

UC I-TR -96-34

UTEXAS-HEP -96-15

DOE-ER-40757-084

Effects of Anomalous Couplings of Quarks on Prompt Photon Production

Kingman Cheung

Center for Particle Physics, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

Dennis Silverman^y

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-4575

Abstract

Prompt photon production is sensitive to the anomalous couplings of gluons to quarks, because it is mainly produced by quark-gluon scattering. We will examine the effects of the anomalous chromoelectric and chromomagnetic dipole moment couplings of quarks on prompt photon production. Using the data collected by CDF and D0 at the Fermilab Tevatron we put a bound on these anomalous couplings. We also estimate the sensitivity of various future high energy collider experiments to these anomalous couplings.

I. Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) has been very successful for more than thirty years. Only recently have some deviations from the SM surfaced in the R_b and R_c measurements at LEP [1] and in the high E_T inclusive jet production recorded by CDF [2]. Since we have no true knowledge of the structure or even the symmetry of the correct high energy theory, we use the effective Lagrangian approach to study low energy phenomena. Deviations from the SM can be studied systematically by means of an effective Lagrangian, which is made up of the SM fields and obeys the symmetry of the low energy theory. The leading terms are simply given

^Electronic mail: cheung@utpapa.ph.utexas.edu

^yElectronic mail: djsilver@uci.edu

by the SM and consist of dimension-4 operators while the higher order terms consist of higher-dimension operators and are suppressed by powers of the scale of the new physics. In other words, if the scale is much larger than the present scale the theory is essentially the same as the SM.

Among all the dimension-5 operators, the most interesting ones involving quarks and gluons are the chromomagnetic (CMDM) and chromoelectric (CEDM) dipole moment couplings of quarks. They are given by $\bar{\psi} T^a G^a$ and $i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 T^a G^a$, respectively. Although these couplings are zero at tree level within the SM, they can be induced in loop levels. In many extensions of the SM, they are easily nonzero at one-loop level or even tree-level, e.g., the multi-Higgs doublet model [3]. These dipole moment couplings are important not only because they are only suppressed by one power of Λ , but also because a nonzero value for the CEDM moment is a clean signal for CP violation. The effects of these anomalous couplings have been studied quite extensively, e.g., in tt production [4, 5, 6], in bb production [5], and in inclusive jet production [7].

The purpose of this paper is to study the effects of the anomalous CMDM and CEDM of quarks on prompt photon production. Prompt photon production has been known to be a useful probe to the gluon luminosity inside a hadron because they are mainly produced by quark-gluon scattering. The fact that the production depends on the quark-gluon vertex also makes the process sensitive to the anomalous couplings of quarks to gluons. Not only is the total cross section affected but also the differential distributions, e.g., the transverse momentum distribution. Both CDF [8] and D0 [9] have measurements on prompt photon production. We can therefore use the data to constrain these CMDM and CEDM couplings. Thus, the bounds obtained will be the main result of the paper. The organization is as follows. In the next section, we shall write down the effective Lagrangian and the formulas for the calculation. In Sec. III we study the effects on the transverse momentum distribution, and obtain the results. In Sec. IV we estimate the limits of these anomalous couplings that can be probed in the future collider experiments. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. Effective Lagrangian

The effective Lagrangian for the interactions between a quark and a gluon that include the CEDM and CDM form factors is

$$\mathcal{L}_e = g_s \bar{q} \Gamma^a G^a + \frac{1}{4m_q} \bar{q} \Gamma^a G^a \tilde{q} \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{q} = 2m_q$ ($\tilde{q} = 2m_q$) is the CDM (CEDM) of the quark q . The Feynman rules for the interactions of quarks and gluons can be written down:

$$\mathcal{L}_{q_i q_j g} = g_s \bar{q}_j T_{ji}^a + \frac{i}{2m_q} \bar{q}_j \gamma_5 G^a ; \quad (2)$$

where q_i (q_j) is the incoming (outgoing) quark and p is the 4-momentum of the outgoing gluon. The Lagrangian in Eq. (1) also induces a qqg interaction given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{q_i q_j gg} = \frac{ig_s^2}{4m_q} \bar{q}_j (\Gamma^{bc} - \Gamma^{cb})_{ji} \gamma_5 G^b G^c ; \quad (3)$$

which is absent in the SM. In the following, we write

$$\tilde{q}^0 = \frac{1}{2m_q} ; \tilde{q}^{\sim 0} = \frac{1}{2m_q} \quad (4)$$

which are given in units of $(\text{GeV})^{-1}$.

II.a Prompt Photon Production

The contributing processes are:

$$q(q)g \rightarrow q(q) ; q\bar{q} \rightarrow g :$$

The contributing Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. The spin- and color-averaged amplitude for $q(p_1)g(p_2) \rightarrow (k_1)q(k_2)$ is given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{16^2 s_{em} Q_q^2}{3} \frac{s^2 + t^2}{st} 2u (\theta^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2) \quad (5)$$

where

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 ; t = (p_1 - k_1)^2 ; u = (p_1 - k_2)^2 ; \quad (6)$$

and Q_q is the electric charge of the quark q in units of the proton charge. Similarly, the spin- and color-averaged amplitude for $q(p_1)q(p_2) \rightarrow (k_1)g(k_2)$ is given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{128}{9} s \sin^2 \theta \frac{t^2 + u^2}{ut} + 2s(\theta^2 + \theta'^2) \quad (7)$$

The subprocess cross section is then given by

$$d\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{(2s)^2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^2 d^3k_1 d^3k_2 \frac{d^3k_1}{2k_1^0} \frac{d^3k_2}{2k_2^0} \quad (8)$$

which is then folded with the appropriate parton distribution functions. We use the CTEQ2M parton distribution functions [10] and the two-loop formula for the strong coupling constant. Although the next-to-leading order (NLO) calculation to prompt photon production exists, there is, however, no NLO calculation that includes CDDM and CEDM couplings. Therefore, throughout the paper we employ only the leading order (LO) calculation. But in calculating the fractional difference from the pure QCD cross section we shall use a K -factor to multiply the LO QCD cross sections by. The procedures will be illustrated in the next section.

III. Results

We first study the effects of nonzero CDDM and CEDM on the transverse momentum spectrum of the photon. In order to compare with experimental data we have to impose a similar set of acceptance cuts as CDF and D0 did. For both CDF and D0 data we use

$$j(\eta) < 0.9; \quad R(\eta; j) > 0.7; \quad (9)$$

where the $R(\eta; j)$ cut is used to imitate the complicated experimental isolation procedures. In our LO calculation, the value of this $R(\eta; j)$ cut is not crucial to our analysis. We have included the quark flavors: $u; d; s; c$ in our calculation and assumed that their anomalous couplings are the same. In Fig. 2, we show the differential cross sections of prompt photon production versus the transverse momentum of the photon. The LO QCD curve has to be multiplied by a factor of about 1.3 to best fit the CDF data. Therefore, we shall use a K -factor $K = 1.3$ for the LO QCD cross section. Figure 2 also shows curves with nonzero

values of CM DM . We can see that nonzero α^0 will increase the total and the differential cross sections, especially, in the large p_T (GeV) region. Thus, the transverse momentum spectrum becomes harder with nonzero CM DM . The effects due to nonzero CEDM will be the same because the increase in cross sections is proportional to $(\alpha^2 + \tilde{\alpha}^2)$. This is different from the case of $t\bar{t}$ production [4], in which the increase has a term proportional to the first power of

Figure 3 shows the fractional differences from pure QCD for nonzero CM DM . The data are from CDF and D0. The anomalous behavior at the low p_T (GeV) has already been resolved by including initial and final state shower radiation. For our case we are only interested in the large p_T (GeV) region. Since in Eqs. (5) and (7) the role of α^0 and $\tilde{\alpha}^0$ are the same, we can put one of them to be zero when we obtain bounds on the other. We show a few curves with different values of α^0 . From these curves we can see that the CDF and D0 data would be inconsistent with $\alpha^0 > 0.0045$, therefore, giving a bound of

$$\alpha^0 \leq 0.0045 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (10)$$

on the CM DM of quarks. Similarly, we put a bound of

$$\tilde{\alpha}^0 \leq 0.0045 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (11)$$

on the CEDM of quarks. Furthermore, this bound is also valid for the case that the photon-quark coupling is anomalous instead of the gluon-quark coupling. We also found that the normalized angular distribution in $\cos\theta$ (θ is the angle of the outgoing photon in the CM frame of the incoming partons) is not affected appreciably by the presence of the anomalous dipole moments. We compare these with the results obtained in Ref.[7]. The value of α^0 obtained in fitting to the CDF [2] transverse energy distribution of the inclusive jet production without adjusting the gluon parton distribution function is [7]

$$\alpha^0 = (1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (12)$$

which is consistent with the bound obtained in this paper.

IV . Sensitivity at Future High Energy Collider Experiments

The next run (Run II) at the Tevatron will be at $\sqrt{s} = 2 \text{ TeV}$ with an integrated luminosity of 2 fb^{-1} . If the Run II is stretched to a longer run it could accumulate a luminosity of about 10 fb^{-1} [11]. There is also a plan called TeV 33 [11] after Run II, in which the luminosity gets a further boost to about 30 fb^{-1} . At about the same time scale the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will operate at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ with an initial yearly luminosity of 10 fb^{-1} , which will later increase to the designed luminosity of 100 fb^{-1} . In this section, we shall estimate the sensitivities of $\sigma_{\text{photon}} = 1\%$ or the limits on σ_{photon} that can be probed in these future experiments. We shall use a simple approach to calculate the limits.

Without a full Monte Carlo of the detector including energy determination errors, we treat here only the statistical sensitivity of the various experiments. Our criteria [12] is to take bins of appropriate size for the energy range being examined, and find the p_T called $p_{T,10\%}$ at which the SM cross section statistical error bars are 10%. These will be the bins with 100 SM events. We then explore the cross section due to the SM plus the anomalous chromomagnetic moment contribution, and find the value of $\sigma_{\text{photon}} = 1\%$ or $\sigma_{\text{photon}}^{\text{SM}}$ where the excess over the SM is 10% at this p_T . In Table I we show the $p_{T,10\%}$ and $\sigma_{\text{photon}} = 1\%$ for various experiments [13]. We have used only the leading order cross sections without a K factor to determine $p_{T,10\%}$ and $\sigma_{\text{photon}} = 1\%$. Since the p_T distribution is steeply falling, so with or without a K factor would not affect significantly the values for $p_{T,10\%}$ and $\sigma_{\text{photon}} = 1\%$. Actually, the experimental determination of $p_{T,10\%}$ might be the largest systematic errors among all [2, 8, 9]. We have also imposed cuts on the isolated photon by $j_{\text{photon}} < 0.9$ and $R(\text{photon}; j) > 0.7$ at the Tevatron energies, while $j_{\text{photon}} < 1$ and $R(\text{photon}; j) > 0.7$ for the LHC. We can see from the table that sensitivity scales roughly with the machine energy, but scales with about the eighth root of the luminosity.

V . Conclusions

We have studied the effects of anomalous chromomagnetic and chromoelectric dipole moment couplings of light quarks on prompt photon production. The increase in cross sections is

proportional to $\kappa^2 + \tilde{\kappa}^2$. These couplings increase the total cross section and the transverse momentum spectrum, especially at the large p_T region. Using the CDF and D0 data we found a bound κ^0 or $\tilde{\kappa}^0 \leq 0.0045 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ on the chromomagnetic or chromoelectric dipole moment of light quarks, which is the main result of the paper. In addition, we have also estimated the sensitivity of $\kappa^0 = \tilde{\kappa}^0$ in the future collider experiments at the Tevatron and LHC. The sensitivity is shown to be scaled roughly with the machine energy, but with the eighth root of the luminosity. For example, the Run II at the Tevatron can probe $\kappa^0 = \tilde{\kappa}^0$ in the range 1.5–2 TeV for integrated luminosities of 2–30 fb^{-1} , while the LHC can probe up to 6 TeV with a 100 fb^{-1} luminosity.

We acknowledge the support from U.S. DOE under grant No. DE-FG 03-91ER 40679 and No. DE-FG 03-93ER 40757

References

- [1] P. B. Renton, OUNP-95-20, invited talk at LP'95: International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions (IHEP), Beijing, P.R. China, 10-15 Aug 1995.
- [2] CDF Collaboration (F. Abe et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 438 (1996).
- [3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 657 (1976); Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2333 (1989); Phys. Rev. D 42, 860 (1990).
- [4] K. Cheung, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3604 (1996).
- [5] D. Atwood, A. Kagan, and T. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6254 (1995).
- [6] P. Haberl, O. Nachtmann, and A. Wilch, Phys. Rev. D 53, 4875 (1996).
- [7] D. Silverman, hep-ph/9605318, to be published in Phys. Rev. D.
- [8] CDF Collaboration (F. Abe et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2662 (1994).
- [9] D0 Collaboration (S. Abachi et al.), FERMILAB-PUB-96/072-E.
- [10] CTEQ Collaboration (J. Botts et al.), Phys. Lett. B 304, 159 (1993).

[1] Report of the Tev-2000 Study, FERMILAB-Pub-96/082, edited by D. Amidei and R. Brock.

[2] This is in the spirit of the U.S. ATLAS and U.S. CMS Coll., edited by I. Hinchli and J. Womersley, LBNL-38997 (1996).

[3] A similar table for γ from high transverse energy jet production has been presented in K. Cheung and D. Silverman, in Proceedings of the 1996 DPF/DPB Summer Study on New Direction for High Energy Physics, Snowmass CO, 1996 (hep-ph/9609454).

Table I: Table of high p_T (γ) bins at 10% statistical error and 1- σ sensitivity for γ in that bin.

Accelerator	E_{cm}	Integrated Luminosity	Bin Width	Photons	
				p_T	
	TeV	fb^{-1}	GeV	GeV	TeV
Tevatron:					
Run I	1.8	0.1	10	140	0.7
Run II	2.0	2	20	260	1.5
Stretch	2.0	10	20	325	1.9
TeV 33	2.0	30	20	370	2.1
LHC	14	10	100	1000	4.5
LHC	14	100	100	1400	6.3




Figure 1: Contributing Feynman diagrams for the processes: (a) $gq \rightarrow q\gamma$, and (b) $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma g$.




Figure 2: Differential cross sections for prompt photon production versus the transverse momentum of the photon for pure QCD and nonzero values of CDM of quarks. The data points are from CDF.




Figure 3: Fractional difference from QCD for various values of κ' and $\tilde{\kappa}'$. Both D0 and CDF data are shown. The errors on D0 data are statistical only.