

HIGH-TEMPERATURE THERMODYNAMICS OF QCD ¹

A. NIETO

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

Abstract

Effective-field-theory methods are used to study the high T limit of QCD. These methods unravel the contributions to the free energy of QCD at high temperature from the scales T , gT , and g^2T . The free energy is explicitly computed to order g^5 . Implications for the application of perturbative QCD to the quark-gluon plasma are also discussed.

1 Introduction

Hadronic matter is expected to undergo a phase transition when $T \sim T_c \simeq 200$ MeV between a low temperature phase in which it is confined in the form of hadrons and a high temperature phase in which quarks and gluons are deconfined. The latter phase, known as *Quark-Gluon Plasma* (QGP), can be studied by perturbative methods since the strong coupling constant α_s is expected to be small at high temperature.

A field theory at high temperature may be described by a theory in 3 dimensions resulting from decoupling the modes with zero Matsubara frequency [1, 2, 3]. This dimensionally reduced theory can be interpreted as an effective field theory whose parameters are to be computed as a perturbative expansion in the coupling constant of the original theory [4].

The effective theory approach is now a well-established perturbative method to study field theories at finite temperature [4, 5, 6]. It is a useful tool for organizing calculations of high-order terms in the perturbation expansion and it is especially powerful in dealing with non-Abelian gauge theories. It is also an important conceptual tool that explicitly separates the different energy scales. Here I present its application to the calculation up to order g^5 of the free energy [6] and use the separation of scales to analyze the convergence of the series [5, 6].

2 The free Energy of QCD to order g^5

The partition function of QCD at finite temperature is obtained from the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = (1/4)G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + \bar{q}\gamma_\mu D_\mu q$, with gauge coupling constant g . We consider the effective theory that results from integrating out the nonstatic modes. Such a theory, which is called Electrostatic QCD (EQCD), is made out of only the static bosonic modes and 3 dimensional. The free

¹ Invited talk presented at Workshop on QCD in Paris, June 1996.

energy density is $F_{\text{QCD}} = T(f_E - \log \mathcal{Z}_{\text{EQCD}}/V)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{EQCD}}$ is the partition function of EQCD obtained from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EQCD}} = \frac{1}{4}G_{ij}^a G_{ij}^a + \frac{1}{2}(D_i A_0)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_E^2 A_0^2 + \frac{1}{8}\lambda A_0^4 + \delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{EQCD}} \quad (1)$$

with effective gauge coupling constant g_E . $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{EQCD}}$ represents an infinite series of non-renormalizable terms. The effects of the fermions are incorporated into the effective parameters. By power counting, the effective parameters at leading order are: $f_E \sim T^3$, $m_E^2 \sim g^2 T^2$, $g_E^2 \sim g^2 T$, and $\lambda_E \sim g^4 T$.

The magnetostatic fields remain massless; therefore, we can go further in separating the different scales of QCD at high temperature by integrating out A_0 . We obtain an effective theory of EQCD which is called magnetostatic QCD (MQCD). The free energy density of QCD can be written as $F = T(f_E + f_M + f_G)$, where $f_G = -\log \mathcal{Z}_{\text{MQCD}}/V$, and $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{MQCD}}$ is the partition function of MQCD obtained from the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MQCD}} = (1/4)G_{ij}^a G_{ij}^a + \delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{MQCD}}$. The gauge coupling constant is g_M and $\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{MQCD}}$ represents an infinite series of non-renormalizable terms.

Again, we can use power counting to identify the order of the leading contribution to the MQCD parameters: $f_M \sim m_E^3 \sim g^3 T^3$ and $g_M^2 \sim g_E \sim g^2 T$. λ_E contributes to the free energy only at order g^6 ; so, if we are interested in the free energy at lower order, we can ignore λ_E . Similarly the non-renormalizable terms of EQCD can also be omitted.

The leading contribution to f_G can be obtained by realizing that the only parameter with dimensions involved in MQCD is g_M and therefore the leading contribution is of order $g_M^6 \sim g^6 T^3$. Since we are interested in computing the free energy of QCD up to order g^5 we can ignore the contribution from f_G ; it will be enough to compute f_E and f_M . We conclude that the free energy of QCD up to order g^5 is given by $F = T[f_E(T, g; \Lambda_E) + f_M(m_E^2, g_E; \Lambda_E)]$, where Λ_E is a factorization scale that separates the scales T and gT . Therefore, we have to determine f_E , m_E^2 , g_E , and f_M . Calculations for $SU(N)$ gauge theory with n_f fermions and results in analytical form are detailed in [6]; here, I will just state the results in numerical form for $SU(3)$ with 3 fermions.

The effective mass m_E is computed by matching the electrostatic screening mass for QCD and EQCD.

$$m_E^2 = 0.93 \frac{g^2(\mu)}{4\pi} T^2 \left[1 + \left(-0.26 + 1.43 \log \frac{\mu}{2\pi T} \right) \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right]. \quad (2)$$

At this order in g^2 , there is no dependence on the factorization scale Λ_E .

The parameter f_E is determined by calculating the free energy in both full QCD and EQCD, and matching the two results.

$$\begin{aligned} f_E(\Lambda_E) = & -14.8 T^3 \left[1 - 0.90 \frac{g^2}{4\pi} + \right. \\ & \left. + \left(18.3 + 6.91 \log \frac{\Lambda_E}{2\pi T} - 1.30 \log \frac{\mu}{2\pi T} \right) \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where g is the coupling constant in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization scheme at the scale μ . We have used the renormalization group equation of the coupling constant to shift the scale of the running coupling constant to an arbitrary renormalization scale μ .

Through order g^5 , f_M is proportional to the logarithm of the partition function for EQCD: $f_M = -\log \mathcal{Z}_{\text{EQCD}}/V$. Now, we have to consider the contribution to $\log \mathcal{Z}_{\text{EQCD}}$ of orders g^3 , g^4 , and g^5 which are given by the sum of 1-loop, 2-loop, and 3-loop diagrams. The details of this calculation can be found in [6]; the final result is

$$f_M(\Lambda_E) = -0.21m_E^3 \left[1 - \left(0.54 + 0.72 \log \frac{\Lambda_E}{2m_E} \right) \left(\frac{g_E^2}{m_E} \right) - 0.70 \left(\frac{g_E^2}{m_E} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (4)$$

The coefficient f_M in (4) can be expanded in powers of g by setting $g_E^2 = g^2 T$ and by substituting the expression (2) for m_E^2 . The complete free energy to order g^5 is then $F = (f_E + f_M)T$; in agreement with the result obtained independently by Kastening and Zhai [7]. Note that the dependence on the arbitrary factorization scale Λ_E cancels between f_E and f_M , up to corrections that are higher order in g , leaving a logarithm of T/m_E .

3 Convergence of Perturbation Theory

We have calculated the free energy as a perturbation expansion in powers of g to order g^5 . In this section, we examine the convergence of the series and it is based on [5, 6]. We now ask how small $\alpha_s \equiv g^2/(4\pi)$ must be in order for the perturbation expansion to be well-behaved in the sense that the term of order n is smaller than the term of order $n-1$. If the series is apparently convergent in this sense, then it can plausibly be used to evaluate the free energy. For simplicity, we consider the case $n_f = 3$. If we choose the renormalization scale $\mu = 2\pi T$ which is the mass of the lightest nonstatic mode, the correction to the leading order result is a multiplicative factor $1 - 0.9\alpha_s + 3.3\alpha_s^{3/2} + (7.1 + 3.5 \log \alpha_s)\alpha_s^2 - 20.8\alpha_s^{5/2}$. The $\alpha_s^{5/2}$ term is the largest correction unless $\alpha_s(2\pi T) < 0.16$ which requires T greater than about 15 GeV; at this value of T , the series is $1 - 0.14 + 0.21 - 0.02 - 0.21 + \dots$, and its convergence is questionable. Now suppose the temperature is a few times above the transition temperature (~ 200 MeV); *e.g.*, $T \simeq 350$ MeV then $\alpha_s(2\pi T) \simeq 0.3$ and the series has the form $1 - 0.27 + 0.54 + 0.26 - 1.03 + \dots$. It is clear that the perturbative series is not convergent at *this* temperature. If we consider $T \simeq 1$ TeV, then $\alpha_s(2\pi T) \simeq 0.07$ and the series is $1 - 0.063 + 0.061 - 0.011 - 0.027 + \dots$. However, one has to realize that at such high temperatures electroweak processes become important and that we must also take into account the effects of heavy quarks.

We can go further in our analysis provided that we have separated the contributions from the scales T and gT . The free energy is the sum of two terms: f_E and f_M which contain the contributions of order T and gT respectively. The term f_E is given in (3), when $n_f = 3$ and $\mu = 2\pi T$, the correction to the leading order result form the series $1 - 0.90\alpha_s + (6.47 - 6.91 \log \frac{\Lambda_E}{2\pi T})\alpha_s^2 + \dots$. The next-to-leading-order correction to f_E is independent of μ and Λ_E , and is small compared to the leading-order term provided that $\alpha_s(2\pi T) < 1.1$ which corresponds to $T > 67$ MeV. The next-to-next-to-leading-order correction can be made small by adjusting Λ_E ; it vanishes for $\Lambda_E = 5.1 \pi T$. We conclude that the perturbation series for f_E is well-behaved if the factorization scale Λ_E is chosen to be approximately $5\pi T$. Whether this choice is reasonable can only be determined by calculating other EQCD parameters to higher order to see if the same choice leads to well-behaved perturbation series. It is interesting to

study the convergence of the other parameter of EQCD that we have computed, m_E^2 , which is given by (2) and when $n_f = 3$ and $\mu = 2\pi T$, the correction to the leading order result form the series $1 - 0.26\alpha_s$. For the next-to-leading-order correction to m_E^2 to be smaller than the leading-order term, we must have $\alpha_s(2\pi T) < 3.8$, which corresponds to $T > 40$ MeV. Based on these results, we conclude that the perturbation series for the parameters of EQCD are well-behaved provided that $\alpha_s(2\pi T) < 1$, which corresponds to $T > 70$ MeV.

We now consider the convergence of the perturbation series (4) for f_M . The size of the next-to-leading-order correction depends on the choice of the factorization scale Λ_E . It is small if Λ_E is chosen to be approximately m_E . The next-to-next-to-leading-order correction in (4) is independent of any arbitrary scales. If $n_f = 3$, it is smaller than the leading order term only if $\alpha_s < 0.17$, which corresponds to $T > 2$ GeV. Thus the perturbation series for f_M is well-behaved only for temperatures that are much higher than those required for the parameters of EQCD to have well-behaved perturbation series.

This analysis indicates that the slow convergence of the expansion for F in powers of $\sqrt{\alpha_s}$ can be attributed to the slow convergence of perturbation theory at the scale gT .

4 Conclusions

Our explicit calculations were significantly streamlined by using effective-field-theory methods to reduce every step of the calculation to one that involves only a single momentum scale. They allow us to study the convergence of the perturbation expansion for thermal QCD. At the scale T , perturbation corrections can be small only if $T > 70$ MeV. At the scale gT , perturbation corrections can be small only if $T > 2$ GeV. Thus, in order to achieve a given relative accuracy, the temperature must be much larger for perturbation theory at the scale gT compared to perturbation theory at the scale T .

There is a range of temperatures in which perturbation theory at the scale gT has broken down, but perturbation theory at the scale T is reasonably accurate. In this case, one can still use perturbation theory at the scale T to calculate the parameters in the EQCD Lagrangian. However, nonperturbative methods, such as lattice simulations of EQCD, are required to calculate the effects of the smaller momentum scales gT and g^2T . The effective-field-theory approach provides a dramatic savings in resources for numerical computation: the effective field theory is 3 dimensional and quarks are integrated out of the theory, which reduces it to a purely bosonic problem.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, under Grant DE-FG02-91-ER40690. I would like to thank E. Braaten for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] T. Appelquist and R.D. Pisarski, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 2305 (1981).

- [2] S. Nadkarni, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 917 (1983).
- [3] D.J. Gross, R.D. Pisarski, and L.G. Yaffe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981).
- [4] E. Braaten and A. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 6990 (1995).
- [5] E. Braaten and A. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1417 (1996).
- [6] E. Braaten and A. Nieto, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 3437 (1996).
- [7] B. Kastening and C. Zhai, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 7232 (1995).