

ON THE Q^2 DEPENDENCE OF ASYMMETRY A_1

A.V. KOTIKOV

*Laboratoire de Physique Theorique ENSLAPP
LAPP, B.P. 100, F-74941, Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France
and*

Particle Physics Laboratory, JINR, Dubna, Russia

D.V. PESHEKHONOV

Particle Physics Laboratory, JINR, Dubna, Russia

We analyse the proton and neutron data on spin dependent asymmetry $A_1(x, Q^2)$ supposing the DIS structure functions $g_1(x, Q^2)$ and $F_3(x, Q^2)$ have the similar Q^2 -dependence. As a result, we have obtained $\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n = 0.192$ at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n = 0.165$ at $Q^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$, in the best agreement with the Bjorken sum rule predictions.

An experimental study of the nucleon spin structure is realized by measuring of the asymmetry $A_1(x, Q^2) = g_1(x, Q^2)/F_1(x, Q^2)$. The most known theoretical predictions on spin dependent structure function $g_1(x, Q^2)$ of the nucleon were done by Bjorken¹ and Ellis and Jaffe² for the so called *first moment value* $\Gamma_1 = \int_0^1 g_1(x) dx$.

The calculation of the Γ_1 value requires the knowledge of structure function g_1 at the same Q^2 in the whole x range. Experimentally asymmetry A_1 is measuring at different values of Q^2 for different x bins. An accuracy of the modern experiments³ allows to analyze data in the assumption⁴ that asymmetry $A_1(x, Q^2)$ is Q^2 independent (structure functions g_1 and F_1 have the same Q^2 dependence). But the tune checking of the Bjorken and Ellis - Jaffe sum rules requires considering the Q^2 dependence of A_1 or g_1 (for recent studies of the Q^2 dependence of A_1 see the references of⁵).

This article is based on our observation that the Q^2 dependence of g_1 and the spin average structure function F_3 is the similar in a wide x range: $10^{-2} < x < 1$. At small x it seems that may be not true.

To demonstrate the validity of the observation, we note that the r.h.s. of DGLAP equations for NS parts of g_1 and F_3 is the same (at least in first two orders of the perturbative QCD) and differs from F_1 already in the first subleading order. For the singlet part of g_1 and for F_3 the difference between perturbatively calculated splitting functions is also negligible (see⁵). This ob-

servation allows us to conclude the function :

$$A_1^*(x) = \frac{g_1(x, Q^2)}{F_3(x, Q^2)}$$

should be practically Q^2 independent at $x > 0.01$ and the asymmetry A_1 at $Q^2 = \langle Q^2 \rangle$ can be defined than as :

$$A_1(x_i, \langle Q^2 \rangle) = \frac{F_3(x_i, \langle Q^2 \rangle)}{F_3(x_i, Q_i^2)} \cdot \frac{F_1(x_i, Q_i^2)}{F_1(x_i, \langle Q^2 \rangle)} \cdot A_1(x_i, Q_i^2), \quad (1)$$

where $x_i (Q_i^2)$ means an experimentally measured value of $x (Q^2)$.

We use SMC and E143 proton and deuteron data for asymmetry $A_1(x, Q^2)$. To get $F_1(x, Q^2)$ we take NMC parametrization for $F_2(x, Q^2)$. To get the values of $F_3(x, Q^2)$ we parametrize the CCFR data as a function of x and Q^2 . Using eq.(1), we recalculate the SMC and E143 measured asymmetry of the proton and deuteron at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ and 3 GeV^2 , which are average Q^2 of these experiments respectively and get the value of $\int g_1(x)dx$ through the measured x ranges. To obtain the first moment values $\Gamma_1^{p(d)}$ we have used an original estimations of SMC and E143 for unmeasured regions. As the last step we calculate the difference $\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n = 2\Gamma_1^p - 2\Gamma_1^d / (1 - 1.5 \cdot \omega_D)$ where $\omega_D = 0.05$. We get the following results for $\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n$:

$Q^2 = 10\text{GeV}^2$	$Q^2 = 3\text{GeV}^2$	
0.199 ± 0.038	0.163 ± 0.026	(SMC and E143)
0.192	0.165	(our result)
0.187 ± 0.003	0.171 ± 0.008	(Theory)

As a conclusion, we would like to note that our observation that function $A_1^*(x)$ is Q^2 independent at large and intermediate x is supported by good agreement (see present analysis with other estimations of the Q^2 dependence of the A_1).

This work is supported partially by the Russian Fund for Fundamental Research, Grant N 95-02-04314-a.

References

1. J.D. Bjorken, *Phys. Rev.* **148**, 1467 (1966); D **1**, 1376 (1970).
2. J. Ellis and R.L. Jaffe, *Phys. Rev.* D**9**, 1444 (1974); D **10**, 1669 (1974).
3. SM Collab., B. Adams *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* B**329**, 399 (1994); B **357**, 248 (1995); SLAC-E143 Collab., K. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 346 (1995); **75** (1995) 25.

4. *J. Ellis and M. Karliner*, Phys. Lett. **B313**, 131 (1993); *F.E. Close and R.G. Roberts*, Phys. Lett. **B316**, 165 (1993).
5. *A.V. Kotikov and D.V. Peshekhonov*, hep-ph/9604269.