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#### Abstract

O rdinary-sterile neutrino oscillations can generate a signi cant lepton num ber asym $m$ etry in the early U niverse. W e study this phenom enon in detail. W e show that the dynam ics of ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations in the early U niverse can be approxim ately described by a single integro-di erentialequation which we derive from both the density $m$ atrix and H am irtonian form alism s . T his equation reduces to a relatively sim ple ordinary rst order di erential equation if the system is su ciently sm ooth (static lim it). We study the conditions for which the static lim it is an acceptable approxim ation. W e also study the e ect of the them al distribution of neutrino $m$ om enta on the generation of lepton num ber. W e apply these results to show that it is possible to evade (by $m$ any orders ofm agnitude) the B ig B ang N ucleosynthesis (BBN) bounds on the $m$ ixing param eters, $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 20$, describing ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations. W e show that the large angle orm axim alvacuum oscillation solution to the solar neutrino problem does not signi cantly $m$ odify BBN form ost of the param eter space of interest, provided that the tau and/orm $u$ neutrinos have $m$ asses greater than about $1 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{We}$ also show that the large angle orm axim al ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillation solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly does not signi cantly m odify BBN for a range of param eters.


## I. Introduction

$T$ here are three $m$ ain experim ental indications that neutrinos have $m$ ass and oscillate. They are the solar neutrino problem [-1] ], the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly the and the Los A lam os LSND experim ent [-3ె]. It is also possible that dark $m$ atter $m$ ay be connected to neutrino $m$ asses[4]. The three experim ental anom alies cannot all be explained w the three know $n$ neutrinos so it is possible that sterile neutrinos exist.

A potential problem with any $m$ odel which contains sterile neutrinos is that these extra states can contribute to the energy density of the early U niverse and spoil the reasonably successful B ig Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) predictions. For maxim ally m ixed e and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ neutrinos and and ${ }^{0}$ (or and ${ }^{0}$ ) neutrinos (where the prim es denote sterile species),
 lepton num ber asym $m$ etry of the early U niverse could be neglected:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}} \mathrm{oj}^{<} 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{oj} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{oj}}{ }^{<} 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2} \text { : } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bserve that if valid these bounds would rule out the large angle ${ }^{0}$ oscillation solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly and would restrict m uch of the param eter space for the
 not hold if there is an appreciable lepton asym $m$ etry in the early U niverse for tem peratures betw een $1 \quad 30 \mathrm{M}$ eV'[ $\left.[1] \frac{1}{1}\right]$. Rem arkably, it tums out that ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations can by them selves create an appreciable lepton num ber asym $m$ etry [ī2i].

The bound on the e ective num ber of neutrinos $N$ eff present during nucleosynthesis is the sub ject of som e discussion recently. In Ref. 1 in ${ }_{-1}$ ], it is argued that the current inform ation suggests $N$ eff , 2:1 0:3, while other authors dispute this conclusion. For exam ple, in Ref. $[1]$ Thus, it may be possible that $N^{\text {eff }}=4$ is allowed. In this case note that $m$ any of the BBN bounds derived in Refs. paper we will assume that the bound on the e ective num ber of neutrinos is less than 4. This is useful even if it tums out that $N^{\text {eff }}>4$ is allow ed. For exam ple, the large angle (or m axim al) ordinary sterile neutrino solutions to the atm ospheric and solar neutrino problem s $m$ ay require $N$ eff 5 ifthey are to be solved sim ultaneously. A lso note that in the special case ofm irror neutrinos [ī $\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right]$, them irror interactions can potentially bring all threem irror neutrinos (aswellas them irror photon and electron positron pair) into equilibrium (equivalent to about 6 additional neutrino species) if any one of the $m$ irror neutrinos is brought into equilibrium above the neutrino kinetic decoupling tem perature.

The purpose of this paper is tw o-fold. First, we w ill study the phenom enon of lepton num ber creation due to ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations in $m$ ore detail than in the previous studiestī $\overline{2}$, , m om enta. U sing these results we will then study the issue of whether or not the generation of lepton num ber due to ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations can reconcile the large angle ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillation solutions to the solar neutrino problem and atm ospheric neutrino anom aly w th BBN .

The outline of this paper is as follow s. In section II, we discuss lepton num ber generation in the early Universe by ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations and derive a sim ple equation describing the evolution of lepton num ber. W e expand the analysis of Ref.[1בె̄] and discuss in detail the approxim ations behind this analysis. In section III, we will use the density $m$ atrix form alism to derive a $m$ ore exact equation describing the rate of change of lepton number which is applicable even when the system is changing rapidly (e.g. at the resonance). In the appendix, we show how the sam e equation can be derived from the $H$ am iltonian form alism . U sing this equation we derive the region of param eter space where the $m$ uch sim pler equation derived in section II is approxim ately valid. In section IV the therm al distribution of the neutrino $m$ om enta is considered. In section $V$ we study the e ect of non-negligible sterile neutrino num ber densities. $W$ e then apply these results to obtain the region of param eter space where large neutrino asym $m$ etries are generated. $W$ e also determ ine the region of param eter space forw hich ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations (w th $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$ and for $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ) are consistent w ith BBN. O ur work im proves on previous studies studies were obtained $w$ thout taking into account either the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution or the result that ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations create lepton number. In section V I we rst brie y review the large angle ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillation solution to the solar neutrino problem . W e then show that the generation of lepton num ber due to ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations can signi cantly relax the BBN bounds for this solution to the solar neutrino problem. W e also show that the large angle or maxim al soscillation solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly is consistent w ith BBN for a range of param eters. In section V II we conclude.

## II. Lepton num ber creation from neutrino oscillations - static approxim ation

Together w ith M. Thom son, we showed in Ref. 1 in 2 ] that ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations can create a large lepton asym $m$ etry in the early U niverse[ī-1. $]$. A sim ple di erential equation describing the evolution of lepton number was derived which seem ed to work very well W e also checked our results $w$ th the $m$ ore exact density $m$ atrix form alism [kTij]. Further num erical w ork, and analyticalw ork based on the density $m$ atrix form alism, has subsequently been done in Ref. $\uparrow$ ī $\overline{1}]$ which con m s our results.

For ordinary-sterile neutrino two state $m$ ixing, the weak-eigenstates ( ; s) willbe linear com binations of two $m$ ass eigenstates (a; b):

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\cos 0 a+\sin 0_{b} i_{s}=\sin 0 a+\cos 0 b: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote we willalways de ne o in such a way so that cos2 o (this can always be done). W e also take the convention that $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{s} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}$. Henœ w ith this convention $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ is positive (negative) provided that $m_{b}>m_{a}\left(m_{b}<m_{a}\right)$.

In this section we will for sim plicity neglect the e ects of the them al distribution of $m$ om entum, and assum e that all of the neutrino $m$ om enta are the sam $e$ and equal to the average m om entum (i.e. $\mathrm{p}=$ hpi' $3: 15 \mathrm{~T}$ ). In section $\mathbb{I V}$ we will consider the realistic case where the neutrino spread is given by the Ferm i-D irac distribution. Follow ing Ref. 1
can derive a sim ple equation for the rate of change of lepton num ber due to collisions and oscillations. $N$ ote that it is possible to identify two distinct contributions to the rate ofchange of lepton num ber. First, there are the oscillations betw een collisions which a ect the lepton num ber of the U niverse because neutrinos and anti-neutrinos oscillate $w$ ith di erent $m$ atter oscillation lengths and $m$ atter $m$ ixing angles in the CP asym $m$ etric background. Second, there are the collisions them selves which deplete $e$ and $e$ at di erent rates. This is because the rates depend on the oscillation probability. The oscillation probability for ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations is di erent to the oscillation probability for ordinary-sterile anti-neutrino oscillations (which is again due to the CP asym $m$ etric background). Generally, the rate of change of lepton num ber is dom inated by collisions in the region where the collision rate is larger than the expansion rate where the $m$ atter $m$ ixing angle changes rapidly]. For the case of $s$ oscillations (where $=e ; ~ ; ~)$, the rate of change of $L$ due to collisions is govemed by the rate equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{n}{n}(\quad!\quad s)+\frac{n}{n}(!\quad s) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~s}!)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~s}!\quad) ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $n$ 's are num ber densities and $L \quad(n \quad n \quad)=n . U \operatorname{sing} \quad(\quad!\quad s)=(s!)$


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{n n_{s}^{\#}}{n}\left(!s_{s}\right)+\frac{n n_{s}^{\#}}{n}\left(!s^{n}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation can be rew ritten in the form

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \quad \frac{n \quad n}{2 n} ; N s \quad \frac{n_{s} n_{s}}{2 n}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bserve that ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations do not change the total particle num ber, from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}=0: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eqs. $\left(\operatorname{F}_{5}^{1}+\bar{T}_{-1}\right)$, the rate of change of $L$ due to collisions is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d L^{\prime}}{d t} \quad \frac{3}{8} \quad N_{s}^{+}[\quad(\quad!\quad s)+(\quad!\quad s)] \\
& \mathrm{L} \quad[(\quad!\quad \mathrm{s})+(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{~s})]+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $n+n, 3 n=4+O\left(L^{2}\right)$. W ew illassum e for the present that negligible sterile neutrinos are produced, i.e. $n_{s} ; n_{s} n \quad ; n$, and hence $N_{s}^{+} 1$.
 [2]

The collisions are assum ed to collapse the wavefunction into either a pure weak eigenstate neutrino or a pure sterile eigenstate neutrino. In other words, we assum e that the collisions are $m$ easurem ents (in the quantum $m$ echanical sense) of whether the state is a sterile or w eak eigenstate. The rate of the $m$ easurem ents is expected to be the collision frequency

A ctually it happens that the above picture is not com pletely correct. It tums out that it does lead to an accurate description only if the rate of $m$ easurem ent is taken to be half of the collision frequency that a pure state would experience [2] $\overline{4}]$. This applies to both sterile neutrinos and ordinary neutrinos. Thus using th is result the reaction rate ( ! s) is given by half the interaction rate of the neutrino due to collisions with the badkground particles m ultiplied by the probability that the neutrino collapses to the sterile eigenstate 点], that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad!\quad s)=\overline{2} h P \quad!s^{i}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The them ally averaged collision frequencies are

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \mathrm{YG}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{5} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{e} \quad 4: 0 ; Y ;$, $2: 9$ [ is the tem perature of the U niverse [equations analogous to Eqs . (99), $1 \mathrm{I}=10)$ hold for antineutrinos]. The quantity $P \quad!$ is the probability that the neutrino collapses to the sterile state $s$ after a $m$ easurem ent is $m$ ade. The brackets h::ii denote the average over all $m$ easurem ent tim es. N ote that $\mathrm{P} \quad!\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ! , so it follows that $(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{s})=(\mathrm{s}!$ ) (given that the rate of $m$ easurem ent is the sam $e$ for ordinary and sterile neutrinos $\left.\left[\frac{-1}{4}\right]\right)$ and sim ilarly for the anti-neutrino rates. In the adiabatic lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h P \quad: \quad i^{\prime} \sin ^{2} 2 m h \sin ^{2} \frac{}{2 L_{m}} i ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the distance (or tim e) between collisions. The quantities $m$ and $L_{m}$ are the $m$ atter $m$ ixing angle and $m$ atter oscillation length respectively. They are related to the vacuum param eters 0 and $L_{0}$ by ${ }_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} 2_{m}=\frac{\sin ^{2} 2_{0}}{1 \quad 2 z \cos 2_{0}+z^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m}=p \frac{L_{0}}{12 z_{0} \cos 2_{0}+z^{2}} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1=L_{0} \quad p_{0}^{p} \quad m^{2}=2 p$. In this equation, $z=2 p V=m^{2}$ where $V$ is the e ective potentialdue to the interactions of the neutrinos $w$ ith $m$ atter and $p$ is the neutrino $m$ om entum . The e ective potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right){ }_{0}^{p} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dim ensionless variables $a^{p}$ and $b^{p}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p} \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{G_{F} n L^{()}}^{{ }_{0}^{p}}}{0_{0}} b^{p} \frac{{ }^{p} \overline{2}_{G_{F} n A} T^{2}}{{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{hpi}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{W}$ is the $W$-boson $m$ ass and $A_{e}$ ' 55:0; A; ' 15:3 (note that the $\backslash p$ " superscript serves as a rem inder that these quantities are neutrino m om entum dependent). The function $L^{()}$is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{()}=L+L_{e}+L+L+ \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is a sm allasym $m$ etry term which arises from the asym $m$ etries offoaryons and electrons. It is given by the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\frac{1}{( }+2 \sin ^{2}{ }_{w}\right) L_{e}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad 2 \sin ^{2}{ }_{w}\right) L_{P} \quad \frac{1}{2} L_{N}, \frac{1}{2} L_{N} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin ^{2}{ }_{w}$ is the weak mixing angle and we have used $L_{e}=L_{P}{ }^{\prime} L_{N}$. Thus is expected to be of order $10{ }^{10}$. N ote that the $m$ atter $m$ ixing angle ${ }_{m}$ and oscillation length $\bar{L}_{m}$ for antineutrino oscillations are obtained from Eqs. (1)

 they are given approxim ately by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime} \frac{6: 3^{p} \overline{2}_{2} G_{F n} L^{()}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}, \quad 25 \mathrm{~L}^{()} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{MeV}}{ }^{4} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{b}^{\prime} \frac{6: 3^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{T} G_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{n} A_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~T}^{2}}^{\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}}{}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{13 \mathrm{MeV}}{ }^{6} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \text {; for } \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{~s} \text { oscillations; } \\
& \mathrm{b}^{\prime} \frac{6: 3^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{TG}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{nA} ; \mathrm{T}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{16 \mathrm{M} \text { eV }}{ }^{6} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \text {; for ; } \mathrm{s} \text { oscillations; } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $\mathrm{n}=2$ (3) $\mathrm{T}^{3}={ }^{2 \prime} \mathrm{~T}^{3}=4: 1\left[(3)^{\prime} 1: 202\right.$ is the $R$ iem ann zeta function of 3]. The $m$ atter $m$ ixing angles $m, m$ expressed in term $s$ of the param eters $a ; b$ are given by

$$
\sin ^{2} 2_{m}=\frac{s^{2}}{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
s^{2}+(b & a & c^{4}
\end{array}\right]} ; \sin ^{2} 2_{m}=\frac{s^{2}}{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
s^{2}+(b+a & c^{2} \tag{19}
\end{array}\right]} ;
$$

where $s \sin 2_{0}, \mathrm{c} \quad \cos 2_{0}$. A resonance occurs for neutrinos when $m==4$ and for antineutrinos when ${ }^{-}==4$, which from Eq.( $\left.1 \overline{1} 9\right)$ im plies that $\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{a}=\cos 2_{0}$ and $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a}=\cos 20$ respectively. In our analysis we will often need to consider the two distinct cases of very small mixing and very large mixing. For small mixing, cos2 $0^{\prime} 1$ and the resonance conditions becom eb $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} 1$ and $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a}^{\prime} 1$. For large $m$ ixing, cos $20^{\prime} 0$ and the resonance conditions becom e $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$.
$U$ sing the above analysis, we can derive a sim ple equation for the rate of change of $L$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \tau}{d t}=\frac{3}{16} \quad h \quad \sin ^{2} 2 m \operatorname{hin}^{2} \frac{h}{2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}} i+\sin ^{2} 2_{\mathrm{m}}^{-} \mathrm{hsin}^{2} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{I}}}{} i^{i} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}} \sin ^{2} \overline{2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}} \text { i+ } \sin ^{2} 2_{\mathrm{m}}^{-} \mathrm{h} \sin ^{2} \overline{2 \overline{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}}} \text { i : } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The function, hsin ${ }^{2} \overline{2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}} \mathrm{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \sin ^{2} \frac{}{2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}} i={\frac{1}{!_{0}}}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z} t} e^{=!0} \sin ^{2} \overline{2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}} d \text {; } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! $0 \quad 2_{0}=2=\quad$ is tw ice the $m$ ean tim e betw een collisions (of a pure w eak eigenstate) and $t$ is the age of the U niverse (note that $t^{\prime} 1$ is a good approxim ation because ! $0 \quad t$ ). Evaluating Eq. (2̄111) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \sin ^{2} \frac{}{2 L_{m}} i=\frac{1}{2} \frac{!_{0}^{2}=L_{m}^{2}}{1+!{ }_{0}^{2}=L_{m}^{2}} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that $!_{0}$ and $L_{m}$ are approxim ately independent of $t$ (static approxi-


$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3}{8} \frac{s^{2} \quad a(c \quad b)}{[x+(c} \quad b+a\right)^{2}\right]\left[x+\left(c \quad b \quad a^{3}\right]\right]+; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a sm all correction term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\frac{1}{2} \frac{L \quad s^{2}\left[x+(c \quad b)^{2}+a^{2}\right]}{[x+(c} \quad b+a^{2}\right]\left[x+\left(c \quad b \quad a^{3}\right)\right] ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and x is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{s}^{2}+\frac{1}{4}^{2}{\frac{2 p}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}}^{2}, \mathrm{~s}^{2}+2 \quad 10^{19} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{MeV}}{ }^{12}{\frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}}^{2} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed $p=$ hpi' 3:15T in deriving the last part of the above equation. $N$ ote that the correction term Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{4})$ is sm aller than the $m$ ain term $\mathbb{E q} \cdot(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{3})]$ provided that I j $\dot{A} j$. In the region where the correction term is larger than the $m$ ain term, its e ect
 quite low tem peratures,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{MeV}}<\frac{1}{3}{\frac{j m^{2} j^{!}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}}^{\frac{1}{4}}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above equation, we see that in the $m$ ain region of interest ( $T^{>} 3 \mathrm{MeV}$ ), the correction term is much smaller than the main term provided that $j^{2} j^{<} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$. N ote that for very large $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{\ell} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, the correction term $m$ ay be im portant.

O bserve that Eq. ( $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ di ers slightly from the equation derived in Ref. $1\left[\begin{array}{l}-12\end{array}\right]$. The di erence is that in Ref. [12 $\overline{2}]$, we assum ed that ${ }_{0}^{2}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} \quad 1$ (so that hsin ${ }^{2}=2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ i' $1=2$ ) which is alw ays true except possibly at the very center of the resonance $[1 \overline{1} \overline{2}]$. A lso note that in Ref. $[1 \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ we neglected a factor of 2 which arises because we negligently assum ed that the rate of $m$ easurem ent was equal to the rate of collision.

W e now pause to review and comment on the assumptions $m$ ade in deriving Eq. (l2 $\overline{2})$. $T$ here are vemain sim plifying assum ptions:
(1) W e have neglected the them al spread of the neutrino m om enta, and have replaced all m om enta by their them al average hpi' 3:15T .
(2) W e have assum ed that $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{n}$; n . If the number densities $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are nonnegligible, then we m ust multiply the rst term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2-3̄) by the factor [n $n_{\mathrm{s}}$ =n .
(3) W e have assum ed that the transform ation from the vacuum param eters to $m$ atter param eters i.e., $\sin 0!\sin m$ and $L_{0}!L_{m}$ diagonalizes the $H$ am iltonian. This is only strictly true in the adiabatic $\lim$ it $\left(j \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{dtj} \quad j \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{j}\right.$. In the general case [2] $\left.\overline{-1}\right]$,
w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d m}{d t}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin 20}{\left(b \quad a \quad \cos 2_{0}\right)^{2}+\sin ^{2} 2} 0 \frac{d(b \quad a)}{d t} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{m}^{1 ; 2}$ are the instantaneous $m$ atter eigenstates and $m \quad 1=I_{m}$. Expanding out $j\left(d_{m}=d t\right)=m$ jwe nd (neglecting da=dt),

2 (4) $\quad 10^{8}{\frac{\sin ^{2} 20}{106}}^{1=2} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}}{ }^{1=2}$; aw ay from resonance;
, 2 (4) $\frac{10^{5}}{\sin ^{2} 20} \frac{e^{2}}{j^{2} j^{2}}{ }^{1=2}$; at the initial resonance where $b=\cos 20 ; a^{\prime} 0$;
, 6 (9) $10^{4} \mathrm{~T}^{3} \frac{10^{5}}{\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{jm}^{2} \mathrm{j}}$ at the resonance where fo $\mathrm{aj}=\cos 2_{0}$;
for e $\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{m}\right.$ ) oscillations. H ow ever at the initial resonance where $b=\cos 20 ; a^{\prime} 0$, $L$ is created rapidly. The contribution to from a rapidly changing $L$ at this resonance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(0: 5)(3) \quad 10^{2}}{\sin ^{2} 2_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}{ }^{!} \mathrm{m}^{2} j}{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\mathrm{dL}}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{MeV})} \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for e s ( ; s) oscillations (and we have assum ed that cos2 o 1). Thus aw ay from the resonance the adiabatic approxim ation is valid for the param eter space of interest. (ie. for $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ). How ever at the resonance the adiabatic approxim ation m ay not be valid.
(4) Equation (2 $2 \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ) neglects avour conversion of neutrinos passing through the resonance (the M SW e ect). Observe that there is not expected to be signi cant avour conversion at the initial resonance (where b' cos2 o) due to the MSW e ect (even if the system is adiabatic at this resonanœe) because the frequency of the collisions is such that $\mathrm{hsin}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ i 1 at the center of the initial resonance, form ost of the param eter space of interest. Indeed, at the center of the resonance,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{!0}{2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\frac{\sin 20}{\mathrm{YG} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{5}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{p}}, 4 \quad 18 \sin 20 \frac{\mathrm{MeV}}{\mathrm{~T}}{ }^{6} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}} ; \\
\quad 90 \tan 20 ; \text { if } \mathrm{b}=\cos 0_{0}: \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, for $\sin ^{2} 20^{<} 10^{4}, \mathrm{hsin}^{2}=2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ i 1 . N ote how ever that for tem peratures below the initial resonance, the $M S W$ e ect $m$ ay be im portant if there are neutrinos passing through the resonance.
(5) W e have assum ed that the rate of change of lepton num ber is dom inated by collisions. There is also a contribution from oscillationsbetw een collisions. O scillations betw een collisions a ect lepton num ber because the oscillations produce a supenposition of states, where the averaged expectation value of the state being a weak-eigenstate is $1 \quad \sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$ for neutrinos. This probability is generally unequal to the analogous quantity for anti-neutrinos, which is $1 \quad \sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$. It is possible to show [12 12$]$ that for tem peratures greater than a few MeV , the change in lepton num ber due to the oscillations betw een collisions is generally sm aller than the change due to collisions except possibly at the resonance where $\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}$ is changing rapidly.
$T$ he e ect of the them al spread of the neutrino $m$ om enta should be to $m$ ake the creation and destruction of lepton num ber $m$ uch $s m$ oother. A $t$ any given tim $e$, only a sm all fraction of the neutrinos w illbe at resonance (because the resonance width is m uch less than the spread of neutrino $m$ om enta). Thus, the regions aw ay from resonance $m$ ay also be im portant. $W$ e w ill study the e ect of the them aldistribution ofm om enta in section $\mathbb{I V}$.

The second assum ption [(2) above] willbe approxim ately valid form uch of the param eter space of interest. This is because we are essentially interested in the region of param eter space where the sterile neutrinos do not come into equilibrium with the ordinary neutrinos. W e will study the e ect of the sterile neutrino num ber density being non-zero in section V . $T$ he assum ptions (3) and (5), $m$ ay not be valid in the resonance region. N ote that we w ill denote the assum ptions (3) and (5) collectively as the static approxim ation because in lim it where the system is su ciently sm ooth they will be valid.

C learly a m ore exact treatm ent of the resonance is desirable, since assum ptions (3) and (5) m ay not be valid there. In section III we will develop a m ore exact treatm ent of the resonance region by exam ining the appropriate equations from the density $m$ atrix. A swe will show in section III, this treatm ent leads to the follow ing equation for the rate of change of lepton number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}, \frac{3}{2}_{8}^{Z^{2}} e^{=!0} \sin _{t}^{Z_{t}}+d t^{0} \sin _{t}^{Z_{t}} \quad d t^{\infty} d \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p} \sin 20 ; \quad+=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p}\left(\cos 20 \quad \text { b) } ; \quad=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p} a:\right. \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is valid given the assum ptions (1), (2) and (4) but does not require assum ptions (3) and (5) [above]. This equation is an integro-di erential equation and although com pact cannot be solyed analytically except in various lim its. $N$ ote that the static lim it corresponds to taking as constant (i.e. independent of $t^{0}$ ). In this lim it Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$ reduces approxim ately to Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ as expected. In the appendix we show that Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{i})$ can also be obtained using the H am iltonian form alism provided that the rate ofm easurem ent is taken to be half the collision frequency.

Q ualitatively, it tums out that the sim pli ed equation, Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} 3 \overline{-} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, gives a reasonable description of the creation of lepton num ber as the U niverse evolves. A ssum ing that Eq. (2̄3]) is valid, we now analyse the behaviour of $L$ as driven by soscillations in isolation. Suppose that all initial asymmetries other than $L$ can be neglected so that $L^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}$ 2L . N otioe rst of all that for $\mathrm{m}^{2}>0$ if follows from Eq. (1] $\overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ) that b is negative and a has the
 stable xed point. That is, when $L>0$ the rate of change $d L=d t$ is negative, while when $L \quad<0$ the rate of change $d L=d t$ is positive, so L alw ays tends to zero. [In the realistic case, where the baryon and electron asym $m$ etries are not neglected, $L^{()}$is given by Eq. (İ-̄̄). In this case $L^{()} 0$ is an approxim ate xed point. N ote that even if allof the lepton num bers where initially zero, lepton number would be generated such that $\mathrm{L}^{()}$' 0, i.e. 2L ' [se Eq. (ī̄ $\overline{\mathrm{\sigma}})]$. N ote that 2L is only approxim ately because of the term proportional to $L$ in Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ ) .

N ow consider neutrino oscillations w ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$. In this case b is positive and a has
 W hen $\mathrm{b}<\cos 20$, the point $\mathrm{L}, 0$ is unstable. [That is, if $\mathrm{L}>0$, then $\mathrm{dL}=\mathrm{dt}>0$, $w h i l e$ if $L<0$ then $d L=d t<0$ ]. Since $b T^{6}$, at som e point during the evolution of the U niverse b becom es less than cos2 0 and $L=0$ becom es unstable. If $\mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, then this point (where $\mathrm{b}=\cos 2 \mathrm{o}$ ) occurs for tem peratures greater than about three M eV (assum ing $\cos 20^{\prime}$ 1). In this region the rate of change of lepton number is dom inated by collisions and Eq. ( $(\underline{2} \overline{3} 1)$ ) is approxim ately valid. W hen the critical point where $\mathrm{b}=\cos 20$
 then implies that $d L=d t$ is approxim ately proportional to $L$, which leads to a brief but extrem ely rapid period of exponential grow th of then . Furtherm ore note that the constant of proportionality is enhanced by resonances for both neutrinos and antineutrinos at this critical point ( $a^{\prime}, 0, b=\cos 20$ ). The exponent goveming the exponential increase in $L$ is thus a large num ber (unless $\sin ^{2} 20$ is very $s m a l l$. $N$ ote that the critical point $b=\cos 20$ occurs when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime} 13(16){\frac{j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \cos 22_{0}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}}^{!=6} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \text {; } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $e s($; $)$ oscillations we have been focusing on.
A s the system passes through this critical tem perature, lepton num ber is rapidly created until $a^{>} \cos 20$ b. The resonance at $a=\cos 2_{0} \quad b$ acts like a barrier which keeps a > cos2 o b as the tem perature falls below $T_{c}$. Since the param eter a is proportional to L $T^{4}$, it follow s that the lepton num ber continues to grow approxim ately like $T{ }^{4}$ after the resonance as the tem perature falls.

A s the tem perature drops, eventually the oscillations cannot keep up with the expansion of the U niverse. For tem peratures wellbelow the resonance, $a^{\prime} \cos 20$ (assum ing that $L>0$ for de niteness). In this region, the rate of change of a due to the oscillations is balanced by the rate of change of a due to the expansion of the U niverse. T hat is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d a}{d t}=\frac{@ a}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t}+\frac{@ a}{@ t}, 0: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eventually, the rate of change of a due to the expansion of the U niverse becom es larger in $m$ agnitude than the $m$ axim um rate of change of a due to oscillations. At this point, a falls below the resonance point (i.e. $a<\cos 20$ b) and the value of $L$ will be approxim ately frozen. The point in tim e when this occurs is thus govemed by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ a}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ t} i_{\text {inax }}=\frac{@ a}{@ t}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maxim um rate of change of $L$ occurs at the resonance where $a=\cos 2 \quad$ b. U sing Eq. ( $2 \overline{3} \overline{3}^{\prime}$ ) , we can easily evaluate $d L=d t$ at this point. A ssum ing that cos2 ${ }_{0}{ }^{\prime} 1$, we nd at the resonanœ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3}{32} \quad a ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that $x^{\prime} \sin ^{2} 20$, which should be valid since we are in the region of low tem peratures $T \quad 3 \mathrm{MeV}$ [recall that x is de ned in Eq. $\cdot(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{9})]$. A lso note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ a}{@ t}=\frac{@ a}{@ T} \frac{d T}{d t}, \quad \frac{4 a}{T} \frac{5: 5 T^{3}}{M_{P}} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the result that the param eter a is proportional to $T^{4}$, and $d T=d t$ ' $5: 5 \mathrm{~T}^{3}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}} \quad$ (which is approxim ately valid for $1 \mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{T}^{<} 100 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$, $1: 2$ $10^{22} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ is the P lanck m ass). Thus, using Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}} \overline{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{N} \overline{\mathrm{N}} \overline{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, the condition Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ can be solved for T. D oing this exercise, and denoting this value of $T$ by $T_{f}$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}, ~ \frac{50 m^{2}}{M_{P Y} G_{F}^{3}}{ }^{\# \frac{1}{7}},{\frac{m^{2}}{e^{2}}}^{e 一 V^{2}} \mathrm{MeV} \text {; } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{0})$, Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \bar{q})$. Thus, we expect L to evolve like $T{ }^{4}$ until quite low tem peratures of order 1 M eV . N ote how ever that when the $m$ om entum distribution is taken into account, the situation is som ew hat di erent. This is because only a sm all fraction of neutrinos (typically of order 1 percent or less) willbe at the resonance, so that the magnitude of the $m$ axim um value of @L $=@ t w$ ill be reduced by a few orders ofm agnitude. Because of the $1=7$ power in Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{9})$, the tem perature where $L$ is approxim ately frozen, $T_{f}$, increases by only a relatively sm all factor of 2 or 3 . Finally recall that for tem peratures below the initial resonance, the M SW e ect can also contribute signi cantly. This is because for low tem peratures near $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$, there w ill be a signi cant num ber of neutrinos which w ill be passing through the resonance. For low tem peratures, the adiabatic condition is expected to hold fror m ost of the param eter space of interest, see Eq. ( $\left.2 \overline{2} 9)_{1}\right)$ ]. A lso, recall that the oscillations w ill not be dam ped by collisions for low tem peratures [see Eq. (3̄1in )] and thus ordinary neutrinos can be converted into sterile neutrino states sim ply by passing through the resonance $\overline{2}$ ́q.]. This e ect w ill help keep a' 1 for even low er tem peratures.
$C$ learly these factors (the $m$ om entum distribution and the M SW avour conversion of the neutrinos passing through the resonance) will be im portant if one wants to know the nal $m$ agnitude of $L$. For example, the nalmagnitude of $L e$ is very im portant if one wants
to calculate the region of param eter space where the L e is large enough to a ect big bang nucleosynthesis through nuclear reaction rates. H ow ever, for the application in this paper, the precise value of $L$ at low tem peratures is not required, so we will leave a study of th is issue to the future.

In order to illustrate the evolution of $L$ we take some examples. It is ilhum inating to com pare the evolution expected from the sim ple Eq. (2̄3]) [based on the assum ptions (1)(5) discussed above], w th the evolution govemed by the $m$ ore com plicated density $m$ atrix equations. Eqns. $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-})$, see next section for som e discussion of the density $m$ atrix form alism ]. The evolution of $L$ as govemed by the density $m$ atrix equations hold $m$ ore generally than Eq. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{1})_{1}$ ). This is because they do not require the assum ptions (2), (3), (4) or (5) [discussed above] to hold. They do still inconporate assum ption (1), that is the them al distribution of the neutrino m om entum is neglected.

In $F$ igure 1,2 we plot the evolution of $L$ for som e typical param eters. W e consider for example ; s oscillations. In Figure 1 we take $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, and $\sin ^{2} 20=10^{4} ; 10{ }^{8}$. Figure 2 is the sam e as gure 1 except that $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 20=10{ }^{6} ; 10{ }^{9}$. The solid lines are the result of num erically integrating the density $m$ atrix equations, while the dashed lines are the results of num erically integrating Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3})$. W e stress that in both the density $m$ atrix equations and in Eq. (Q2 $\overline{2})$, the $m$ om entum distribution of the neutrino has been neglected. The e ect of the m om entum distribution w ill be considered in detail in sections IV, V.

In the exam ples in $F$ igure 1,2 the initial lepton asym $m$ etry was taken as zero. The generation of lepton num ber is essentially independent of the initial lepton num ber asym $m$ etry
 the resonance tem perature, the oscillations destroy or create lepton number until $L^{(1)} 0$ independently of the initial value of $L$ which we denote as $\left.L_{\text {in it }}\right]$, provided that $J_{\text {in it }} j$ is less than about $10{ }^{5}$. For $\mathrm{J}_{\text {in it }} j^{>} \quad 10{ }^{5}$, the oscillations at tem peratures above the resonance tem perature are not strong enough to destroy the initial asym $m$ etry. Consequently, $L$ rem ains large, and it w ill becom e larger due to the oscillations which create lepton num ber at tem peratures below the resonance tem perature.

A s the $F$ igures show, the behaviour expected from Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ occurs. The $m$ ain di erence arises at the resonance where the $m$ agnitude of the lepton num ber is som ew hat larger than expected from Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3}$ ) $)$. This occurs because the assum ptions (3) and (5) [discussed above], which lead to Eq. (2] $\overline{1})$ are not valid at this resonance. A ctually, in $F$ igure 1,2 we have plotted j j. Integration of the density $m$ atrix equation reveals that in exam ple 1 but not in exam ple 2, although $L$ does change sign), the generated lepton num ber oscillates at the resonance and changes sign a few tim es (see Ref.lī can be understood from Eq. ( $\overline{3} 2 \overline{2})$. To see this, observe that when $L$ is in itially created at the resonance, the param eter grows very rapidly because it is proportional to $L$. The creation of $L \quad m$ ay be so rapid that $t_{t}^{R_{t}} \quad d t^{0}$ is approxim ately independent of when the intialrapid grow th ofL occurs. If this happens then at this instant Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ can be sim pli ed
to the approxim ate form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t} \quad \frac{3^{2}}{8} \sin { }_{t!0}^{Z_{t}} \quad d t^{0}{ }_{0}^{Z_{t}} e^{=!0} \sin { }_{t}^{Z_{t}} \quad+d t^{\infty} d: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The oscillations occur because of the factor $\sin _{t}^{R_{t}} \begin{aligned} & \text { ! } 0\end{aligned} \quad d t^{0}$ which oscillates betw een $\quad 1 . N$ ote how ever, that this oscillation of lepton num ber w ould not be expected to occur in the realistic case where the them al spread of neutrino $m$ om enta is considered.
$N$ ote that it $m$ ay be possible to predict the sign of the asym $m$ etry in principle. A ssum ing that the resonance is sm ooth enough so that Eq. (2, evolution of $L$ has the approxim ate form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=A(2 L+) B L=(2 A \quad B) L+A ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad+L_{e}+L+L \quad L$ (we have de ned such that it is independent of $L$ ). $N$ ote that A and B [which can be obtained from Eq. ( $(2 \overline{3})$ )] are com plicated functions of tim e. $O$ bserve how ever that B > 0 and A is initially less than zero, and at the resonance A changes sign and becom es positive after that. In the region where $2 \mathrm{~A}<\mathrm{B}$, the lepton num ber evolves such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (2A B)L }+A \quad 0 \text { : } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus L will evolve such that it has a sign opposite to just before the resonance. W hen 2A > B, L will becom e unstable and grow rapidly. $N$ ote that at the point $A=B=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=A \quad: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence, at the point where the initial rapid creation of $L$ occurs, the rate of change of $L$ will be proportional to . Thus, we might expect that the sign of $L$ will be the sam e as the sign of the asym $m$ etry after the resonance. This $m$ eans that $L$ should change sign at the resonance. N ote how ever that because depends on the initial values of the lepton asym $m$ etries which are unknown at the $m$ om ent, it seem $s$ that the sign of $L$ cannot yet be predicted. H ow ever the above calculation shows that the sign of $L$ should not depend on statistical uctuations, as we initially thought likely [1-12].

Finally we would like to com $m$ ent on the region of param eter space where signi cant generation of lepton number occurs. Firstly, we require that $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$ and that $\mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>}$ $10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, so that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\text {s }}{ }^{>} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. For $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{<} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, lepton num ber can still be generated but it is dom inated by the oscillations betw een collisions and is oscillatory in the realistic case where the spread ofm om enta is taken into account, oscillations of lepton num ber would be $s m$ oothed out and $m$ ay not occur. A num erical study in Ref. that $\sin ^{2} 20^{>} 10^{11}\left(\mathrm{eV}^{2}=j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{1=6}\right.$ is also necessary (see also R ef. $[1 \overline{2} \bar{i}]$ for an approxim ate analytical derivation). Finally, we m ust require that $\sin ^{2} 20$ be $s m$ all enough so that the sterile neutrinos do not com e into equilibrium. For exam ple, if there are equal num bers of
and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ then the rate $\mathrm{n} \quad\left(\quad!\quad{ }_{e}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{n}{ }_{e}^{\circ}\binom{0}{e} \quad$ and L cannot be generated]. W ew ill re-exam ine the region of param eter space w here signi cant generation of lepton num ber occurs in section $V$ (where the ects of the Ferm i-D iracm om entum distribution of the neutrino will be taken into account).

N ote that in Ref.[īid, it is argued that lepton num ber generation only occurs provided that $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{<} 100 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$. We have not been able to verify this result, either analytically or num erically. In fact, we have been able to obtain any signi cant upper bound on $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{j}$.
III. Lepton num ber generation due to neutrino oscillations - A m ore exact treat$m$ ent

In this section we derive a m ore general equation describing lepton number generation in the early U niverse which can be applied when the system is changing rapidly, as occurs, for instance, at the resonance. The only assum ptions that we will $m$ ake are the assum ptions (1), (2) and (4) (discussed in the previous section). That is we w ill neglect the spread of neutrino $m$ om enta and set hoi' 3:15T, and we will also assume that there are negligible num bers of sterile neutrinos generated. In the appendix an altemative derivation (w ith the sam e end result) based on the H am iltonian form alism is presented. A though not yet realistic because of assum ptions (1) and (2), this derivation tums out to be particularly usefiulbecause it allow s us to work out the region of param eter space where the sim ple Eq. ( $(2 \overline{3})$ is approxim ately valid. A s we w ill show, it tums out that Eq. ( $\overline{(2 \overline{3}})$ ) has a w ider applicability than $m$ ight be expected from the adiabatic condition Eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{0})$ ).

The system of an active neutrino oscillating $w$ th a sterile neutrino can be described by a density $m$ atrix. See, for exam ple, Ref. $\left.\operatorname{li}_{-1}^{1}\right]$ for details. Below we very brie y outline this form alism and show how it leads to an integro-di erential equation which reduces to Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3} \bar{i}_{1}\right)$ in the static lim it.

The density $m$ atrices for the neutrino system are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{P_{0}+P:}{2} ; \quad=\frac{P_{0}+P:}{2} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{0}$ and $P_{0}$ are the relative number densities of the $m$ ixed neutrino and anti-neutrino species, and $P$ and $P$ are the polarization vectors that describe the intemalquantum state of the $m$ ixed neutrinos in term $s$ of an expansion in the $P$ aulim atrioes. The num ber densities of and $s$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}=\frac{1+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th analogous equations for the anti-neutrinos. The evolution of $\mathrm{P}_{0} ; \mathrm{P}$ are govemed by the equations $\left.\underline{E D}_{2}^{1} 1{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t} P=V \quad P+_{P}^{(1} \quad B\right)\left(\frac{d}{d t} \ln P_{0}\right) \hat{Z} \quad\left(D^{E}+D^{I}+\frac{d}{d t} \ln P_{0}\right)\left(P_{x} \hat{x}+P_{y} \hat{y}\right) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=1$ and $e=1=4$, and $\mathrm{h}::$ :i indicates the average over the m om entum distributions. $T$ he quantity V is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\hat{x}+\hat{z} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p} \sin 20 ; \quad=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p}(\cos 20 \quad b \quad a) ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $+($ ) sign corresponds to neutrino (anti-neutrino) oscillations. The quantities $D^{E}$ and $D^{I}$ are quantum dam ping param eters resulting from elastic and inelastic processes respectively. A coording to ref. $\left[\overline{2} \overline{1} 1,1, D^{E}+D^{I}=\quad=2\right.$. The function $h\left(!\quad{ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)$ i is the collision rate for the process ! 00 averaged over the distribution of collision param eters at the tem perature $T$ assum ing that all species are in equilibrium.

E xpanding out Eq.( (Ā-1 $)$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d P_{z}}{d t}=P_{y}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & P_{z}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{d}{d t} \log P_{0}\right) ; \\
\frac{d P_{y}}{d t}=P_{x} \quad P_{z} \quad P_{y}=!0 ; \\
\frac{d P_{x}}{d t}=  \tag{49}\\
P_{y} \quad P_{x}=!0 ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where ! $0 \quad 1=\left(D^{E}+D^{I}+\frac{d}{d t} \log P_{0}\right)^{\prime} \quad 1=D \quad\left(w h e r e D \quad D^{E}+D^{I}\right)$. If we $m$ ake the approxi$m$ ation of setting all of the num ber densities to their equilibrium values, and also assum $e$ that the num ber of sterile species is sm all, then $P_{z}$, 1 and Eq. $\left(\frac{1}{9} \overline{9}\right)$ sm pli es to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d P_{z}}{d t} P_{y} ; \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\frac{d P_{y}}{d t}, & P_{x} & P_{y}=!_{0} ; \\
\frac{d P_{x}}{d t} & & P_{y} \\
P_{x}=!0_{0} ;
\end{array} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Strictly speaking, the approxim ation of setting $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}=1=$ constant can only be valid when $P_{z}$ is sm all enough, so that M SW avour conversion cannot occur, i.e. when

$$
\begin{equation*}
j j^{<} j \text { jor } \frac{1}{!0}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful to introduce the com plex variable $P$ ( $t$ ) de ned by $P \quad P_{x}+i P_{y}$. It is easy to see that the resulting equation describing the evolution of $P(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{d P}{d t}=\quad P \quad \frac{P}{!_{0}}+: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to this equation w ith initial condition $P(0)=0$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\sum_{0}^{i_{t}^{t}}\left(t^{0}\right) e^{\left(t^{0} t\right)=!} 0 e^{i_{t}} t^{R^{0}} d t^{\infty} d t^{0} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ！o has been assum ed to be independent of tim e whid is approxim ately valid for tem－ peratures above a few M eV where the expansion rate is less than the collision rate．O ne can easily verify that Eq．（5̄⿹\zh26灬）is indeed the solution of E q．（5̄2）by direct substitution．T hus，taking the im aginary part of both sides of Eq．（5̄⿹\zh26灬），we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{y}=Z_{0}^{Z} e^{\left(t^{0} t\right)=!0} \cos \sum_{t}^{Z_{t}^{0}} d t^{\infty} d t^{0}: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq．（ $(\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ ），it follow s that：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3}{16} \frac{d}{d t}\left(P_{z} \quad P_{z}\right) ; \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{z}$ denotes the $z$－com ponent of the polarization vector for anti－neutrinos．Thus using Eq．（50－10）the above equation becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3}{16}\left(\mathbb{P}_{y} \quad P_{y}\right): \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

 a！a．Thus，we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}, \frac{3^{2 z}}{16} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\left(t^{0} t\right)=!0} \cos \int_{t}^{" z} t^{0} t^{\infty} \quad \cos \sum_{t}^{Z^{0}} d t^{\infty} d t^{0} ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=m^{2}(c \quad b+a)=2 p ; \quad m^{2}(c \quad b \quad a)=2 p$ ．$N$ ote that we have taken outside the integral，which is valid for $T>2 \mathrm{MeV}$ ，because is approxim ately constant over the interaction time scalet e［2］$\overline{2}]$ ．Changing variables from $t^{0}$ to the variable where $t^{0}, t$ this equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}={\frac{32^{Z}}{16}}_{0}^{t} e^{=!0} \cos \int_{t}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} \quad \cos _{t}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} d \text {; } \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3^{2}!_{0}}{16} \operatorname{hoos}_{t}^{z_{t}} d t^{0} i \operatorname{hoos}_{t}^{z_{t}} d t^{0} i: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the above equation can be rew ritten using a trigonom etric identily，so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3^{2 z}}{8} e_{0}^{t} e^{=!0} \sin _{t}^{z}{ }_{t}^{z} d t^{0} \sin \int_{t}^{z} \quad d t^{\infty} d \text {; } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=(\quad)=2$ ．
The phenom enon of neutrino oscillations can also be described by the $H$ am iltonian for－ m alism．We show in the appendix that this form alism also leads to Eq．（ $\bar{\sigma} \overline{0})$ ）under the sam e assum ptions．T he density $m$ atrix form alism is particularly useful if one wants to keep track of the various num ber densities．In this m ore general case，it is very di cult to solve the system analytically and so far this m ore general case has only been studied num erically．

In the static lim it where ; are approxim ately constant, it is straightforward to show that Eq. ( 5 ( $\overline{1}$ ) reduces to Eq. $(2 \overline{3} \overline{3})$ w ith x given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{4}^{2}{\frac{2 \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}}^{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

 of interest except for quite low tem peratures]. This di erence is due to the fact that in
 than Eq. $\left(\frac{160}{6}\right)$ ), it is particularly useful to determ ine the region of param eter space where the static lim it $\mathbb{E q} .(\overline{2} \overline{3})]$ is an acceptable approxim ation. W e now study this issue.

Expand to (note that we are using the notation that $x$ denotes evaluated at the point $x$ ) in a Taylor series around the point $t^{0}=t$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.t^{0}=t+t^{0} \quad t\right]{\frac{d}{d t^{0}}}_{t}^{!}+::: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this $T$ aylor series, the integrals $\begin{gathered}R_{t}\end{gathered} \quad d t^{0}$ can be expanded as follows (w ith a sim ilar expansion for ${ }_{t}^{R_{t}} \mathrm{dt}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t} \quad d t^{0}=t_{t}^{2}{\frac{d}{d t^{0}}}_{t}^{!}+::: \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The static approxim ation w ill be valid provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hoos}_{t}^{Z_{t}} d t t^{0} i h_{t}^{Z_{t}} \operatorname{hto}^{0} i^{\prime} \text { hoos } t^{i} \text { hoos } t^{i} \text { : } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the expansion Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{3})$ ), observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hoos}_{t}^{z_{t}} d t^{0} i=\text { hoos " } \quad \bar{x}^{2}{\frac{d}{d t^{0}}}_{t}^{!}+::: \text {i: } \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation can be used to determ ine the region ofvalidity of the static approxim ation Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{1}$ are essentially four regions to consider.


$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{2}^{!} \frac{d}{d t} j_{t}^{<} j_{t} j j_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0} \frac{d}{d t} j^{<} j_{t} j: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Eq. ( (6َفَّ') holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hoos}_{t}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0_{i}}{ }^{\prime} 0 \text { : } \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.( $(\overline{6} 5$ ) $)$ this equation im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!^{2}}{\frac{0}{2}} \frac{d}{d t} j^{<} 1: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

 holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!_{0}^{2}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} j^{<} 1: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

 because the right-hand side of Eq. $(\overline{6} \overline{\overline{4}} \mathbf{1})$ is zero at this point. N ote how ever that the static approxim ation $w$ ill be acceptable provided that the lefthand side of Eq. ( $(\overline{6} \overline{4})$ is sm all at this


O bserve that Eqs. $(\overline{6} \overline{6},(\bar{\sigma} \overline{9})$ are m ore stringent than Eq. $(\overline{6} \bar{q})$. Evaluating Eq. $(\overline{6} \bar{\delta} \overline{1})$ at the resonance, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!^{2}}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{0}} \frac{m^{2}}{2 p}(\cos 20 \quad b+a) j^{<} 1: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{9})$ ) we only need to replace a ! a in the above equation. A ssum ing that there is no accidental cancellation betw een the various independent term s, Eq.(ī0) im plies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \frac{m^{2}}{2 p} \frac{6 b}{T} \frac{d T}{d t} j^{<} \frac{2}{2} ; j \frac{d a}{d T} j^{<}{ }^{2} \frac{2 p}{2} \frac{d t}{m^{2}} \frac{d t}{d T} j \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $@ b=Q T=6 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{T}$ and recall that $!0=2=$. In deriving Eq. (ī111) we have also neglected a term proportional to (oos2 $0 \quad b+a)$ which is less stringent than Eqdī1) because (cos2 $\quad \mathrm{b}+\mathrm{a}$ ) 0 is just the resonance condition. The rst condition in Equen ( is satis ed provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{>}{\frac{11 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cos 20_{0}}{M_{P} Y^{2} G_{F}^{4}}}^{!\frac{1}{9}}, 11{\frac{m^{2}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}}^{!\frac{1}{9}} \mathrm{MeV} \text {; } \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set $b=\cos 20$ (which leads to the $m$ ost stringent condition) and we have used $\mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{dt}{ }^{\prime} \quad 5: 5 \mathrm{~T}^{3}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$. In order to evaluate the second condition in Eq. (īin $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ), observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d a}{d T}=\frac{@ a}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ T}+\frac{@ a}{@ T}: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing that there is no accidental cancellation between the two term son the right-handside of the above equation, the second term in Eq. (רָini) im plies the follow ing conditions at the resonanœe,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ a}{@ T} j^{<} \dot{j}_{2}^{2} \frac{2 p}{m^{2}} \frac{d t}{d T} ; j \frac{@ a}{@ L} \frac{@ L}{@ T} j^{<} \dot{j}_{2}^{2} \frac{2 p}{m^{2}} \frac{d t}{d T} j: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing＠a＝＠T＇4a＝T，and a＇1，then the rst equation above gives approxim ately the sam e condition as the rst equation in Eq．（⿳亠二口1刂1）．T he second condition in Eq．（ on the rate of change of lepton number at the resonance．Expanding this equation out we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L}{@ T} j^{<}{ }_{j}^{2}{ }_{2}^{2} \frac{d t}{d T} \frac{1}{2^{p}} \frac{1}{2_{G} n} j^{\prime} \frac{Y^{2} M_{P} G_{F}^{3} 4: 1 T^{4}}{22^{2}}, 4 \quad 10^{11} \frac{T}{M e V}{ }^{4} \frac{1}{M e V ;} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $n=2$（3）$T^{3}={ }^{2}, T^{3}=4: 1$ ．N ote that we have also used Eq．（in collision frequency．T hus，for exam ple，if we are interested in studying the region where the lepton num ber is initially created，then a necessary condition for Eq．（ $\left.2 \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ to be approxim ately valid is that the resonance must occur for tem peratures satisfying Eq．（ $\overline{-1} 2 \overline{1})$ ．From Eq．（ $\overline{-} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ （w ith cos2 ${ }_{0}{ }^{\prime} 1$ ），this im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}^{2>} 9 \quad 10^{2}\left(5 \quad 10^{3}\right) \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

for e $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s}$ ）oscillations．The creation of L must also satisfy Eq．（7⿹\zh26灬 ）at the resonance．This condition should be checked when using Eq．（2］3̄3）for self consistency．

P erhaps supprisingly，there is a signi cant region of param eter space where the oscillations are not adiabatic at the resonance［i．e．$>1$ in Eqs． satis ed．This is possible because Eqs．（1711）are not equivalent to the adiabatic conditions
 $\mathrm{dL}=\mathrm{dt}$ reduce approxim ately to the sim ple Eq．（2 $2 \overline{-1})$ ．Recall that the total contribution to $\mathrm{dL}=\mathrm{dt}$ can be separated into two distinct contributions：from oscillations due to collisions and from oscillations between collisions．The adiabatic condition，on the other hand，is a necessary condition for the contribution of $d L=d t$ from collisions to reduce to Eq．（213］）． Thus it tums out that in the region when the system is both non－adiabatic and Eqs．（ $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} 1)_{1}\right)$ are satis ed，the modi cation to the equation for $d L=d t$ from collisions which arises from the non－adiabaticily cancels w ith the extra contribution to $d L=d t$ from oscillations between collisions．This type of cancellation is $m$ ore transparent in the $H$ am iltonian form alism（see the appendix）．

Finally，to illustrate the analysis of this section，consider the exam ples given in F igures 1 and 2．Recall that the solid and dashed lines correspond to the density $m$ atrix Eqs．（ $\overline{4} \overline{\underline{G}})$ and Eq．（23i）respectively．O bserve that for the exam ple in Figure 1 （which has $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ）， Eq．（ $z_{1}^{3}$ i）is not a very good approxim ation at the resonance w here the lepton num ber is initially created（although it is a reasonable approxim ation for $\operatorname{sm}$ all $\sin ^{2} 20$ ）．This is because the lepton num ber is created so rapidly that Eq．（רָּר）is not valid．H ow ever，for the exam ple show $n$ in Figure 2，where $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ，the tem perature where the lepton num ber is created is much higher．Observe that Eq．（רֶ）is not as stringent for high tem peratures and it is therefore not surprising that the static approxim ation is approxim ately valid for this case． $\mathbb{N}$ ote that the result that the static approxim ation tends to be a good approxim ation at high tem peratures can also be seen by observing that for high tem peratures，！ $0!0$ ，and in this lim it，Eq．（ $\overline{6} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ w ill be satis ed］．

IV The Therm alM om entum distribution of the neutrino
$H$ therto we have $m$ ade the assum ption that the neutrinos are $m$ onochrom atic. This assum ption is not expected to hold for the neutrinos in the early U niverse. The $m$ om entum distribution of these neutrinos w illbe the usualFem i-D irac distribution. N ote that the w idth of the initial resonance in $m$ om entum space is $m u c h ~ s m a l l e r ~ t h a n ~ t h e ~ s p r e a d ~ o f ~ n e u t r i n o ~ m o-~$ $m$ enta. This $m$ eans that only a few of the neutrinos $w i l l$ be at resonance at a given time. A lso, not all of the neutrinos $w$ illbe creating lepton num ber. N eutrinos in the region de ned by $\mathrm{b}^{\circ}>\cos 2$ 。 (which includes part of the resonanœe) destroy lepton number, and those in the region $b^{\circ}<\cos 2$ o create lepton num ber. The point where net lepton num ber is created only occurs when the lepton num ber creating neutrino oscillations dom inate over the lepton num ber destroying oscillations. Recall that in the unphysical case where all of the neutrinos are assum ed to be m onochrom atic, all of the neutrinos enter the resonance at the sam e tim e, where they alldestroy lepton num ber ifb>cos2 o, or all create lepton num ber ifb < cos2 0 . C learly, thee ect of the therm alspread ofm om entum willm ake the creation of lepton num ber $m$ uch $s m$ oother. A $n$ im portant consequence of this is that there willbe even larger regions of param eter space where the system is sm ooth enough so that the static approxim ation is valid and hence Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ w ill be a good approxim ation ( $m$ odi ed to inconporate the $m$ om entum dependence).

In the static lim it, we can sim ply re-derive Eq. $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$, assum ing that the neutrino $m$ om enta form the usualFerm i-D irac distribution. In this case, Eq. ('ָ.) becom es
where

$$
d n=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{p^{2} d p}{\left.1+e^{(p}\right)=T} ; d n=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{p^{2} d p}{1+e^{(p+)=T}} ;
$$

and $d n_{s} ; \mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the di erential num ber densities for the sterile and anti-sterile neutrinos respectively. In Eq. $\bar{\eta} \bar{q}) \quad$ is the chem ical potential.

The reaction rates can easily be obtained follow ing a sim ilar derivation as before $\mathbb{E}$ qns. $(\underline{\underline{1}}-1$ [1니) ], but this tim e we keep the $m$ om entum dependence (rather than setting $p=$ hpi). D oing this, we nd the follow ing equation for the rate of change of lepton num ber in the static lim it:
where is a sm all correction term
and $d n d n d n$. Recall that $c \quad \cos 2_{0} ; s \sin 20$. In these equations note that the quantities, $\mathrm{lo}^{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}} ;{ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ are all functions of m om entum of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{p}=\frac{p^{2}}{h p^{2}} ; a^{p}=a \frac{p}{h p i} ; x^{p}=s^{2}+\frac{2}{4}_{\frac{p}{}_{h p i}^{h^{2}}}^{h^{2}} \frac{2 p}{m^{2}}{ }^{2} ; p=\frac{p}{h p i} ; \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

 of the neutrino $m$ om enta are $x e d$ to $p=$ hpi. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that $a^{p}=a$ when $p=$ hpi' 3:15T and sm ilarly for $b^{p} ; x^{p}$ and ${ }^{p}$ ].
$N$ ote that the chem ical potential is related to the lepton num ber by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{nL} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{T}^{3}}{6} \overline{\mathrm{~T}}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{3}\right): \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eqs. (ī $\overline{8}, 18 \bar{z} \overline{2})$ we nd

$$
\begin{gather*}
d n^{+}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{2} d p}{1+e^{p=T}}+O\left(L^{2}\right) ; \\
d n=n L \frac{6}{2 T^{3}} \frac{p^{2} e^{p=T} d p}{\left(1+e^{p=T}\right)^{p}}+O\left(L^{3}\right): \tag{83}
\end{gather*}
$$

T hus substituting the above relations into Eq. $(\overline{7} \overline{9})$, we nd that
where is a sm all correction term

Eq. ( $\overline{\overline{0}} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ ) can be integrated num erically to obtain $L$ as a function of tim e (or tem perature). We w ill give som e exam ples in the next section after we discuss how to calculate the sterile neutrino num ber distributions.
$T$ he $m$ ain e ect of the them al spread of neutrino $m$ om enta is to $m$ ake the generation of lepton num ber much sm oother. From a com putational point of view, this is very fortunate. This is because Eq. ( $\overline{8} \overline{4} \overline{-})$, like Eq. ( $(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$, is only valid provided the lepton num ber generation is su ciently sm ooth (see the previous section for a detailed discussion of this point). In particular, Eq. ( $\overline{-1} \overline{-1}$ ) should be a m uch better approxim ation to reality at the resonance where signi cant lepton num ber is initially generated.

To com plete this section, we com $m$ ent on the rate of change of lepton num ber due to ordinary-ordinary neutrino oscillations. For de niteness consider e oscillations. The rate of change of $L \quad L_{e}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{n}{2} \frac{d\left(L L_{e}\right)}{d t}={ }^{R} \quad\left(\quad!e_{R}\right) d n+{ }^{R} \quad(\quad!e) d n  \tag{86}\\
\quad+{ }^{R}(e!) d n_{e} \quad(e!) d n_{e}:
\end{gather*}
$$


where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d n_{e}}{d p}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{p^{2}}{1+e^{(p)} \quad 1^{1)=T}} ; \frac{d n e}{d p}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{p^{2}}{1+e^{(p+} 1^{1)=T}} ; \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

The chem ical potentials $1 ; 2$ are related to the lepton numbers $L_{e ;}$ by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{6 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~T}^{3}} \mathrm{~L} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{6 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~T}^{3}} \mathrm{~L} \quad ; \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that ${ }_{i}=T \quad$ 1. U sing these relations and expanding out Eq. $\left(\overline{(\overline{8}} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ (again assum ing that $i=T \quad 1$ ) we nd to leading order that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(L \quad L_{e}\right)}{d t}, \frac{6\left(L \quad L_{e}\right)^{Z}}{{ }^{2} T^{3}} \int_{1} \frac{p^{2} e^{p=T}}{\left(1+e^{p=T}\right)^{2}}[(\quad, \quad e)+\quad(\quad!\quad e)] d p: \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above equation we see that $L \quad L_{e}$ always evolves such that ( $L \quad L_{e}$ )! 0 . A lso note that Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{-1}$ ) shows that the rate of change of lepton num ber due to ordinaryordinary neutrino oscillations is generally sm aller than the rate of change of lepton num ber due to ordinary - sterile neutrino oscillations (assum ing L 1). A ctually Eq. (19̄̄) has a strength com parable to the correction term forordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations Eq. ( 2 ( 4 i 1 , although note that the $m$ ixing angle between ordinary neutrinos can be signi cantly larger than the $m$ ixing angle betw een ordinary and sterile neutrinos].

For ordinary-ordinary neutrino oscillations, neutralcurrent interactions do not collapse the wavefunction because they cannot distinguish di erent neutrino species. Only the charged current interactions can distinguish the neutrino species. For exam ple, for tem peratures 1 MeV < $\mathrm{T}^{\text {< }} 30 \mathrm{MeV}$, there are near equilibrium num ber densities ofelectrons and positrons. The number of $m$ uons and anti-m uons will be $m$ uch less than the number of electrons and positrons, and we will neglect them (actually these are im portant for oscillations). The rate at which charged current interactions occur is given approxim ately by j e j'
 and neutrino - neutrino ${ }_{10} \bar{i}_{1}^{1}$ ] forw ard scattering am plitudes induce o diagonalcontributions to the e ective potential. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that these contributions do not occur for the e ective potential goveming ordinary - sterile neutrino oscillations]. It would be necessary to include these $e$ ects in-order to evaluate the reaction rates. $W$ e leave this as a take hom e exercise for the reader.
V.The e ects of non-negligible sterile neutrino num ber densities and the param eter space for large lepton num ber asym $m$ etry generation

In this section we will do three things. We will study the e ects of non-negligible sterile neutrino num ber densities, which can arise for the case of relatively large, or even m oderate values of $\sin ^{2} 20$. W ew illexam ine the param eter space where signi cant generation of lepton
num ber occurs. Finally, we will obtain the BBN bound on the param eter space for s oscillations w th $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$; and with $\mathrm{jm}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$; $\sin ^{2} 20_{0}^{<} 10^{2}$.

There are several ways in which the creation of lepton num ber(s) can prevent the sterile neutrinos from com ing into equilibrium. O neway is that one set of oscillations screates L . The lepton num ber $L$ can then suppress other, independent oscillations such as s oscillations (w th ) for exam ple. A m ore direct, but less dram atic way in which the creation of lepton num ber can help prevent the sterile neutrinos from com ing into equilibrium, is that the lepton num ber generated from say s oscillations itself suppresses the s oscillationst[3̄2̄]. W e will exam ine the latter e ect here (som e exam ples of the form er e ect
 large $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ (with $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$ ) and sm all $\sin ^{2} 20^{<} 10^{2}$ which can be approxim ately param etrized as follow st/[్]-]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \sin ^{4} 20^{<} 10^{9} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his bound arises by assum ing that the s oscillations do not bring the sterile s state into equilibrium . $N$ ote that this bound neglected the creation of lepton num ber and it also did not include the e ects of the distribution of neutrino m om entum. H ow ever, in the realistic case, the creation of $L$ (after it occurs) will suppress the s oscillations and the actual bound would be expected to be som ew hat less stringent than Eq. (9919) .

To proceed we will need to exam ine the e ects of non-negligible sterile neutrino num ber densities. The evolution of the number distribution of sterile neutrinos is govemed by the rate equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{d n_{s}=d p}{d n=d p}=\frac{d n=d p \quad d n_{s}=d p}{d n=d p}(\quad!\quad s): \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar equation holds for the num ber distribution of sterile anti-neutrinos. Introducing the notation, $z \quad \frac{d n_{s}=d p}{d n ~=d p}$ (for anti-neutrinos we use the corresponding notation, $z \quad \frac{d n s=d p}{d n}=d p$ ), Eq. (19-2) becom es

$$
\left.\frac{d z}{d t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z \tag{93}
\end{array}\right)(\quad!\quad s)=\frac{(1}{} \quad z\right) \frac{p s^{2}}{4} \frac{x^{p}+\left(c \quad 18+a^{p}\right)^{2}}{x^{2}}:
$$

The corresponding equation for anti-neutrinos can be obtained by replacing $z!z$ and $a^{p}$ !
$d$ in the above equation. In solving the above di erentialequation, wew illassum e the in itial condition $z=0$. We will also assume that the number densities of the ordinary neutrinos are given by their equilibrium values. $N$ ote that the quantity $z$ depends only on the reaction rates and is otherw ise independent of the expansion.

From the de nition of $z$, it follow sthat $\mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{zdn} ; \mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{zdn}$. Thus, from Eq. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{C}} \overline{4} \overline{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}, \frac{1}{4(3) T^{3}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{s^{2} a^{p}(c \quad B)}{\left[x^{p}+\left(c \quad a^{p}\right)^{2}\right]\left[x^{p}+\left(c \quad d^{p}\right)^{2}\right]} \frac{\left(1 \quad z^{1}\right) p^{2} d p}{1+e^{p=T}}+; \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a sm all correction term

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \frac{1}{8(3) T^{3}} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{s^{2} p\left[x^{p}+\left(a^{p}\right)^{2}+\left(b^{\infty} \quad c c^{2}\right]\right.}{\left.\left.x^{p}+\left(c \quad a^{p}\right)^{2}\right] x^{p}+(c \quad 1 B)^{2}\right]} \frac{z p^{2} d p}{1+e^{p=T}} ; \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith z (z $\quad z \quad=2$ and we have neglected a sm all correction term which is proportional to L . N ote that Eq. (9긴) and Eq. (9, $\overline{9})$ m ust be solved sim ultaneously.

For the num ericalw ork, the continuous variable $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{T}$ is replaced by a nite set ofm om enta $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}$ (w ith $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: \% \mathrm{~N}$ ) and the integral over $m$ om entum in Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{g}} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$ is replaced by the sum of a nite num ber of term $s$. C orrespondingly, the variable $z$ ( $t ; p=T$ ) is replaced by the set of variables, $z_{n}(t)$, where the evolution of each variable $z_{n}(t)$ is govemed by the di erential equation, $\mathrm{Eq} .(\overline{9} 3 \overline{1} 1)$ [ w th $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{T}$ for $\left.\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;:: ; \mathrm{N}\right]$. Thus, the single di erential equation, Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{3} 1)$ is replaced by a set of N di erential equations, one for each mom entum step. These di erential equations, together with Eq. ( 9 位), are coupled di erential equations whidh m ust be integrated sim ultaneously.

W e now illustrate the creation of lepton num ber as govemed by Eqs. ( $\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{4}, \bar{n} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ w ith som e exam ples. W e have num erically integrated Eqs. (9, $\overline{4}, 1, \bar{\prime} \overline{9})$ for the follow ing param eter choiges. In Figure 3 we have considered ; s oscillations w th the param eter choige $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{4}$ (dashed line), $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{6}$ (dashed-dotted line) and $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{8}$ (solid line). $F$ igure 4 is the sam eas $F$ igure 3, except that $m^{2}=1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}, \sin ^{2} 20=10{ }^{6}$ (dashed line), $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{7}$ (dashed-dotted line) and $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{9}$ (solid line). In both exam ples we have assum ed that the initial lepton asym m etry is zero. Recall that the generation of lepton number is essentially independent of the initial lepton num ber asym m etry provided that it is less than about $10{ }^{5}$ (form ore discussion about this point see section 2). N ote that for convenience we have plotted $\ddagger \mathrm{j}$. The lepton asymmetry $L$ changes sign at the point where it is created. B efore this point L evolves such that it has the opposite sign to while for evolution subsequent to the point where $L$ is initially created, $L$ has the sam e sign as
. Recall that this behaviour is expected (see the earlier discussion in section II).
In these exam ples, the generation of lepton num ber is considerably sm oother than in the earlier case where them om entum distribution was neglected (see Figures 1,2). F or this reason, it tums out that throughout $m$ ost of the evolution of $L$, the rate of change of $L$ satis es the condition Eq. (1] $\overline{1})$ and thus Eq. ( $\overline{8} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ should be approxim ately valid (except at quite low tem peratures where the MSW e ect will be im portant).

In order to gain insight into the e ects of the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution, it is usefiul to com pare $F$ igures 3,4 (whidh inconporate the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution) w ith the $F$ igures 1,2 (where all of the $m$ om entum of all of the neutrinos were set equal to the $m$ ean m om entum ). Q ualitatively, there is not a great deal of di erence. H ow ever there are several very im portant e ects, which we sum $m$ arize below .
(1) For the exam ples w th relatively $s m$ all $\sin ^{2} 20$, lepton num ber creation generally begins som ew hat earlier (i.e. at a higher tem perature) than in the case wherem om entum distribution is neglected. For the exam ples shown in $F$ igures $3,4 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 20_{0}=10{ }^{8}$ ( $1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$; $\sin ^{2} 20=10{ }^{9}$ ), lepton num ber is created when T , 20 MeV ( T , 65 MeV ). $T$ his can be com pared $w$ th the simplistic case where the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution w as neglected. In this case, we see from $F$ igures 1, 2 that lepton num ber creation begins at $\mathrm{T}^{\prime} 16: 0 \mathrm{MeV}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\prime} 50 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$ for $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ( $1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ). The fact that the critical tem perature increases can be explained rather sim ply. N ote that the neutrino num ber density distribution peaks at about $p^{\prime} 2: 2 \mathrm{~T}$, which should be com pared w ith the average m om entum
of about 3:15T used in $F$ igures 1 and 2. U sing the form er approxim ation instead of the latter leads the critical tem perature to increase by about $12 \%$. This explains qualitatively why the critical tem perature increases. N ote, how ever, that the accurate num erical calculations displayed in $F$ igures 3 and 4 actually show that the tem perature increases by $m$ ore than this, and also that the tem perature increase depends on the mixing angle.
(2) For the exam ples w th large $\sin ^{2} 20$, the point where signi cant generation of lepton num ber is created occurs m uch later than in the exam ples with sm all $\sin ^{2} 20$. The reason for this is that for large $\sin ^{2} 20$, the num ber density of sterile neutrinos is larger. In the region before signi cant lepton num ber is generated, a' 0 and all of the neutrino oscillations w th $b^{\circ}<\cos 2$ o have already passed through the resonance while the neutrino oscillations w ith $b^{\circ}>\cos 2$ o have yet to pass through the resonanc. Since the creation of sterile neutrinos is dom inated by the oscillations at the resonance, it follow s that the sterile neutrino num ber distribution w th m om enta in the region where $\mathrm{b}^{\circ}<\cos 2 \mathrm{ow}$ ill be m uch greater than for sterile neutrinos w ith m om enta in the region where $\mathrm{b}^{\circ}>\cos 20$. Thus, from Eq. ( ${ }^{(9-1)}{ }^{1}$ ), the lepton num ber creating oscillations (w ith $b^{\circ}<\cos 20$ ) are suppressed if the num ber density of sterile neutmos is non-negligible, as occurs for large $\sin ^{2} 20$. The lepton num ber destroying oscillations (w ith $b^{\circ}>\cos 2$ o), on the other hand, are not suppressed because the num ber of sterile neutrinos with $b^{p}>\cos 20$ are negligible.
(3) T he creation of lepton num ber is considerably sm oother in the realistic case. For instance, in the example where $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}, \sin ^{2} 20=10^{8}$, in the realistic case (shown in F igure 3), L goes from $10^{10}$ to $10^{6}$ in about $\mathrm{T} \quad 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, whereas in the unrealistic case where the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution was neglected (show in $F$ igure 1), 过 jgoes from $10{ }^{10}$ to $10{ }^{6}$ in about T $0: 005 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$.
(4) At low tem peratures, the lepton num ber gets \frozen" at an earlier tim e. For exam ple, in the case where $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 20=10^{8}$, w ith m om entum dependence ( $F$ igure 3), the nal value for the lepton number is $4 \quad 10^{4}$, whereas in the unrealistic case w thout the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution, the nal value for the lepton num ber for this exam ple (Figure 1) is $10^{1}$. A s discussed brie y in section II, this e ect is expected because the tem perature where the lepton number gets frozen occurs when the rate of change of the variable a due to the expansion of the U niverse dom inates over the rate of change of a due to neutrino oscillations. In the realistic case where the m om entum distribution is taken into account, the $m$ axim um value of the rate of change of a due to neutrino oscillations is suppressed because only a sm all fraction of the neutrinos will be at the resonance.

This last point suggests that the $m$ om entum distribution cannot be ignored if one is interested in nding out the precise nalvalue of the lepton num ber generated. H ow ever, note that Eq. ( $\overline{-1} \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) does not inconporate avour conversion due to theM SW e ect [see assum ption (4) in section II for som e discussion about this point]. The e ect of the M SW avour conversion should be to be to keep a' 1 for lower tem peratures. This $m$ eans that the nal value of L should be signi cantly larger than suggested by Figures 3,4. This e ect will need to be incorporated if one wants to calculate the precise value of the nal lepton num ber generated.
[T he precise value of the nal lepton num ber can be obtained by num erically integrating the density $m$ atrix equations Eqs. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-})$ ) suitably m odi ed to inconporate the neutrino m om entum distribution]. In particular, if one is interested in working out the region of param eter space where the electron lepton number is large enough to a ect BBN through nuclear reaction rates, then the nal value of the electron lepton num ber is very im portant [303', ', in in.

N ote that we can check Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ by num erically integrating Eq. $(\overline{9} \overline{3})$ ) and Eq. $(\overline{8} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ assum ing for de niteness that $s=0: 6$ (where the 's are the energy densities). This leads to the follow ing constraint on $\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 20$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} 20^{<} 2(4) \quad 10^{5}{\frac{e V^{2}}{}{ }^{\# \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}^{\text {j }} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

for e $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{m}$ ) oscillations. Thus, we see that Eq.(9̄1 $\overline{1})$ tums out to be a good approxim ation after all. This is basically due to the result that the creation of a non-negligible num ber of sterile neutrinos has the e ect of delaying the point where signi cant lepton number is created [se point (2) above].
$F$ inally, the region of param eter space where signi cant neutrino asym $m$ etries are generated by ordinary sterile neutrino oscillations can be obtained by integrating Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{4} \overline{1})$ and
 $10{ }^{5}$ ) is generated by ordinary - sterile neutrino oscillations for the follow ing region of param eter space

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \text { (5) } 10^{10} \frac{" \mathrm{eV}^{2}}{j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}}{ }^{\frac{1}{6}}<\sin ^{2} 20^{<} 2(4) \quad 10^{5} \frac{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{\mathrm{jm}^{2} \mathrm{j}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \text {; and } j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2} \text {; } \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

for e $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s}$ ) oscillations. N ote that we have assum ed that $=<0: 6 \mathbb{E q} .(\overline{9} \bar{\gamma})]$. In the general case where no bound on $=$ is assum ed, the upper bound on $\sin ^{2} 20$ is considerably weaker. For exam ple, ; $\quad \mathrm{s}$ oscillations w ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1 \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 20=10^{4}$ violate the bound, Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{6}$ ) $)$ but still generate signi cant neutrino asym $m$ etry, as illustrated in Figure 3. For this particular exam ple, we found that $=\quad$, 0:86].

The param eter space in Eq. (9̄7) can be com pared w ith previous w ork where them om entum dependence was neglected 1 which assum es a BBN bound of $s=<0: 6$, is not modied much when the $m$ om entum distribution of the neutrino is inconporated. For the lower lim it of $\sin ^{2} 20$, the e ect of the m om entum dependence is to reduce the region of param eter space by nearly two orders of m agnitude.

Finally, it $m$ ay be possible for signi cant neutrino asym $m$ etries to be generated for $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j^{<}$ $10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, however the $m$ echanism of production of these asymmetries is dom inated by oscillations betw een collisions (rather than the mechanism of collisions) and tend to be oscillatory

V I. C onsistency of the maxim al vacuum oscillation solutions of the solar and atm ospheric neutrino problem swith BBN

W e now tum to another application of the phenom enon of lepton num ber creation due to ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations. First, in the context of a simple explanation of the solar neutrino problem which involves large angle e s oscillations, we will determ ine the conditions under which the lepton num ber produced from s oscillations can suppress the oscillations s (where ). This allow s the BBN bounds on ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations to be evaded by $m$ any orders ofm agnitude, as we will show. W e begin by brie y


O ne possible explanation of the solar neutrino problem is that the electron neutrino oscillates $m$ axim ally (or near $m$ axim ally) with a sterile neutrino (which we here denote as ${ }_{e}^{0}$ rather than as sin order to rem ind the reader that this sterile neutrino is approxim ately $m$ axim ally $m$ ixed with e) $\underline{9}_{1}, 1$ is well know $n$, for a large range of param eters [3] $[-1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \quad 10^{10} \mathrm{eV}^{2<} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~m}_{e e^{0} \mathrm{j}^{<}}^{2} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{eV}^{2} \text {; } \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ axim al vacuum oscillations imply that the ux of electron neutrinos from the sun will be reduced by a factor of tw ofor all neutrino energies relevant to the solar neutrino experim ents. Wewill call this soenario the $\backslash m$ axim al vacuum oscillation solution" to the solar neutrino problem. It is a very sim ple and predictive schem e which can either be ruled out or tested $m$ ore stringently $w$ ith the existing experim ents. Im portantly, it also $m$ akes de nite predictions for the new experim ents, SNO, Superkam iokande and B orexino. O ur interest in this schem e is also $m$ otivated by the exact parity sym $m$ etric $m$ odel (see $R$ ef. $\left[1 \bar{i} \bar{i}_{1}\right]$ for a review of this $m$ odel). $T$ his $m$ odel predicts that ordinary neutrinos $w i l l$ be $m$ axim ally $m$ ixed $w$ ith $m$ irror neutrinos (which are approxim ately sterile as far as ordinary $m$ atter is concemed) if neutrinos have $m$ ass [īin ${ }_{1}$ ]. If we $m$ ake the assum ption that the $m$ ixing betw een the generations is $s m$ all (as it is in the quark sector) then the exact parity sym $m$ etric $m$ odel predicts that the three known neutrinos will each be (to a good approxim ation) maxim al mixtures of two mass eigenstates. There are also other interesting $m$ odels which predict that the electron neutrino
 electron neutrino (e) and the sterile neutrino $w$ ill reduce the solar neutrino ux by an energy independent factor of two for the large range of param eters given in Eq. (908) . T his leads to de nite predictions for the expected solar neutrino uxes for the existing experim ents. In Ref. $\left[\frac{[0}{1}\right]$, we com pared these predictions w ith the existing experm ents. W e sum $m$ arize the results of that exercise in Table 1 which we have updated to include the $m$ ost recent data [3] $\bar{q}]$.
$N$ ote that in Table 1, the K am iokande experim ent has been used as a m easurem ent of the
 course) because the ux of neutrinos com ing from this reaction chain is di cult to reliably calculate $[\overline{4}-2 \bar{i}]$. C learly, the sim ple energy independent ux reduction by a factor of two leads to predictions which are in quite reasonable agreem ent with the data. If the m in im alstandard m odel had given such good predictions, few would have claim ed that there is a solar neutrino problem.
$N$ ote that them axim alvacuum oscillation solution is distinct from the \just so" large angle vacuum oscillation solution $\left[\hat{4} \overline{4}_{-1}\right]$. In the \just so" solution, the electron neutrino oscillation
length is assum ed to be about equal to the distance betw een the earth and the sun (which corresponds to $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 10^{10} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ). In this case the ux of neutrinos depends sensitively on $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and it is possible to $t$ the data to the free param eters $\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 20$ [ doing this is that a good to the data can be obtained (how ever this is not so surprising since there are two free param eters to adjust). The disadvantage is that ne tuning is required and predictivity is lost because of the two free param eters. The maxim alm ixing solution on the other hand assum es $m$ axim alm ixing and that $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is in the range E q. ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{-1}\right)_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . For this param eter range there is an energy independent ux reduction by a factor oftwo. The advantage of this possibility is that it does not require ne tuning and it is predictive. A consequence of this is that it is testable w ith the existing experim ents. The disadvantage of this scenario is that it does not give a perfect $t$ to the data. However, in our opinion the predictions are in rem arkably good agreem ent with the data given the sim plicity and predictivity of the m odel.
 Form axim ally $m$ ixed $e$ and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ neutrinos, the follow ing rather stringent BBN bound has been


$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{j}^{<} 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his bound arises by requiring that the sterile neutrinos do not signi cantly modify the successful BBN calculations. For tem peratures above the kinetic decoupling tem perature the requirem ent that the sterile neutrinos do not com e into equilibrium im plies the bound
 excluded because the oscillations deplete the num ber ofelectron neutrinos (and anti-neutrinos) after kinetic decoupling (so that they cannot be replenished). The depletion of electron neutrinos increases the He e H prim ordial abundance ratio. This is because the tem perature where the ratio ofneutrons to protons freezes out is in creased if there are less electron neutrinos around. For $j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}} \mathrm{oj}^{<} \quad 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, the oscillation lengths are too long to have any signi cant $e$ ect on the number densities of electron neutrinos during the nucleosynthesis era. If the bound in Eq. ( $\overline{9} 9{ }_{-1}$ ) w ere valid then it w ould restrict m uch of the param eter space for them axim al vacuum oscillation solution of the solar neutrino problem. H ow ever, this bound does not hold if there is an appreciable lepton num ber asym $m$ etry in the early U niverse for tem peratures between $1 \quad 100 \mathrm{M}$ eV'[ $\left.[1] \frac{1}{1}\right]$. $T$ his is because the generation of signi cant lepton number $L^{(e)}$ im plies that the quantity $a_{e e}$ (which is the a param eter de ned in Eq. (İBi) with $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{2}}^{2}$ ] is very large thereby suppressing the oscillations [note that for $a_{\mathrm{ee}} 0 \quad 1, \sin ^{2} 2 m \quad \sin ^{2} 20$, see Eq. $(\overline{1}-\overline{1})]$. W e will now show in detail how the creation of lepton num ber can relax the BBN bound Eq. $(\overline{9} 9 \overline{9})$ by $m$ any orders ofm agnitude.

W e will assum e that the various oscillations can be approxim ately broken up into the pairw ise oscillations e $\quad \stackrel{0}{e} \quad e_{e}^{0}$ and $\quad e_{e}^{0}$. W e will denote the various oscillation param eters in a selfeevident notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{e^{0}} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}} 0 \text { for } \quad \quad_{\mathrm{e}}^{0} \text { oscillations; } \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=e$; ; $W$ e will denote the $m$ ixing param eters, $\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 20$ appropriate for ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations by $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{0} ; \sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{e}^{0}}$. N ote that lepton num ber cannot be created by
${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations untilib $e^{0}<\cos 2{ }_{0} e^{0}$. Recall that the b param eter is inversely proportional to $\mathrm{m}^{2}[s e \mathrm{Eq} .(\underline{1} \overline{-1})]$. T hus, the earliest point during the evolution of the U niverse w here lepton number can be created due to ordinary - sterile neutrino oscillations occurs for oscillations which have the largest $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}$. N ote that these oscillations must satisfy the bound in Eq. ( $\left.(\overline{\mathrm{g}} \overline{\mathrm{T}})^{\prime}\right)$ if they are to produce lepton num ber, and they should also satisfy the BBN bound Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{\text { an }}$ ) if we dem and that the sterile neutrino energy density be sm all enough so that BBN is not signi cantly modi ed. N ote that the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations have very sm allj $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ee}}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{<} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}[\underline{\mathrm{~B}} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}]$,
 them selves cannot produce signi cant lepton num ber. H ow ever, the $m^{2}$ for $\int_{e}^{0}$ or ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations can have much larger $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (and they should also have $\mathrm{m}^{2}<0$ if $\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}>$
 and the $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations create $L \quad$ rst ( $w$ th $L \quad ; L$ e assum ed to be intitially negligible). Ifm $>m$ then we only need to replace by in the follow ing analysis.

Thus, we will consider the system comprising ; e and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ (and their anti-particles). O ur analysis will be divided into two parts. First, we will calculate the condition that the $L^{(e)}$ created by $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations survives w thout being subsequently destroyed by $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations. W e w ill then establish the conditions under which $\mathrm{L}^{(e)}$ is created early enough and is large enough to suppress the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations so that only a negligible num ber of ${ }_{e}^{0}$ is produced.

For sim plicity we will rst analyse the system neglecting the $m$ om entum distribution of the neutrino. This is usefulbecause under this assum ption it tums out that this system can be approxim ately solved analytically as we w ill show. W e w ill then consider the realistic case where the spread ofm om enta is taken into consideration.

It is important to observe that the generation of $L$ also leads to the generation of
 H ow ever $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations can potentially generate $L$ e such that $L^{(e)}!0$. (Recall that $L^{(e)}$ ' 0 is an approxim ately stable xed point for the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ system for temperatures greater than a few MeV ). The e ect of the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations will be greatest when the

 $\left(a_{e e^{0}}=b_{e e^{0}}\right)$, willbe satis ed when

Recall that the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations generate $L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~b}_{e^{0}} ; \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that $\cos 20_{0} e^{0} \quad 1$ and that $L>0$ for de niteness. Observe that Eqs. ( $1 \overline{1} 0 \overline{1} \bar{i}, \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ in ply that the system inevitably passes through the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance. This event willoccur when

$$
\begin{equation*}
b e^{0} j^{\prime} \frac{A}{A+2 A_{e}}: \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the de nition ofb $e^{0}$ which can be obtained from Eq. (1 $\left.\left.\overline{1}\right)_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, the above equation can be solved for the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance tem perature

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\text {res }}^{e^{0}}, \frac{" m^{2} M_{W}^{2} 4: 1}{6: 3^{2} \overline{2}_{F} A}{\frac{A}{A}+2 A_{e}}_{\#^{\frac{1}{6}}}, 11{\frac{m^{2} e^{0}}{e V^{2}}}^{!_{\frac{1}{6}}} M e V: \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, when $T=T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}$, the $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations have created enough $L{ }^{(e)}$ so that the ${ }_{e}{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations will be at the resonance, assum ing that negligible $L_{e}$ has been generated. In general the $e \quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance tem perature depends on both $L$ e and L. The e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance condition $a_{e e^{0}}=b_{e e^{0}}$ im plies that the resonance tem perature for e $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is related to $L$ e and $L$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r e s}^{e e^{0}}=\frac{s}{\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{A_{e}} L^{(e)}}, \quad \frac{s}{\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{A_{e}}\left(2 L_{e}+L\right) ;} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have neglected the sm allbaryon and electron asym $m$ etries and a possible $m u$ neutrino asym $m$ etry (we $w$ ill discuss the ects of the $m u$ neutrino later). Thus the resonance tem perature $w i l l$ change when $L e$ and $L$ change due to oscillations.

Let us consider the rate of change of the quantity ( $T_{\text {res }}^{\text {ee }} \quad T$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d\left(T_{\text {res }}^{\mathrm{ee}}\right.}{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~T}\right)=\frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{\mathrm{ee}}{ }^{0}}{\varrho L_{e}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\varrho t}+\frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{\mathrm{ee}}{ }^{0}}{@ L} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{@ t} \quad \frac{d T}{d t} ; \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

evaluated at the tem perature $T=T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}$. N ote that the rst term on the right-hand side
 negative), while the second term is the rate of change of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {res }}^{0}$ due to $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations (and
 due to the expansion of the U niverse ( $\frac{\mathrm{dT}}{\mathrm{dt}}, 5: 5 \mathrm{~T}^{3}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ) (this term is also positive in sign). O bserve that if $d\left(T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}} \quad T\right)=d t>0$, then the system passes through the resonance w thout signi cant destruction of $L^{(e)}$. If on the other hand, $d\left(T_{\text {res }}^{\text {ee }} \quad T\right)=d t^{<} \quad 0$, then the position of the resonance moves to lower and lower tem peratures and $L^{(e)}$ ! 0 . Thus, a su cient condition that $L^{(e)}$ survives w ithout being destroyed by e $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}}{@ L} \frac{\varrho L}{@ t}+\frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}}{@ L} \frac{d L}{@ t}>\frac{d T}{d t}: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate @L =@t, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L^{()}}{\varrho t}=2 \frac{@ L}{@ t}+\frac{@ L_{e}}{@ t}, \frac{4 L^{()}}{T} \frac{d T}{d t} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that $\mathrm{L}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{T}^{4}$ for $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {res }} \mathrm{e}^{0}$. O f course this latter assum ption only holdsprovided that the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance does not occurwhile $L$ is stillgrow ing exponentially. H ow ever, for $\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}^{e^{0}}$ su ciently large, the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance can occur during the rapid
exponential grow th phase ofL. Ifthis happens then the rate at which the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations $m$ ove the system away from the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance is $m$ uch $m$ ore rapid. C onsequently, the region of param eter space where $L^{(e)}$ survives w ithout being destroyed by $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is signi cantly larger in this case (this e ect will be illustrated later on when we study the system num erically).


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{4} \frac{\varrho L}{@ t} \frac{@ T_{r e s}^{e e^{0}}}{@ L_{e}}>1+\frac{L^{()}}{T} \frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{\#}}}{@ L} \frac{d T}{d t} ; \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the relation $@ T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}=@ L L_{e}=2 @ T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}}=@ L \quad$ which is easily obtainable from Eq.(10]
$N$ ote that the most stringent condition occurs at the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance tem perature, Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{-} \overline{4}) . W$ e are prim arily interested in relatively large values of $j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{>}} \quad 10^{1} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$, which means that $T_{\text {res }}^{e e^{0}>} 8 \mathrm{MeV}$. Thus, from section III, we are in a region of param eter space where we expect Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) to be valid. In particular, note that since $T_{\text {res }}^{e^{0}}$ is not at the point where the lepton num ber is initially created, Eq. (7̄-1) should also be valid]. T hus, from Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ we can obtain the rate of change of $L$ e due to $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations, at the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance (where b a $\mathrm{c}=0$ ). We nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L_{\mathrm{e}}}{@ t}, \quad \frac{3}{8} \frac{\sin ^{2} e_{0}^{e^{0} "}}{\mathrm{e}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}}^{2}}{6: 3}= \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$



Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{()}}{T_{\text {res }}^{\text {ees }}} \frac{@ T_{\text {res }}^{\text {ee }}}{@ L}=\frac{L^{()}}{L^{(e)}}, 2: \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ence the su cient condition that $L{ }^{(e)}$ survivesw thout being destroyed by e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations


$$
\begin{equation*}
j m_{e e^{0}}^{2} j^{<} \quad j m^{2} e^{0} j^{\frac{11}{2}} ; \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& , p=\frac{12: 6 G_{F}}{\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}^{\text {e } M_{W}} M_{W}} \frac{8 Y_{e} A_{Q} 5: 5}{3 M_{P}}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4: 1 M_{W}^{2}}{6: 3^{2} \overline{2} G_{F A}} \frac{A}{A+2 A_{e}} \quad \text {; } \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

and we have used Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{0} 0 \overline{4})$. Thus, putting the numbers in, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j m_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{2} j}{e V^{2}}<6 \quad 10^{7} \frac{j m^{2}{ }_{e^{0}} j^{!}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}{ }^{\frac{11}{12}} \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

 holds, $L^{(e)}$ w ill not be destroyed signi cantly by e $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations (under the assum ption that the neutrino them alm om entum distribution can be neglected; we will study the e ects of the $m$ om entum distribution in a $m$ om ent) and the system $m$ oves quickly aw ay from the e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance. W hile this condition was derived as a su cient condition, it tums out to be a necessary one as well. This is because if Eq. (10 $\overline{0} \overline{-1})$ where not valid, then e $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations would create $L$ e rapidly enough such that $@\left(T_{\text {res }}^{\text {ee }} \quad T\right)=@ t<0$. This would mean that the e $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance tem perature would $m$ ove to lower and low er tem peratures where the rate of change of $L$ e [from Eq. $(\mathbb{I} \overline{1} \overline{0})$ ) ] would be even larger (as it is proportional to $1=T^{7}$ ) and the expansion rate slower. Thus if the condition Eq. ( $\overline{1} 0 \overline{1} \overline{1})$ in $)$ were not satis ed intially, it could certainly not be satis ed for low er tem peratures.

In the above system consisting of $; e$ and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ (and their anti-particles) discussed above, observe that we have neglected the e ects of e oscillations. A s discussed in the previous section, the e ect of these oscillations is to make ( $L \quad L_{e}$ ) tend to zero. Since these oscillations cannot prevent L from being generated the e ect of inconporating them should only increase the allow ed region of param eter space [14

The e ect of the muon neutrino can also only increase the allowed region of param eter space. The e ect of $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations willbe to create L provided that $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0}<0$. The e ects of are com pletely analogous to the e ects of the neutrino, and we can replace $w$ ith in the above analysis. This $m$ eans that it is only necessary that either $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{e^{0}}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{0}$ (or both) satisfy Eq. (īī్).

H itherto we have exam ined the system neglecting the them al distribution of neutrino $m$ om enta. $W$ e now study the realistic case where the them aldistribution ofneutrino $m$ om enta is taken into consideration. We rst estim ate approxim ately the e ects of the $m$ om entum distribution analytically and then we will preform a m ore accurate num erical study.

The previous calculation assum es that all of the neutrinos have a com $m$ on $m$ om entum and thus they allenter the resonanœ at the sam e tim e. In the realistic case, only a sm all fraction (less than about 1 percent as we will show ) of the neutrinos are at resonance at any given time. N ote that the $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations are not a ected greatly by this consideration, since as we showed in section $V$, the $m$ om entum spread does not prevent $L$ from being created (and it still satis es approxim ately $\mathrm{L}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{T}^{4}$ after it is initially created). On the other hand the $e$ ect of the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution on the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is very important. This is because the e $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations cannot destroy $L^{(e)}$ as e ciently as before. In fact Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ will be reduced by a factor which is about equal to the fraction of neutrinos at the resonance. In principle, one should solve Eq. (1] 10.19$)$ at the point where electron neutrinos of $m$ om entum $p=T$ are at resonance and then calculate the $m$ in $m$ um of the value of
 rough approxim ation and assum $e$ that the $m$ in $m$ um of occcurs when neutrinos of average
$m$ om entum are at resonance (ie. assume , 3:15). Note that later-on we will do a m ore accurate num erical calculation].

To calculate the fraction of $e$ neutrinos at the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance we need to calculate the $w$ idth of the resonance in $m$ om entum space. Wewill denote this $w$ idth by p. From Eq. ( 2 in ${ }^{3}$ ) it is easy to see that the w idth of the resonance is govemed approxim ately by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p j \frac{@\left(b_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right.}{@ p}{\left.\stackrel{\text { ene }}{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}\right)}_{j^{\prime}} 2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{xee}^{0}} ; \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed $m$ axim alm ixing (i.e. cos2 $\operatorname{ee}_{0}^{e 0^{0}}, 0$ ). N ote that from the $m$ om entum dependence ofbo; $a^{p}$ [see Eq. ( $\overline{8} 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ )], it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ b_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{@ p}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}} ; \frac{@ a_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{@ \mathrm{p}}=\frac{a_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@\left(b_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right.}{@ p}=\frac{\left.2 b_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{p}\right)}{\mathrm{p}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}}, \frac{a_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the result that $b_{\mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{o}}}^{\mathrm{p}} \quad a_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ at the resonance (note that we have assum ed that $L^{(e)}>0$ for de niteness). $N$ ote that we are essentially interested in evaluating the $m$ axim um value of the fraction of neutrinos at the resonance. This maxim um fraction should occur approxim ately when $p$ hoi. Thus, from the previous analysis, the resonance for neutrinos of average $m$ om entum occurs when

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{e e^{0}}, \frac{a e^{0}}{2} \frac{m^{2}{ }_{e^{0}}}{m_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{2}}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{m^{2} e^{0}}{m_{\mathrm{ee}}} 2 \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

 becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \quad 4 p p^{q} \overline{h x_{e e^{0}} i} \frac{j m_{e e^{0}}^{2}}{j m^{2} e^{0} j} \text { \# } \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $h x_{e_{e}} \mathrm{i}$ is de ned in Eq. $\left(\mathbf{2}_{-5}^{-1}\right)$, and is given by


$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{e e^{0}} i=\sin ^{2} 2{\underset{0}{e e^{0}}+\frac{" Y_{e} G_{F} M_{W}^{2} 4: 1}{2 \overline{2} A} \frac{A}{A+2 A_{e}} \#_{2 "} \frac{m_{e^{2}}^{\#_{2}}}{m_{e e^{0}}^{2}}, 1: 210^{5} \frac{m^{2}}{m_{e^{0}}^{2}}{ }^{\#_{2}}}^{2} ; \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last part follows provided that $j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{\text {人 }}} 10^{3} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}^{0} \mathrm{j}}$. Thus, using the above


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{p}, \frac{2 y_{e} G_{F} M_{W}^{2} 4: 1}{\bar{p}_{2}} \frac{A}{A+A_{e}}, 1: 4 \quad 10^{2}: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it is clear that only a sm all fraction of neutrinos $w i l l$ be at the resonance. $W$ e denote the fraction of electron neutrinos at the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ resonance by $n=n$. $N$ ote that $n=n$ is given approxim ately by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{n}, \frac{p}{n} \frac{d n}{d p}: \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U \operatorname{sing} n=\frac{3}{4} \quad(3) T^{3}={ }^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d n}{d p}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \frac{p^{2}}{1+e^{p=T}} ; \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{n} i_{h} \text { ax } \quad \frac{2 y_{e} G_{F} M_{W}^{2} 4: 1}{2 A} \frac{A}{A+2 A_{e}} \frac{h p=T i^{3}}{1: 5(3)\left(1+e^{\text {bpi }=T}\right)}, \frac{1: 4 \quad 10^{2}}{1: 5 \quad \text { (3) }} \frac{h p=T i^{3}}{1+e^{h p i=T}}, 1: 0 \quad 10^{2}: \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thee ect of them om entum spread is thus to reduce the num ber ofneutrinos at the resonance by the above factor. M ultiplying Eq. (1ָīqu) by this fraction and repeating the sam e steps w hich
 words the e ect of the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution is to increase the allowed region of param eter space for which e $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations do not destroy the $L^{(e)}$ asym $m$ etry created by ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations. This region of param eter space is given approxim ately by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j m_{e_{e e^{0}}^{2} j^{<}}^{q} \underset{n=n}{ } j m^{2} e^{0} j^{\frac{11}{12}} ; \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

 above condition can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0} j}^{2}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}<6 \quad 10^{6} \frac{\mathrm{jm}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}^{0}}{ }^{!} \mathrm{j}^{\frac{11}{12}}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}: \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now chedk this result by doing a m ore accurate num erical study of this problem .
$T$ he rate of change of $L$ e and $L$ due to the $e_{e}^{0} \quad$ e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations can be obtained from Eq. ( $(\overline{9} \overline{4})$. This leads to the follow ing coupled di erential equations

These equations are coupled di erential equations because $a_{\text {ee }^{0}}^{p}$ and $a^{p}{ }^{p}{ }^{0}$ depend on both $L$ e
 num ber of sterile neutrinos produced, is govemed by:
and the evolution of $z$ is govemed by an equation sim ilar to the above (but $w$ ith $a^{p}$ ! d).
$T$ he above equations can be integrated num erically (follow ing the proceedurem entioned in section V). D oing this, we can nd the region of param eter space where the $L^{(e)}$ asym $m$ etry created by the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations does not get destroyed by the $e{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations. We
 oscillations are approxim ately $m$ axim al). Perform ing the necessary num erical work, we nd that $L^{(e)}$ is created by $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations and not subsequently destroyed by e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations for the region of param eter space shown in $F$ igure 5. For de niteness we have taken two illustrative choiges for $\sin ^{2} 20^{e^{0}}, \sin ^{2} 20^{e^{0}}=10^{8} ; 10^{6}$. N ote that in our num ericalw ork, we
 outside this region where the $L^{(e)}$ created by $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is not destroyed by e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations. H ow ever, one should keep in $m$ ind that there is a rather stringent cosm ology
 the neutrino is approxim ately stable, which is expected given the standard m odel interactions. O fcourse, if there are new interactionsbeyond the standard $m$ odel, then it is possible to evade this cosm ology bound [ $[19]$

O bserve that the region of param eter space where $L^{(e)}$ survives is som ew hat larger than our analytical estim ate Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{2} \bar{q})$. This is partly because the point where $L$ is created occurs at a signi cantly higher tem perature than the analytical estim ate (see section V for som e discussion about this point). N ote that the quantity $=\bar{n}=n \quad T_{\text {res }}^{11=2}=T_{\text {res }}^{3} \quad T_{\text {res }}^{5=2} \cdot T$ hus, the result that the lepton num ber is created at a higher tem perature than our analytic estim ate can easily lead to a signi cant increase in the param eter space. A lso, for large $\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0} e^{0}$, the $m$ agnitude of $L \quad$ created by $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is considerably larger before the grow th of $L$ is cut o by the non-linearity of the di erentialequation goveming its evolution (com pare the solid line w th the dashed or dashed-dotted lines in Figures 3 or $F$ igure 4). Recall that our analytical estim ate assum ed that the creation of $L^{(e)}$ due to $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations had already passed the rapid exponential grow th phase at the point where the destruction of ${ }^{\left({ }^{(e)}\right.}$ due to e $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations reached a $m$ axim um. W hile this latter assum ption is generally true for sm all values of $\sin ^{2} 20^{\mathrm{e}^{0}}$, it is not true for larger values. In this case, the rate of change of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {res }}^{\mathrm{ee}}$ due to $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations will be much larger than our analytical estim ate. C onsequently, the allowed region of param eter space is increased. Thus the result that the allow ed region of param eter space for $\sin ^{2} 20^{e^{0}}=10^{6}$ is signi cantly larger than the allowed region for $\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0} e^{0}=10^{8}$ is not unexpected.
$H$ aving established the condition that the $e e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations do not destroy the $L{ }^{(e)}$ which is created by the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations (or ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations), we must also check that the $m$ agnitude of $L^{(e)}$ is large enough to invalidate the bound in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{9} 9{ }_{-1}\right)$.
 ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations do not bring the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ sterile neutrino into equilibrium above the kinetic decoupling tem perature ( 3 M eV ).The sterile neutrino ${ }_{e}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ill not be brought into equilibrium provided that the rate of ${ }_{e}^{0}$ production is approxim ately less than the expansion rate $H$, i.e.
where we have used Eq. $(\underset{1}{9})$ w ith $\operatorname{hsin}^{2}=2 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ i' $1=2(\underline{1} \mathbf{0} \overline{0}]$. Recall that we are prim arily interested in the region $1 \mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{T}^{<} 100 \mathrm{MeV}$, where $\mathrm{H}^{\prime} 5: 5 \mathrm{~T}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}$. U sing Eq. (199) w ith a' 0 , the above equation can be re-w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Y_{e} G_{F}^{2} M_{P} \sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}^{e e^{0}} T^{3}}{22\left[b_{e e^{0}}^{2}+1\right]}<1 ; \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Eq. (1īi). Obtaining the $m$ axim um of the lefthand side of Eq. (1] $\overline{3} \overline{2})$ leads approxim ately to the bound $\mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{<} 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$.

In the case where $L$ is created by $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations, the situation is very di erent. T he lepton num ber $L$ is created at the tem perature when $b e^{0} \quad 1$ (assum ing that cos2 $0_{0} e^{0} \quad 1$ ). D enoting this tem perature by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{0}$, then as per Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{c} e^{0} \quad 16 \frac{j m^{2} e^{0} j^{!}}{{e V^{2}}^{\frac{1}{6}}} \mathrm{MeV}: \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution of this system can be divided into two regions, the region before lepton num ber creation (i.e. $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{e}^{0}$ ), and the region after the lepton num ber creation (i.e. $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{{ }^{0}}$ ). In the region before the lepton number is created, $a e^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 0$ and Eq. (1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{2}\right)$ ) holds. W ewill obviously be interested in the param eter space where $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ 都 su ciently large (recall that
$\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{0}$ is related to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{{ }^{0}}$ by Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ above) so that L is created at som e point above the kinetic decoupling tem perature $T_{\text {dec }}{ }^{\prime} 3 \mathrm{MeV}$, of $e$. Let us assume that $\mathrm{j}^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{0} \mathrm{j}$ is large enough so that $b_{\text {ee }}^{2} \quad 1$ for tem peratures $T>T_{c}{ }^{e}$ (which corresponds approxim ately to,


$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{9>} \frac{4: 1 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}{6: 3{ }^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{e}}}{ }^{2} \frac{\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{ee}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{Ye}_{\mathrm{E}}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}}{22}: \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used Eq. (1-18) w ith $n \quad T^{3}=4: 1$. O bserve that the $m$ ost stringent condition occurs for $T=T_{C}{ }^{0}$. Thus taking $T=T_{C}{ }^{0}$, using Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})_{1}^{1}$, we nd that
 Eq.(1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{>}} \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus provided that this constraint is satis ed, the sterile neutrino, ${ }_{e}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ill not come into equilibrium for tem peratures greater than the tem perature where $L$ is created, $T_{c}{ }^{{ }^{0}}$.

W e now need to chedk that the lepton number created is su cient to suppress oscillations for tem peratures less than $T_{C} e^{0}$. D em anding that the interactions do not bring the sterile neutrino into equilibrium with them uon neutrino, that is again im posing the inequality
 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{Y_{e} G_{F}^{2} M_{P} \sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}^{e^{0} 0} T^{3}}{22\left[\left(b_{e_{e} 0}\right.\right.} a_{e_{e} 0}\right)^{2}+1\right] \quad 1 ; \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $(+)$ signs correspond to $e e_{e}^{0}\left(\mathrm{e} \quad e_{e}^{0}\right)$ oscillations. N ote that Eq. (ī3 $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is only required to be satis ed for $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\text {dec }}$ ' 3 MeV (since we only need to require that the sterile neutrinos do not com e into equilibrium before kinetic decoupling of the electron neutrinos occurs). O nce $L^{(e)}$ is created at $T=T_{c}{ }^{e^{0}}$ (where $b_{e^{0}}=\cos 2{ }_{0} e^{0}, 1$ ), its magnitude $w$ ill rise according to the constraint $a e^{0} 1$ (assum ing for de niteness that $L^{(e)}>0$ ). N ote that the quantities $b_{e e^{0}} ; a_{e e^{0}}$ are related to $b_{e^{0}} ; a_{e^{0}}$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}}{\mathrm{~b} \mathrm{e}^{0}}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{~A}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{0}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{2}} ; \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ee}}{ }^{0}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}^{0}}}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{e}^{0}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0}}^{2}}: \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter the in itial resonance $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}^{0}}{ }^{>} 1$ while $\mathrm{b}_{e^{0}} \quad 1$ (and quickly becom es much less than one).



$F$ inally, we need to check that the oscillations of the er ${ }_{e}^{0}$ neutrinos do not signi cantly deplete the num ber of electron neutrinos for the tem perature range,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: 7 \mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{T}^{<} \mathrm{T}_{\text {dec }}, 3 \mathrm{MeV}: \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neutrino oscillations in this tem perature range can a ect BBN because they will deplete electron neutrinos (and anti-neutrinos) and thus modify the tem perature when the neu-
 If we dem and that $\sin ^{2} 2 \mathrm{~m}<10^{2}$ for this tem perature range, then from Eq. ( $\left.1 \overline{1} 9\right)$ we require ja ${ }^{>} 10$ (for the $m$ ost stringent case of $m$ axim al $m$ ixing) for this tem perature range (or $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{<} 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ). Thus, from Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{-1}\right), \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{j}^{>} 10 \mathrm{mplies}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}^{(\mathrm{e})} \mathrm{j}^{>} 2 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}}^{2}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}: \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for tem peratures $T{ }^{>} T_{f}$ (where $T_{f}$ is the tem perature where the change in a due to the expansion is larger in $m$ agnitude to the change in a due to oscillations, see the earlier
 from this it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{(e)}, \mathrm{L} \quad, 210^{2} \frac{\mathrm{jm}^{2}{ }_{e^{0} j}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{MeV}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{ }^{!_{4}}: \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om bining Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{0} \overline{0})$ and Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, su cient lepton num berwill.be generated to suppress the oscillations in the tem perature range Eq.(1] $\overline{3} \overline{9})$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j m_{e e^{0}}^{2} j^{<} \quad 10^{2} j m^{2}{ }_{e^{0} j}{\frac{M e V}{T_{f}}}^{!_{4}}: \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is generally less than about 4 MeV [see Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{i} \overline{\overline{9}}\right)$ ) for a discussion about this]. Thus, Eq.(1] $\overline{4} \overline{2})$ w ill be easily satis ed given the condition Eq. (112 $\bar{i} \bar{\prime})$.

In sum $m$ ary, a consequence of the creation of $L$ by $e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations is that the large angle orm axim al e $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillationswill not signi cantly modify BBN provided that $L^{(e)}$ does not get destroyed by e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations (see Figure 5 for som e ofth is region of param eter space) and the condition Eq. (ījū) holds. Thus, it is clear that the oscillation generated neutrino asym $m$ etry can weaken the rather stringent BBN bound ( $j \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{ee}^{0} \mathrm{j}^{<}}{ }^{2} 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ formaximal $m$ ixing) by $m$ any orders of $m$ agnitude. A consequence of this is that the $m$ axim al ordinarysterile neutrino oscillation solution to the solar neutrino problem does not signi cantly modify $B B N$ for a large range of param eters.

W hile we have focussed on a particular soenario, our analysis w ill be relevant to other $m$ odels $w$ ith sterile neutrinos. For exam ple, assum e that there is a sterile neutrino which $m$ ixes $w$ ith param eters corresponding to the large angle M SW solution to the solar neutrino problem, that is $\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad 10^{5} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 20 \quad 0: 7_{1}^{\prime}[\overline{1}]$. This scenario has been $\backslash$ ruled out"
 also $m$ ixes slightly $w$ th the $m u$ and/or tau neutrino (and such $m$ ixing would be expected),
 $N$ ote that the evidence for e oscillations found by the LSND collaboration suggests that
 to a signi cant $m$ odi cation to $B B N$ for a large range of values for $\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}{ }^{e^{0}}$.

W e now discuss the possibility that the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly is due to large angle or maxim al muon neutrino - sterile neutrino oscillations. Here, we will denote the sterile neutrino by ${ }^{0}$ (this neutrino is expected to be distinct from ${ }_{e}^{0}$ ). N ote that the possibility that the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly is due to large angle or maxim al oscillations can be well $m$ otivated. For exam ple, the exact parity m odel[ $\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{1}]$ predicts that all three ordinary neutrinos $m$ ix $m$ axim ally $w$ ith $m$ irror neutrinos if neutrinos have $m$ ass.
 anom aly through $m$ axim alordinary - sterile neutrino oscillations]. The de cit of atm ospheric $m$ uon neutrinos can be explained if there are $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations $w$ th $\sin ^{2} 20^{>} 0: 5$ and

 num ber asym $m$ etries are neglected. C an the generation of lepton num ber by ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations reconcile this solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly with BBN?

To study this issue, consider the system consisting of ; ; ${ }^{0}$. This system is sim ilar to the ; e; ${ }_{e}^{0}$ system that we have discussed above. D oing a sim ilar analysis to the above (i.e. replacing $e$ and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ by and ${ }^{0}$ ), we nd that the $L^{()}$asym $m$ etry created by
oscillations w ill not be destroyed by ${ }^{0}$ oscillations provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j m^{2} \circ j^{<} q \overline{n=n} j m^{2} \circ \frac{j^{11}}{j^{2}} ; \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and $n=n$ are given by equations sim ilar to Eq. (1 $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{G})$ except that the replacem ents $y_{e}!~ y ; A_{e}$ ! A have to be made. Thus, evaluating the resulting expressions for and $n=n$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{o j}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}<5 \quad 10^{6}{\frac{j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{o j} j^{!}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}}}^{\frac{11}{12}}: \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s before, we have $m$ ade a $m$ ore accurate num erical study of this problem. If we solve the
 can obtain the region of param eter space where the $L^{()}$created by ${ }^{0}$ oscillations does not get destroyed by ${ }^{0}$ oscillations. We show som e of this param eter space in $F$ igure 6.

If we assum $e$ the best $t$ of the atm ospheric neutrino data, then $j m^{2}{ }_{o j}{ }^{\prime} 10^{2} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ and
 with ; ${ }^{0}$ ) assum ing the best $t$ param eters, $j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{oj}} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 10^{2} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}{ }^{0}$, 1 , we again obtain the region of param eter space where the $L^{()}$asym $m$ etry is created by 0 oscillations and does not get destroyed subsequently by $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations. O ur results are shown in Figure 7. A s the gure show $s$, the asymmetry L () created by ${ }^{0}$ oscillations w ill not be destroyed by ${ }^{0}$ oscillations provided that $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ 。 is quite large, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{oj}}{ }^{>} \quad 30 \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that our analysis neglects the possible e ects of
oscillations. It m ay be possible that $s m$ aller $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ 。are allowed if the m ixing param eters are large enough.
$T$ he requirem ent that $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations do not produce too m any sterile states m plies
 (dashed-dotted line). A lso shown in Figure 7 (dashed line) is the cosm ologicalenergy density bound $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{oj}}{ }^{<} 1600 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ [1
 [W e also require Eq. (ך̄) to hold for $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{0}$, which is clearly valid for the region of param eter space studied]. N ote that in our num erical work we found that the condition Eq. ( 7 (5i) ) w as approxim ately valid for the points in the allowed region of the gures except for the region w th relatively large values of $\sin ^{2} 20^{>} 10^{6}$. It would be a useful exercise to check our analysis by preform ing a $m$ ore accurate study using the density $m$ atrix equations, Eq. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$, m odi ed to inconporate the neutrino m om entum distribution.

The $\sin ^{2} 20^{0}$ dependence shown in $F$ igures 6,7 can be understood qualitatively as follow s. For small $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}{ }^{\circ}\left({ }^{<} 10^{8}\right)$, the creation of $L^{()}$is sluggish which has the e ect of delaying the point where the destruction of $L^{()}$by $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations reaches its $m$ axim um rate. As
$m$ entioned earlier, for low er tem peratures the rate at which
${ }^{0}$ oscillations destroy L ${ }^{(1)}$ increases, which has the e ect of reducing the allowed param eter space. For larger values of $\sin ^{2} 20^{0}\left(10^{8}\right)$, the $m$ axim um rate at which the ${ }^{0}$ oscillations destroy $L^{()}$occurs during the tim ewhen $L^{()}$is still grow ing exponentially. In this case the system m oves rapidly aw ay from the ${ }^{0}$ resonance region. C onsequently, the allow ed region of param eter space is signi cantly increased.

O bserve that from Eq. (1] 3 ), this lepton num berw illeasily be su ciently large and created early enough to prevent the ${ }^{-0}$ sterile neutrino from com ing into equilibrium given Eq. (1] $\left.\overline{4} \overline{5}\right)$. $T$ hus, the large angle orm axim alm uon -sterile neutrino oscillation solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly is in fact consistent $w$ ith BBN for a signi cant range of param eters. N ote that the condition Eq. (1] $\overline{4} \overline{5})$ ) im plies quite large tau neutrino m asses, $\mathrm{m}>6 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{N}$ ote that if the neutrinos are approxim ately stable (which w ould be expected unless som e new interactions
 param eter space is not so big, it can be wellm otivated from the point of view of dark $m$ atter (since stable tau neutrinos w ith m asses in the range $6 \mathrm{eV}<\mathrm{m}<40 \mathrm{eV}$ could provide a signi cant fraction of the $m$ atter in the $U$ niverse).

Ifwe add the ${ }^{0}$ sterile neutrino to the ; e; ${ }_{e}^{0}$ system we considered earlier (in connection to the large angle ordinary -sterile neutrino oscillation solution to the solar neutrino problem ), then $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations w illalso generate $L{ }^{(e)}$ in a sim ilarm anner to the way in which ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations generated $L{ }^{(e)}$. Consequently, the bounds on $m^{2}{ }_{e^{0}} ; \sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0}{ }^{e^{0}}$, can altematively be considered as bounds on $\mathrm{m}^{2} 0_{0} \sin ^{2} 20^{\circ}$. Of course, we only need to require that either $m^{2}{ }^{0} ; \sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0} e^{0}$ or $m^{2}{ }_{0} ; \sin ^{2} 20_{0}{ }^{0}$ satisfy the bounds derived. Sim ilarly, we can add the ${ }_{e}^{0}$ sterile neutrino to the ; ; ${ }^{0}$ system and analogous reasoning leads to the conclusion that the bounds on $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{0} ; \sin ^{2} 20^{e^{0}}$ can altematively be considered asbounds on $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{0} ; \sin ^{2} 20^{0}$. O bserve that w ith $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{0} ; \sin ^{2} 20^{\mathrm{e}^{0}}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{0} ; \sin ^{2} 20_{0}{ }^{0}$ in the range identi ed in Figure 7 (where the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly is explained by large angle 0 oscillations w thout signi cantly modifying BBN) the solar neutrino problem can also be solved for the entire param eter space $\mathbb{E} q$. ( $\overline{9} \overline{8} \overline{1})]$, w thout signi cantly m odifying BBN. A ltematively one can argue that the present data $m$ ay allow the ${ }^{0}$ to come into equilibrium with the ordinary neutrinos and still be consistent w ith BBN [19] and thus we only require the less stringent bounds given in Figure 5. C learly this is a possibility at the $m$ om ent. N ote how ever, that for the case of the exact parity sym $m$ etric $m$ odelin in $\overline{1}$, where the $m$ irror neutrinos interact w ith them selves, this way out is not possible. This is because if the $m$ irror $m$ uon neutrino is brought into equilibrium above the kinetic decoupling tem perature (which is about 5 M eV for $m$ uon neutrinos) then the $m$ irror weak interactions $w$ ill bring all three $m$ irror neutrinos together $w$ ith the $m$ irror photon and $m$ irror electron-positron into equilibrium (which would lead to about 9 e ective neutrino degrees of freedom during nucleosynthesis). For the case of $m$ irror neutrinos it seem $s$ to be necessary to ensure that the $m$ irror $m$ uon neutrino is not brought into equilibrium in the rst place.

N ote that in our previous analysis, we have assum ed that the sterile neutrino is truly sterile and does not interact w th the background. In the special case of $m$ irror neutrinos,
the $m$ irror neutrinos are expected to interact w ith the badkground because they interact w ith them selves $\overline{\mathrm{b}} \mathfrak{3}]$ ]. In general the e ective potential describing coherent forw ard scattering of the neutrino $w$ ith the background has the form $V=V \quad V_{s}^{0}$. For truly sterile neutrinos, $V_{s}^{0}=0$ (as has been assum ed hitherto). Form irror neutrinos $\mathrm{V}_{s}^{0}$ is non-zero. Denoting the $m$ irror neutrinos by ${ }^{0}$, then for the case of ${ }^{0}$ oscillations we will denote the e ective potential by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~V}^{0} ; \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is given by Eq. (1 $\overline{\underline{4}})$ and $V^{0}$ is the e ective potential due to the interactions of the $m$ irror neutrinos $w$ th the background. The m irror e ective potential $V{ }^{0}$ can be expressed in an analogous w ay to $V$, that is there is a part which is proportional to $m$ irror lepton num ber and a part which is independent of $m$ irror lepton num ber,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{0}=\left(a^{\mathrm{p}}+b^{\mathrm{p}}\right){ }_{0}^{\mathrm{p}}: \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the num ber of $m$ irror neutrinos is $m$ uch less than the num ber of ordinary neutrinos then $b^{0} \quad 0 . \mathbb{N}$ ote that the b-part of the e ective potential is proportional to the num ber densities of the background particles. This dependence is not given in Eq.(15) since for this equation the num ber densities were set equal to their equilibrium values]. The param eter $a^{0}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\infty} \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}_{G_{F} n} L^{(1)}}{p_{0}^{p}} ; \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{0()}$ is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{0()}=L 0+L_{e}^{0}+L 0+L 0+{ }^{0} ; \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Lo are are the mirror lepton numbers, which are de ned by Lo (no no)=n (note that $n$ is the number density of ordinary photons) and ${ }^{0}$ is a function of the $m$ irror baryon/electron num ber asym $m$ etries [which is de ned analogous to Eq. (17 $\overline{1}_{1}$ )]. W ew illassum e that ${ }^{0}$ is sm all and can be approxim ately neglected. Since ordinary $+m$ irror lepton num ber is conserved (and we will assum e that it is zero), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{e}+L+L+L_{e}^{0}+L_{0}+L_{0}=0: \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above equation, it follow s that $a^{0}$ is expected to be of the sam e order ofm agnitude as a. In the case of the ; ; ${ }^{0}$ system, the e ect of the $m$ irror-neutrino e ective potential can be accounted for by sim ply replacing $L^{( }$; ) in $V$ by

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
L^{()}!L^{()} & L^{0()}, 2 L+L & 2 L L_{0}^{\prime} 4 L+3 L \quad ; \\
L^{()}!L^{()} & L^{0()}, 2 L+L & 2 L L^{\prime} & 4 L+3 L \quad ; \tag{151}
\end{array}
$$


 factor of $3=4$ replaces the factor of $1=2$ that we had earlier (for the case where ${ }^{0}$ or ${ }_{e}^{0}$ were sterile neutrinos). This di erence will increase the region of allow ed param eter space, because

It w ill m ake $\mathrm{T}_{\text {res }}{ }^{\circ}$ closer to the point where L is initially created. At this point @L $=@ t$ can be signi cantly enhanced because it is very close to the resonance (also note that @L $=@ t$ w ill be suppressed because it is proportional to $1=\mathrm{T}^{7}$ ).

Finally, observe that another im portant feature ofm irror neutrinos is that them irror interactions can potentially bring all three of them irror neutrinos into equilibrium with them selves as well as the $m$ irror photon and $m$ irror electron positron. H ow ever the tem perature of the $m$ irror particles $w$ ill generally be less than the tem perature of the ordinary particles if the os-
 this. $W$ e leave a $m$ ore detailed study ofm irror neutrinos to the future $\overline{\text { 下̄ }}$

V II. C onclusion
In sum mary, we have studied the phenom enon of neutrino oscillation generated lepton num ber asym $m$ etries in the early U niverse in detail. This extended study clari es the origin of the approxim ations adopted in the earlier work [1] $2 \overline{2}]$. W e have also studied the e ects of the them aldistribution of the neutrino $m$ om enta and non-negligible sterile neutrino num ber densities.

In the unrealistic case where the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution is neglected, the evolu-
 which can be obtained from the density $m$ atrix. W e showed in section III that these equations can be reduced to a single integro-di erentialequation (we show in the appendix that the sam e equation can be obtained from the H am iltonian form alism ). In general, the density $m$ atrix equations cannot be solved analytically, and must be solved num erically. H ow ever, if the system is su ciently sm ooth (the static lim it), then the integro-di erential equation reduces to a relatively simple rst order ordinary di erential equation Eq. (203̄)]. This equation gives quite a reasonable description of the evolution of $L$, except possibly at the initial resonance where signi cant generation of $L$ occurs. We show that when the them al distribution of the neutrino $m$ om enta is inconporated several im portant e ects occur. O ne of these e ects is that the creation of lepton number is m uch sm oother. This allow s a considerable com putational sim pli cation, because it $m$ eans that the static approxim ation can be a reasonably good approxim ation, even at the resonance for a m uch larger range ofparam eters. T hism eans that $L$ can be accurately described by the relatively sim ple rst order di erential equation ( $m$ odi ed to inconporate the neutrino $m$ om entum distribution). This equation is given by Eq. ( $\overline{\mathbf{Z}} \overline{1} \bar{i})$, expressed as a function of the num ber distribution of sterile states. In section $V$, we show ed that the num ber distribution of sterile neutrino states satis ed a rst order di erential equation [Eq. $(\overline{9} \overline{3})$ ] which $m$ ust be integrated for each $m$ om entum step.

W e rst applied our analysis to obtain the region of param eter space where large neutrino asym $m$ etries are generated. This region of param eter space is given in Eq. ( $\overline{9} \bar{\eta} \bar{i})$. This analysis included the e ects of the neutrino m om entum distribution which was neglected in earlier studiestī2, 'İ bounds for $\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 2$ ofor ordinary-sterile neutrino $m$ ixing. There are two ways in which the creation of lepton number can m odify the BBN bounds. O ne way is where the s oscillations them selves produce $L$ thereby suppressing the num ber of sterile neutrinos
produced from the sam e oscillations. The other way is where the s oscillations create L which thereby suppresses s production from s oscillations. The bound for the form er case is given in Eq. $(\overline{9} \overline{6})$, while the latter case studied in section V I, in the context of them axim alvacuum oscillation solutions to the solar and atm ospheric neutrino problem s. The $m$ axim al vacuum oscillation solution of the solar neutrino problem assum es that the electron neutrino is approxim ately m axim ally m ixed w ith a sterile neutrino. For a large range of param eter space, the $m$ axim alm ixing leads to an energy independent factor of tw o reduction in the solar neutrino uxes. This leads to a reasonably sim ple predictive solution to the solar neutrino problem which is supported by the experim ents. H ow ever, $m$ ost of the param eter space for this solution is inconsistent with standard big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) if the
 of param eter space where the oscillations generated lepton num ber in such a way so as to allow the $m$ axim al vacuum oscillation solution to the solar neutrino problem to be solved w thout signi cantly m odifying BBN. The allowed param eter space is given in Figure 5. W e also show ed that there is a range of param eters where the lepton num ber is generated so that the large angle $m$ uon - sterile neutrino oscillation solution to the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly does not lead to any signi cant m odi cation of BBN. T his param eter space is ilhustrated in $F$ igure 6 and $F$ igure 7.

We nish with a speculation. O ne of the mysteries of cosm ology is the origin of the observed baryon asym $m$ etry of the early U niverse. In principle, it $m$ ay be possible that the baryon asym $m$ etry arises from a lepton num ber asym $m$ etry. The lepton num ber asym $m$ etry can be converted into a baryon num ber asym $m$ etry through sphaleron transitions at or above the weak phase transition. It $m$ ay be possible that a sm all lepton num ber asym $m$ etry arises from the $m$ echanism of ordinary-sterile neutrino oscillations, which is seeded by statistical uctuations of the background. O ne interesting feature of this possibility is that the baryon num ber asym $m$ etry would not be related to the CP asym $m$ etry of the Lagrangian. Instead the origin of $m$ atter over anti-m atter would be due to a statistical uctuation which is then am pli ed by neutrino oscillations. H ow ever before this speculation can be checked, it would be necessary to work out the e ective potential at high tem peratures ( $T \quad 250 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) and study the phase transition region.
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A ppendix
The purpose of this appendix is to show that Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{0})$ ) can be derived from the $H$ am iltonian form alism. In applying this form alism, we will assume that the rate at which collisions collapse the wavefunction (i.e. the rate of $m$ easurem ent of whether the state is a weak or sterile eigenstate) is given by the dam ping frequency which is half of the collision frequency.

For further discussion of this point see section II and Ref. $1 \overline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$.
The expectation value that an initial weak-eigenstate neutrino has oscillated into a sterile state $s$ after secondsw illlbe denoted by $j_{s}^{0}(t ;) j$ (w here $t$ is the age of the U niverse). The average probability that an initial weak eigenstate has oscillated into a sterile state can be obtained by averaging the quantity $\left.j{ }_{s}^{0}(t ;)\right\}$ over all possible tim es (weighted by the probability that the neutrino has survived seconds since its last \m easurem ent"). This average has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h j_{s}^{0}(t) J^{2} i={\frac{1}{!_{0}}}_{0}^{z} e^{=!0} j_{s}^{0}(t ;)\right\} d ; \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

 If we denote the analogous quantity for anti-neutrinos by hj $s\left(t_{i}\right) 3 i$, then the rate of change of lepton num ber can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dL}}{\mathrm{dt}}, \quad \frac{3}{8} \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i} \frac{-}{2} \quad \frac{3}{8} \frac{@ h(t) i}{@ t} ; \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t) i=h j{ }_{s}^{0}(t) f i \quad h j{ }_{s}^{0}(t) f i: \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the rst term in Eq. ( 1503 ind $)$ represents the rate of change of lepton number due to collisions (which produce sterile neutrino states). The second term represents the rate of change of lepton num ber due to the oscillations betw een collisions.

In the adiabatic $\lim$ 斗, the transform ation $0!\mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{0}!\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ diagonalizes the H am irtonian. In this lim it, the m ean probability hj ${ }_{s}^{0}(t) f^{?} i$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j{ }_{s}^{0}(t){ }^{f} i=\sin ^{2} 2_{m} h \sin ^{2} \frac{}{2 L_{m}} i: \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that in the static lim it, @h ( t$) \mathrm{i}=@ \mathrm{t}=0$ and hence Eq. ( $2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ results. H owever, in the expanding U niverse which is non-static, the above equation is not generally valid (although it tums out that it is a good approxim ation for oscillations aw ay from resonance where the system changes su ciently slow ly and even at som e resonance regions which are su ciently sm ooth). To calculate the probability hj ${ }_{s}^{0}(t){ }^{f}$ i in the general case, we go back to the funda$m$ ental H am iltonian equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}{\underset{\mathrm{~s}}{0}}_{!}^{!}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{M}^{2}{\underset{\mathrm{~s}}{0}}_{!}^{!} \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M^{2}=\frac{1}{2} R \quad m^{\prime \prime} \quad 0 \quad{ }^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad R^{\mathrm{T}}+4 \mathrm{p} \quad \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{hV} i & 0  \tag{157}\\
0 & 0
\end{array} ;
$$

and

$$
R=\quad \begin{gather*}
\cos 0  \tag{158}\\
\sin 0 \\
\sin 0 \\
\cos 0
\end{gather*} ; h H i=\frac{(b \quad a) m^{2}}{2 p}
$$

where the (+) sign corresponds to neutrino (anti-neutrino) oscillations. Expanding out Eq.(1] $\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{d}{d t}=\quad+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{s}^{0} ; i \frac{d{ }_{s}^{0}}{d t}=\frac{1}{2}+{ }_{s}^{0} ; \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{m^{2}}{4 p}\left(2 b \quad 2 a \quad \cos 20_{0}\right) ; \quad=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p} \sin 20 ; \quad=\frac{m^{2}}{4 p} \cos 2_{0}: \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we divide the equations Eqs. $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\xi_{9}}\right)$ by and ${ }_{s}^{0}$ respectively, then they can be com bined into the single di erential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{d}{d t}=\quad+\frac{-}{2}\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{s}^{0}=$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad=\frac{m^{2}}{2 p}(\cos 2 \circ \quad b \quad a): \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $+(\quad)$ sign in the above equation corresponds to $s(s)$ oscillations. N ote that $j{ }_{s}^{0} j=\frac{j j^{2}}{1+j j^{2}}$. If the non-linear term ( ${ }^{2}$ ) can be neglected, then the solution for constant ; ; is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\frac{2}{2}^{h} e^{i()(t t)^{i}} ; \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith boundary condition $(t)=0$. Introducing the variable $t \quad t$ and evaluating $j$ ( t ; ) 〕 we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(t_{;}\right) j^{2}=\frac{2}{2} \sin ^{2} \bar{m}^{\#} \text {; } \tag{164}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is approxim ately $\sin ^{2} 2 m \sin ^{2}=2 I_{m}$ provided that $j j^{2} \quad 1$.
In the general case where ; and are not constant, the general solution is (where we have again neglected the non-linear ${ }^{2}$ term ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\frac{i^{2}}{2}{ }_{t}^{t} e^{i\left(t^{0}\right)}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \int_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}^{0} \text {; } \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary condition ( $t$ ) $=0$ has again been taken. One may easily verify that Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \bar{\sigma})$ is indeed the solution by directly substituting it into Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) The probability that a weak-eigenstate at $t=t$ has oscillated into a sterile eigenstate at tim $e t$ is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t) j^{2}, \frac{2^{Z}}{4} t_{t}^{Z_{t}} \cos _{t_{2}}^{Z_{t_{1}}} d t^{0} d t_{1} d t_{2} ; \tag{167}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have assum ed that is approxim ately constant over the interaction tim e scale $t \quad t$, so that it can be taken outside the integral. This step is a good approxim ation provided
$T^{>} 2 \mathrm{MeV}$ [[2] ${ }^{[2]}$. A gain de ning the quantity $t$ (recall that is the time between $m$ easurem ents), and averaging $j(t ;) j$ over,$w$ th the appropriate weighting factor, we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j(t) j^{2} i^{\prime} \frac{2^{Z}}{4!}{ }_{0}^{t} e e_{t}^{=!Z_{t} t_{t}^{Z}} \cos _{t_{2}}^{Z_{t_{1}}} d t^{0} d t_{1} d t_{2} d: \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this equation by parts (w ith respect to the integration), we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j(t) j^{2} i^{\prime} \frac{2^{Z} t^{Z} t}{2} \int_{t} e^{=!0} \cos { }_{t}^{Z_{1}} d t^{0} d t_{1} d ; \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $e^{t=10} 0$, 0
 to the rate of change of lepton num ber through Eq. (1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}, \quad \frac{3}{8} \frac{h j(t) j^{2} i}{!0} \quad \frac{3}{8} \frac{@ h j(t) j^{2} i}{@ t} \quad!: \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating @hj (t) $j^{2} i=@ t$ we nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{2}{2} R_{t} e=!0(t)\right)_{t} \quad \sin \int_{t}^{h_{R_{1}}} d t^{i} d t_{1} d \text {; } \tag{171}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the notation that (t, denotes evaluated at the point ( $t$ ). D ividing Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{6} \overline{9} 9)$ by ! 0 and integrating Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{\overline{6}} \overline{9})$ ) by parts we nd (w ith respect to the integration), we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{!_{0}} h j(t) j^{2} i=\frac{2^{Z}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{=!0} 1 \quad(t)_{t}^{z_{t}} \sin _{t}^{z_{t_{1}}} d t^{0} d t_{1} d: \tag{172}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dding the above two equations and subtracting the analogous term for anti-neutrinos, we obtain the follow ing rather com pact expression for the rate of change of lepton num ber:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}={\frac{32^{2}}{16}}_{0}^{t} e^{=!0} \cos \int_{t}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} \quad \cos \int_{t}^{Z_{t}} d t^{0} d \text {; } \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is de ned sim ilarly to except that a! a. N ote that the totalcontribution to the rate of change of lepton num ber is in fact sim pler than either of the tw o separate contributions com ing from collisions and oscillations between collisions. Equation (1ָ $\overline{1} 1 \overline{3})$ ) can be rew ritten (using a trigonom etric identity)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L}{d t}=\frac{3^{2 z}}{8} e_{0}^{t} e^{=!0} \sin _{t}^{z} \quad+d t^{0} \sin _{t}^{Z_{t}} \quad d t^{\infty} d \text {; } \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=(\quad)=2$. $N$ ote that this is exactly the sam e equation that we derived in section III Eq. $(\overline{6} \overline{0})$ ) $]$ from the density $m$ atrix equations.
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## Table C aption

Sum $m$ ary of the predictions for the chlorine and gallium experim ents assum ing 1) standard electro-w eak theory (i.e. no new physics) 2) that the electron neutrino oscillates maxim ally into a sterile state ( m axim al m ixing m odel) and 3) the experim ental $m$ easurem ents. All num bers are in units of SNU.

## Figure C aptions

Figure 1. The evolution of the s (or s) oscillation generated lepton num ber asym metry, $L$ (or $L$ ). W e have taken by way of exam ple, the param eter choioes $\mathrm{m}^{2}=$
$1 \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{8}\left(\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{4}\right)$ for the bottom two curves (top two curves). The solid lines represent the results of the num erical integration of the density matrix equations $\mathbb{E q} \cdot(\underset{-1}{\overline{4}} \overline{\mathrm{G}})]$, while the dashed lines result from the num erical integration of E q. ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1})$.

Figure 2. The evolution of the $s$ (or s) oscillation generated lepton num ber asymmetry, $L$ (or L ). In this exam ple we have taken the param eter choiges, $\mathrm{m}^{2}=$
$1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2}, \sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{9}\left(\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{6}\right)$ for the bottom two curves (top two curves). As in $F$ igure 1, the solid lines represent the results of the num erical integration of the density $m$ atrix equations $\mathbb{E q} .(\underline{4} \overline{-1})]$, while the dashed lines result from the num erical integration of Eq. (2̄3̄ㄴ) .

Figure 3. The evolution of the s (or s) oscillation generated lepton number asymmetry, $L$ (or $L$ ). In this exam ple we have taken the param eter choices $\mathrm{m}^{2}=$
$1 \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{4}$ (dashed line), $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{6}$ (dashed-dotted line) and $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{8}$ (solid line). T hese curves result from integrating the coupled di erential equations Eq. (994,
 T hey also incorporate the e ect of the non-zero num ber density of the sterile neutrinos which are produced by the oscillations.

Figure 4. Sam e as Figure 3 except that $\mathrm{m}^{2}=1000 \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10{ }^{6}$ (dashed line), $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{7}$ (dashed-dotted line) and $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}=10^{9}$ (solid line).
$F$ igure 5. Region of param eter space in the $\quad m_{e^{0}}^{2} j m_{e e}^{2} j$ plane (assum ing $\sin ^{2} 2 e_{0}^{e e^{0}}$, 1) where the $L^{(e)}$ created by ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations does not get destroyed by e ${ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations. The solid line corresponds to $\sin ^{2} 2_{0} e^{0}=10^{6}$, while the dashed line corresponds to $\sin ^{2} 2_{0} e^{0}=$ $10{ }^{8}$. N ote that sim ilar results hold for $\quad{ }_{e}^{0}$ oscillations by replacing ! .
$F$ igure 6. Region of param eter space in the $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ o, $\mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ jplane where the $\mathrm{L}^{()}$created by $\quad 0$ oscillations does not get destroyed by $\quad 0$ oscillations (assum ing $\sin ^{2} 2_{0}^{0}$, 1). The solid line corresponds to $\sin ^{2} 20^{e^{0}}=10^{6}$, while the dashed line corresponds to
$\sin ^{2} 2{ }_{0} e^{0}=10^{8}$ ．N ote that sim ilar results hold for $\quad e_{e}^{0}$ oscillations ifboth ${ }^{0}$ and ${ }_{e}^{0}$ exist． Figure 7．Region of param eter space $\left(\sin ^{2} 20^{\circ}\right.$ ，$\left.m^{2}{ }_{0}\right)$ where the $L^{()}$created by 0 oscillations does not get destroyed by $\quad{ }^{0}$ oscillations．This region which in the gure is denoted by the $\backslash A$ llowed region＂is all of the param eter space above the solid line．W e have assum ed that $\sin ^{2} 20^{\circ}$ ， 1 and $j m^{2}{ }_{0} j=10^{2} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$（which is the best $t$ to the atm ospheric neutrino data）．A lso shown（the dashed line）is the cosm ology bound m＜ 40 eV （which implies $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{m}^{2}{ }_{0} j^{<} 1600 \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ），which is required if the neutrino is su ciently long lived．The dashed－dotted line is the BBN bound，Eq．（9̄⿱一口⿵冂⿱丷丅一 ）．

Table 1

| P rediction/E xpt | Chlorine | G allium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard E lectro-w eak theory | $4: 500: 5$ | $123^{8}{ }^{8}$ |
| M axim alm ixing m odel | $3: 7 \quad 0: 4$ | $65_{4}^{7}$ |
| Experim ent | $2: 78 \quad 0: 35$ | $71_{4}^{7} 7$ |









