### RARE B ! DECAY IN LIGHT CONE QCD SUM RULE

T.M.ALEV , A.OZPINECI<sup>y</sup> and M.SAVCI<sup>z</sup> Physics D epartm ent, M iddle East Technical University 06531 Ankara, Turkey

M arch 26, 2022

#### Abstract

U sing the light cone QCD Sum Rules M ethod, we study the rare B ! decay and nd that the branching ratios are, B (B<sub>s</sub> ! )' 7:5  $10^{8}$ , B (B<sub>d</sub> ! )' 42  $10^{9}$ . A comparision of our results, on branching ratio, with constituent quark and pole dom inance m odel predictions are presented.

em ailtaliev@ rorqualccm etu edu tr <sup>y</sup>em aile100690@ orca.ccm etu edu tr <sup>z</sup>em aileavci@ rorqualccm etu edu tr

### 1 Introduction

The F lavour C hanging N eutral C urrent (FCNC) process is one of the most promissing eld for testing the Standard M odel (SM) predictions at loop level and for for establishing new physics beyond that (for a review see [1] and references therein). The rare decays provide a direct and reliable tool for extracting an inform ation about the fundam ental param eters of the Standard M odel (SM), such as, the Cabibbo-K obayashi-M askawa (CKM) m atrix elements  $V_{td}$ ;  $V_{ts}$ ;  $V_{td}$  and  $V_{ub}$  [2].

Right after the experimental observation of the b! s  $\beta$ ] and B! X<sub>s</sub> [4] processes, the interest is focused on the other possible rare B-m eson decays, that are expected to get observed at future B-m eson factories and xed target machines. Besides measuring the CKM matrix elements, the role played by the rare B-m eson decays could be very in portant for extracting more information about some hadronic parameters, such as, the leptonic decays  $f_{B_s}$  and  $f_{B_d}$ . Pure leptonic decays of the form,  $B_s$ ! <sup>+</sup> and  $B_s$ ! I<sup>+</sup>1 are not useful for this purpose, since their helicities are suppressed and they have branching ratios B ( $B_s$ ! <sup>+</sup>)' 1:8 10<sup>-9</sup> and B ( $B_s$ ! I<sup>+</sup>1)' 4:2 10<sup>-14</sup> [5]. For  $B_d$  meson case the situations gets worse due to the smaller CKM angle. A lthough the process  $B_s$ ! <sup>+</sup> , whose branching ratio in the SM is B ( $B_s$ ! <sup>+</sup>) = 8 10<sup>-7</sup> [6], is free of this suppression, its observability expected to be compatible with the branching ratio of the  $B_s$ ! <sup>+</sup> decay, only when its e ciency is larger (better) than 10<sup>-2</sup>.

Larger branching ratio is expected when a photon is emitted in addition to the lepton pair, with no helicity suppression. For that reason, the investigation of the  $B_{s(d)}$  ! I' l becomes intersting. Note that in the SM, the decay  $B_s$  ! is forbidden by the helicity conservation. However, similar to the  $B_s$  ! \* case, the photon radiation process  $B_s$  ! takes place, without any helicity suppression. This decay is investigated in the SM, using the constituent quark and pole models as the alternative approaches, for the determ ination of the leptonic decay constants  $f_{B_s}$  and  $f_{B_d}$  in [7]. It was shown in that work that the diagram s with photon radiation from light quarks give the dominant contribution to the decay amplitude that is inversely proportional to the constituent light quark mass. But the "constituent quark mass" itself is poorely understood. Therefore, any prediction in the framework of the above mentioned approaches on the branching ratios is strongly model dependent. Note that, similar obstacle exists for the  $B_{s(d)}$  ! I' l decays as well [8].

In this work we investigate the  $B_s$ ! process, practically in a model independent way, namely, within the framework of the light cone QCD sum rules method (more about the method and its applications can be found in a recent review [9]). The paper is organized as follows: In sect 2 we give the relevant elective Hamiltonian for the b! s decay. In sect 3 we derive the sum rules for the transition form factors. Sect 4 is devoted to the numerical analysis for the form factors, where we calculate the dimential and total decay width for the B ! and confront our results with those of [7]. Our calculations show that the constituent quark model and sum rules predictions are equal for the constituent quark mass  $m_s$  ( $m_d$ ) = (250) M eV.

## 2 E ective H am iltonian

W e start by considering the quark level process b! q (q = s;d). This process is described by the box and Z-m ediated penguin diagram s. The elective H am iltonian for this process was calculated in [6, 10] to yield

$$H_{eff} = Cq (1 _{5})b (1 _{5})$$
 (1)

where,

$$C = \frac{G_{F}}{2^{P} \overline{2} \sin^{2}(w)} V_{tb} V_{ts} \frac{x}{8} \frac{x+2}{x-1} + \frac{3(x-2)}{(x-1)^{2}} \ln(x)$$

with,

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{m_{t}^{2}}{m_{W}^{2}} :$$

In our calculations we shall neglect the QCD corrections to the coe cient A, since they are negligible (see for example [6]).

At quark level the process  $B_{s(d)}$ ! is described by the same diagram s as b! q in which photon is emitted from any charged particle. Incidentally, we should note the following pecularities of this process:

a) when photon is emitted from internal charged particles (W and top quark), the above m entioned process will be suppressed by a factor  $\frac{m_b^2}{m_w^2}$  (see [7]), in comparison to the process b ! q , so that one can neglect the contributions of such diagram s.

b) The W ilson coe cient C is the same for the processes b ! q and b ! q as a consequence of the extention of the Low's low energy theorem (for more detail see [11]).

So we have two types of diagram that give contributions to the process b ! q, when photon is emitted from initial b and light quark lines. The corresponding matrix element for the process  $B_{s(d)}$  ! is given as,

$$h_{\text{ff}} = C (1_5) h_{\text{ff}} (1_5) b_{\text{f}}$$
 (2)

The matrix element h jq  $(1 _{5})bB$  i can be written in terms of the two gauge invariant and independent structures, namely,

h (q) jq (1 5) bjB (p+q) i = 
$$p \frac{1}{4}$$
 e p q  $\frac{g(p^2)}{m_B^2}$  +  
+ i e (pq) (e p) q  $\frac{f(p^2)}{m_B^2}$  : (3)

"

Here, e and q stand for the polarization vector and momentum of the photon, p + q is the momentum of the B meson,  $g(p^2)$  and  $f(p^2)$  correspond to parity conserved and parity violated form factors for the B ! decay. The main problem then, is to calculate the form factors  $g(p^2)$  and  $f(p^2)$ . For this aim we will utilize the light cone QCD sum rules method.

# 3 QCD Sum rules for the transition form factors

Derivation of the elective H am iltonian eq.(1) is one of the basic steps in the analysis of the  $B_q$ ! decay. We need to carry the calculation at the hadronic level, in another words, we must calculate the transition form factors within the fram ework of some reliable theoretical scheme. We shall use QCD sum rules, more precisely, the light cone QCD sum rules method, to achieve this aim.

A coording to the QCD sum rules ideology, one starts with the calculation of the transition amplitude for the  $B_q$ ! decay, by writing the representation of a suitable correlator function in terms of hadron and quark-gluon parameters. So, to start with, we consider the following correlator:

$$(p;q) = i d^{4}x e^{ipx}h (q) f q (1 _{5})b(x)bi_{5}q f :$$
(4)

The general Lorentz decom position of the above correlator is,

$$(p;q) = \frac{p_{-n}}{4} e_{pq_{-1}} + i_{e_{-1}} (pq) (e_{-1})q_{-2} ;$$
 (5)

with,  $_1$  and  $_2$  corresponding to the parity conserving and parity violating components of the correlator, e and q are the four-vector polarization and m om entum of the photon, respectively.

The form idable task here, is to calculate  $_1$  and  $_2$ . This problem can be solved in the deep Euclidean region, where  $p^2$  and  $(p+q)^2$  are negative and large. The correlator function (4) in the fram ework of the light cone sum rules method was calculated in this deep region in [12] (see also [13]-[15]). We have recalculated this correlator and our nal answer is in con mation with the results of [12]. Om itting the details of the calculation, which can be found in [15], and after perform ing the Borel transform ation for the form factors g and f, the QCD sum rules method gives us:

$$g = \frac{m_{b}}{f_{B_{c}}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{du}{u} \exp \frac{m_{B}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}}{uM^{2}}^{!}$$

$$e_{q}hqqi \quad (u) \quad 4 \quad g^{(1)}(u) \quad g^{(2)}(u) \quad \frac{m_{b}^{2} + uM^{2}}{u^{2}M^{4}}^{\#} + \frac{m_{b}f}{2uM^{2}}g_{1}(u) + \frac{3m_{b}}{4^{2}} (e_{q} \quad e_{b})u\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} up^{2} + e_{b}ln \quad \frac{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}}{um_{b}^{2}}^{!}; \qquad (6)$$

$$f = \frac{m_{b}}{f_{B}}^{Z} \frac{1}{u} \frac{du}{u} \exp \frac{m_{B}^{2}}{M^{2}} = \frac{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}}{uM^{2}} e_{q} hqqi \quad (u) \quad 4g^{(1)} (u) \frac{m_{b}^{2} + uM^{2}}{u^{2}M^{4}} + \frac{3m_{b}^{3}}{4^{2} (m_{b}^{2} p^{2})} (e_{q} e_{b}) \quad 2u \quad 1 + \frac{p^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} \frac{p^{2}u^{2}}{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}} \frac{u(m_{b}^{2} p^{2})}{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}} (e_{q} + e_{b})u\frac{p^{2}}{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}} + e_{b} \quad 2u \quad 1 + \frac{p^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{b}^{2} up^{2}}{um_{b}^{2}} :$$
(7)

Here (u) and  $g_1$  (u) are the leading twist-2, while  $g^{(1)}$  and  $g^{(2)}$  are the twist-4 photon wave functions, is the magnetic susceptibility,  $f = \frac{e_q}{g} f m$ , with f = 200 M eV [12], u = 1 u,  $e_q$  and  $e_b$  are the charges of the light and beauty quarks,  $f_B$  is the leptonic decay constant, and  $= (m_b^2 p^2) = (s_0 p^2)$ . The term s without the photon wave functions correspond to the perturbative contributions, when photon is emitted from heavy and light quark lines in the loop diagram s. The asymptotic form of the wave function (u) is well known [16]-[19]:

The twist-4 wave functions entering in eqs.(6) and (7) are given by [13],

$$g^{(1)}(u) = \frac{1}{8}u(2+u);$$
  

$$g^{(2)}(u) = \frac{1}{4}u^{2}:$$

# 4 Num erical A nalysis

The main issue concerning eqs.(6) and (7), are the determ ination of the  $g(p^2)$  and  $f(p^2)$ . We rst give a list of the parameters entering in eqs.(6) and (7):

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{hqqi}_{d} = (0.24 \text{ GeV})^{3} \text{ [19]; } \text{hqqi}_{s} = 0.8 \text{hqqi}_{d} \text{ [20];} \\ &\text{f}_{B} = 0.14 \text{ GeV} \text{ [21]; } s_{0} = 35 \text{ GeV}^{2} \text{ ; } m_{b} = 4.7 \text{ GeV} \text{ ; } g = 5.5 \text{ [12]:} \\ &\text{j} V_{tb} V_{ts} \text{ j} = 0.045 \text{ ; } \text{ j} V_{td} V_{ts} \text{ j} = 0.010 \text{ [22]:} \end{aligned}$$

$$(8)$$

The value of in the presence of external eld was determined in [23, 24]:

$$(^{2} = 1 \text{ GeV}^{2}) = 4:4 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$
:

If we include the anom alous dimension of the current q q, that is equal to  $\frac{4}{27}$  at = m<sub>b</sub>, we get,  $(^2 = m_b^2) = 3.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Following [12], we shall take  $g_1(u) = 1$ , to the leading twist accuracy. The Borel parameter M<sup>2</sup> has been varied in the region from  $8 \text{ GeV}^2 < M^2 < 20 \text{ GeV}^2$ . We have found that, within the variation limits of M<sup>2</sup> in this region, the results change by less than 8%. The sum rules for  $g(p^2)$  and  $f(p^2)$  are meaningfull in the region  $m_b^2 p^2$  (few GeV<sup>2</sup>), which is smaller than the maximal available value  $p^2 = m_b^2$ . For an extension of the results to whole region of  $p^2$ , we use the extrapolation form ula. The best agreement is achieved with the dipole form ulas (for more detail, see [12] and [25]).

$$g(p^2)' \frac{h_1}{11 \frac{p^2}{m_1^2}}$$
;  $f(p^2)' \frac{h_2}{1 \frac{p^2}{m_2^2}}$ ;

with,

 $h_1$  ' 1:0 GeV ;  $m_1$  ' 5:6 GeV ;  $h_2$  ' 0:8 GeV ;  $m_2$  ' 6:5 GeV :

U sing eq.(2) and eq.(3) for the total decay rate, we get

$$= \frac{C^2 m_B^5}{256^2} I;$$
 (9)

where,

$$I = \frac{1}{m_B^2} \int_{0}^{Z_1} dx (1 - x)^3 x^n f^2 (x) + g^2 (x)^{\circ} :$$

Here x = 1  $\frac{2E}{m_B}$  is the norm alized photon energy. Let us compare our results with the ones that are obtained within the fram ework of the constituent quark and pole dom inance models [7] (Note that eqs.(6), (7), (15) and (16) in [7], are all m is printed and all these equations must be multiplied by the factor 3). The correct results are as follow s:

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{2m_{B}^{5}}{m_{q}^{2}} \frac{C^{2} f_{B_{q}}^{2}}{(48)^{2}} x (1 x) ;$$

$$= \frac{3C^{2} f_{B_{q}}^{2} m_{B}^{5}}{(144)^{2} m_{q}^{2}} ; \quad (C \text{ onstituent Q uark M odel}) \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{C^2 g^2}{128^2} \frac{f_B^2 m_B^2 m_B^7 (1 x)^3 x}{(m_B^2 0 m_B^2)^2};$$

$$= \frac{C^2 f_{B_q}^2 m_B^8 g^2}{768^2 m_{B_q}^3} f^{0} \frac{m_{B_q}^2}{m_{B_q}^2} A; \quad (Pole Dom in ance Model) \quad (11)$$

where,

$$f(y) = 17y^3 + 42y^2$$
 24y 6(4 y)(1 y)<sup>2</sup> ln(1 y):

The coupling constant for  $B_q B_q$  transition in the constituent quark model is given by [26],

$$g = + \frac{e_q}{m_q} :$$
 (12)

This coupling constant in the light cone QCD sum rules was calculated in [15] to give:

$$g = \frac{0:1}{f_{\rm B} f_{\rm B} m_{\rm B}} :$$
 (13)

U sing the values of the input parameters and the lifetimes  $(B_s) = 1.34 \ 10^{12} \ s;$   $(B_d) = 1.50 \ 10^{12} \ s$  [24], we calculated the branching ratios of the decays,  $B_s$  ! and  $B_d$  ! . The results are presented in Table 1. The results in the Table for the third and fourth columns are obtained using the values for the coupling constant g given by eqs.(12) and (13), respectively. Note that, for the constituent m asses, we used m<sub>d</sub> ' 0.35 G eV and m<sub>s</sub> ' 0.51 G eV . W e nd out that, eqs.(10) and (11) yield results that are num erically close to eq.(9), with the constituent quark m asses m<sub>q</sub> '  $f_q^p \overline{2}$ . If we set  $f_q$  ' 200 M eV we get

 $m_d = 250 \text{ M} \text{ eV}$ . If we use this value of the constituent quark mass, the branching ratios for  $B_s !$  and  $B_l !$ , increase by a factor of 4 and 2.5, respectively.

A lso, for a comparision, we have calculated the photon spectra using the constituent quark, pole dom inance and QCD sum rules models, and found that the photon spectra for the constituent quark and pole dom inance models are fully symmetrical. But, as a result of the balance between a typical highly asymmetric resonance type behaviour given by the non-perturbative contributions and a perturbative photon emission, the sum rules model yields a slightly asymmetrical prediction.

In conclusion, we calculate the branching ratios for the processes  $B_s$ ! and  $B_d$ ! , in SM within the fram ework of the light QCD sum rules and obtained that B ( $B_s$ ! )' 7:5 10<sup>7</sup> and B ( $B_d$ !)' 4:2 10<sup>9</sup>. Within this range of branching ratios, it is possible to detect these processes in the future B factories and LHC.

	Sum rules		C onstituent quark m odel		Pole dominance		Pole dominance	
B (B <sub>s</sub> )	7 <b>:</b> 50	10 <sup>8</sup>	1 <b>:</b> 93	$10^{8} (\frac{f_{\rm B}}{0.2})^2$	1 <b>:</b> 79	$10^{8} \left(\frac{f_{\rm B}}{0:2}\right)^2$	0 <b>:</b> 94	$10^{8} (\frac{0.2}{f_{B}})^{2}$
B (B <sub>d</sub> )	0:42	10 <sup>8</sup>	226	$10^{9} (\frac{f_{\rm B}}{0.2})^2$	2:10	$10^{9} \left(\frac{f_{B}}{0.2}\right)^{2}$	0 <b>:</b> 52	$10^{9} (\frac{0.2}{f_B})^2$

T ab le

## References

[1] A.AliPreprintDESY 96-106 (1996), to appear in the proc.XXX NathiagaliSum merCollege on Physics and Contemporary Needs, Nova Science Publ, NY, Editors: Riazuddin, K.A.Shoaib et.al.;
A.J.Buras, M.K.Harlanger, Heavy Flavors p. 58-201 Editors: A.J.Buras, M. Lindner, (World Scientic, Singapore); A.Ali, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Supp. 39 BC

(1995) 408-425; S.P layfer and S. Stone, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 4107.

- [2] Z.Ligetiand M.W ise, Preprint CALT-68-2029, hep-ph/9512225 (1995).
- [3] R.Ammaret.al.CLEO Colloboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 674.
- [4] M.S.Alam et.al, CLEO Colloboration, Phys. Rev.Lett. 74 (1995) 2885.
- [5] B.A.Campbell and P.J.O'D onnell, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1982) 1989;
   A.Ali, in B decays, Editor: S.Stone (W orld Scientic, Singapore) 67.
- [6] G.Buchalla and A.J.Buras, Nucl. Phys. B 400 (1993) 225.
- [7] C.D.Lu, D.X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 381 (1996) 348.
- [8] G.Eliam, C.D.Lu and D.X.Zhang, Preprint Technion-PH-96-12;
   Preprint hep-ph/9606444 (1996).
- [9] V.M.Braun, Preprint NORD ITA -95-69-P (1995);
  Preprint hep-ph/9510404 (1995), to appear in: Proc. of the Int. Europhys. Conf. on High Energy Physics, Brussels, Belgium, 1995.
- [10] T. Inamiand C.S.Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65 (1981) 297; Prog. Theor. Phys. 65 (1981) 1772 (E).
- [11] G.L.Lin, J.Liu and Y.P.Yao, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 2314.
- [12] G.Eliam, I.Helperin, R.Mendel, Phys. Lett. B 361 (1995) 137.
- [13] A.Ali, V.M. Braun, Phys. Lett. B 359 (1995) 223.
- [14] A.Khodjamirian, G.Stolland D.W yler, Phys. Lett. B 358 (1995) 129.
- [15] T.M.Aliev, D.A.Demir, E, Eltan and N.K.Pak, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 857.

- [16] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun and A. V. Kolesnicheko, Nucl. Phys. B 312 (1989) 509.
- [17] I. I. Balitsky and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B 311 (1988) 541.
- [18] V.M. Braun and I.Filyanov, Z.Phys.C 44 (1989) 157; ibid C 48 (1990) 239.
- [19] M.A.Shifman, A.I.Vainstein and V.I.Zakharov Nucl. Phys. 147 (1979) 385
- [20] V.M.Belyaev and B.L. Io e Sov. JETP 83 (1982) 876.
- [21] T.M.Aliev and V.L.Eletsky, Sov. Nucl. Phys. 38 (1983) 936.
- [22] Particle Data Group Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994).
- [23] V.M. Belyaev and Y.I.Kogan, Sov. Nucl. Phys. 40 (1984) 659.
- [24] I. I. Balitsky, A.V. Kolesnicheko and A.Y. Yung, Sov. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1985) 178.
- [25] A.Ali, V.M. Braun and H. Simma, Z. Phys. C 63 (1994) 437.
- [26] H.Y.Cheng et.al, Phys. Rev. D 51 1199.