H iggs signals and hard photons at the N ext Linear C ollider: the ZZ-fusion channel in the Standard M odel

Stefano M oretti¹

D ipartim ento di Fisica Teorica, Universita di Torino, and IN F N., Sezione di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy.

Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 OHE, United Kingdom.

PACS numbers: 14.80 Bn, 14.70 Bh, 14.70 Hp, 29.17.+w.

A bstract

¹E-m ails: M orettie to infn.it; M orettie hep phy.cam ac.uk.

In a previous paper [1] we studied the e ects of hard photon emission in the W W -fusion reactions

$$e^+e ! _{e e}H()! _{e e}bb;$$
 (1)

$$e^+e!_{e}H()!_{e}WW()!_{e}JJJJJ:$$
 (2)

The production mechanism $e^+e_-e^-e^-e^-H$ and the decay channels H ! bb; jjjj represent som e of the most likely signatures of H iggs processes at the N ext L inear C ollider (N LC) [2] { [5], within the Standard M odel (SM), for a H scalar in the intermediate (1) and heavy (2) m ass range (IM R and HM R, respectively)².

In that study we focused our attention to the case of hard and isolated photons. The motivation of that analysis came from the observation that the rôle of energetic photons from the initial state is expected to be determinant at the e⁺ e colliders of the next generation. In particular, whereas at LEP1, SLC and partially LEP2, the nite width of the gauge boson resonances heavily suppresses events with hard photons produced in the e⁺ e annihilation subprocess, at a high energy NLC (when $p = \frac{1}{5} > 300 \text{ GeV}$) this is no longer true. Furtherm ore, since the energy of the beam s is much larger, the probability that the incom ing electrons and positrons radiate is somewhat bigger. Therefore, one naturally expects the NLC to produce rather copiously events accompanied by hard electrom agnetic (EM) emission and these cannot be ignored in realistic phenom enological analyses.

In many instances, one can give account of the Initial State R adiation (i.e., brem sstrahlung of photons from the incoming electron/positron lines) by means of the so-called electron structure functions' [3]. In addition, for certain designs of the NLC, the elects due to such a radiation are dominant with respect to those due to beam sstrahlung and Linac energy spread phenom ena [9]: such that, in phenom enological studies, one can consistently deal with brem sstrahlung photons only. However, on the one hand, this approach is realistically applicable only in the case of annihilation- and conversion-type Feynm an diagram s: that is, when the electron and positron lines are disconnected by gauge boson currents, like in the case of W W -fusion processes, a separation of the radiation em itted by the incoming ferm ion lines from that radiated by the virtual W -boson lines (see Fig. 1{2 in Ref. [1]) is indeed not gauge invariant. On the other hand, in experimental data, radiative events with photons coming from the Higgs production mechanism are not distinguishable from those in which they come from the Higgs decays.

To give reliable predictions for the corrections at the order O ($_{\rm em}$) to the leading processes

$$e^+e ! e^-eH ! e^-eb;$$
 (3)

²For a NLC with $\frac{P}{s}$ < 500 GeV and M_H < 2M_W the production rates of the brem sstrahlung process e⁺ e ! ZH are comparable or larger than those of the WW -fusion channel [6, 7].

$$e^+e ! e^-H ! e^-WW ! e^-jjjj;$$
 (4)

one should com pute the contributions due to realphotons as well as those due to virtual photons in the loop diagram s. Their sum m ation through the orders $0 \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ em \end{pmatrix}$ and $0 \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ em \end{pmatrix}$ would allow one to cancel the infrared (soft and collinear) divergences appearing in the m atrix elements (M E s). However, to com pare the tree-level rates of the LO processes (3) { (4) with those of the N LO ones (1) { (2) for hard photons only, allow s one to assess whether (at higher order) com plications should be expected in working out the position of the resonances and/or in establishing their line-shape, or whether the overall e ect of the com plete 0 ($_{em}$) corrections will be in the end only m atter of a di erent norm alisation (of the LO spectra).

In the approach of Ref. [1], virtual photon contributions were ignored, for both the cases of what we called production radiation' and decay radiation'. (In detail, the rst is due to photons em itted during the H iggs production m echanism, whereas the second refers to photons radiated in the Higgs decays, and they are separately gauge-invariant.) As loop diagram swere m issing, the mentioned summation was not performed there. In that paper, we compared the rates of the low est order processes (3) and (4) to those at next-to-low est order from reactions (1) and (2) over the phase space regions de ned by the cuts: $p_T^{b; j} > 1 \text{ GeV}$ and $\cos_{b; j} < 0.95$. The aim was to make the point that in many instances (that is for various combinations of NLC centre-of-m ass (CM) energies, $p_{\rm s}$, and H iggs m asses, M $_{\rm H}$) the rates of the hard radiative processes can be rather large com pared to those of the leading reactions and that in som e cases a complete O (em) calculation would be desirable in order to assess the relative importance of events of the type (6) with hard photons, with respect to those in which the electrom agnetic em ission is either infrared or virtual and to the low est order rates as well, as a shift in the position of the Higgs peaks could be expected at NLO (see again Ref. [1] for a fuller discussion). A nother feature of interest to phenom enological analyses stressed in Ref. [1] is the fact that rather clear Higgs signals can appear in the spectra of the invariant m asses M $_{\rm bb}\,$ and M $_{\rm jjj}$. The last point outlined in Ref. [1] is that it is generally in possible to separate in radiative events (1) (2) the production radiation' from the decay radiation', at least by exploiting the spectra in photon energy, E , and in m issing transverse m om entum of the neutrino pair, $p_T^{m iss}$.

We intend in this brief report to perform studies similar to those of Ref. [1] for the case of a SM Higgs boson produced via the ZZ-fusion mechanism and decaying through the two mentioned channels, by studying the reactions:

$$e^+e ! e^+e H () ! e^+e bb;$$
 (5)

$$e^{\dagger}e ! e^{\dagger}e H ()! e^{\dagger}e W W ()! e^{\dagger}e jjjj;$$
(6)

$$e^+e ! e^+e H ! e^+e bb;$$
 (7)

$$e^+e ! e^+e H ! e^+e W W ! e^+e jjjj;$$
 (8)

As in this case infrared divergences can occur also in the brem strahlung of soft and collinear photons other -lines in the nalstate, we impose that $\cos_{e=b} = j < 0.95$ (that is, separation of the photon also from the outgoing electron/positron). Moreover, since the e-particles in the nalstates are detectable (contrary to the neutrinos in the W W-fusion), we ask $p_T^{e,b; j} > 1$ GeV. The Feynm an diagram s describing the reactions (5) { (6) at træ-level are the same epictured in Fig. 1{2 of Ref. [1], with graphs 5{6 there replaced by those in Figs. 1a{b of the present paper. Their calculation has been carried out in the same way as in Ref. [1], so we refer to that publication for all details.

We have veried that also in the case of the ZZ-fusion processes the spectra in photon energy and in missing transverse momentum of the e^+e^- pair show a similar behaviour to that described in case of processes (1){(4). Therefore, we concentrate here only on the case of the integrated rates and in the invariant mass spectra M_{bb}, M_{jjjj}, M_{bb} and M_{jjjj}. Our results are presented in Figs. 2a{b and Figs. 3a{b. For consistency, the choice of the collider CM energies and Higgs masses we have adopted here is the same as in Ref. [1].

M any of the features typical of processes (1){ (4) are reproduced in case of processes (5) { (8) as well: yet, di erential and integrated rates are here smaller by an order of magnitude at least (compare Figs. 2a{b to Figs. 3{4 of Ref. [1]}, both at LO (as well known) and at NLO. Concerning the total cross sections, one can notice (Fig. 2a) that in the IM R the NLO contribution due to hard photons is never greater than 10% of the LO rates, for all p = 300;500 and 1000 G eV, when M_H varies in the IM R. The same can be a rm ed for a scalar with m ass in the whole of the HM R (Fig. 2b).

Figs. 3a {b show the distribution in the invariant m asses of the Higgs decay products: i.e., M_{bb()} and M_{jjjj()}. Things are here very similar to the case of the corresponding W W -fusion processes, such that the same comments contained in Ref. [1] hold here too. However, a di erent feature of Z Z -fusion processes compared to the W W -fusion ones is that the relative contribution of graphs 5 and 6 to the total cross section at NLO is smaller in the form er. This is due to the additional cut between the nal state electron/positron and photon directions in plemented in case of processes (5) { (6), as the EM em ission along the ellines tends to be highly collinear. This ultimately reduces the relevance of NLO hard photon events, compared to the low est order rates. In fact, for the bb invariant m asses (left plots in Fig. 3a), the sm earing tow ands low values (that is, M_{Bb} < M_H) of the Higgs resonances due to the hard EM radiation in the H ! bb three-body decays induces an elect of only 1% at the most, for all Higgs m asses and collider energies. The suppression of the blecay radiation' is once again visible also in the invariant m ass of the bb system (plots on the right in Fig. 3a), because of the absence of clear B reit-W igner peaks [1]. For a Higgs scalar in the HMR, we notice that the shape of the m ass distributions on the left hand side of Fig. 3b is rather di erent at the two orders. The

e ect is visible at allenergies: for example, see the open and shaded dotted histogram s (see also Ref. [1]). However, the quantitative e ect is rather sm all compared to the case of W W -fusion. Finally, Higgs peaks clearly appear in the M _{iiii} spectra (right hand side of Fig. 3b).

Therefore, we conclude by remarking that the corrections due to hard photons in reactions induced by ZZ-fusion are generally smaller compared to the case of WW-fusion processes, both in the intermediate and heavy mass range of M $_{\rm H}$. In particular then, when folding the real radiation computed here with the virtual one and with the lowest order rates to produce the complete result at the O ($_{\rm em}$) higher order, the sm earing of the resonant Higgs peaks should be less relevant than in the W W -fusion case, and negligible in general. However, in the HMR and especially for very large NLC energies (i.e., around and above 1000 GeV, see Fig. 3b bottom left), a complete NLO calculation would be desirable for phenom enological analyses that consider Z Z -fusion on its own. We nally notice that for Z Z -fusion Higgs processes (like for W W -fusion) the inclusion of four-jet decays via the ZZ -channel (i.e., H ! ZZ ! jjjj()) should reduce the relevance of hard radiative contributions; and that a careful treatment of the Higgs strahlung process e^+e^+ Z H (followed by Z ! e^+e^-) and of its interference with the ZZ-fusion mechanism would be needed (see the discussions in the last two paragraphs of Section 3 in Ref. [1]). The last aspect is especially important when dealing with processes (5) { (8), as the LO rates of the two Higgs mechanisms (i.e., ZH -production and ZZ -fusion) are comparable for all combinations of $\frac{P}{s}$ and M_H considered here (whereas W W -fusion always dom inates for s^{2} 500 GeV).

We are grateful to the UK PPARC for support. This work was nanced in part by the Ministero dell'Universita e della Ricerca Scienti ca and by the EC Programme \Human Capital and Mobility", contract CHRX {CT {93{0357 (DG 12 COMA).

References

- S.M oretti, preprint DFTT 26/96, Cavendish {HEP {96/07, July 1996 (to be published in J.Phys.G).
- [2] Proceedings of the W orkshop \P hysics and Experiments with Linear Colliders", Saariselka, Finland, 9-14 September 1991, eds. R. Orawa, P. Eerola and M. Nordberg, W orld Scientic Publishing, Singapore, 1992.
- [3] Proc. of the W orkshop \e⁺ e Collisions at 500 GeV. The Physics Potential ", M unich, Annecy, Hamburg, 3-4 February 1991, ed. PM. Zerwas, DESY pub. 92-123A/B, August 1992; DESY pub. 93-123C, December 1993.

- [4] Proc. of the ECFA workshop on \e⁺ e Linear Colliders LC 92", R. Settles ed., Gam isch Partenkirchen, 25 July-2 Aug. 1992, M PI-PhE/93-14, ECFA 93-154.
- [5] Proc.of the I-IV W orkshops on Japan Linear Collider (JLC), KEK 1989, 1990, 1992, 1994,
 KEK -Reports 90-2, 91-10, 92-1, 94-1.
- [6] JD.B jorken, Proceedings of the \Summer Institute on Particle Physics", SLAC Report 198 (1976);
 BW.Lee, C.Quigg and H.B.Thacker, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 1519;
 J.Ellis, M.K.Gaillard and D.V.Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 106 (1976) 292;
 BL. Io e and V.A.Khoze, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 9 (1978) 50.
- [7] D.R.T. Jones and S.T. Petkov, Phys. Lett. B 84 (1979) 440;
 R.N. Cahn and S.Dawson, Phys. Lett. B 136 (1984) 196;
 K. Hikasa, Phys. Lett. B 164 (1985) 341;
 G.Altarelli, B.M ele and F.Pitolli, Nucl. Phys. B 287 (1987) 205;
 B.Kniehl, preprint DESY 91-128, 1991.
- [8] F A.Berends, W L.van Neerven and G.J.Burgers, Nucl. Phys. B 297 (1988) 429; Erratum, ibidem B 304 (1988) 95;
 E A.Kuraev and V S.Fadin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1985) 466;
 G.Altarelli and G.Martinelli, Proceedings of the Workshop Physics at LEP', eds. J.Ellis and R.Peccei, Geneva, 1986, CERN 86-02;
 R.Kleiss, Nucl. Phys. B 347 (1990) 29;
 O.Nicrosini and L.Trentadue, Phys. Lett. B 196 (1987) 551; Z.Phys. C 39 (1988) 479.
- [9] T.Barklow, P.Chen and W.Kozanecki, in Ref. [3], part B, and references therein.
- [10] D.Bardin, D.Lehner and T.Riem ann, preprint DESY 96-028, February 1996.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 New Feynm an diagram s at tree-level which substitute those corresponding to the W W fusion channel when the photon is emitted by W bosons in the Higgs production mechanism (see diagram s 5{6 in Figs. 1{2 of Ref. [1]): (a) in case of process (5); (b) in case of process (6).
- Fig. 2 Cross sections of the processes: (a) (5) and (7); (b) (6) and (8); as a function of the Higgs mass, at p = 300 GeV (upper plot), p = 500 GeV (central plot), p = 1000 GeV (lower plot), after the cuts $p_T^{e,h}$; > 1 GeV and $\cos_{e=h} < 0.95$, where h = b or j as appropriate.

Fig. 3 Distributions in: (a) invariant m ass of the bb (left plots) and of the bb (right plots) systems for processes (5) and (7), for $M_{\rm H} = 60;100;140 \, {\rm GeV}$ at ${}^{\rm P}\bar{\rm s} = 300(500) \, [1000]$ GeV; (b) invariant m ass of the jjjj (left plots) and of the jjjj (right plots) systems for processes (6) and (8), for $M_{\rm H} = 180;220;260(180;260;340) \, [180;300;420] \, {\rm GeV}$ at ${}^{\rm P}\bar{\rm s} = 300(500) \, [1000] \, {\rm GeV}$. The following cuts have been applied: ${\rm p}_{\rm T}^{\rm e,h}$; > 1 GeV and $\cos_{\rm e=h} < 0.95$, where h = b or j as appropriate. The values of the CM energy are: ${}^{\rm P}\bar{\rm s} = 300 \, {\rm GeV}$ (upper plots, bins of 2 GeV); ${}^{\rm P}\bar{\rm s} = 500 \, {\rm GeV}$ (central plots, bins of 4 GeV); ${}^{\rm P}\bar{\rm s} = 1000 \, {\rm GeV}$ (bw erplots, bins of 5 GeV). In the left plots the upper histogram s refer to rates from the non-radiative processes (7) and (8), whereas the lower histogram s correspond to rates from the radiative processes (5) and (6). The latter are shaded.

Diagrams by MadGraph









