

Test of the Equivalence Principle from K Physics

T. Hambye^{(a)1}, R.B. Mann^{(b)2} and U. Sarkar^{(c)3}

(a) Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

(b) Physics Department, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

(c) Theory Group, Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, 380 009, India

PACS numbers: 04.80.+z 14.40.Aq

Abstract

A violation of the equivalence principle (VEP) in the Kaon system can, in principle, induce oscillations between K° and \overline{K}° in a manner that need not violate CPT conservation. We show that such a CPT-conserved VEP mechanism could be clearly tested experimentally through the energy dependence of the $K_L - K_S$ mass difference and discuss constraints imposed by present experiments.

¹email: hambye@hal1.physik.uni-dortmund.de

²email: mann@avatar.uwaterloo.ca

³email: utpal@prl.ernet.in

The principle of equivalence (EP) implies universality of gravitational coupling for all forms of mass-energy, thereby ensuring that spacetime is described by a unique operational geometry. Although the EP has been tested to impressive levels of precision, virtually all such tests have been carried out with matter fields. The possibility that matter and antimatter may have different gravitational couplings remains a fascinating open question. The strongest bound on matter-antimatter gravitational universality comes from the $K^\circ - \bar{K}^\circ$ system. However all studies of this system have considered a straightforward violation of the weak equivalence principle (WEP) in which it is assumed that $K^\circ - \bar{K}^\circ$ mass and gravitational eigenstates can be simultaneously diagonalised but with differing eigenvalues (*i.e.* differing K° and \bar{K}° masses) [1, 2, 3], in which case violation of gravitational universality also means violation of CPT.

More generally, a violation of the EP (VEP) in the Kaon system will not assume simultaneous pairwise diagonalization of mass, gravitational or weak eigenstates. We shall consider in this letter the consequences of such a general VEP mechanism, showing that it can provide a new source of CP-violation whilst conserving CPT. In this context, the WEP violation investigated previously [1, 2, 3] is a special case of maximal CPT violation in the gravitational sector. We consider constraints imposed on the VEP mechanism by present experiments; constraints on VEP-induced CP-violation will be discussed elsewhere [4].

Since gravitational couplings are proportional to mass-energy one needs to study the energy of the particles under consideration and to consider relativistic kaons for which gravitational effects could be non-negligible. In a weak gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ (where $h_{\mu\nu} = 2\frac{\phi}{c^2}\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$) the gravitational part of the Lagrangian is $-\frac{1}{2}(1 + g_i)h_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy in the gravitational eigenbasis and $1 + g_i$ are the gravitational couplings, which are not equal if VEP is operative. For relativistic pointlike kaons the general form of the effective Hamiltonian in the $(K^\circ - \bar{K}^\circ)$ basis will be

$$H = pI + U_W H_{SEW} U_W^{-1} + U_G H_G U_G^{-1} \quad (1)$$

with I the identity matrix,

$$H_{SEW} = \frac{(M_{SEW})^2}{2p} = \frac{1}{2p} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}^2 \quad (2)$$

and

$$H_G = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2(1 + g_1)\phi(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p}) & 0 \\ 0 & -2(1 + g_2)\phi(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

in physical time and length units [3] to first order in \bar{m}^2/p^2 with p the momentum and \bar{m} , where for a quantity X , $\delta X \equiv (X_1 - X_2)$, $\bar{X} = (X_1 + X_2)/2$. H_{SEW} is the matrix coming from the strong, electromagnetic and weak interactions, whose absorptive (*i.e.* antihermitian) parts we shall neglect for the moment. In the absence of gravity, weak interactions are responsible for $m_1 \neq m_2$, which are interpreted as the K_L and K_S masses. We have neglected terms proportional to $\delta m \equiv (m_1 - m_2)/2$ in the gravitational Hamiltonian H_G . ϕ is the gravitational potential on the surface of earth, which is constant over the range of terrestrial experiments.

Since H_{SEW} and H_G are hermitian, then U_G and U_W are unitary. From the general form of a 2x2 unitary matrix

$$U = e^{i\chi} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

it is straightforward to show that

$$H = pI + \frac{1}{2p} \begin{pmatrix} M_+ & M_{12} \\ M_{12}^* & M_- \end{pmatrix}^2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pm} &= \bar{m} + \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \bar{G} \pm \frac{\cos 2\theta_W}{2} \delta m \pm \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \frac{\cos 2\theta_G}{2} \delta G \\ M_{12} &= -(\sin 2\theta_W \delta m + e^{-2i(\alpha_G - \alpha_W)} \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \sin 2\theta_G \delta G)/2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we have absorbed additional phases into the K° and \bar{K}° wavefunctions. Note that gravitation may induce CP-violation if $\alpha_G \neq \alpha_W$; we shall study the implications of this elsewhere. Since in this paper we will be considering effects for which CP-violation is negligible, for simplicity we shall take $\alpha_G = \alpha_W = 0$.

In the basis of the physical states K_L and K_S , the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} p + \frac{m_L^2}{2p} & 0 \\ 0 & p + \frac{m_S^2}{2p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{E} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta E & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta E \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{E} = (1 - 2(1 + \bar{g})\phi)(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p})$, and

$$\frac{p}{\bar{m}} \Delta E = m_L - m_S = \left[(\delta m)^2 + \left(2\phi \delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \left(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p} \right) \right)^2 - 4\delta m \phi \delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \left(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p} \right) \cos(2\theta_W - 2\theta_G) \right]^{1/2} \quad (6)$$

where m_L and m_S are the experimentally measured masses of K_L and K_S respectively.

The amount of CPT-violation is given by

$$\Delta_{CPT} = M_+ - M_- = \cos(2\theta_W) \delta m - \cos(2\theta_G) 2\phi \delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \left(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p} \right) \quad (7)$$

Previous studies of VEP in the Kaon system assumed CPT violation in the gravitational sector only, from which it was argued that empirical bounds can be placed on the difference between the gravitational couplings to $|K^\circ\rangle$ and $|\bar{K}^\circ\rangle$. The difference in gravitational eigenvalues then corresponds to a difference (ΔM_g) in the masses of $|K^\circ\rangle$ and $|\bar{K}^\circ\rangle$:

$$|M_+ - M_-| = \phi \Delta M_g = 2\phi |\delta g| \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \left(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p} \right) \quad (8)$$

and is entirely attributable to the amount of CPT violation. The first equality in Eq.(8) was given by Kenyon [2] and the second by Hughes [3], who specified the energy dependence of ΔM_g . From the experimental upper bound on $M_+ - M_-$ [5] the bound $|\delta g| < 2.5 \times 10^{-18}$ may be obtained, where the potential ϕ is taken to be that due to the local supercluster ($\phi \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5}$). In this approach CPT conservation implies no gravitational mass difference and hence no VEP. However it is clear from the expression (7) for Δ_{CPT} that the bound obtained on ΔM_g is actually on some combination of VEP parameters and not on δg and $\cos(2\theta_G)$ separately. When $\theta_G = 0$, $\theta_M = \pi/4$, Eq.(8) agrees with Eq.(7). More recent experiments [6] find $|M_+ - M_-|/m_K < 9 \times 10^{-19}$, yielding the bound $|\delta g| < 4.5 \times 10^{-19}$ for the same value of ϕ .

Next we shall consider a scenario in which CPT is conserved, so that $\Delta_{CPT} = 0$. From the above it is clear that, even if CPT is conserved, there is still a VEP-induced difference between the masses of the physical states. As a result bounds can be placed on the VEP parameter $\phi\delta g$ without the assumption that locality in quantum field theory is violated. One interesting consequence of this is that the VEP mechanism predicts that the mass difference $m_L - m_S$ will be energy dependent.

From the expression of Δ_{CPT} it is clear that it is not possible to conserve CPT for all momenta unless $\theta_M = \theta_G = \frac{\pi}{4}$ (modulo π), thereby separately conserving CPT in the weak and the gravitational sectors. In this case the mass difference is

$$m_L - m_S = \delta m - 2\phi\delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}} \left(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p}\right). \quad (9)$$

which as noted above is energy dependent. It is possible to put a bound on the VEP parameter δg if we know the value of ϕ and the mass difference at various given energies. Alternatively, if mass measurements at two different energies were different, the differing values for $m_L - m_S$ could be used to extract a value for the VEP parameter δg .

What constraints do present experiments place on δm and δg ? In the review of particle properties [6] six experiments were taken into account. Two of them are at high energy [7, 8] with the kaon momentum p_K between 20 GeV and 160 GeV. The weighted average of these two experiments is [8]: $\Delta m_{LS} = m_L - m_S = (0.5282 \pm 0.0030)10^{10}\hbar s^{-1}$. The four other experiments [9, 10, 11, 12] are at lower energy, with $p_K \approx 5$ GeV, or less. The weighted average of these low energy experiments is $\Delta m_{LS} = (0.5322 \pm 0.0018)10^{10}\hbar s^{-1}$. A fit of equation (9) with the high and low energy value of Δm_{LS} gives : $\delta m = (3.503 \pm 0.012) \times 10^{-12} MeV$ and $\phi\delta g = (8.0 \pm 7.0) \times 10^{-22} \times \left(\frac{90}{E_{av}}\right)^2$, (where E_{av} is the average energy for the high energy experiment).

Taking ϕ to be the earth's potential ($\phi \simeq 0.69 \times 10^{-9}$), we find $\delta g = (1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-12}$ whereas if ϕ is due to the local supercluster then $\delta g = (2.7 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-17}$. These values differ from zero by 1.15 standard deviations. While it is certainly premature to regard this as evidence for the VEP mechanism, it does show that VEP in the Kaon sector is not as tightly constrained as previous studies [2, 3] have implied. A precise fit of mass difference per energy bin in present and future high energy experiments would be extremely useful in constraining the VEP parameters, particularly since the present experimental situation at low energy is not clear. Indeed one of the low energy experiments [12] was published last year found $\Delta m_{LS} = (0.5274 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0005)10^{10}\hbar s^{-1}$, a value lower than the weighted average

$\Delta m_{LS} = (0.5350 \pm 0.0023)10^{10}\hbar s^{-1}$ of the three (previous) low energy experiments. Without this new experiment, a similar fit of the other five experiments yields $\phi\delta g = (1.38 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-21}(90/E_{av})^2$. In this case δg is different from 0 by 1.8 standard deviations. Alternatively taking only the new experiment [12] at low energy we would obtain a value compatible with 0 at less than 1 standard deviation.

In the above analysis we have not included the effect of the absorptive part of the Hamiltonian, which if VEP is operative appears in both the weak and gravitational sectors. Here we consider the absorptive part coming from the weak sector.

In the weak sector, inclusion of the absorptive part entails the replacement m_i by $m_i - i\Gamma_i/2$. With this change the definitions of \tilde{E} and ΔE are modified to

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E} &= \left(p + \frac{(\bar{m} - i\bar{\Gamma}/2)^2}{2p}\right) (1 - 2(1 + \bar{g})\phi) \\ \frac{p}{\bar{m}}\Delta E &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sqrt{F^2 + G^2} + F\right]^{1/2} + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sqrt{F^2 + G^2} - F\right]^{1/2} \\ F &= (\delta m)^2 + (2\phi\delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}}(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p}))^2 - 4\delta m\phi\delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}}(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p}) \cos(2\theta_W - 2\theta_G) - (\frac{\delta\Gamma}{2})^2 \\ G &= -(\delta m\delta\Gamma) + 2\cos(2\theta_W - 2\theta_G)[\delta\Gamma\phi\delta g \frac{p}{\bar{m}}(p + \frac{\bar{m}^2}{2p})]\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

We also have,

$$m_L - m_S = \frac{p}{\bar{m}}\text{Re}(\Delta E)\tag{11}$$

$$\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L = 2\frac{p}{\bar{m}}\text{Im}(\Delta E)\tag{12}$$

In deriving these equations we neglected terms in $\delta m\Gamma$, $\delta m\delta\Gamma$ and Γ^2 with respect to the terms in $m\delta m$ or $m\delta\Gamma$. It can be shown that in the *CPT*-conserving case the above mass difference (equation (11)) reduces to equation (9). So in the *CPT* conserving case the results above are not affected by inclusion of the widths. In this case the difference $\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L = \delta\Gamma$ is independent of energy. This is consistent with experiment, which indicates that the low and high energy measurements of $\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L$ are fully compatible [6]. For $\theta_G \neq \pi/4$, an examination of (12) indicates that $\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L$ is energy dependent; however this is small and measurements of $\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L$ do not constrain δg more than measurements of Δm_{LS} even though they are more precise. We note that measurements of $\Gamma_S - \Gamma_L$ would more strongly constrain a possible absorptive part coming from the gravitational sector which presumably would induce a larger energy dependence. We shall not consider this possibility here. For $\theta_G \neq \pi/4$, width effects in Eq.(11) are small and Eq.(6) remain good up to a few percents.

In Fig.1, for completeness, we plot as a function of $\cos(2\theta_G)$ (and with $\theta_W = \pi/4$) the upper bounds we get on $|\phi\delta g|$ by fixing δm to the central value of the world average [6], $\Delta m_{LS} = (0.5310 \pm 0.0019)10^{10}\hbar s^{-1}$ and requiring that $m_L - m_S$ in (6) does not differ from δm by more than ± 2 standard deviations. Note that in the case of maximal *CPT* violation ($\theta_G = 0$), $m_L - m_S$ can only increase with energy, as is clear from Eq.(6) or Eq. (11). The actual difference between low and high energy experiments, if valid, could not be explained in this case except for complex value of δg (and similarly for values of θ_G very close to 0). In Fig.1

we also show the bound coming from Eq.(7) with $\theta_W = \pi/4$ and $|M_+ - M_-|/m_K < 9 \times 10^{-19}$ [6]. These curves have different interpretations. The solid line is obtained from constraints on possible energy dependence of δm_{LS} , a distinctive signal of VEP. The dashed line is obtained from constraints on CPT violation effects. These need not originate from the VEP mechanism, and could be relaxed if other (energy independent) CPT violating effects were operative. As is clear from Eq. (6), additional CPT violation effects coming from $\theta_W \neq 0$ would not qualitatively change these bounds. The solid line is therefore a more secure limit for $\theta_G \neq 0$.

Violations of the equivalence principle in the Kaon system need not violate CPT (which in turn implies a loss of locality in quantum field theory). More precise and detailed tests in this sector should provide us with important empirical information on the validity of the equivalence principle.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. One of us (US) acknowledges hospitality at the Institut für Physik, Univ Dortmund, Germany and a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

- [1] M.L. Good, Phys. Rev. **121**, 311 (1961).
- [2] I.R. Kenyon, Phys. Lett. **B237**, 274 (1990).
- [3] R.J. Hughes, Phys. Rev. **D46**, R2283 (1992);
- [4] T. Hambye, R.B. Mann and U. Sarkar, in preparation.
- [5] R. Carosi *et.al.* Phys. Lett. **B237**, 303 (1990).
- [6] Review of Particle Properties, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 1 (1996).
- [7] L.K. Gibbons, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1199 (1993).
- [8] B. Schwingenheuer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4376 (1995).
- [9] M. Cullen, et al., Phys Lett. **32 B**, 523 (1970).
- [10] C.Geweniger et al., Phys. Lett. **48 B**, 487 (1974).
- [11] Gjesdal et al., Phys. Lett. **52 B**, 113 (1974).
- [12] R. Adler et al., Phys. Lett. **B 363**, 237 (1995).

Fig.1: Upper bounds on $|\phi\delta g|$ (for $p \simeq 90\text{GeV}$) obtained from Eq.(6) (solid line) and Eq.(7)(dashed line) as explained in the text.

