arXiv:hep-ph/9610227v1 3 Oct 1996

BIHEP-TH-96-19

QCD Sum Rules for The Double Ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d})$ in HQET

Tao Huang[1,2], Zuo-Hong Li[2] and Chuan-Wang Luo[2]

[1]CCAST(World Laboratory)P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P. R. China,

[2]Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O.Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, P. R. China.*

Abstract

The double ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d})$ is calculated by QCD sum rules in heavy quark effective theory (HQET), both numerically and analytically. Our expression for the double ratio shows explicitly the dependence on the light quark masses, the heavy quark symmetry breaking and the vacuum condensates. The numerical result favors the double ratio to be a little greater than 1.

*mailing address

Precision determination of the double ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d})$ is of important interest in understanding the $B-\bar{B}$ mixing phenomena. Both lattice and QCD sum rule calculations[1, 2] have given that f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} and f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} are close to 1. Grinstein[3], based on the phenomenological Lagrangian incorporating heavy quark and chiral symmetries, has found the double ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_d}/f_{D_d}) = 0.967$. Oakes[4] has also done similar work by making use of the Wigner-Eackart theorem concluding that $f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = 0.989$, $f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} = 0.985$ and $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_d}/f_{D_d}) = 1.004$. Also, It is noted that there is a difference in the sign of correction term away from 1 between Grinstein's and Oakes's expressions for the double ratio. Combination of QCD sum rule method with HQET, as a powerful approach to nonperturbative dynamics in the weak decays of heavy hadrons, provides us with a possibility to discuss the double ratio from QCD theory. In the previous paper[5], we have estimated the decay constants of heavy mesons and their SU(3) breaking effects by using QCD sum rule in HQET, obtaining $f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = 1.17 \pm 0.03$ and $f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} = 1.13 \pm 0.03$. Therefore, the double ratio can be extracted from the above results as $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1.035 \pm 0.06$. Obviously, the indirect calculation brings about a large error. To get a more precise result, in the present work we try to discuss it directly within the QCD sum rule framework of HQET. It should be emphasized that in our approach the SU(3) symmetry breaking effect in the double ratio, as has been shown in Ref.[5], depends on not only the current quark masses, but also the condensates $< 0|\bar{q}q|0 >$ and $< 0|\bar{q}\sigma Gq|0 >$. This is different from the investigations of Grinstein and Oakes, where the SU(3) symmetry breaking is determined only by the current quark masses.

let's begin with a brief review on Grinstein's and Oakes's works. Decay constants of heavy

mesons M are defined as

$$< 0|\overline{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 Q|M >= if_M P_\mu. \tag{1}$$

According to Grinstein[3], the ratio of decay constant, for instance, f_{Bs}/f_{Bd} , can be expanded in a quantity $m_s/\Lambda(\Lambda$ is QCD scale) if only $SU(3)$ breaking is taken into account, $f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = 1+\frac{m_s}{\Lambda}$. Heavy quark symmetry breaking modifies this expression as $f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = 1 + \frac{m_s}{\Lambda}(a_0 + a_1\frac{\Lambda}{m_q} + \cdots)$. The double ratio incorporating SU(3) and heavy quark symmetry breaking effects may read approximately

$$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1 + a_1(m_s/m_b - m_s/m_c). \tag{2}$$

Setting $a_1 = 1$ for the coefficient of the correction term and $m_s = 150$MeV, $m_c = 1.5$GeV and $m_b = 4.5$GeV for the current quark masses, the double ratio is approximately 0.93, which is smaller than 1. To better estimate the double ratio, Grinstein[3], making use of the phenomenological Lagrangian for heavy mesons and light pseudoscalars incorporating heavy quark and chiral symmetries, found it to be 0.967. In Ref.[4], Oakes, utilizing the relation $\partial^\mu A_\mu = i(m_Q+m_q)\bar{q}\gamma_5 Q$, obtained exactly

$$f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = \left(\frac{M_{B_d}}{M_{B_s}}\right)^2\left(\frac{m_b + m_s}{m_b + m_d}\right)\frac{< 0|\overline{s}\gamma_5 b|B_s >}{< 0|\overline{d}\gamma_5 b|B_d >} \tag{3}$$

and

$$f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} = \left(\frac{M_{D_d}}{M_{D_s}}\right)^2\left(\frac{m_c + m_s}{m_c + m_d}\right)\frac{< 0|\overline{s}\gamma_5 c|D_s >}{< 0|\overline{d}\gamma_5 c|D_d >}. \tag{4}$$

Thus, the ratios of decay constants can be precisely estimated with the help of the Wigner-Eackart theorem which allows the approximation relation $< 0|\bar{s}\gamma_5 Q|M_{Qs} > \approx < 0|\overline{d}\gamma_5 Q|M_{Qd} >$ to hold, to very high accuracy,

$$f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = \Big(\frac{M_{B_d}}{M_{B_s}}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{m_b+m_s}{m_b+m_d}\Big) \tag{5}$$

and

$$f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} = \Big(\frac{M_{D_d}}{M_{D_s}}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{m_c+m_s}{m_c+m_d}\Big). \tag{6}$$

From Eq.(5) and Eq.(6), the double ratio $(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1.004$, and an approximate expression can be found

$$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) \simeq 1 - 2\Big(\frac{M_{B_s}-M_{B_d}}{M_{B_d}} - \frac{M_{D_s}-M_{D_d}}{M_{D_d}}\Big) + \frac{m_s-m_d}{m_b} - \frac{m_s-m_d}{m_c}. \tag{7}$$

To the extent that $M_{B_s} - M_{B_d} \simeq M_{D_s} - M_{D_d} \simeq m_s - m_d \simeq m_s$, $M_B \simeq m_b$ and $M_D \simeq m_c$, Eq.(7) is simplified as following

$$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) \simeq 1 + a\Big(\frac{m_s}{m_b} - \frac{m_s}{m_c}\Big), \tag{8}$$

which shows that the double ratio is, indeed, unity up to a correction of order $a(m_s/m_b - m_s/m_c)$, albeit with coefficient a of -1 rather than 1.

Now, we turn to calculation of an analytic form of the double ratio by means of QCD sum rules in HQET. The heavy quark effective lagrangian to next-leading order in $1/m_Q$ is given by[6]

$$L = \overline{h_v} iv\cdot D h_v + \frac{L_K}{2m_Q} + \frac{C_{mag}(\mu)L_s}{2m_Q}, \tag{9}$$

where $L_K = \overline{h_v}(iD)^2 h_v$ is the kinetic energy operator of heavy quark , $L_s = \frac{1}{2}\overline{h_v} g_s \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} h_v$ is the chromomagnetic interaction operator and $C_{mag}(\mu) = [\frac{\alpha_s(m_Q)}{\alpha_s(\mu)}]^{3/(11-2n_f/3)}[1 + \frac{13}{6}\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}]$ is the Wilson coefficient. To calculate decay constants of heavy mesons by HQET approach, matching current operators in fully theory onto ones in HQET is necessary. Let's calculate the axial current matrix element between the vacuum and a heavy meson state. A match[5, 7] of the axial current

operator in fully theory onto the local operators of the effective theory gives the expression for the decay constant at order $1/m_Q$ in the heavy quark expansion in the case of light quark mass $m_q \neq 0$

$$f_M\sqrt{m_M} = \hat{F}(m_Q)[1 + d_M\frac{\alpha_s(m_Q)}{6\pi}]\{1 + \frac{\hat{G}_k(m_G)}{m_Q} + \frac{2d_M}{m_Q}[\hat{G}_\Sigma(m_Q) - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{12}]\}, \tag{10}$$

with $d_M = 3$ for pseudoscalar mesons and -1 for vector mesons. $\hat{F}(m_Q)$,$\hat{G}_K(m_Q)$ and $\hat{G}_\Sigma(m_Q)$ are some renormalization group invariant quantities[5]:

$$\hat{F}(m_Q) = [C_1(\mu) + \frac{C_2(\mu)}{4}]F(\mu), \tag{11}$$

$$\hat{G}_K(m_Q) = G_K(\mu) - \frac{\overline{\Lambda}}{6}b(\mu) + \frac{m_q}{6}a(\mu) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\hat{G}_\Sigma(m_Q) = G_{mag}(\mu)G_\Sigma(\mu) - \frac{\overline{\Lambda}}{12}[B(\mu) - 1] + \frac{m_q}{12}A(\mu). \tag{13}$$

In the above equations, the short−distance coefficients $C_1(\mu)$, $C_2(\mu)$, $a(\mu)$, $A(\mu)$, $b(\mu)$ and $B(\mu)$ have been obtained in Ref.[5]. $F(\mu)$, $G_K(\mu)$, $G_\Sigma(\mu)$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ are some long−distance parameters [5, 7]: $F(\mu)$ is used to parametrize the leading order matrix element in $1/m_Q$ expansion, $G_K(\mu)$ and $G_\Sigma(\mu)$ are two additional universal parameters, which are respectively introduced to parametrize the $1/m_Q$ corrections to the hadronic wave function by insertions of the subleading operators L_K and L_Σ in the effective lagrangian into the matrix element of the leading order current, and $\bar{\Lambda}$ expresses mass difference of the heavy meson and the heavy quark inside it. It is interesting to note there is an explicit dependence of these low energy parameters on not only the light quark masses but also the condensate parameters in our approach, as shown in Ref.[5]. Considering these effects, we can obtain easily the expressions for the ratios of decay constants

$$f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} = \frac{F_s(\mu)\sqrt{m_{B_d}}}{F_d(\mu)\sqrt{m_{B_s}}}\frac{1 + \frac{C_{b,s}(\mu)}{m_b}}{1 + \frac{C_{b,d}(\mu)}{m_b}} \tag{14}$$

and

$$f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} = \frac{F_s(\mu)\sqrt{m_{D_d}}}{F_d(\mu)\sqrt{m_{D_s}}}\frac{1+\frac{C_{c,s}(\mu)}{m_c}}{1+\frac{C_{c,d}(\mu)}{m_c}} \tag{15}$$

with $C_{Q,q}(\mu) = G^q_K(\mu) + 6C^Q_{mag}(\mu)G^q_\Sigma(\mu) + \frac{a(\mu,m_Q)}{6}m_q - \frac{b(\mu,m_Q)}{6}\bar{\Lambda}_q$. Following the standard method for QCD sum rule calculation[8], one can get the sum rules for those long−distance coefficients[5]:

$$\bar{\Lambda}_q = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial T^{-1}}lnK, \tag{16}$$

$$F_q(\mu)e^{-2\bar{\Lambda}_q/T} = K, \tag{17}$$

$$G^q_K(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dT}\Big(\frac{TJ_K}{K}\Big) \tag{18}$$

and

$$G^q_\Sigma(\mu) = \frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dT}\Big(\frac{TJ_K}{K}\Big). \tag{19}$$

Here, we have not given the final expressions[5] for K, J_K and J_Σ, which are dependent on the Borel parameter T, threshold ω^c of the continuum states and light flavors. In the light of Eq.(14) and Eq.(15) and by a simple manipulation, we have the double ratio

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1- &\quad G(\mu,m_b)\tfrac{m_s-m_d}{m_b} + G(\mu,m_c)\tfrac{m_s-m_d}{m_c} \\ + &\quad \Delta G(\mu,m_b)\tfrac{1}{m_b} - \Delta G(\mu,m_c)\tfrac{1}{m_c}\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

to next-leading order in $1/m_Q$, where $G(\mu, m_Q)$ is defined as

$$G(\mu,m_Q) = \frac{1}{2}[B(\mu,m_Q) - A(\mu,m_Q)] + \frac{1}{6}[b(\mu,m_Q) - a(\mu,m_Q)] \tag{21}$$

and $\Delta G(\mu, m_Q)$ is SU(3) symmetry breaking parameter

$$\Delta G(\mu,m_Q) = \Delta G_K(\mu) + 6C_{mag}(\mu,m_Q)\Delta G_\Sigma(\mu) \tag{22}$$

with

$$\Delta G_K(\mu) = G^s_K(\mu) - G^d_K(\mu) \tag{23}$$

and

$$\Delta G_\Sigma(\mu) = G^s_\Sigma(\mu) - G^d_\Sigma(\mu). \tag{24}$$

In deriving Eq.(20), the approximate relations $M_{B_s} - M_{B_d} \simeq M_{D_s} - M_{D_d} \simeq m_s - m_d$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_s - \bar{\Lambda}_d \simeq m_s - m_d$ have been used.

The Wilson coefficients $G(\mu, m_Q)$ are easily evaluated via taking $\mu = 1GeV$, $m_b = 4.5 \sim 5.0$ GeV and $m_c = 1.2 \sim 1.5$ GeV. Now we are in a position to compute two low energy parameters $\Delta G_K(\mu)$ and $\Delta G_\Sigma(\mu)$, by resorting to the QCD sum rules in HQET. Before numerical manipulation, we would like to deal simply with these two quantities. If we assume both $G^q_K(\mu)$ and $G^q_\Sigma(\mu)$ to be continuous functions in the light quark mass m_q, $\Delta G_K(\mu)$ and $\Delta G_\Sigma(\mu)$ can be expanded in terms of $(m_s - m_d)$ respectively

$$\Delta G_K(\mu) = f_K(m_s - m_d) + \cdots \tag{25}$$

and

$$\Delta G_\Sigma(\mu) = f_\Sigma(m_s - m_d) + \cdots, \tag{26}$$

where $f_K = \frac{dG_K}{dm_q}|_{m_q=m_d}$, $f_\Sigma = \frac{dG_\Sigma}{dm_q}|_{m_q=m_d}$ and "$\cdots$" denotes the higher power terms of $(m_s - m_d)$. If we neglect contributions from the higher power terms, Eq.(20) becomes

$$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1 + a_1\Big(\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_b}\Big) - a_2\Big(\frac{m_s - m_d}{m_c}\Big) \tag{27}$$

with $a_1 = f_K + 6C_{mag}(\mu, m_b)f_\Sigma - G(\mu, m_b)$ and $a_2 = f_K + 6C_{mag}(\mu, m_c)f_\Sigma - G(\mu, m_c)$. Making an approximation $m_s - m_d \approx m_s$, Eq.(27) can be simplified as

$$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d}) = 1 + a_1\frac{m_s}{m_b} - a_2\frac{m_s}{m_c}. \tag{28}$$

The small current quark mass m_d allows us to evaluate precisely f_K and f_Σ at $m_q \approx 0$. Along the same line as in Ref.[5], it is straightforward to get the sum rules for f_K and f_Σ

$$f_K = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dm_q dT}\Big(\frac{TJ_K}{K}\Big), \tag{29}$$

and

$$f_\Sigma = \frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2}{dm_q dT}\Big(\frac{TJ_\Sigma}{K}\Big). \tag{30}$$

For simplicity, we do not give the final expressions for these two coefficients. To calculate these two coefficients at $m_q \approx 0$, the formulas[1] for differential calculus can be used. However, an exact relation between the threshold ω^c and the light quark mass m_q is unknown. A resonable and simple assumption for this relation, according to the definition of the ω in HQET, is $\omega^c(m_q) = \omega^c(0) + Am_q$ with a coefficient A varying between 0.0 and 2.0. In fact, this linear relation has successfully be applied to QCD sum rule calculations in Ref.[5]. Using the same energy scale $\mu = 1$GeV and condensate parameters as in Ref.[5], we can carry out the sum rule calculations for f_K and f_Σ, and further obtain our desired results for a_1 and a_2. In the manipulation process, we find a stable window $T = 0.7 \sim 1.0$ GeV to exist for the threshold $\omega^c = 2.0 \pm 0.3$ GeV. Our numerical result shows that a_1 and a_2 are insensitive to variation of A from 0.0 to 2.0, because of large cancellation effects between the first two terms in the expressions for a_1 and a_2. For instance, when taking A = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0, we have $a_1 = -1.188, -1.201, -1.208, -1.213$ and -1.215, and $a_2 = -0.905, -0.916, -0.921, -0.924$ and -0.925, respectively (an error of 4% in each exists due to the uncertainty of the comtinuum threshold ω^c). In addition, it is worthwhile to emphasize that the uncertainties of the quark masses produce only the corrections of about 2% to a_1 and a_2. The two coefficients a_1 and a_2 in case of A=1, as the functions of the Borel

[1] $\frac{d}{da}\int_{\psi(a)}^{\varphi(a)} f(x,a)dx = f[\varphi(a),a]\frac{d\varphi(a)}{da} - f[\psi(a),a]\frac{d\psi(a)}{da} + \int_{\psi(a)}^{\varphi(a)} \frac{df(x,a)}{da}dx$

parameter T, are plotted in Fig.1.

To summarize, in this letter, we discuss the double ratio$(f_{B_s}/f_{B_d})/(f_{D_s}/f_{D_d})$ by applying QCD sum rules in HQET. We find that the correction term $a_1(m_s/m_b - m_s/m_c)$ for the double ratio in Ref.[3] and Ref.[4] is modified to $a_1(m_s/m_b) - a_2(m_s/m_c)$ slightly, with $a_1 \approx -1.2$ and $a_2 \approx -0.9$. Our expression for the double ratio differs from those of Grinstein and Oakes in two aspects: (i) the double ratio in our approach is determined not only by quark mass terms but also by vacuum condensates, while in Ref.[3] and Ref.[4] the SU(3) breaking effect in the double ratio results only from the light quark masses; (ii) as a result in HQET, a_1 and a_2 depend weakly on the heavy quark masses m_b and m_c, respectively, via $\alpha_s(m_b)$ and $\alpha_s(m_c)$. This leads to the small difference between a_1 and a_2. Considering uncertainties of the heavy meson and the quark masses, we can conclude that the double ratio is a little greater than 1. This is also consistent with Oakes's prediction. Our conclusion will be beneficial to precision determination of f_{B_s}/f_{B_d} from the data on f_{D_s}/f_{D_d} in future $\tau - c$ factory, and further has us get a good understanding of the $B - \bar{B}$ mixing phenomena.

We would like to thank Professor R.J.Oakes for helpful discussions and introducing Ref.[4] to us. This work is in part supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] C.Bernard, J.Labrenz, and A.Soni, in Proceedings of Lattice '93, Amsterdam 1992 (P.vanBaal and J.Smit,eds.), Nucl.Phys.B (Proc.Suppl.) 30,465 (1993); Phys.Rev.D49, 2536(1994).

[2] C.A.Dominguez, in Proceedings of the Third Workshop on the Tau-Charm Factory, Marbella, Spain, June, 1993.

[3] B.Grinstein, Phys.Rev.Lett.71, 3067(1993).

[4] R.J.Oakes, Phys.Rev.Lett.73,381(1994).

[5] Tao Huang and Chuan-Wang Luo, Phys.Rev.D53,5042(1996).

[6] H.Georgi, Phys.Lett. B240,447(1990); J.G.Körner and G.Thompson, Phys. Lett. B 264,185(1991); T.Mannel, W.Roberts, and Z.Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B 368, 204(1992).

[7] M.Neubert, Phys.Rev.D 45,2451(1992);46,1076(1992).

[8] M.A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein and V.I.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147, 385 (1979); B147, 448(1979).