

LOW ENERGY THEOREMS AND THE SU(3)-FLUX-TUBE

Michael Rueter

Institut für theoretische Physik

Universität Heidelberg

Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, FRG

e-mail: M.Rueter@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

The total energy and action, which is stored in the flux-tube between a static quark-antiquark pair, can be compared with the potential of the pair with the help of two low energy theorems. The flux-tube and the potential are calculated in the framework of the model of the stochastic vacuum. Using the low energy theorems we obtain consistency of the results and can predict the scale where the model describes the non-perturbative gluon dynamics of QCD.

1 Introduction

The treatment of QCD processes involving large distances is very complicated, because perturbation theory is not applicable. The model of the stochastic vacuum (MSV) makes the crucial assumption that the complicated infra-red measure of the functional integral in non-Abelian gauge theories can be adequately approximated by a stochastic process with a converging cluster expansion [1]-[3]. This assumption already leads to a confining potential. In order to make quantitative predictions one has to make an additional strong simplification and assume the stochastic process to be a Gaussian one. For a detailed description and applications of the MSV we refer to [3][4].

In this note we work with an Euclidean field theory where the square of the electric field has the opposite sign of the same quantity in a Minkowski field theory (MFT), whereas the square of the magnetic field has the same sign in both theories:

$$E_{\text{EFT}}^2 = -E_{\text{MFT}}^2; \quad B_{\text{EFT}}^2 = B_{\text{MFT}}^2:$$

Here and in the following a sum over the $N_C^2 - 1$ color components is understood.

2 The low energy theorems

By differentiating the expectation value of a Wilson-loop

$$\langle W[L] \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int DA \exp[-S_{\text{QCD}}] \text{Tr} P \exp \left[i \oint_L A \cdot dx \right];$$

$$N = \int \mathcal{D}A \exp[- S_{QCD}];$$

$$S_{QCD} = \frac{1}{8} \int_{s=0}^Z d^4x \text{Tr} [F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x)]; F_{\mu\nu} = g_0 F_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} \quad (1)$$

with respect to the unrenormalized coupling we obtained in [5] for the renormalized quantities:

$$\frac{\partial V(R)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s=0}^Z d^3x \text{Tr} [F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}(x)]_{>R}; \quad (2)$$

where

$$V(R) = \lim_{T \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log \langle W[L] \rangle}{T} \quad (3)$$

and $\langle \dots \rangle_R$ shall denote the expectation value in the presence of a static quark-antiquark pair at distance R where the expectation value in absence of the sources has been subtracted. By using renormalization group arguments we find

$$V(R) + R \frac{\partial V(R)}{\partial R} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s=0}^Z d^3x [E(x)^2 + B(x)^2]_{>R} \quad (4)$$

with $\tilde{\sim}(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\sim}(s)$. This is the low energy theorem for the action and the one for the energy is the usual relation between the potential and the energy density:

$$V(R) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s=0}^Z d^3x [E(x)^2 + B(x)^2]_{>R}; \quad (5)$$

For the case of a linear potential these are just two of the known low energy theorems [6]. In lattice QCD these theorems are known as Michael's sum rules [7], but there in the action sum rule scaling of $V(R)$ with R was not taken into account.

3 Consistency of the SU(3)-flux-tube with the low energy theorems

In ref.[8] we calculated the color-elds in the flux-tube between a static quark-antiquark pair. It was shown that by symmetry arguments $\langle g^2 B^2 \rangle_R = 0$. The square of the electric eld perpendicular to the loop L ($\langle g^2 E_\perp^2 \rangle$) is also practically not induced but only the squared electric eld parallel to L ($\langle g^2 E_k^2 \rangle$) is affected by the static sources. In eq.(1) we display $\langle g^2 E_k^2 \rangle_R$ as a function of the perpendicular distance x_\perp from the loop L and of the position along the quark-antiquark axis x_3 . The lengths are given in units of the




Figure 1: Difference of the squared electric field parallel to the quark-antiquark (x_3) axis ($\langle g^2 E_k^2(x_3; x_?) \rangle_R$) in $\frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{fm}^3}$ for different quark separations R . $x_?$ is the distance transverse to the x_3 -axis and the dots denote the quark positions.

correlation length a of the gluon field strengths, which is fixed to $a = 0.35 \text{ fm}$ in the M SV. By using the energy sum rule eq.(5) with $\langle g^2 B^2 \rangle_R = 0$ we $x_s = 0.57$ at the largest qq distance. The comparison of the total energy stored in the flux-tube with the potential is then shown in fig.(2). For a linear




Figure 2: The total energy stored in the field (dots) calculated with the energy sum rule (5) as compared with the potential of a q-q-pair obtained by evaluation of the Wilson-loop in the M SV [1][2] (solid line).

potential and $\langle g^2 B^2 \rangle_R = 0$ eq.(4) and eq.(5) can only be fulfilled if $\tilde{\gamma}_s = -2$ at the scale where $\gamma_s = 0.57$. Using the perturbative calculated $\tilde{\gamma}$ -function we check this condition in eq.(3). Finally we calculate the ratio of

$$Q = \frac{\int_R d^3x \langle B^2(x) \rangle_R}{\int_R d^3x \langle E^2(x) \rangle_R} = \frac{2 + \tilde{\gamma}_s}{2 - \tilde{\gamma}_s}$$

which can be calculated in lattice gauge calculations.




Figure 3: \tilde{m}_s as a function of s for the \tilde{m}_s function calculated in different loop order. The 3-loop results depends on the renormalization scheme. To fulfill $\tilde{m}_s = -2$ at $s = 0.57$ we fitted the 3-loop coefficient to be $a_2 = 6250$, which agrees curiously with some lattice results [9].




Figure 4: The ratio Q as a function of s .

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank H.G. Dosch and O. Nachtmann, with whom this work was done.

References

1. H.G. Dosch, Phys.Lett.B 190 (1987) 177
2. H.G. Dosch, Y.A. Simonov, Phys.Lett.B 205 (1988) 339
3. H.G. Dosch, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.33 (1994) 121
4. H.G. Dosch, E. Ferreira, A. Kramer, Phys.Rev.D 50 (1994) 1992
5. H.G. Dosch, O. Nachtmann, M. Rueter, hep-ph-9503386
6. V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, Nucl.Phys.B 191 (1981) 301
A.I. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, Sov.J.Part.Nucl.13 (1982) 224
7. C. Michael, Nucl.Phys.B 280 (1987) 13
8. M. Rueter, H.G. Dosch, Z.Phys.C 66 (1995) 245
9. M. Luscher, R. Sommer, P. Weisz, U. Wolke, Nucl.Phys.B 413 (1994) 481