QCD at Large Angle and Axion Cosmology

Nick Evans, Stephen D. H. Hsu^y, Andreas Ny eler ^z and Myckola Schwetz^x
Sloane Physics Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

A bstract

We use the chiral Lagrangian to investigate the global properties of the N - avor QCD vacuum as a function of the parameter. In the case of exact quark degeneracy we not evidence for rst order phase transitions at = (odd integer). The rst order transitions are smoothed by quark mass splittings, although interesting elects remain at realistic quark masses. We emphasize the role of the odd condensate in our analysis. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results on the internal hadronic structure of axion domain walls and axion cosmology.

nick@zen.physics.ya.le.edu

^yhsu@ hsunext.physics.yale.edu

zny eler@genesis2.physics.yale.edu

^{*}m s@ genesis2.physics.ya.le.edu

1 Introduction

The QCD Lagrangian may be augmented with the gauge invariant term $GG=32^{2}$ which, despite being a total divergence, can have physical e ects due to gauge eld con gurations with non-trivial topology. In nature this term appears to be absent (or at least small { 10¹⁰ [1]), but it is of theoretical interest to determ ine the e ect such a term has on the theory. It is possible that understanding the e ects of a non-zero angle may help determ ine why the term is absent in the strong interactions. In this paper we shall study the angle on the QCD vacuum assuming it does not change the e ects of adding a non-zero pattern of chiral sym m etry breaking (SU (N) $_{\rm L}$ SU (N) $_{\rm R}$! SU (N) $_{\rm V}$) in the quark sector. While it is possible that adding a non-zero theta term to QCD may have more dramatic e ects, such as altering the nature of con nement [2, 3], we consider ours to be the more conservative assumption. In fact, it can be shown [4] that some exactly solved softly broken supersymmetric gauge theories which con ne by dyon condensation continue to do so for all 1 . If the behaviour of QCD is smooth with changing then the low energy theory may be described in terms of the standard chiral Lagrangian formalism. Witten [5] has previously studied this possibility and shown that there may be rst order phase transitions at He was particularly interested in the possibility of spontaneous CP violation at = that although \(\fi\) in general violates CP, = actually conserves CP). C reutz [6] further explored the phase structure of the mass degenerate chiral Lagrangian, demonstrating the existence of rst order phase transitions at = n (n 2 odd integers). Here we develop these observations with further study of these phase transitions, concentrating in particular on the role of the of m eson, and study their behavior in the presence of m ass splitting in the quark sector. We not that even for realistic values of the quark masses interesting e ects persist is varied from 0 to 2 . Finally, we discuss the implications of these phase transitions on axion models, in which is a dynamical variable. In particular, we investigate the internal hadronic structure of axion domain walls (previously studied by Huang and Sikivie [7]), and their coupling to therm aldegrees of freedom in the early universe. We conclude that the dom ain walls can e ciently dissipate their kinetic energy and therefore contract away very rapidly after the QCD phase transition.

2 N D egenerate Q uark F lavors

As a rst example we consider QCD with N quark avors and a non-zero angle

$$L = \frac{1}{4q^2}G G + _{i}(i D M)_{ij} + \frac{1}{32^2} G G$$
 (1)

 $^{^{\}rm f}$ H ow ever, in these m odels the low energy e ective angle depends in a complicated fashion on the bare angle.

where i runs over the N $\,$ avors, and we shall take M $\,=\,$ m I . The bare Lagrangian has an SU (N)_L $\,$ SU (N)_R $\,$ U (1)_V global $\,$ avor sym m etry weakly broken by the small current quark m asses. The axial U (1) sym m etry of the classical theory is anom alous in the quantum theory. U sing the chiral W ard Identity associated with the U (1)_A $\,$ sym m etry we m ay rotate the $\,$ term on to the quark m ass m atrix which becomes M $\,$ = M $\,$ e i $^{=N}$.

We shall assume that for all the theory con nest and breaks the chiral symmetry of the quarks to the vector subgroup. At low energies the theory may then be described by the standard SU (N) $_{\rm L}$ SU (N) $_{\rm R}$ chiral Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{tr}(0 \ U^{y}0 \ U) + Retr(M \ U^{y});$$
 (2)

where U (x) = $\exp(2i^aT^a=F)$ is an SU (N) m atrix. Taking a derivative with respect to M $_{ij}$ in (1) and (2) we note that

$$h_{i} ji = hU_{ji}^{Y}i;$$
 (3)

so U can be directly related to condensates of quark bilinears.

The behavior of the minimum of the potential with changing is easily determined when N = 2 since the trace of a general SU (2) matrix is real and the potential reduces to

$$_0 = m \cos(-2) R etrU m \cos(-2) u;$$
 (4)

where we have de ned u RetrU. The variable u varies between 1 and 1, and for $\cos(=2) \in 0$ the potential energy is minimized at one of those values. These vacua correspond to U matrices

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \tag{5}$$

When = (odd integer) the potential is exactly zero (at lowest order in the potential) and all U's are exactly degenerate. It is easy to see that at these values of there is a rst order phase transition with the vacuum jumping discontinuously between the two con gurations (5). We show the form of the potential V graphically in Figure 1 for varying and for the set of con gurations $U = \text{diag}(e^i; e^i)$ (non-zero corresponds to a ondensate). The vertical axis in the gure is measured in units of m. The rst order phase transition at $U = \text{diag}(e^i; e^i)$ is clear.


C reutz [6] has investigated the global behavior for general N . In this case there are N distinct m in m a of the form

$$U = e^{2n \text{ } i = N} I \qquad n = 1; 2; :::N$$
 (6)

occurring for the special values of

$$n = 2n$$
 $n = 1;2;::N$: (7)

These m inim a are connected by N rst order phase transitions at = (odd integer) . We note that the m inim a correspond to the vector avor sym m etry preserving diagonal SU (N) m atrices. The U (1)_A sym m etry provides a simple understanding of the origin of the N vacua in (6), which are characterized by bilinear quark condensates. The U (1)_A sym m etry of (1) is broken by the anomaly to a Z_{2N} discrete sym m etry acting on the quark elds. Therefore, discrete Z_N transform ations on the quark condensates relate equivalent vacua of the theory.



Figurel: Potential, scaled by m, for $U = diag(e^{i}; e^{i})$ and varying in the degenerate two avor case.

There is an important subtlety to discuss at this point. To include the e ects of the angle in the chiral Lagrangian we have made a U (1)_A rotation on the quark elds and moved the dependence into the quark mass matrix. To put the low energy Lagrangians of bare theories with dierent angles into the form of (2), dierent axial rotations have been made in each case. Before comparing the quark condensates in dierent models we must undo (or compensate for) this axial rotation. We denote the matrix of condensates in the original basis of (1) as $U_0 = e^{i \to N} U$. In the original basis the overall phase of the quark condensate changes smoothly with and a discontinuous jump in the 0 condensate occurs at =. This is shown in Figure 2 for the case of N = 2, where the U_0 is parametrized as

$$U_0 = e^{i = 2} e^{i} = 0$$

$$0 e^{i} A : (8)$$

A first including the compensatory axial rotation, the vacua at = 0;2 are now identical, and given by

$$U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : (9)$$

Sim ilarly, in the N avor case the compensatory rotations also cancel the phase factors in (6). Thus in the original quark basis the N vacua found by C reutz are actually the same vacuum and correspond to $U_0 = I$.




Figure 2: Phases of the vacuum U_0 m atrix as a function of in the degenerate two avor case.

In the two avor case, for = , the (compensated) m in im a are respectively
$$U_0(+) = \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & 0 & A \\ 0 & i \end{smallmatrix}, \quad U_0() = \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{smallmatrix}$$

Since they have an associated phase they violate CP. This model realizes the possibility discussed by W itten that at = there may be spontaneous CP violation by the occurence of two CP violating vacua. (CP is not explicitly violated when = since physics is invariant to 2 shifts in , and CP acts by changing the sign of any phase in the theory, = are equivalent.)

3 Large N_c

To better understand the physical implications of the vacuum dependence on it is helpful to consider the problem in an SU (N_c) gauge theory at large N_c . In this context the phase of U can be identified with a condensate in the 0 eld. It is well known that the anomaly in the U (1)_A symmetry is the result of the quark triangle graph which is suppressed at leading order in $1=N_c$. Thus at large N_c the full U (N_c)_L U (N_c) global symmetry on the

ferm ions is restored. The ferm ion condensates break the symmetry to U (N) $_{\rm V}$ and there are N 2 goldstone bosons with the new state being identified with the 0 . At O (1=N $_{\rm C}$) in the N $_{\rm C}$ expansion the 0 acquires a small mass. If for a given large value of N $_{\rm C}$ we take the quark masses to zero then this subleading term can dominate the 0 mass. In [8] it was argued that this is the limit most appropriate to realistic QCD. We can hope therefore to obtain some insight into the transition described above by looking to the large N $_{\rm C}$ limit.

The e ective theory at large N c is [5]

$$L = \frac{F^{2}}{4} tr(0 U^{y}0 U) + Retr(M U^{y}) - \frac{1}{2} ()^{2}$$
 (11)

Here U contains the N 2 goldstone degrees of freedom, and is no longer restricted to unit determ inant. The additional phase degree of freedom corresponds to the 0 m eson, with

$$\det U(x) = e^{i(x)}$$

and

$$(x) = \frac{p}{\frac{2N}{F}} \circ : \tag{12}$$

To obtain (11) we have performed an axial rotation on the bare Lagrangian to move the dependence into them assmatrix, then undone the rotation by absorbing with a shift in the ed. This rede nition of the phase of U corresponds exactly to the previously discussed subtlety of ensuring that we compare theories with dierent in the same quark basis. It is important to note that the nalterm in (11) is only the rst term in an expansion in of a function that is 2 periodic.

Restricting our attention again to the case N = 2 the potential of the large N_c theory is

$$V = Retr(M U^{y}) + \frac{1}{2}()^{2}$$
: (13)

We are interested in the case $= m \cdot ! \cdot 1$, where the 0 is very heavy, and we expect to recover the analysis of the SU (2) chiral Lagrangian above. The second term in the potential dom inates and is minimized by = .As changes between 0 and 2 the phase of the mass matrix, which is the 0 condensate, behaves as in Figure 2. An ambiguity in the description arises here because a 0 condensate corresponding to = .As the same e ect as an 0 condensate of = .2 on the matrix U in (8). This ambiguity is resolved in the presence of mass splittings, as the discontinuities are smoothed, and one can resolve the dierent behaviors of the 0 and 0 condensates. We discuss the elect of mass splittings below.

4 Mass Splitting

Returning to the SU (N) chiral Lagrangian we may now consider the elects of splitting the quark masses. The potential is then

$$V = \int_{i}^{N_{X}} \int_{i}^{1} m_{i} \cos(i + N_{i}) m_{i} \cos(i + N_{i}) + \int_{i}^{N_{X}} \int_{i}^{1} (14)$$

As before we have made an equal axial rotation on each quark avor to shift the angle onto the quark mass matrix. The minima are of the form

$$hU i = diag e^{i_1}; e^{i_2}; ...; e^{i_{N-1}}; e^{i_{N-1}}$$
 (15)

If we take m $_{\rm N}$ =m $_{\rm i}$! 1 the last term in (14) dom inates and the heavy quark condensate acquires a phase $_{\rm i}^{\rm P}$ $_{\rm i}$ $_{\rm i}$ = =N . In other words the phase of the N th diagonal element of UM goes to zero corresponding to decoupling of the heavy quark and the problem reduces to that in which the angle has been rotated onto just the N 1 light quarks. This is why the strong CP problem cannot be solved by simply rotating the angle solely onto a heavy quark such as the top. In nature the charm, bottom and top quarks are su ciently heavy that they essentially decouple from the dependence of the vacuum.

For interm ediate mass splittings we must minimize (14). For N=2 this is most easily done numerically. Minimizing (14) and rotating the resulting U back to the quark basis of (1) we have

$$U_0 = e^{i = 2} e^{i} = 0$$

$$0 e^{i}$$

$$0 e^{i}$$
(16)

We show the phase that m in im izes the potential at varying for increasing m ass splitting in Figure 3. The rst order phase transition is smoothed out by the mass splitting and as $m_d = m_u$! 1 we recover the one avor case for which there is a single vacuum.




Figure 3: The phase vs in the presence of mass splitting.

W ith m ass splittings there is only a single CP conserving m in im um at =:

$$U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (17)

This minimum violates the vector symmetry of the model, however, this is just a rejection of the explicit breaking of that symmetry in the non-degeneracy of the quark masses. Furthermore, in this case one can easily see by examining the form of U that both the $^{\circ}$ and the $^{\circ}$ condensates are changing with .

The three avor case is more complicated. The m in immization equations reduce to (with $U = diag(e^{i}; e^{i(+)})$)

$$m_u \sin (=3) = m_d \sin (=3) = m_s \sin (+ + =3)$$
 (18)

The behavior is most easily studied by displaying the solutions at = , where phase transitions are likely to occur. There are several types of solutions to (18). Trivial solutions occur when the sine functions are all simultaneously zero. For realistic quark masses (m $_{\rm u}$ 5M eV , m $_{\rm d}$ 10M eV , m $_{\rm s}$ 150M eV) one of these solutions is the global (and only) minimum corresponding to the heavy strange quark having decoupled and the minimum closely resembles the N = 2 case with m $_{\rm u}$ \oplus m $_{\rm d}$ (See Figure 3). Non-trivial solutions were studied previously by W itten [5], and occur when the quark masses satisfy

$$m_u m_d m_s j m_d m_u j;$$
 (19)

which includes the degenerate mass limit discussed above. They satisfy

$$1 \quad \cos(+ + = 3) = \frac{m_u^2 m_d^2 - m_s^2 (m_d - m_u)^2}{2m_s^2 m_u m_d} : \tag{20}$$

These latter solutions exhibit spontaneous CP violation. In this case the trivial solutions discussed above become points of in ection. Witten's solutions are probably excluded for realistic values of the light quark masses.

As an explicit example we have examined the behavior with varying of the Large-N_c e ective Lagrangian. We used the physical; 0 m asses to determine = = 200 MeV and m_s = 150 MeV. With such large values of and m_s the and m_s dependence of the solutions e ectively decouples, and we are left with the behavior of the SU(2) chiral Lagrangian. For example, taking m_u = m_d = 7 MeV, we recover the rst order transition of the degenerate two avor case. Minimization in the SU(3) degrees of freedom in U = e $^{i=3}$ diag($e^{i(+)}$; $e^{i(-+)}$; $e^{i(2-)}$) leads to discontinuities in the 0 , and 0 condensates at = (Figures 4,5).




Figure 4: The value of the potential at the m in im um as a function of for the case of degenerate u and d quarks, $m = 7 \, \text{M eV}$, $m_s = 150 \, \text{M eV}$, $m_s = 200 \, \text{M eV}$.




Figure 5: The condensates / 0 , / , / 0 as functions of .

If one m oves away from = one of the two solutions of the m in imization equation (18) becomes the global m in imum, whereas the other solution is a local m in imum. By crossing = the global m in imum switches between the two branches. This description is valid in the vicinity of = . For j \Rightarrow mu=ms the second (local) m in imum disappears and there is only one (global) m in imum. The situation is similar for a small mass splitting between mu and md, although Witten's condition (19) is modified for \bullet . Finally, for large mass splittings there is only one min imum of the potential for all values of (see also [6]).

5 Axion Cosmology

The results described above have some interesting implications for axion cosmology, particularly for non-trivial congurations like axion domain walls (ADW s). The internal structure of the ADW was investigated previously by Huang and Sikivie [7], who were the rst to notice its internal $^{\circ}$ structure. In this section we emphasize the additional ; $^{\circ}$ structure of the wall and the nature of the coupling of an ADW to thermal degrees of freedom below the QCD phase transition.

In axion models [1] the role of the parameter is played by a dynamical axion eld a, whose potential energy is minimized at very close to zero, thus providing a solution to the strong CP problem. The models must exhibit an anomalous Peccei-Quinn symmetry, U $(1)_{PQ}$, at high energies, which is spontaneously broken at some scale f_{PQ} .

Because of the spontaneous breaking of a U (1) sym m etry, the cosm ology of these m odels is som ewhat involved. At tem peratures below $f_{P\,Q}$, global cosm ological strings are form ed, which persist until the QCD chiral phase transition (unless in ation occurs with reheat tem perature below $f_{P\,Q}$). At the QCD phase transition the degeneracy corresponding to di erent values of hai= $f_{P\,Q}$ is lifted, with the energy minimized at = 2 n; n 2 Z in the simplest (N=1) axion models. Since the axion eld undergoes a winding about the axis of the string, after the chiral phase transition the axion strings become connected by domain walls in which the axion eld interpolates from = 0 to = 2 over a lengthscale m_a^{-1} . Our earlier results imply that there are also domain walls in the 0 , and 0 condensates across the ADW. We note that the physical axion and Goldstone elds are obtained by diagonalizing the low energy mass matrix, see for example [9].

The subsequent evolution of a network of axion strings connected by dom ain walls was studied in [10], with the conclusion that the ADW s could persist for many horizon times and eventually even a ect structure formation. This conclusion relies on the assumption that the ADW is extremely weakly coupled to the thermal background particles. In [7] this assumption was justified by detailed calculations. However, only the 0 component of the ADW was considered in [7], and in particular only the derivative couplings of the 0 to nucleons. The derivative couplings lead to elects which are suppressed by the spatial derivative of the wall, which is m_a and hence very small.

There are additional interactions of the ADW $\,$ with the thermal background which lead us to believe that, in fact, the ADW $\,$ is strongly coupled and can rapidly dissipate excess energy. The relevant couplings are due to

The internal ; 0 structure of the wall, which couples strongly to all hadronic therm al modes. In particular, the 0 interactions can be estimated from the large-N $_{\text{C}}$ e ective

k It also assum es that an ADW does not rapidly destroy itself by self-intersection.

Lagrangian, yielding interactions such as n-point 0 vertices which are suppressed at most by powers of 1=N $_{c}$, but are otherwise of typical strong interaction size.

The non-derivative couplings of the 0 domain wall, which are suppressed by powers of the light quark masses (explicit chiral symmetry breaking) but which are still non-negligible. For example there are four interactions with coe cient $m = f^{4}$.

Due to the interactions mentioned above, the ADW will appear as a potential well of depth $_{QCD}$ to the thermalhadrons at the QCD phase transition, which we expect to scatter with probability of order one. The ADW will contract at a rate [7]

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{dl^2}{dt} = \hat{l}$$
 (21)

where l is the size of the ADW, f_{PQ} f m is the surface tension of the domain wall and the hadron energy density. We will focus on the contribution to (21) from pions, because they are likely to have the highest energy density after the QCD phase transition. Since the quark condensate approaches zero at the chiral phase transition, we expect that the elective masses of the pions should be reduced. We therefore estimate the pion energy density to be given roughly by the standard relativistic formula formassless (m << T) particles

$$= \frac{2}{30} T^4 \qquad f^4 : \qquad (22)$$

This should be compared with the energy density of axions [7] which is much smaller:

$$_{a}$$
 10 9 f²m 2 : (23)

The contraction rate of the ADW from interactions with the $\,$ and $\,$ thermal background is therefore very large

$$\frac{\mathrm{dl}}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{f}^2}{\mathrm{f}_{PO}} 1: \tag{24}$$

The rate of shrinkage in (24) is to be compared with the horizon doubling time $M_P = f^2$. Since the Planck scale $M_P >> f_{PQ}$, we expect the ADW to contract away in a relatively short cosmological timescale, even if the elects of self-intersections are ignored.

A cknow ledgem ents

The authors would like to thank Pierre Sikivie for useful discussions. This work was supported under DOE contract DE-ACO2-ERU3075. A N. also acknow ledges the support of Schweizerischer Nationalfonds.

R eferences

- [1] For a recent review, see, e.g., QCD, Strong CP and Axions, R. Peccei, hep-ph/9606475.
- [2] G. tHooff, Phys. Scr. 24 841 (1981); Phys. Scr. 25 133 (1981); Nucl. Phys. B 190, 455 (1981).
- B] G. Schierholz, Theta vacua, Con nement and the Continuum Limit, Talk given at LAT-TICE 94: 12th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, Bielefeld, Germany, 27 Sep -1 Oct 1994. Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 42, 270 (1995).
- [4] N. Evans, S.D. H. Hsu and M. Schwetz, Yale preprint YCTP-13-96, hep-th/9608135.
- [5] E.W itten, Ann. Phys. 128 363 (1980).
- [6] M. Creutz, Phys. Rev. D 52 2951 (1995).
- [7] M.C. Huang and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. D 32 1560 (1985); L.F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. B 120 13 (1983); P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 1156 (1982).
- [8] N. Evans, S.D. H. H. su and M. Schwetz, Yale preprint YCTP-P9-96, hep-ph/9605267, to appear in Phys. Lett. B.
- [9] H. Georgi, D. Kaplan and L. Randall, Phys. Lett. B 169 73 (1986); K. Choi, K. Kang and J.E. Kim, Phys. Lett. B 181 145 (1986).
- [10] F.W. Stecker and Q. Sha, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 928 (1983).