement with experimental data in Eq.(19). For $\delta \neq 0$, we find several sets of values for the parameters (ϕ_u, ϕ_d, δ) which constrain the KM matrix elements within the experimental uncertainties. The best choice is the following set as

$$(\phi_u,\, \phi_d,\, \delta) = (0,\, 3.32918,\, \frac{\pi}{3}) \tag{25}$$

which leads to

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97583\, \mathrm{e}^{-2.1063\, i} & 0.21849\, \mathrm{e}^{1.2629\, i} & 0.0049633\, \mathrm{e}^{-1.8133\, i} \\ 0.21853\, \mathrm{e}^{2.9259\, i} & 0.97530\, \mathrm{e}^{-3.1297\, i} & 0.032157\, \mathrm{e}^{0.0083506\, i} \\ 0.0022219\, \mathrm{e}^{-0.073299\, i} & 0.032461\, \mathrm{e}^{0.0012436\, i} & 0.99947\, \mathrm{e}^{-0.000001\, i} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

Exceptionally the V_{31} component tends to have a somewhat small value and the value of $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ is somewhat large compared with experimental results. In the case of $\delta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, the rephasing-invariant Jarlskog parameter [12–16] $J = \mathrm{Im}(V_{23}V_{12}V_{22}^{\dagger}V_{13}^{\dagger})$ moves in the range

$$-\, 5.9 \times 10^{-5} \leq J(\phi_u, \phi_d, \frac{\pi}{3}) \leq 5.9 \times 10^{-5}. \tag{27}$$

For the parameter set in Eq.(25), J takes the value

$$J = -2.3437 \times 10^{-6}, \tag{28}$$

the magnitude of which is somewhat small in comparison with the present data [17,18]. The parameter set giving a larger value for $|J|$ tends to deviate the KM matrix elements calculated by Eq.(14) from the experimental data. In these results, there are some diviations from experiment. We consider they are probably due to the way of choosing the quark mass values.

In the standard model the Higgs mechanism generates the fermion masses in the forms of mass matrices and the KM matrix is given by the product of unitary matrices diagonalizing the up and down quark mass matrices. In this note the KM matrix is derived as a unitary matrix relating the mass vectors in the generation space. To give a theoretical foundation to our formula for the KM matrix in Eq.(14), we must reformulate the mass vector description in the ordinary mass matrix scheme of the standard model. In fact it is possible to show that non-hermitian quark mass matrices being diagonalizable by a unitary matrix and a unit matrix lead to the mass vector description under a simple additional condition.

Let us express the chiral component fields of the up and down quarks generically by u_h^i and d_h^i ($h = L,\ R\ ;\ i = 1,\ 2,\ 3$). The hermitian Lagrangian density for fermion masses is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm mass} = \sum_{i,j}^{3} \left(\bar{u}_L^i M_{ij}^u u_R^j + \bar{d}_L^i M_{ij}^d d_R^j + \bar{u}_R^j M_{ij}^{u*} u_L^i + \bar{d}_R^j M_{ij}^{d*} d_L^i \right) \tag{29}$$

with the mass matrices

$$M_\alpha = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left(Y_{ij}^\alpha \right), \quad (\alpha = u,\ d) \tag{30}$$

where $Y_{ij}^\alpha\ (\alpha = u, d)$ are the Yukawa coupling constants and v is a vacuum expectation of the Higgs field.

Here we postulate first that the mass matrices M_α are non-hermitian and diagonalized as follows :

$$M_\alpha \ \rightarrow \ U_L^\alpha M_\alpha U_R^{\alpha\dagger} = U_L^\alpha M_\alpha I = M_\alpha^{diag} = (m_i^\alpha \delta_{ij}), \quad (\alpha = u,\ d) \tag{31}$$

by perfectly-asymmetric bi-unitary transformations

$$\begin{cases} u_L^i \rightarrow (U_L^u)_j^i u_L^j \\ u_R^i \rightarrow (U_R^u)_j^i u_R^j = u_R^i, \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} d_L^i \rightarrow (U_L^d)_j^i d_L^j \\ d_R^i \rightarrow (U_R^u)_j^i d_R^j = d_R^i. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

The generation space vectors $u_L = (u_L^i)$ and $d_L = (d_L^i)$ consisting of the left-handed chiral fields of electroweak doublets receive unitary transformations U_L^u and U_L^d. In contrast the vectors $u_R = (u_R^i)$ and $d_R = (d_R^i)$ of the right-handed chiral fields of electroweak singlets remain as eigenstates in both the interaction and mass eigenmodes. As the second postulate we require that the action of the mass matrices M_u and M_d transform the vector

$$\boldsymbol{e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{33}$$

in the three dimensional generation space into parallel vectors, *viz.*,

$$M_u \boldsymbol{e} \ \parallel \ M_d \boldsymbol{e} \tag{34}$$

which results in

$$U_L^{u\dagger}\begin{pmatrix} m_u \\ m_c \\ m_t \end{pmatrix} \parallel U_L^{d\dagger}\begin{pmatrix} m_d \\ m_s \\ m_b \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

owing to Eq.(31). Then we get

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(u)} = U_L^u U_L^{d\dagger}\boldsymbol{e}^{(d)} \tag{36}$$

which should be identified with the relation in Eq.(13).

In this way we have found a mechanism which relates the mass vector description to the ordinary mass matrix formalism in the standard model. For such a mechanism to work, the quark mass matrices must have the form

$$M_\alpha = U_L^{\alpha\dagger} M_\alpha^{diag}, \quad (\alpha = u,\, d)$$

and the generation vector space must have a direction of anisotropy specified by the $\boldsymbol{e}$ vector in Eq.(33)[1]. In this connection it is worthwhile to mention that Foot [19] introduced a generation space where the vectors consist of the square roots of quark masses and the $\boldsymbol{e}$ vector plays a special role. In such a vector space he found an interesting geometrical interpretation for Koide's lepton mass formula [4,20]. Esposito and Santorelli [21] extended the Foot method to the quark masses and the Dirac masses of neutrinos.

One of us (I. S. S.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor T. Maskawa for discussion and comment.

References

[1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49** (1973), 652.

[2] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. **73B** (1978), 317; Phys. Lett. **85B** (1979), 81; Phys. Lett. **B353** (1995), 114.

[3] B. Stech, Phys. Lett. **130B** (1983), 189.

[4] Y. Koide, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993), 2071; Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994), 2638.

[1] If the present formula is applied to the lepton sector, the neutrinos must necessarily be massive.

[5] M. Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. **D41** (1990), 1586.

[6] B. Margolis and S. Punch, Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual Montréal-Rochester-Syracuse-Tronto Meeting, McGill University, May 11-13, 1994, published by World Scientific, Singapore (1994), editors J. R. Cudell, K. R. Dienes and B. Margolis, pp 3-11.

[7] H. Terazawa, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A7** (1992), 1879.

[8] A. O. Barut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979), 1251.

[9] G. Mangano and F. Sannino, DSF-93-1 (hep-ph/9303209).

[10] Particle data group, L. Montanet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994), 1173.

[11] Y. Koide, US-94-05 (hep-ph/9410270).

[12] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985), 1039.

[13] I. Dunietz, O. W. Greenberg, and D. D. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985), 2935.

[14] O. W. Greenberg, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985), 1841.

[15] D. D. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986), 860.

[16] J. Botella and L. L. Chau, Phys. Lett. **B168** (1986), 97.

[17] Z. Z. Xing, LMU-10/95 (hep-ph/9504365).

[18] A. Ali and D. London, Z. Phys. **C65** (1995), 431.

[19] R. Foot, McGill/94-9 (hep-ph/9410270).

[20] Y. Koide, Lett. Nuovo Cimento, **34** (1982), 201; Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983), 252.

[21] S. Esposito and P. Santorelli, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995), 3077.