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#### Abstract

$W$ e present the non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) prediction for the polarization of the $J=$ produced in $b!J=+X$, as well as the helicity-sum $m$ ed production rate. $W$ e propose that these observables provide a $m$ eans of $m$ easuring the three $m$ ost im portant color-octet $N R Q C D m$ atrix elem ents involved in $J=$ production. A nticipating the $m$ easurem ent of the polarization param eter , we determ ine its expected range given current experim ental bounds on the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A rigorous theoretical fram ew ork within which quarkonium production can be studied is provided by the nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) factorization form alism developed by Bodwin, B raaten, and Lepage [1] This approach is based on NRQCD [2] $]$, an e ective eld theory that can be $m$ ade equivalent to full QCD to any desired order in heavy-quark relative velocity. The NRQCD factorization form alism is not a m odel, but rather a rigorous derivation w thin NRQCD of a factorized form for quarkonium production and decay rates.

A central result of the NRQCD factorization form alism is that inclusive quarkonium production cross sections $m$ ust have the form of a sum of products of short-distance coe cients and NRQCD m atrix elem ents. The shortdistance coe cients are associated w ith the production of a heavy quark-antiquark pair in a speci ccolor and angularm om entum state. They can be calculated using ordinary perturbative techniques. A s to the NRQCD m atrix elem ents,

[^0]they param eterize the e ect of long-distance physics such as the hadronization of the quark-antiquark pair. These can be determ ined phenom enologically.

The pow er of the NRQCD form alism stem $s$ from the fact that factorization form ulas for observables are essentially expansions in the sm allparam eter $v^{2}$, where $v$ is the average relative velocity of the heavy quark and anti-quark in the
 estim ate the relative sizes of various NRQCD m atrix elem ents. This inform ation, along $w$ ith the dependence of the short-distance coe cients on coupling constants, perm its us to decide which term sm ust be retained in expressions for observables so as to reach a given level of accuracy. G enerally, to leading order, factorization form ulas involve only a few $m$ atrix elem ents, so several observables can be related by a $s m$ all num ber of param eters.
$N R Q C D$ predictions therefore presuppose $Q C D$, factorization, and a reasonably convergent $v^{2}$ expansion. If the quantitative predictions of NRQCD fail, one of these three assum ptions is failing, $m$ ost likely the validity of the $v^{2}$ expansion.
 $h \mathrm{~h}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)$ i, and $\mathrm{hO}{ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{J}\right) i$ i. These four quantities param etrize the hadronization into a $\mathrm{J}=$ boundstate of a oc pair produced in itially $w$ th the stated quantum num bers (angular mom entum ${ }^{2 S}+1_{J}$, color quantum num ber 1 or 8). P revious to the developm ent of the factorization form alism of $R$ ef. $\overline{11}], \mathrm{m}$ ost $\mathrm{J}=$ production calculations took into account only the hadronization of cc pairs initially produced in the color-singlet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ state, as param eterized by ho $l_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)$ i.

Recently, in regard to the three $m$ ost im portant color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents involved in $J=$ production, it has been notioed that there prevails a certain inconsistency betw een the values m easured at CD F [ $\left[_{i}^{4}\right.$ ] and those $m$ easured in other processes [ $\left.{ }_{\underline{T}} 1\right]$. $W$ e propose here that inclusive $J=$ production from b-decay can be of avail in unravelling th is di culty by serving as a supplem entary arena for $m$ easuring the contentious $m$ atrix elem ents.

Inclusive production of $J=$ from b-decay provides tw $\circ \mathrm{m}$ easurable com binations of the $m$ atrix elem ents. The rst one is the helicity-sum $m$ ed rate $(b!J=+X)$. The second combination concems the polarization param eter appearing in the electrom agnetic decay rate of $J=$ to lepton pairs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{\operatorname{doss}} \quad!+() / 1+\cos ^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the polar angle is de ned in the $J=$ rest fram eforwhich the $z$-axis is aligned $w$ ith the direction of $m$ otion of the $J=$ in the lab.
$T$ he short-distance physics for the process b! $J=+X$ is described by the four-quark Ferm i interactions b! ccs and $b!$ cod, at the $m_{b}$ scale. The Feynm an diagram is show $n$ in $F i g .1$. Let us de ne $P$ to be the totalfour-m om entum of the cc system. . The $s$ and $d$ quarks are assum ed $m$ assless.

## II. FACTORIZATION FORMULA FOR THESPIN-SUMMED PRODUCTION RATE

We rst calculate the factorization form ula for the spin-sum $m$ ed $J=$ production rate. Let us de ne q as the relative $m$ om entum of the $c$ and $c$ quarks evaluated in the rest fram e of the cc pair. TheNRQCD m atching form alism requires only that we know the algebraic form of the am plitude for sm all values of $q$. T his is because the hadronization of the heavy quark pair into quarkonium occurs with nonnegligible probability only when $\dot{\mu j} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}$. M oreover, this is the regim e in which the NRQCD e ective lagrangian is valid. T herefore, we expand the Feynm an am plitude in pow ens of $q$ and keep only pieces up to linear order. The am plitude forb! ccs is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(; ; c ; d ; e ; f ; q)=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cb}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{cs}} \frac{\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{+} \quad \mathrm{C}\right)}{3} \mathrm{~cd} \text { ef }+\left(\mathrm{C}_{+}+\mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{cd}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ef}}^{\mathrm{g}} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad(1 \quad 5) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where and are non-relativistic two-spinors for the $c$ and $c$ quarks respectively; c; $d ; e ; f$ arecolor indiges and and are the individual spins of the charm quarks. The factors involving $C_{+}$and $C$ are $W$ ilson coe cients which govem the scale-evolution of the four-quark Ferm i interaction. i (de ned in detail in Ref. $\left[\frac{1}{[1]}\right]$ is the Lorentz boost $m$ atrix that takes a three-vector from the cc rest fram e to the lab frame. In the above equation, the term with the color K ronecker deltas contributes to the production of cc pairs in color-singlet states, and the term w ith colorm atrioes $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{g}}$ contributes to the production of cc pairs in color-octet states.

From Eq. ${ }^{2}$, in the NRQ $\bar{C} D$ factorization form alism :

$$
\begin{align*}
(b!J=+X) & =\frac{G_{F}^{2}}{864} \frac{\left(m_{b}^{2} 4 m_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{m_{b}^{3} m_{c}} V_{\mathrm{cb}}^{2} 2\left(2 C_{+} \quad C\right)^{2}\left(m_{b}^{2}+8 m_{c}^{2}\right) O_{1}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) \\
& +3\left(C_{+}+C\right)^{2}\left(m_{b}^{2}+8 m_{c}^{2}\right) O_{8}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right)+9\left(C_{+}+C\right)^{2} m_{b}^{2} O_{8}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right) \\
& +6\left(C_{+}+C\right)^{2}\left(m_{b}^{2}+8 m_{c}^{2}\right) \frac{O_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}\right)}{m_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}} 1+O\left(\mathrm{v}^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$


 only the leading color-singlet piece and the leading color-octet pieces in the relativistic $v^{2}$-expansion. O ur calculation of the coe cients in the above spin-sum $m$ ed factorization form ula concurs w th the results presented in Ref. [险].

A ccording to the NRQCD v-scaling rules, the color-octet m atrix elem ents in Eq. by $\mathrm{v}^{4}$ w ith respect to the basic color-singlet $m$ atrix elem ent ho $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}$. Thus, at the outset, one would not expect
 the color-singlet coe cient 2C $+C$ decreases signi cantly as it is evolved down from its value of 1 at the scale $M_{W}^{-}$ to its value of roughly 0.40 at the scale $m_{b}$. On the other hand, the color-octet coe cient ( $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C}$ ) $=2$ increases slightly from 1 at the scale $M_{w}$ to roughly 1.10 at the scale $m_{b}$. For this reason, the octet contributions are actually as im portant as, orm ore im portant than, the basic singlet contribution. In fact, the short-distance coe cients in the color-octet term s are som e 50 tim es larger than those in the singlet term !

## III. FACTORIZATION FORMULA FOR HELICITYRATES

In the previous section, we discussed how the serendipitousW ilson-ooe cient enhancem ent of the octet contributions enables us to $m$ easure the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents contributing to the helicity-sum $m$ ed rate (b! J= +X). This enhancem ent can be further exploited to determ ine the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents by considering the polarization of the produced $J=$ ' S , as m easured by the param eter in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'I. It can be calculated via the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{(+)+(\quad) \quad 2(0)}{(+)+(\quad)+2(0)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where () represents the production rate of $J=w$ th helicity .
Since is a ratio, we need only calculate the relative sizes of the various contributions to the helicity rates. T hus, we need only calculate the e ective Feynm an am plitude squared, evaluated in the b rest fram e, w th the $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{m}$ om entum $P$ oriented in the positive $z$-direction. In this case, the angular $m$ om entum of the $J=$ in the canonical $z$-direction corresponds sim ply to the particle's helicity.

B eneke and $R$ othstein $[\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{1}]$ and $B$ raaten and $C$ hen $[\bar{\sigma}]$ have developed techniques for deriving the production rates of quarkonia w ith speci ed helicities. A pplying their m ethods to the am plitude given in Eq. $\bar{L}_{1}^{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}!\mathrm{J}=(1)+\mathrm{X} / 2\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{+} \quad \mathrm{C}\right)^{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) 0+4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}(1 \quad) \\
& +3\left(C_{+}+C\right)^{2} O_{8}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) \quad 0+4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}(1 \quad) \\
& +3\left(\mathrm{C}_{+}+\mathrm{C}\right)^{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \\
& +9\left(C_{+}+C\right)^{2} \frac{O_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{m_{c}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} & \left.4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)(1 & 0
\end{array}\right)+8 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \quad: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

 relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{J}\right),(2 J+1) \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his latter relation is due to heavy-quark spin sym $m$ etry, and is valid up to relative order $v^{2}$. It $m$ ust be kept in $m$ ind, how ever, that b-decay does not actually produce oc states in the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ con guration at leading order in the coupling constants.

Som e consequences of angular $m$ om entum conservation and of the left-handedness of the charged-current Ferm i interaction are ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 2. The thin arrow labelled $\stackrel{!}{P}$ represents the trajectory of the center of $m$ ass of
the cc system. The other thin arrow represents the trajectory of the $s$ or $d$ quark. Thick arrow s denote intrinsic angular $m$ om enta, with cc denoting the helicity of the cc system prior to hadronization. Since the charged-current Ferm i interaction couples only the lefthanded parts of the ferm ion elds, the $m$ asslessness of the $s$ and $d$ quarks ensures that they are em itted w ith negative helicity. Therefore, due to angularm om entum conservation, the helicity outcome cc $=+1$ is not allowed. This has certain obvious consequences for the $J=$ helicity production rates: the basic color-singlet contribution (param etrized by ho ${ }_{1}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) i$ and involving the direct hadronization of a colorsinglet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ state into a $\mathrm{J}=$ ) is zero for $=+1$, at low est order in the relativistic expansion. The same is also true of the $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ i contribution, which involves the hadronization of a color-octet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ state into a $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{via}$ the heavy-quark-spin-preserving $L=0$ em ission or absonption of two soft gluons [1] $\left.{ }^{1} 1\right]$.

The color-singlet contribution to the helicity rates of the $J=$ produced in b-decay was calculated in the color-singlet m odelby M.W ise in Ref. $1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 16$ years ago. This author presented expressions for the production rates of longitudinal and transverse helicities, given in term s of the color-singlet radialw ave fiunction at the origin. The result in $R$ ef. [12]] concurs w th the rst line of our Eq. 15.1

## IV. PRED ICTION FOR THE POLARIZATION PARAMETER ALPHA

W hile experim ental determ inations of helicity-sum $m$ ed (b) $J=+X$ ) have already been carried out nind, a $m$ easurem ent of the polarization param eter is not yet available. A nticipating the availability of this latter m easure$m$ ent, it is interesting to determ ine the range of which is predicted by the NRQCD factorization form alism. U sing Eqs. ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ decide which value of $m_{b}$ to use. Since we are presenting a leading-order calculation, we $m$ ay in principle choose to use either the pole $m$ ass or the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \mathrm{m}$ ass for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}$, the di erence betw een these tw o being $m$ erely a higher-order-in-s e ect. H ow ever, it tums out that the leading-order NRQCD prediction of the polarization param eter depends quite strongly on the choige of $m_{b}$. Therefore we report results for a w ide range of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}$, from 4.1 GeV to 5.3 GeV . This range includes the values of $m_{b}=4: 3 \quad 0: 2 \mathrm{GeV}$ corresponding to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \mathrm{m}$ ass, and the values $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}=5: 0 \quad 0: 2 \mathrm{GeV}$ corresponding to the pole $m$ ass determ ined on the lattice [14 $1_{1}^{1}$. O ur range is centered around $m_{b}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV}$, which is the central value for the pole $m$ ass [13]. This choice is consistent $w$ ith the fact that the num erical value that we use for ho $1_{1}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) i$ is taken from $R$ ef. $\left[14_{1}^{1}\right]$, in which the pole $m$ ass $w a s$ used in the extraction of the $m$ atrix elem ent value.

For concreteness, we present in the text below only our results for the choige $m_{b}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \mathrm{C}_{+}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=0: 868$, C $\left(m_{b}\right)=1: 329$ and $m_{c}=1: 55 \mathrm{GeV}$, one obtains the leading-order $N R Q C D$ prediction for the polarization param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{0: 39 O_{1}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) \quad 17 O_{8}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right)+52 O_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}{\mathrm{O}_{1}\left(3 \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)+44 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)+61 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+211 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It m ust be noted that depends only weakly on ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) i$ and $m$ ost strongly on ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) i=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$.
$W$ e now procede to determ ine the range of $w$ hich is consistent $w$ ith existing inform ation on the $m$ atrix elem ents $\mathrm{hO}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{hO} \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}$, and $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ and w th various constraints on linear com binations of these quantities. $W$ e rst review this inform ation:

B odw in et all $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1 / 4]}\end{array}\right]$ have determ ined the color-singlet $m$ atrix elem ent hq ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ ) i from decay rates of $J=$ to lepton pairs to be ho $\left.{ }_{1}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}=1: 1 \quad 0: 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}$. The error re ects theoreticaluncertainties due to higher order s and $v^{2}$ corrections. This phenom enologically extracted value for the color-singlet $m$ atrix elem ent is in agreem ent w th the lattice calculation result also presented in Ref. [14"].

A constraint on the octet $m$ atrix elem ents is given by the requirem ent that the theoretical prediction for the spin-sum $m$ ed production rate (b! $J=+X$ ) given in Eq. $\underline{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ be consistent $w$ ith the experim entally $m$ easured value of $3: 42 \mathrm{eV}$. This constraint can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 342 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}=0: 096 \mathrm{O}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)+4: 21 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)+6: 76 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+25: 3 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the above experim ental value of (b) $\mathrm{J}=+\mathrm{X})=3: 42 \mathrm{eV}$, we have used B R B (charge not determ ined) ! $J=$ (direct) $+X=(0.80 \quad 0.08) \%$, and (averaged over B hadrons) $=1.54$ picoseconds. To com pute the short-distance coe cients on the right-hand-side of Eq. We have taken
 $30 \%$ to the above equation, to re ect relativistic corrections and uncertainties in the scale used to evaluate the

W ilson coe cients production | obtained by setting allcolor-octet m atrix elem ents to zero, and using ho ${ }_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}=1: 1 \quad 0: 1 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{3}$ is roughly one-third of the experim ental value. H ow ever the NRQCD prediction for the b-decay width can be $m$ ade to agree $w$ ith the experim entalm easurem ents for reasonable values of the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents.
$C$ ho and Leibovich 44 have perform ed a $t$ to CDF data over low and high $P$ ranges and have found

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=0: 0066 \quad 0: 0021 \mathrm{GeV}^{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{8}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)+3 \frac{O_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}=0: 066 \quad 0: 015 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From photoproduction data, Am undson et al $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1][][] ~ h a v e ~ d e t e r m ~ i n e d ~}\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+7 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}=0: 020 \quad 0: 001 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 did not take into account theoretical uncertainties due to next-to-leading order ( N LO) corrections. H ow ever we expect NLO corrections to be very large (see [1d] for a discussion of NLO corrections in hadronic $\mathrm{J}=$ production calculations). M oreover the photoproduction results of $R$ ef. [1] $\left.]_{1}\right] \mathrm{m}$ ay also have signi cant highertw ist corrections [1]
$F$ inally, due to v-scaling argum ents, we expect the color-octet matrix elem ents to be roughly of order $v^{4} \mathrm{ho}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ i.
$W$ ith this inform ation in $m$ ind, we now determ ine the range of values of that we can expect. O ur m ethod of determ ining this range consists of \scanning" through the three-dim ensionalparam eter space of the color-octet m atrix elem ents, and determ ining the $m$ axim um and minim um value of that occur in the allowed volum e. O ur scan is sub ject to the follow ing constraints: we allow $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ i to vary in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) 2[0: 003 ;: 014] \mathrm{GeV}^{3} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we allow the photoproduction-related linear combination of $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}$, to vary in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+7 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} 2[0: 0 ; 0: 04] \mathrm{GeV}^{3} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the range we have chosen takes into account theoretical uncertainties due to NLO corrections and possibly signi cant hight-tw ist corrections; we allow the b-decay-related linear com bination given in Eq. the central value of $0: 342 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 096 \mathrm{O}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)+4: 21 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)+6: 76 \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+25: 3 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} 2[0: 24 ; 0: 45] \mathrm{GeV}^{3} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the range we have chosen takes into account theoretical uncertainties due to $v^{2}$ relativistic corrections as $m$ entioned previously. N ote that the above constraints are su cient to insure that the helicity rates in Eq. Siare positive for each value of the helicity .

To the above experim ental constraints on the allow ed param eter space, we m ust of course add the theoretical requirem ent that the absolute values of the octet $m$ atrix elem ents respect $v$-scaling rules, i.e. that they $m$ ust not be unreasonably larger than $v^{4} \mathrm{ho}_{1}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) \mathrm{i} \quad 0: 1$. M oreover, we $m$ ust im pose on our allowed volum e of param eter space that the $m$ atrix elem ent ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right) i$ alw ays be positive. $N o$ sim ilar constraint need to be applied to the $m$ atrix elem ent ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ i. Indeed, it can be negative, for the follow ing reason. The bare $m$ atrix elem ent (which is of course positive de nite) contains a power ultraviolet divergence. Such a pow er ultraviolet divergence m ust be proportional to a m atrix elem ent of an operator of low er dim ension. In the case of $h 0_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$, the divergence is proportional
to $\mathrm{hO}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}$ [111]. This divergence m ust be subtracted to obtain the renorm alized m atrix elem ent. Since the piece subtracted is com parable in $m$ agnitude to the bare $m$ atrix elem ent, the di erence can be negative. O $n$ the other hand, since the operator $\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)$ belongs to the set of low est dim ension NRQCD four-ferm ion operators, the bare $m$ atrix elem ent ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right)$ i cannot have pow er divergences. T hus the subtractions involved in renorm alization cannot transform the positive de nite bare $m$ atrix elem ent into a negative quantity [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ]. $D$ ue to these considerations, we therefore im pose the additional theoretical constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ho}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}>0: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraints expressed in eqs. 1 space that is allow ed by current experim ental inform ation and theoretical considerations.

In de ning the allow ed param eter space, we have not used an experim ental constraint on the CD F-related linear com bination presented in E q. $\bar{i}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$. Interestingly, we nd that $w$ ith the im position of all the above constraints (Eqs. II $\overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ through $\left.{ }_{1}^{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, this com bination is lim ited to take values in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+3 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} 2[0: 01 ; 0: 06] \mathrm{GeV}^{3}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central value of 0.066 quoted in Eq. 'ing falls just outside the upper end of this range, indicating a possible inconsistency betw een the result of $R$ ef. $\left[t_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and the results from other processes considered in the present analysis.
$W$ e now present our $m$ ain result, which is the expected range of : The maxim um value for ( $0: 09$ ) is obtained when ho ${ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) i$ is at the $m$ inim um of its allowed range and when the photoproduction-related com bination $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) i+7 \mathrm{hO}{ }_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ is at the $m$ axim um of its allow ed range. Them in im um value for $(0: 33)$ occurs in the opposite situation.

So far in our discussion, we have considered only the particular choice $m_{b}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV}$. Table I shows how our ndings depend on $m_{b}$. Them ain results are the $N R Q C D$ predictions for the range of.$A$ longside the full $N R Q C D$ results, we display the color-singlet $m$ odel predictions for . T he error bars on the color-singlet-m odel predictions re ect $v^{4}$ relativistic corrections; the relativistic corrections to the color-singlet result are of order $v^{4}$, not $v^{2}$ as one $m$ ight expect a priori, because the $v^{2}$ corrections to the helicity rates factor and cancel in the ratio. In the other three colum ns of Table I, we treat the quantities appearing at the top of the colum ns as functions of the variables through which we scan; we report the ranges of values of these quantities that occur for the allow ed param eter space.

O ur leading-order results depend strongly on the values taken for $m_{b}$ and $m_{c}$. A s stated previously we have used $m_{c}=1: 55 \mathrm{GeV}$ to generate the results given in Table I. For som e optional choiges of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ we obtain the follow ing ranges of . Choosing $m_{b}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}=1: 25 \mathrm{GeV}$ we nd that 2 [:53; :12]. Choosing $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{c}=1: 85 \mathrm{GeV}$ we nd that 2 [ 22 ; :13].

In general for higher values of $m_{b}$ the predicted range for enlarges. For increasing values of $m \mathrm{c}$ the m in m um value we predict for becom es greater, while the $m$ axim um value rem ains roughly unchanged.

A general conclusion that can be draw $n$ by looking at $T a b l e I$ is that the inclusion of octet $m$ atrix elem ents raises the predicted range for signi cantly from the color-singlet prediction.
V.CONCLUSION

W e have proposed that a m easurem ent of the polarization of $J=$ particles produced in the process b! $J=+X$ can fumish a usefiul new $m$ eans of determ ining the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents involved in $J=$ production. This $m$ easurem ent, which is not yet available, will supplem ent existing experim entalin form ation, which includes extractions from CDF, photoproduction, and the helicity-sum $m$ ed rate (b! $J=+X$ ). Further experim entalinform ation would be of great utility, since there currently prevails a certain inconsistency between the values of the $m$ atrix elem ents $m$ easured at CDF and those values extracted from other processes.
$W$ e have presented the factorization form ula for the helicity-sum $m$ ed rate in Eq. $\overline{\bar{N}_{1}}$. R ates for speci ed $J=$ helicity have been calculated using the $m$ ethods of $B$ eneke and $R$ othstein $[1][1]$ and $B$ raaten and $C$ hen $[\underline{G}]$, and are presented


In anticipation of the $m$ easurem ent of the polarization param eter, we have found it interesting to determ ine the range of which is predicted by the NRQCD factorization form alism, given current experim ental inform ation on the NRQCD m atrix elem ents. We nd that, for $m_{b}=4: 7 \mathrm{GeV}$, is expected to range from $0: 33$ to $0: 09$. O ur m ethod of determ ining this range consists of \scanning" through the three-dim ensional param eter space of the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents that is allow ed by the constraints expressed in E qs.

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}} \\ (\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | color-singlet m odel predictions for |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NRQCD } \\ & \text { factorization form alism } \\ & \text { predictions for } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { range of } \\ \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)+3 \frac{\mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}} \\ \left(\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | range of $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O}_{8}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{GeV}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | range of $\frac{O_{8}\left(^{3} P_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m}_{e}^{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | :27 | :03 | [ :23, | :14] | [.08,2] | [.1,.4] | $[$ :06, :00¢ |
| 4.4 | :34 | :03 | [ :28, | :11] | [.03,.1] | [.02,2] | [ :03,.003] |
| 4.7 | :40 | :04 | [ :35, | :08] | [.009,.06] | [0,.1] | [ :01,.006] |
| 5.0 | :45 | :05 | [ : 42, | :09] | [.002,.03] | [0,.06] | [ :008,.006 |
| 5.3 | :49 | :05 | [ :47, | :12] | [.001,.02] | [0,.03] | [-.005,.005] |

TA B LE I. Theoretical leading-order predictions for the expected range of . W epresent predictions for in the color-singlet $m$ odel and in the NRQCD factorization form alism. The ranges are determ ined by \scanning" through the allowed volum e in the three-dim ensional param eter space constrained by Eqs. (12), (13), (14) and (15) and by nding within that volum e the $m$ axim um and $m$ inim um occuring values of. Results are given for various values ofm $b$. A lso given, in the last three colum ns, are the ranges of the CDF-related combination and of the tw om atrix elem ents indicated, resulting from the set of constraints.
param eter-space volum e so de ned, we nd that the linear com bination of $m$ atrix elem ents that has been $m$ easured at CDF [4] is at the threshold of incom patibility w ith the value of $0: 066 \quad 0: 015$ reported in Ref. ${ }^{[ }\left[\begin{array}{l}{[4]}\end{array}\right]$.

In Table I, we have show $n$ how our leading order predictions depend on $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}$. In general, it can be concluded that the inclusion of octet $m$ atrix elem ents raises the predicted range for signi cantly from the color-singlet prediction, and that the range of broadens as m b is increased.

U nfortunately, due to the large num ber of poorly determ ined param eters, including $m_{b}$ and $m_{c}$, the expected range of as predicted by NRQCD is large.

It $m$ ay be that an accurate NRQCD prediction of the polarization param eter (beyond leading order) will not be possible for a long time. This possibility, how ever, does not degrade the im portance of our calculation, since an experim entaldeterm ination of | in conjuction with our results | w illm ost certainly serve to tighten the constraints on the possible values of the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents. In fact, the NRQCD prediction for is very sensitive to the values of the color-octet $m$ atrix elem ents, and this o ers the hope that a $m$ easurem ent of the polarization of $J=$ produced in b! $J=+X$ willbe instrum ental in determ ining $h O_{8}\left({ }^{3} S_{1}\right) i, h O_{8}\left({ }^{1} S_{0}\right) i$, and $h O_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ i.

## A cknow ledgem ents

W e would especially like to thank E ric B raaten form any helpfuldiscussions, and $G$ eo rey $T$. B odw in for discussions regarding the b-quark $m$ ass. IM . and S F gratefully acknow ledge Jam es B uck M ontano for his invaluable help w ith the com puter program $m$ ing in various num ericalanalyses. $W$ e also $w$ ish to acknow ledge the hospitality of the U niversity of W isconsin M adison, O hio State University (IM.), and the University of Texas at A ustin (SF).The work of S F.was supported in part by the U S.D epartm ent of E nergy under G rant no. DE FG 02-95ER 40896, in part by the U niversity of W isconsin Research C om m ittee w ith fiunds granted by the $W$ isconsin A hum ni Research Foundation. The work of IM. was supported by the Robert A.W elch Foundation, by NSF G rant PHY 9511632, and by NSERC of C anada. The work of O FH. and H N. was supported by les Fonds FCAR du Q uebec and by NSERC of C anada.
[1] G .T . B odw in, E. B raaten, and G P. Lepage, P hys. Rev. D 51, 1125 (1995).
[2] W E.C asw ell and G P. Lepage, P hys. Lett. B 167, 437 (1986).
[3] G P. Lepage, L.M agnea, C.N akhleh, U.M agnea, and K.H ombostel, P hys. Rev.D 46, 4052 (1992).
[4] P. Cho and A.K. Leibovich, PhysRev D 53, 6203 (1996).
[5] M. C acciari and M. K ram er, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4128 (1996); P. K o, J. Lee and H S. Song, Seoul N ational U niversity preprint SN U TP -95-116 (hep-ph/9602223').
[6] E. B raaten and Y.Q. Chen, O hio State U niversity preprint O H STPY HEP-T -96-010 (hep ph/9604237).
[7] S.F lem ing and I. M aksym yk, U niversity of Texas preprint UTTG-95-922, M ADPH $-95-922(\mathrm{~A}$ in Phys. Rev.D .
[8] P. K O, J. Lee, H S. Song, Phys. Rev.D 53, 1409 (1996).
[9] G.T. B odw in, E. Braaten, G P. Lepage, T.C.Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 46, R 3703 (1992)
[10] M . Beneke and I.Z. R othstein, Phys. Lett. B 372, 157 (1996); P hys. Rev.D 54, 2005 (1996).
[11] P. Cho, M B.W ise, Phys. Lett. B 346, 131 (1995).
[12] M B.W ise, Phys. Lett. B 89, 229 (1980).

[14] G .T.Bodw in, D K . Sinclair and S.K im , ANL preprint ANLHEP PR-96-28 hep-lat/9605023').
[15] presented at Beauty $96, \mathrm{R}$ om e, Italy.
[16] L B ergstrom and P.E mstrom, Phys. Lett. B 328, 153 (1994).
[17] J.A m undson, S.F lem ing, and I.M aksym yk, U niversity of Texas preprint U T T G -10-95, M A D P H -95-914 hepph/9601298) .
[18] M. C acciari and M.G reco, P hys. R ev. Lett. 73, 1586 (1994); E. B raaten, M. D oncheski, S. F lem ing and M. M angano, Phys. Lett. B 333, 548 (1994); D P. R oy and K . Sridhar P hys. Lett. B 339, 141 (1994).
[19] E ric B raaten, P rivate com $m$ unication.

## Figure C aptions

Figure 1. Feynm an diagram for the four-quark Ferm i interactionsb! ccs and b! cod. This interaction describes the short-distance physics for the process b! $J=+X . P$ is the total fourm om entum of the cc system, and $s, d$ and $b$ are the fourm om enta of the corresponding quarks. The cc pair is produced initially with quantum num bers $a i^{2 S+1} L_{J} w$ ith $a=1$ or8. It then hadronizes into $a J=$ particle $w$ ith helicity.

Figure 2. Angularm om entum conservation in b! ccs and b! cod. Because the charged-current Ferm i-interaction couples only the left-handed parts of the ferm ion elds, the $s$ and d quarks (being $m$ assless) are em itted with negative helicity. Due to angular $m$ om entum conservation, therefore, the outcom e $\mathrm{cc}=+1$ is not allowed. A s a result, the $\mathrm{hO}_{1}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{hO}_{8}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ i contributions to the $\mathrm{J}=$ helicity rate are zero for $=+1$, at low est order in $\mathrm{v}^{2}$.

Figure 1


Figure 2



[^0]:    Em ail: em ing@ phenxs.physics.w isc.edu
    ${ }^{y}$ Em ail: oscarh@ hep physicsm cgill.ca
    ${ }^{2}$ Em ail: m aksym yk@ physics.utexas.edu
    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ Em ail: nadeau@ hep physicsm cgill.ca

