

Property of $\sigma(600)$ and Chiral Symmetry

Muneyuki Ishida

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113

(Received March 7, 1996; Revised August 20, 1996)

The iso-singlet scalar resonance $\sigma(600)$, found in the recent phase shift analysis, is pointed out to have the properties of the σ meson in the σ model. The role of the σ interaction as a source of the "background phase", which was essential there, is also discussed.

The chiral symmetry and the notion of its dynamical breaking have been playing a central role in understanding the spectroscopy of hadrons with light-quarks. Presently the non-linear representation (Non-Linear Model (NL M)) of chiral $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ group is widely accepted to be realized in nature on the basis of the success of low energy theorems concerning mesons as Nambu-Goldstone bosons.

In the simplest linear representation¹⁾ (Linear Model (L M)) of the chiral symmetry, an iso-singlet scalar particle, as a chiral partner of σ , the σ meson, is required to exist in the effective Lagrangian. Both L M and NL M satisfy the current algebra and PCAC, which lead to the same low-energy scattering amplitude $A(s; t; u)$ in the $O(p^2)$ level of the former in the tree-approximation (of the latter).

The possible existence of a rather light σ meson has been inferred from various points of view.^{2)–11)} However, experimentally its existence has not been accepted so far, mainly due to the negative results of extensive analyses^{12);13)} of the scattering phase shift. The reason against its existence is that the $I = 0$ S-wave phase shift δ_0^0 reaches only 270° at $m_{\pi\pi} = 1200$ MeV, which was considered¹⁾ to be due mainly to the narrow $f_0(980)$ and the broad $f_0(1370)$, (leaving no room for light particle). In contrast with this conventional interpretation, the existence of a resonance has been inferred in a recent reanalysis¹⁴⁾ (referred to as I) of δ_0^0 . The obtained values¹⁾ of the resonance parameters are

$$m_{\sigma} = 535 - 650 \text{ MeV}; \quad g = 1.3 - 1.6 \text{ GeV}; \quad (1)$$

where g is defined by $L_{\text{int}} = g \sigma^2$. The most crucial point of obtaining this result is that there was an introduction of a negative background phase of the form $B^G = -p_1 r_c$ (p_1 being the CM pion momentum), analogous to the case of the nuclear force, which implies a repulsive "hard-core type" interaction between pions. The obtained value of r_c is

$$r_c = 0.6 \text{ fm} (3.0 \text{ GeV}^{-1}) - 1.1 \text{ fm} (5.4 \text{ GeV}^{-1}); \quad (2)$$

In this paper a comment is given on the above properties of $\sigma(600)$ and of B^G

¹⁾ However, in the newest edition of Particle Data Group'96, the behavior of δ_0^0 is understood as due to, in addition to the $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1370)$, a very broad $f_0(400 - 1200)$.

²⁾ We use the values given in the revised version of I (private communication).

in relation to the chiral symmetry. The effective Lagrangian of $L-M$ ¹⁾ is given by

$$L^{L-M} = 1/2 [(\partial_\mu \pi)^2 - m^2 \pi^2 + (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 - m^2 \sigma^2] - g \bar{\psi} \psi (\pi^2 + \sigma^2) = 4(\pi^2 + \sigma^2)^2; \\ g(m^2 - m^2) = (2f)^2; \quad (m^2 - m^2) = (2f^2); \quad (3)$$

in terms of the physical π field redefined by $\pi = f + \pi^0$, corresponding to the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry. In this "linear form" of $L-M$ the coupling is of a non-derivative type, and the π -scattering amplitude $A(s; t; u)$ is given by $A^{L-M}(s; t; u) = (2g)^2/(m^2 - s)^2$:

As was mentioned, the $A^{L-M}(s; t; u)$ reduces, due to Eq.(3), to the amplitude of $NL-M$, $A^{NL-M}(s; t; u) = (s - m^2)/f^2$ in the $O(p^2)$ approximation. Here it is seen that, to $O(p^0)$, the first term of $A^{L-M}(s; t; u)$, representing the strong attractive force proportional to m^2 due to virtual π production, exactly cancels the second term due to the repulsive σ^4 interaction. This result is obtained directly if we start from the "non-linear form" of $L-M$, where the transformed fields π and σ have an interaction of a pure derivative type.

In I, the S matrix is represented directly through Breit-Wigner resonance formula for π interacting with non-derivative type, ¹⁾ and the amplitude ought to be compared with that in linear form of $L-M$ with non-derivative type interaction.

Substituting the value of m in Eq.(1) together with $(m; f) = (140; 93) \text{ MeV}$ into Eq.(3), we obtain the theoretical values of g and σ as

$$g^{\text{th}} = 1.4 \times 2.2 \text{ GeV}; \quad \sigma^{\text{th}} = 16 \times 23; \quad (4)$$

of which the value g is very close to the experimental value Eq.(1).

The σ^4 term in L^{L-M} represents a repulsive and contact short-range interaction between pions and seems to have a plausible property as an origin of BG in the small p_{cm} -region. The relevant $I=0$ amplitude consists of a direct one, $A^{L-M}(s; t; u)$, and of its crossing terms. The crossing terms have a weak s -dependence and almost vanish in the low energy region. Thus the first(second) term in $A^{L-M}(s; t; u)$ itself may be directly compared to the phenomenological amplitude of virtual production(background) in I, respectively. The corresponding background amplitude due to the σ^4 interaction, unitarized following the K-matrix method, gives $BG_{\text{th}}^{\pi\pi} = \arctan 3.1$, which simulates well the behavior of the $BG_{\text{core}}^{\pi\pi} = p_1 r_c$ in the small p_{cm} -region. Then the value of r_c , Eq.(2), corresponds to the experimental value of r_c ,

$$r_c^{\text{exp}} = (p_1 = 3.1) p_{\text{cm}} / r_c = 16 \text{ MeV} = 3 r_c = 7 \times 13; \quad (5)$$

which is only in qualitative agreement with the theoretical value Eq.(4b).

From the above considerations it is concluded that the observed properties of (Eq.(1)) in I are consistent with those predicted in the tree-approximation of $L-M$, although some discrepancy exists concerning the σ^4 term as a repulsive BG force.

¹⁾ In connection to this point, it may be notable that out of many phenomenological analyses, the ones ^{12); 13)} against the π -existence seem to overlook the repulsive background, while the ones ^{6) - 9)} suggesting its existence take it into account explicitly or implicitly, as in our case.

Finally some remarks are added on the related works or problems: The existence of ρ meson as a chiral partner of π meson has been strongly suggested for many years in various works based on the NJL model or its extended version^{2)–4)}. It is notable that the experimental value of m_ρ , Eq.(1), obtained in I is consistent with the predicted one, $m_\rho = 2m_\pi$, in the NJL model. In addition to this, the above result of this paper seems¹⁾ to suggest the validity of a linear representation of the ρ model. Historically, however, the L π M, as a low energy effective theory of hadrons, has been rejected mainly from the analyses on the scattering lengths of all relevant Iso-spin and orbital momentum channels and on the K_{14} decay form factors.¹⁶⁾ Corresponding to this situation I have made¹⁾ reanalysis of the $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths with tree approximation (or in large N_c limit) in L π M, including both effects of π - and ρ -exchange, and found rather consistent results with experiments (except for a_2^2 , being somewhat larger than the experiments). The relevant a_0^0 is predicted to be $a_0^0 = 0.16 + 0.04$, where the correction of higher than $O(p^4)$ (the second term) makes¹⁷⁾ the $O(p^2)$ value (the first term) closer to the experimental value $a_0^0 = 0.26 \pm 0.05$.¹⁵⁾ Needless to say, to be definitive, a more extensive investigation is necessary.

I would like to thank Professor K. Fujikawa and Professor K. Higashijima for instructive discussions. I am grateful to Professor T. Yanagida for useful comments and encouragements. I also thank Professor S. Ishida, T. Ishida and H. Takahashi for many helpful suggestions and discussions.

References

- [1] J. Schwinger, *Ann. of Phys.* **2** (1957) 407.
M. Gell-Mann and M. Levi, *Nuovo Cim.* **16** (1960) 705.
- [2] R. Delbourgo and M. D. Scadron, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 379.
- [3] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **74** (1985) 765; *Phys. Rep.* **247** (1994) 221.
- [4] Y. Kohyama, M. Takizawa et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **208** (1988) 165; *Nucl. Phys. A* **507** (1990) 617.
- [5] H. Shimizu, contributed paper on PANIC XIII, Perugia, Italy, June 1993.
- [6] J. L. Basdevant and B. W. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D* **2** (1970) 1680.
- [7] L. H. Chan and R. W. Haymaker, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 4170, 4143.
- [8] G. Mennessier, *Z. Phys. C* **16** (1983) 241; S. Minami, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **81** (1989) 1064.
- [9] R. Kaminski et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 3145, private communication.
- [10] T. Morozumi, C. S. Lim and A. J. Sanda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 404.
- [11] T. Ishida et al., proceedings on HADRON '95, KEK-Preprint 95-159 (1995).
- [12] Y. Fujii and M. Fukugita, *Nucl. Phys. B* **85** (1975) 179.
- [13] K. L. Au, D. Morgan and M. R. Pennington, *Phys. Rev. D* **41** (1987) 1633.
- [14] S. Ishida, M. Y. Ishida, H. Takahashi, T. Ishida, K. Takamatsu and T. Tsuru, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **95** (1996) 745.
- [15] M. N. Nagels et al., *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979) 189.

¹⁾ From the conventional viewpoint of NL π M the existence of "general ρ -particle", which has no relation with the chiral symmetry, is also permissible.¹⁸⁾ After submitting this paper the author was informed of a work in which similar analysis as in I, has been made from this line of thought.¹⁹⁾

²⁾ The results will be given elsewhere.

Conventionally in NL π M similar amount of $O(p^4)$ correction is obtained which is argued to be mainly due to the ρ meson exchange.^{17); 18)} Here it should be noted that this effect does not occur in the tree level, and does only due to the scale-dependence of the coefficients. However, such a large scale-dependence cannot be expected if the ρ exists with fairly lower mass and larger width than π .

- [16] J.Gasser and H .Leutwyler, A nn.ofPhys.158 (1984) 142,NuclPhys.B 250 (1985) 465 .
- [17] J.F.D onoghue, C .Ram irez and G .Valencia, PhysRev.D 39 (1989) 1947.
- [18] G .Ecker et.al, NuclPhys.B 321 (1989) 311;hep-ph/9608226.
- [19] M .Harada, F .Sannino and J.Schechter, PhysRev.D 54 (1996) 1991.