

A solution to the puzzles of CP violation, neutrino oscillation, fermion masses and mixings in an SUSY GUT model with small $\tan\beta$

K.C. Chou ^a and Yue-Liang Wu ^{b*}

^aChinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100864, China

^bDepartment of Physics, Ohio State University, 174 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA

CP violation, fermion masses and mixing angles including that of neutrinos are studied in an SUSY $SO(10)\times\Delta(48)\times U(1)$ model with small $\tan\beta$. It is amazing that the model can provide a successful prediction on twenty three observables by only using four parameters. The renormalization group (RG) effects containing those above the GUT scale are considered. Fifteen relations among the low energy parameters are found with nine of them free from RG modifications. They could be tested directly by low energy experiments.

The standard model (SM) is a great success. But it cannot be a fundamental theory. Eighteen phenomenological parameters have been introduced to describe the real world, all of unknown origin. The mass spectrum and the mixing angles observed remind us that we are in a stage similar to that of atomic spectroscopy before Balmer. In this talk, we shall present an interesting model based on the symmetry group SUSY $SO(10)\times\Delta(48)\times U(1)$ with small values of $\tan\beta\sim 1$ which is of phenomenological interest in testing the Higgs sector in the minimum supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) at Colliders[1]. For a detailed analysis see ref. [2]. The dihedral group $\Delta(48)$, a subgroup of $SU(3)$, is taken as the family group. $U(1)$ is family-independent and is introduced to distinguish various fields which belong to the same representations of $SO(10)\times\Delta(48)$. The irreducible representations of $\Delta(48)$ consisting of five triplets and three singlets are found to be sufficient to build an interesting texture structure for fermion mass matrices. The symmetry $\Delta(48)\times U(1)$ naturally ensures the texture structure with zeros for Yukawa coupling matrices, while the coupling coefficients of the resulting interaction terms in the superpotential are unconstrained by this symme-

try. To reduce the possible free parameters, the universality of Yukawa coupling constants in the superpotential is assumed, i.e., all the coupling coefficients are assumed to be equal and have the same origins from perhaps a more fundamental theory.

Choosing the structure of the physical vacuum carefully, the Yukawa coupling matrices which determine the masses and mixings of all quarks and leptons are given at the GUT scale by

$$\Gamma_u^G = \lambda_u \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{3}{2}z'_u\epsilon_P^2 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{2}z_u\epsilon_P^2 & -3y_u\epsilon_G^2 e^{i\phi} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x_u\epsilon_G^2 \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}x_u\epsilon_G^2 & w_u \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_f^G = \lambda_f \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{3}{2}z'_f\epsilon_P^2 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{2}z_f\epsilon_P^2 & 3y_f\epsilon_G^2 e^{i\phi} & -\frac{1}{2}x_f\epsilon_G^2 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}x_f\epsilon_G^2 & w_f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma_\nu^G = \lambda_\nu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{15}{2}z'_\nu\epsilon_P^2 & 0 \\ -\frac{15}{2}z_\nu\epsilon_P^2 & 15y_\nu\epsilon_G^2 e^{i\phi} & -\frac{1}{2}x_\nu\epsilon_G^2 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}x_\nu\epsilon_G^2 & w_\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_u = 2\lambda_H/3$, $\lambda_f = \lambda_u(-1)^{n+1}/3^n$ ($f = d, e$) and $\lambda_\nu = \lambda_f/5^{n+1}$. Here the integer n reflects the possible choice of heavy fermion fields above the GUT scale. $n = 4$ is found to be the best choice in this set of models for a consistent prediction on top and charm quark masses. This is because for $n > 4$, the resulting value of $\tan\beta$ becomes too small, as a consequence, the predicted top quark

*Supported in part by the US Department of Energy Grant # DOE/ER/01545-675. Permanent address: Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

mass will be below the present experimental lower limit. For $n < 4$, the values of $\tan\beta$ will become larger, the resulting charm quark mass will be above the present upper bound. $\lambda_H = \lambda_H^0 r_3$, $\epsilon_G \equiv (\frac{v_5}{v_{10}}) \sqrt{\frac{r_2}{r_3}}$ and $\epsilon_P \equiv (\frac{v_5}{\bar{M}_P}) \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{r_3}}$ are three parameters. Where λ_H^0 is a universal coupling constant expected to be of order one, r_1 , r_2 and r_3 denote the ratios of the coupling constants of the superpotential at the GUT scale for the textures ‘12’, ‘22’ (‘32’) and ‘33’ respectively. They represent the possible renormalization group (RG) effects running from the scale \bar{M}_P to the GUT scale. Note that the RG effects for the textures ‘22’ and ‘32’ are considered to be the same since they are generated from a similar superpotential structure after integrating out the heavy fermions and concern the fields which belong to the same representations of the symmetry group, this can be explicitly seen from their effective operators W_{22} and W_{32} given below. \bar{M}_P , v_{10} , and v_5 being the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) for $U(1) \times \Delta(48)$, $SO(10)$ and $SU(5)$ symmetry breaking respectively. ϕ is the physical CP phase arising from the VEVs. The assumption of maximum CP violation implies that $\phi = \pi/2$. x_f , y_f , z_f , and w_f ($f = u, d, e, \nu$) are the Clebsch factors of $SO(10)$ determined by the directions of symmetry breaking of the adjoints **45**’s. The Clebsch factors associated with the symmetry breaking directions can be easily read off from the $U(1)$ hypercharges of the adjoints **45**’s and the related effective operators which are obtained when the symmetry $SO(10) \times \Delta(48) \times U(1)$ is broken and heavy fermion pairs are integrated out:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{33} &= \lambda_3 16_3 \eta_X \eta_A 10_1 \eta_A \eta_X 16_3 \\ W_{32} &= \lambda_2 16_3 \eta_X \eta_A \left(\frac{A_z}{A_X}\right) 10_1 \left(\frac{A_z}{A_X}\right) \eta_A 16_2 \\ W_{22} &= \lambda_2 16_2 \eta_A \left(\frac{A_u}{A_X}\right) 10_1 \left(\frac{A_u}{A_X}\right) \eta_A 16_2 e^{i\phi} \\ W_{12} &= \lambda_1 16_1 \left[\left(\frac{v_5}{\bar{M}_P}\right)^2 \eta'_A 10_1 \eta'_A \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{v_{10}}{\bar{M}_P}\right)^2 \eta_A \left(\frac{A_u}{A_X}\right) 10_1 \left(\frac{A_z}{A_X}\right) \eta_A\right] 16_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda_H^0 r_i$, $\eta_A = (v_{10}/A_X)^{n+1}$, $\eta'_A = (v_{10}/A_X)^{n-3}$. The factor $\eta_X = 1/\sqrt{1+2\eta_A^2}$

arises from mixing, and provides a factor of $1/\sqrt{3}$ for the up-type quark. It remains almost unity for the down-type quark and charged lepton as well as neutrino due to the suppression of large Clebsch factors in the second term of the square root. The relative phase (or sign) between the two terms in the operator W_{12} has been fixed. The three directions of symmetry breaking have been chosen as $\langle A_X \rangle = 2v_{10} \text{diag.}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \otimes \tau_2$, $\langle A_z \rangle = 2v_5 \text{diag.}(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -1, -1) \otimes \tau_2$, $\langle A_u \rangle = v_5/\sqrt{3} \text{diag.}(2, 2, 2, 1, 1) \otimes \tau_2$. The resulting Clebsch factors are $w_u = w_d = w_e = w_\nu = 1$, $x_u = 5/9$, $x_d = 7/27$, $x_e = -1/3$, $x_\nu = 1/5$, $y_u = 0$, $y_d = y_e/3 = 2/27$, $y_\nu = 4/225$, $z_u = 1$, $z_d = z_e = -27$, $z_\nu = -15^3 = -3375$, $z'_u = 1 - 5/9 = 4/9$, $z'_d = z_d + 7/729 \simeq z_d$, $z'_e = z_e - 1/81 \simeq z_e$, $z'_\nu = z_\nu + 1/15^3 \simeq z_\nu$.

An adjoint **45** A_X and a 16-dimensional representation Higgs field Φ ($\bar{\Phi}$) are needed for breaking $SO(10)$ down to $SU(5)$. Another two adjoint **45**s A_z and A_u are needed to break $SU(5)$ further down to the standard model $SU(3)_c \times SU_L(2) \times U(1)_Y$. From the Yukawa coupling matrices given above, the 13 parameters in the SM can be determined by only four parameters: a universal coupling constant λ_H and three parameters: ϵ_G , ϵ_P and $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$.

The neutrino masses and mixings cannot be uniquely determined as they rely on the choice of the heavy Majorana neutrino mass matrix. The following texture structure with zeros is found to be interesting for the present model

$$M_N^G = M_R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} z_N \epsilon_P^2 e^{i\delta_\nu} \\ 0 & y_N & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} z_N \epsilon_P^2 e^{i\delta_\nu} & 0 & w_N \epsilon_P^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding effective operators are

$$\begin{aligned} W_{13}^N &= \lambda_1^N 16_1 \left(\frac{A_z}{v_5}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{A_u}{v_5}\right) 16_3 e^{i\delta_\nu} \\ W_{22}^N &= \lambda_2^N 16_2 \left(\frac{A_z}{A_X}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{A_z}{A_X}\right) 16_2 \\ W_{33}^N &= \lambda_3^N 16_3 \left(\frac{A_u}{v_5}\right)^2 \left(\frac{A_z}{v_5}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\Phi}}{v_{10}}\right) \left(\frac{A_u}{v_5}\right)^2 16_3 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$M_R = \lambda_H v_{10}^2 \epsilon_P^4 \epsilon_G^2 / \bar{M}_P, \quad \lambda_2^N = \lambda_H v_{10} \epsilon_P^4 / \bar{M}_P, \\ \lambda_1^N = \lambda_2^N \epsilon_P^2 \epsilon_G^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3^N = \lambda_1^N \epsilon_P^2. \quad \text{It is then not difficult to read off the Clebsch factors } y_N = 9/25,$$

$z_N = 4$ and $w_N = 256/27$. The CP phase δ_ν is assumed to be maximal $\delta_\nu = \pi/2$.

In obtaining physical masses and mixings, renormalization group (RG) effects should be taken into account. The initial conditions of the RG evolution are set at the GUT scale since all the Yukawa couplings of the quarks and leptons are generated at the GUT scale. As most Yukawa couplings in the present model are much smaller than the top quark Yukawa coupling $\lambda_t^G \sim 1$, in a good approximation, we will only keep top quark Yukawa coupling terms in the RG equations and neglect all other Yukawa coupling terms. The RG evolution will be described by three kinds of scaling factors. η_F ($F = U, D, E, N$) and R_t arise from running the Yukawa parameters from the GUT scale down to the SUSY breaking scale M_S which is chosen to be close to the top quark mass, i.e., $M_S \simeq m_t \simeq 170$ GeV. They are defined by $\eta_F(M_S) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{\alpha_i(M_G)}{\alpha_i(M_S)} \right)^{c_i^F/2b_i}$ ($F = U, D, E, N$) with $c_i^U = (\frac{13}{15}, 3, \frac{16}{3})$, $c_i^D = (\frac{7}{15}, 3, \frac{16}{3})$, $c_i^E = (\frac{27}{15}, 3, 0)$, $c_i^N = (\frac{3}{25}, 3, 0)$, $b_i = (\frac{33}{5}, 1, -3)$, and $R_t^{-1} = \exp[-\int_{\ln M_S}^{\ln M_G} (\frac{\lambda_t(t)}{4\pi})^2 dt] = [1 + (\lambda_t^G)^2 K_t]^{-1/12}$, where $K_t = \frac{3I(M_S)}{4\pi^2}$ with $I(M_S) = \int_{\ln M_S}^{\ln M_G} \eta_U^2(t) dt$ with $M_S \simeq m_t = 170$ GeV. Other RG scaling factors are derived by running Yukawa couplings below M_S . $m_i(m_i) = \eta_i m_i(M_S)$ for ($i = c, b$) and $m_i(1\text{GeV}) = \eta_i m_i(M_S)$ for ($i = u, d, s$). The physical top quark mass is given by $M_t = m_t(m_t) \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(m_t)}{\pi} \right)$. The scaling factor R_t or coupling $\lambda_t^G = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_t}} \frac{\sqrt{1-R_t^{-12}}}{R_t^{-6}}$ is determined by the mass ratio of the bottom quark and τ lepton. $\tan \beta$ is fixed by the τ lepton mass via $\cos \beta = \frac{m_\tau \sqrt{2}}{\eta_E \eta_\tau v \lambda_\tau^G}$. In numerical predictions we take $\alpha^{-1}(M_Z) = 127.9$, $s^2(M_Z) = 0.2319$, $M_Z = 91.187$ GeV, $\alpha_1^{-1}(m_t) = 58.59$, $\alpha_2^{-1}(m_t) = 30.02$ and $\alpha_1^{-1}(M_G) = \alpha_2^{-1}(M_G) = \alpha_3^{-1}(M_G) \simeq 24$ with $M_G \sim 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV. For $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.113$, the RG scaling factors have values ($\eta_{u,d,s}, \eta_c, \eta_b, \eta_{e,\mu,\tau}, \eta_U, \eta_D/\eta_E \equiv \eta_{D/E}, \eta_E, \eta_N$) = (2.20, 2.00, 1.49, 1.02, 3.33, 2.06, 1.58, 1.41). The corresponding predictions on fermion masses and mixings thus obtained are found to be remarkable. Our numerical predictions for $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.113$

are given in table 1 with four input parameters. Where B_K and $f_B \sqrt{B}$ in table 1 are two important hadronic parameters and extracted from $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ and $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$ mixing parameters ε_K and x_d . $Re(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon)$ is the direct CP-violating parameter in kaon decays, where large uncertainties mainly arise from the hadronic matrix elements. α, β and γ are three angles of the unitarity triangle in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. J_{CP} is the rephase-invariant CP-violating quantity. The light neutrino masses and mixings are obtained via see-saw mechanism $M_\nu = \Gamma_\nu^G (M_N^G)^{-1} (\Gamma_\nu^G)^\dagger v_2^2 / (2R_t^{-6} \eta_N^2)$. The nine predictions on $|V_{us}|, |V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|, |V_{td}|/|V_{ts}|, m_d/m_s, |V_{\nu_\mu e}|, |V_{\nu_\mu \tau}|, |V_{\nu_e \tau}|$ as well as m_{ν_e}/m_{ν_μ} and m_{ν_μ}/m_{ν_τ} are obtained from nine RG-independent relations and given solely by the Clebsch factors and the three charged lepton masses.

From the results in table 1, we observe the following: **1.** a $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu) \rightarrow \nu_\tau(\bar{\nu}_\tau)$ long-wavelength oscillation with $\Delta m_{\mu\tau}^2 = m_{\nu_\tau}^2 - m_{\nu_\mu}^2 \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-2} eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \simeq 0.987$ could explain the atmospheric neutrino deficit[3]; **2.** Two massive neutrinos ν_μ and ν_τ with $m_{\nu_\mu} \simeq m_{\nu_\tau} \simeq 2.45$ eV fall in the range required by possible hot dark matter[4]; **3.** a short wave-length oscillation with $\Delta m_{e\mu}^2 = m_{\nu_\mu}^2 - m_{\nu_e}^2 \simeq 6 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ is consistent with the LSND experiment[5]. **4.** ($\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$) oscillation will be beyond the reach of CHORUS/NOMAD and E803. However, ($\nu_e - \nu_\tau$) oscillation may become interesting as a short wave-length oscillation with $\Delta m_{e\tau}^2 = m_{\nu_\tau}^2 - m_{\nu_e}^2 \simeq 6 eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{e\tau} \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$; **5.** Majorana neutrino allows neutrinoless double beta decay ($\beta\beta_{0\nu}$)[6]. The decay rate is found to be $\Gamma_{\beta\beta} \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{-61}$ GeV which is below to the present upper limit; **6.** solar neutrino deficit has to be explained by oscillation between ν_e and a sterile neutrino ν_s [7](singlet of $SU(2) \times U(1)$, or singlet of $SO(10)$ in the GUT $SO(10)$ model). Masses and mixings of the triplet sterile neutrinos can be chosen by introducing an additional singlet scalar with VEV $v_s \simeq 336$ GeV. They are found to be $m_{\nu_s} = \lambda_H v_s^2 / v_{10} \simeq 2.8 \times 10^{-3} eV$ and $\sin \theta_{es} \simeq m_{\nu_L \nu_s} / m_{\nu_s} = v_2 \varepsilon_P / (2v_s \varepsilon_G^2) \simeq 3.8 \times 10^{-2}$. The resulting parameters $\Delta m_{es}^2 = m_{\nu_s}^2 - m_{\nu_e}^2 \simeq 6.2 \times$

Table 1

Output observables and model parameters and their predicted values with $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.113$ and input parameters: $m_e = 0.511$ eV, $m_\mu = 105.66$ MeV, $m_\tau = 1.777$ GeV, and $m_b(m_b) = 4.25$ GeV.

Output	Output	Data[8]	Output	Output
M_t [GeV]	182	180 ± 15	$J_{CP}/10^{-5}$	2.68
$m_c(m_c)$ [GeV]	1.27	1.27 ± 0.05	α	86.28°
$m_u(1\text{GeV})$ [MeV]	4.31	4.75 ± 1.65	β	22.11°
$m_s(1\text{GeV})$ [MeV]	156.5	165 ± 65	γ	71.61°
$m_d(1\text{GeV})$ [MeV]	6.26	8.5 ± 3.0	m_{ν_τ} [eV]	2.4515
$ V_{us} = \lambda$	0.22	0.221 ± 0.003	m_{ν_μ} [eV]	2.4485
$\frac{ V_{ub} }{ V_{cb} }$	0.083	0.08 ± 0.03	m_{ν_e} [eV]/ 10^{-3}	1.27
$\frac{ V_{td} }{ V_{ts} }$	0.209	0.24 ± 0.11	m_{ν_s} [eV]/ 10^{-3}	2.8
$ V_{cb} = A\lambda^2$	0.0393	0.039 ± 0.005	$ V_{\nu_\mu e} $	-0.049
λ_t^G	1.30	-	$ V_{\nu_e \tau} $	0.000
$\tan \beta = v_2/v_1$	2.33	-	$ V_{\nu_\tau e} $	-0.049
ϵ_G	0.2987	-	$ V_{\nu_\mu \tau} $	-0.707
ϵ_P	0.0101	-	$ V_{\nu_e s} $	0.038
B_K	0.90	0.82 ± 0.10	M_{N_1} [GeV]	~ 333
$f_B\sqrt{B}$ [MeV]	207	200 ± 70	M_{N_2} [GeV]/ 10^6	1.63
$\text{Re}(\epsilon'/\epsilon)/10^{-3}$	1.4 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 0.8	M_{N_3} [GeV]	333

$10^{-6} eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{es} \simeq 5.8 \times 10^{-3}$; are consistent with the values [7] obtained from fitting the experimental data.

It is amazing that nature has allowed us to make predictions on fermion masses and mixings in terms of a single Yukawa coupling constant and three ratios determined by the structure of the physical vacuum and understand the low energy physics from the GUT scale physics. It has also suggested that nature favors maximal spontaneous CP violation. It is expected that more precise measurements from CP violation, neutrino oscillation and various low energy experiments in the near future could provide a good test on the present model and guide us to a more fundamental model.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: YLW would like to thank professor R. Mohapatra for a kind invitation to him to present this work at the 4th SUSY96 conference held at University of Maryland, May 29- June 1, 1996.

REFERENCES

1. G. Kane, in this proceedings; see also J. Ellis, talk given at 17th Intern. Symposium on Lepton-Photon Interactions, 10-15 August, 1995, Beijing, China.
2. K.C. Chou and Y.L. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) R3492; hep-ph/9511327 and hep-ph/9603282, 1996.
3. Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **335B**, 237 (1994).
4. D.O. Caldwell, in this proceedings; J. Primack *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 2160.
5. C. Athanassopoulos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., (1996) nucl-ex/9504002 (1995).
6. For a recent review see, R.N. Mohapatra, Maryland Univ. Report No. UMD-PP-95-147, hep-ph/9507234.
7. D.O. Caldwell and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **48**, (1993) 3259; J. Peltoniemi, D. Tommasini, and J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **298B** (1993) 383.
8. CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2626 (1995); D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2632 (1995); J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. **87**, 77 (1982); H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 108 (1990); Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 1173, (1994).