# Back-reaction in the presence of therm alizing collisions

JM.Eisenberg

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Frankfurt 60054 Frankfurt-am -Main, Germany and School of Physics and Astronom y Raym ond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences

TelAviv University, 69978 TelAviv, Israel

August, 1996

Dedicated to the memory of Larry Biedenharn

#### A bstract

P reequilibrium parton production following an ultrarelativistic nucleus { nucleus collision is studied in terms of the decay of a strong chrom celectric eld which generates pairs through the Schwinger mechanism. Back-reaction of the partons with the eld is included and a model transport equation containing a collision term is solved for the central rapidity region based on an approximation in which the partons relax to a thermal distribution.

Perm anent address. Em ail address: judah@ giulio.tau.ac.il.

#### 1 Introduction

In recent years it has proved possible to solve the problem of back-reaction in the context of preequilibrium parton production in the quark (gluon plasm a [1, 2]. This addresses the scenario in which two ultrarelativistic nuclei collide and generate color charges on each other, which in turn create a chrom oelectric eld between the receding disk-like nuclei. Parton pairs then tunnel out of this chrom oelectric eld through the Schwinger mechanism [3, 4, 5] and may eventually reach therm al equilibrium if the plasm a conditions pertain for a su cient length of time. While the tunneling and the therm alizing collisions proceed, the chrom celectric eld accelerates the partons, producing a current which in turn modi es the eld. This back-reaction may eventually set up plasm a oscillations.

This picture for preequilibrium parton production has been studied in a transport form alism [6] in which the chrom coelectric eld is taken to be classical and abelian and collisions between the partons are completely ignored so that the only interaction of the partons is with the classical electric eld, this interaction being the source of the back-reaction. A lternatively, the mutual scattering between partons has been considered in an approximation that assumes rapid thermalization and treats the collisions in a relaxation approximation about the thermal distribution [7]; in this study no back-reaction was allowed. Both interparton collisions and back-reaction were considered in a calculation [8] done in the hydrodynam ic limit, which thus took into account only electric conduction within the parton plasm a. All of these studies focused on the region of central rapidity.

The m ore recent calculations [1, 2] carried out a comparison between the transport form alism for back-reaction and the results of a eld-theory calculation for the equivalent situation (see also [9, 10, 11]) and found a remarkable similarity between the quantal, eld-theory results and those of the classical transport equations using a Schwinger source term. This link has also been established form ally to a certain degree [12]. (This close relationship tends to fail, in part, for a system con ned to a

nite volum e as a dimension of this volum e becomes comparable with the reciprocal parton e ective mass [13].) The studies relating eld theory with transport form alism were all carried out under the assumption of a classical, abelian electric eld and no parton (parton scattering. The removal of the assumption of a classical eld,

and thus the inclusion of interparticle scattering through the exchange of quanta, has been considered quite recently [14] for one spatial dimension.

The study reported here is carried out within the framework of the transport form alism (the parallel eld-theory case is also currently under study [15]) and incorporates both back-reaction and a collision term in the approximation of relaxation to therm all equilibrium. Thus it assumes that therm alization takes place fast enough so that it makes sense to speak of the ongoing tunneling of partons, with back-reaction, as the collisions produce conditions of therm all equilibrium. It may be seen as com – bining the features of the studies of B ialas and C zyz [6] with those of K a jantie and M atsui [7], or of paralleling the calculation [8] of G ato , K erm an, and M atsui, but at the level of the transport form alism without further appeal to hydrodynam ics. A long with the other studies noted, it restricts itself to the region of central rapidity. The study provides a model for com paring the interplay between the therm alizing e ects of particle collisions and the plasm a oscillations produced by back-reaction.

#### 2 Form alism

The transport form alism for back-reaction using boost-invariant variables has been presented previously in considerable detail [1] and is modiled here only by the appearance of the collision term in the approximate form appropriate to relaxation to therm all equilibrium [7]. The Boltzm ann {V lasov equation in 3 + 1 dimensions then reads, in the notation of [1],

$$p \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \quad ep F \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = S + C; \qquad (1)$$

where f = f(q;p) is the distribution function, S is the Schwinger source term, and C is the relaxation-approximation collision term. The electromagnetic eld is F and the electric charge e: The variables we take are

$$q = (;x;y;); p = (p;p_x;p_y;p);$$
 (2)

where  $= \frac{p}{t^2} \frac{z^2}{z^2}$  is the proper time and  $= \frac{1}{2} \log[(t + z) = (t - z)]$  is the rapidity. Thus, as usual, the ordinary, laboratory-fram e coordinates are given by

$$z = \sinh ;$$
  $t = \cosh ;$  (3)

The momentum coordinates in eq. (2) relate to the laboratory momenta through

$$p = (Et pz) = ; p = Ez + tp;$$
 (4)

where E is the energy and p is the z-com ponent of the m om entum, the z-axis having been taken parallel to the initial nucleus {nucleus collision direction or initial electric eld direction.

Inserting expressions [1, 7] for the source term S and for the collision term C, and restricting to 1 + 1 dimensions, the Boltzm ann {V lasov equation becomes

$$\frac{@f}{@} + e E()\frac{@f}{@p} = (1 \ 2f)e f()jlog \ 1 \ exp \ \frac{m^2}{jeE()j} (p) \\ \frac{f \ f_{eq}}{c}:$$
(5)

Here the upper sign refers throughout to boson production and the lower sign to the ferm ion case, and we have incorporated the necessary [1] boson enhancement and ferm ion blocking factor (1 2f): The electric eld is given for these variables by

$$E() = \frac{F}{d} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{dA}{d};$$
 (6)

where A = A () is the only nonvanishing component of the electrom agnetic fourvector potential in these coordinates. In eq. (5) we have assumed, as usual, that pairs emerge with vanishing p; which is the boost-invariant equivalent of the conventional assumption that pairs are produced with zero momentum in the laboratory frame.

The therm al equilibrium distribution is

$$f_{eq}(p; ) = \frac{1}{\exp[p=T]};$$
 (7)

where T is the system temperature, determined at each moment in proper time from the requirement [7]

$$\frac{dp}{2} f(p;) p = \frac{dp}{2} f_{eq}[T();p;]p; (8)$$

here and throughout  $p = \frac{q}{m^2 + p^2 = 2}$ ; where m is the parton e ective mass, and the independent variables in terms of which the transport equations are evolved are p and : In eq. (5), <sub>c</sub> is the collision time or time for relaxation to therm al equilibrium.

Back-reaction generates variations in E() as a function of proper-time through the M axwell equation

$$\frac{dE}{d} = j^{\text{cond}} + j^{\text{pol}} = 2e^{Z} \frac{dp}{2 p} f p$$
[1 2f(p = 0; )]<sup>m</sup> e sign [E()]  
( "" p^{2} + j^{2})  
log 1 exp (p^{2} + j^{2}); (9)

here the two contributions on the right-hand side are for the conduction and polarization currents, respectively. Note that in 1 + 1 dimensions the units of electric charge e and of the electric eld E are both energy. For num erical convenience [1] a new variable is introduced, namely,

$$u = \log (m); = (1=m) \exp (u):$$
 (10)

Equations (5) and (9) are to be solved as a system of partial dimension in the independent variables p and for the dependent variables f and E; determining the tem perature T at each proper-time step from the consistency condition of eq. (8).

### 3 Num erical results and conclusions

The num erical procedures used here are patterned after those of ref. [13], and involve either the use of a Lax m ethod or a m ethod of characteristics. In practice the latter is considerably m ore e cient in this context and all results reported here are based on it. W e note that these m ethods are completely di erent from those used in ref. [1]; as a check on num erical procedures we veri ed that full agreem ent was achieved w ith the results reported there. All quantities having dimensions of energy are scaled [1] here to units of the parton e ective m ass m; while quantities with dimensions of length are given in terms of the inverse of this quantity, 1=m :

In order to present a relatively limited number of cases, we x all our initial conditions at u = 2 in terms of the variable of eq. (10). At that point in proper time we take E = 4; with no partons present; the charge is set to e = 1: This has been found [1] to be a rather representative case; in particular, little is changed by applying the initial conditions at u = 0 rather than at u = 2: We shall exhibit results for three values of c; namely 0.2, 1, and 10.

O ur results are presented in g.1 for boson production and in g.2 for fermions. The uppermost graph in each case shows the tem perature derived from the consistency condition of eq. (8) while the middle curves are for the electric eld E and the lower graph gives the total currents. Both for bosons and for fermions, the cases with  $_{\rm c} = 0.2$  and  $_{\rm c} = 1$  involve a collision term that damps the distributions very rapidly. Thus no signs of plasm a oscillations, which would arise if back-reaction came into play unhindered, are seen. For these values, the electric eld and total current damp rather quickly to zero, and a xed value of T is reached. The tem perature peaks at around 1:5m for the boson cases, and near 2m for fermions. The tem perature ultim ately achieved depends, of course, on  $_{\rm c}$ :

For <sub>c</sub> = 10; the plasm a oscillations of back-reaction are clearly visible in the electric eld and in the total current, both for bosons and for ferm ions. In fact, these cases are rather sim ilar to their counterparts without therm alizing collisions [1], except for greater dam ping, especially of the current, when therm alization is involved. The plasm a frequency is changed only a little by this dam ping. The plasm a oscillations are relected very slightly in the tem perature behavior in a ripple at the onset of the oscillations, where they naturally have their largest excursion. However, the oscillations have the elect of pushing of the region at which a constant tem perature is reached. Extending the calculation further out in the variable u; one nds that the tem perature in that case levels o around u 5 at a value of T 0:38 for bosons and 0.39 for ferm ions.

In conclusion, this calculation allows an exploration of the transition between a dom ain dom inated by parton collisions that bring about rapid therm alization in the quark {gluon plasm a and a dom ain governed in m a jor degree by back-reaction. In the rst situation, the electric eld from which the parton pairs tunnel, and the current which is produced from these pairs by acceleration in the eld, both decay sm oothly to zero and a term inaltern perature is reached. In the latter case, plasm a oscillations set in which delay som ewhat the achievem ent of a nal constant tem perature. This qualitative di erence between the two situations occurs for collision tim es about an order of m agnitude larger than the reciprocal e ective parton m ass.

Note added in proof: A fler this paper was completed and posted in the Los A lam os archive, I learned of a similar study carried out by B. Banerjee, R.S. Bhalerao, and V. Ravishankar [Phys. Lett. B 224 (1989) 16]. The present work has several features that are di erent from the previous one, notably, the application to bosons as well as to ferm ions and the inclusion of factors for Bose{E instein enhancement or Ferm i(D irac blocking in the Schwinger source term . By comparing with the eld theory results, these factors have been found to be of considerable in portance [1, 2, 10, 11]. The earlier work uses massless ferm ions, and, while the initial motion is taken to be one-dimensional as here, it includes a transverse momentum distribution, so that it is di cult to make a direct quantitative comparison between the two studies. There are also a number of technical di erences between the calculations. Qualitatively, very sim ilar behavior is found and the earlier study points out very clearly the necessity for treating the interplay between back-reaction and therm alization. I am very grateful to Professor R S. Bhalerao for acquainting m e with this earlier reference.

It is a pleasure to acknow ledge useful conversations with Fred Cooper, Salm an Habib, Em il Mottola, Sebastian Schmidt, and Ben Svetitsky on the subject matter of this paper. I also wish to express my warm thanks to Professor Walter Greiner and the Institute for Theoretical Physics at the University of Frankfurt and to Fredrick Cooper and Em il Mottola at Los Alam os National Laboratory for their kind hospitality while this work was being carried out. This research was funded in part by the U.S.-Israel B inational Science Foundation, in part by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft, and in part by the N e'em an Chair in Theoretical Nuclear Physics at TelA viv University.

#### References

- [1] F. Cooper, JM. Eisenberg, Y. Kluger, E. Mottola, and B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 190.
- [2] Reviewed in Y.Kluger, JM. Eisenberg, and B. Svetitsky, Int. J.M od. Phys. E
   2 (1993) 333, where further references m ay be found.
- [3] F. Sauter, Z. Phys. 69 (1931) 742.
- [4] W .Heisenberg and H.Euler, Z.Phys. 98 (1936) 714.
- [5] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.
- [6] A.Bialas and W.Czyz, Phys. Rev.D 30 (1984) 2371; 31 (1985) 198; Z.Phys.C
  28 (1985) 255; Nucl. Phys.B 267 (1985) 242; Acta Phys. Pol. B17 (1986) 635.
- [7] K.Kajantie and T.Matsui, Phys. Lett. B 164 (1985) 373.
- [8] G.Gato, A.K.Kerman, and T.Matsui, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 114.
- [9] F. Cooper and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 456.
- [10] Y. Kluger, JM. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper, and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 2427.
- [11] Y. Kluger, JM. Eisenberg, B. Svetitsky, F. Cooper, and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4659.
- [12] Ch.Best and JM.Eisenberg, Phys.Rev.D 47 (1993) 463.
- [13] JM. Eisenberg, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1938.
- [14] F. Cooper, S. Habib, Y. K luger, E. Mottola, JP. Paz, and PR. Anderson, Phys. Rev.D 50 (1994) 2848.
- [15] JM.Eisenberg, work in progress.

## Figure captions:-

1. Tem perature T; electric eld E; and total current j for the case of boson production. The curves are labeled with the values of the collision time or time for relaxation to therm al equilibrium  $_{\rm c}$  introduced in eq. (5):  $_{\rm c} = 0.2$ ; 1, and 10.

2. Same as g. 1, but for ferm ion production.



