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In a previous work, we have reanalyzed the I= 0 S-w ave -scattering phase-sh ift through a new $m$ ethod of Interfering $B$ reit-w igner am plitudes, and have show $n$ the existence of a light scalar, particle, accom panied by a negative \repulsive core"-type background phase-shift, whose origin $m$ ay have som e correspondence to a \com pensating" contact interaction. In th is work we $m$ ake further analysis on the phase shift under K $K$ threshold from the sam $e$ standpoint, to determ ine precise values of $m$ ass and $w i d t h$, so far as present experim ental data are concemed.

## x1. Introduction

W hether a light iso-scalar resonance ( $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=0^{++}$), called " "-particle, exists or not is one of the $m$ ost interesting and im portant problem $s$ in hadron spectroscopy. P henom enologically, since of its light $m$ ass and of its \vacuum " quantum num ber, it $m$ ay a ect various procsses. Theoretically, for exam ple, in the N am bu-Jona-
 sym m etry and believed to be a low-energy e ective theory of QCD, existence of
m eson is predicted as a chiral partner of the $\mathrm{Nam.bu-Goldstone} \mathrm{-boson} .\mathrm{C} \mathrm{orre-}$ spondingly the extensive experim ental investigations in the -channel have been m ade for m any years. $T$ he $\mathrm{I}=0 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{w}$ ave phase shiff $0_{0}^{0}$ is now well known to rise sm oothly to 90 at around 900 M eV , then show s a rapid step-up by 180 near the $\mathrm{K} \overline{\mathrm{K}}$ threshold, and reaches only to 270 at $\mathrm{m} \quad 1200 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. This behavior of ${ }_{0}^{0}$ was thought, in the 1976-through-1994 edjtions of PDG, to be due mainly to the narrow $f_{0}(980)$ and the broad $\left.f_{0}(1370), 1^{\prime}\right)$ since there rem ains no phase shift for light -particle.

In contrast $w$ ith this intenpretation, we have shown in the previous work ${ }^{\frac{\bar{n}_{1}^{\prime}}{2}}$ (to be referred as I), re-analyzing the phase shift ${ }_{0}^{0}$ system atically up to 1300 M eV , a possibility for the existence of $\backslash$ (555)"-resonance w ith a rather narrow width of a few hundred M eV in addition to these two resonances.
$T$ he reasons which led us to a di erent result from the conventional one, even

[^0]w ith the use of the sam e data ofphase shifts, are tw ofold: O n one hand techn ically, we have applied a new m ethod of Interfering B reit w igner Amplitude (IA m ethod) for the analyses, where the $T \mathrm{~m}$ atrix (instead ofK $m$ atrix in the conventional treatm ent) for $m$ ultiple resonance case is directly represented by the respective B reit-w igner am plitudes in conform ity w ith unitarity, thus param etrizing the phase shifts directly in term s ofphysicalquantities, such asm asses and coupling constants of the relevant resonant particles.

O n the other hand physically, we have introduced a \negative background phase" $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{G}$ : of hard core type, ( w th a core radius of about pion size, m aking enough room for resonance. H ere it is suggestive to rem ember that a sim ilar type of $B: G$ : is well-know $n$ to exist in the nucleus- nucleus scattering and in the pucleon-nucleon scattering ${ }^{(92)}$. A possible origin of $B G$ in the system seem $s^{1}\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)$ to have som $e$ correspondence to the \com pensating" repulsive ${ }^{4}$ interaction in the $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{J}-\mathrm{m}$ odel or the linear $m$ odel, which is required from the view point of the current algebra and the PCAC.

In this work, we shallextend the re-analysis of the phase shift in I to determ ine the $m$ ass and coupling constant of and core radius as precise as possible, from the present experim entaldata of ${ }_{0}^{0}$, inspecting especially the data under $\mathrm{K} \overline{\mathrm{K}}$ threshold.
x2. A pplied form ulas
For our purpose we shall analyze the ${ }_{0}^{0}$ between the threshold and an energy slightly below the $\mathrm{K} \overline{\mathrm{K}}$-threshold ( 980 M eV ), taking into account the e ects of two resonances, and $f_{0}(980)$, and of the $\overline{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{G}:$. E ects from other higherm ass resonances $\left(f_{0}(1370), f_{0}(1500) \ldots\right.$ ) and from other channels than are ignored.
$T$ he applied form ulas of IA $m$ ethod (in the case of one channel $w$ th two resonances) are as follow s.

The relevant partialS w ave $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent $S$ in the 2 system is represented by the phase shift (s) and the am plitude a(s). The is given by the sum of ${ }^{R}$ es: and $B: G$ : respectively, due to the resonance and background. The and $f_{0}$ (980) resonances contribute additively to Res .

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=e^{2 i(s)}=1+2 i a(s)  \tag{1}\\
& (s)=\operatorname{Res}:(s)+B: G:(s) ; \quad \operatorname{Res}:(s)=(1)(s)+\quad\left(f_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

C orrespondingly the total m atrix is given by the product of individuals m atriges.

$$
S=S^{\text {Res }}: S^{B: G}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(f_{0}\right)  \tag{3}\\
S \\
S \\
S^{B}: G:
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unitarity of the total S atrix is now easily seen to be satis ed by the \unitarity of individual $S$ m atriges" . E ach of $S^{R e s}$ : is given by corresponding am plitudes $a^{(R)}$ ' S , which is taken as the follow ing relativistic Breit $-W$ igner (BW ) form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{(R)}{S}(S)=e^{2 i(R)}=1+2 i \stackrel{(R)}{a}(S): R=; f_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{a}^{(R)}(S)=\frac{P \bar{S}_{R}(S)}{\left(S M_{R}^{2}\right)+i^{P} \overline{S_{R}(S)}} ;  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} ; \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{8 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{~s}=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{R}\left(S=M_{R}^{2}\right)$ represents the peak width ${ }_{R}{ }^{(p)}$ of the resonance $R, g_{R}$ is the
-coupling constant, 1 is the -state density and $p_{1}$ is the $C M m$ om entum of the pion. H ere it is to be noted that the total resonance am plitude $a^{R e s:\left(\quad\left(S^{R} \text { es: } 1\right)=2 i\right) ~}$ is represented by the respective am plitudes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\text {Res }}=\left(\underset{a}{()}+\stackrel{\left(f_{0}\right)}{a}+2 i \stackrel{(1)\left(f_{0}\right)}{a}\right. \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last term, looking like an \interference" of the am plikudes, guarantees our am plitude to satisfy the unitarity constraint.

The $\quad$ B:G: is supposed to be of the repulsive hard core type;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{G}:(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{C}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the actual analysis we have applied, in order to have a global $t$ in all relevant energy region, the relativistic BW form ula (5) w ith a revised width

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{R}(S) \quad R(S) F(s) \\
& F(S)=1 \frac{S_{0}}{S+S_{0}} e^{s=M} 0_{0}^{2} ;\left(M_{0}=400 \mathrm{MeV}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

instead of $R(s)$ de ned in Eq.(6). A s a m atter of fact there has been no established form of relativistic BW form ula, and the concrete form of $F$ ( $s$ ) is considered to be determ ined follow ing the dynam ics ofstrong interactions. T he conventionalBW form (5) ( $\sim_{R}(s)$ w ith $F(s)=1$ in Eq. (9)) gives, in the case ofbroad width, an unphysical $m$ ass spectrum in the low energy region. The phenom enologocal form of $F$ (s) w th a param eter $s_{0}$ given in (9) is so designed as to give a good $t$ in the low-energy region a ecting it only in the energy region below 400 M eV . Thus so plays a sim ilar role as a scattering length.

## x3. $M$ ass and w idth of and core radius

The ${ }_{0}^{0}$ over m $>600 \mathrm{MeV}$ is well determ ined by the analysis of the CERN $M$ unich experim ent. Am ong 5 independent analyses perform ed ${ }^{111}$ in $G$ rayer 74 , (i) the one originally presented in H yam s73 (b-analysis in G rayer74) is w idely accepted, partly because of its agreem ent to those reported by P rotopopescu7312.- . (ii) Srinivasan 75 gave the phase betw een $350-600 \mathrm{MeV}$, (iii) R osselet77 and (iv) Bel'kov79 determ ined the phase between threshold and 400 M eV . (i)-(iv) will be used as the \standard phase shift ${ }_{0}^{0} "$ in the present analysis. H ow ever, there are several works to report di erent behaviors of $0_{0}^{0}$ w thin 20 ambiguities in the $\mathrm{m}=400-$ 800 MeV region. W e will utilize them to estim ate the allow ed region of $m$ and $g$ in our analysis : (v) The c-analysis of G rayer74 and (vi) C ason82 are taken as the upper and low er bounds of ${ }_{0}^{0}$, respectively.


Fig. 1. I= 0 scattering phase shiff. (a) Best $t$ to the standard ${ }_{0}^{0}$. The respective contributions to ${ }_{0}^{0}$ from $; f_{0}(980)$ and $B: G:$ are also given. T he dotted line $w$ ith label of $\backslash r_{C}=0 "$ represents conventional $t w$ ithout the repulsive background thus farmade. (b) ${ }^{2} ; \mathrm{M}$ and $g$ versus $r_{c}$. (c) Best ts to the upper and lower $0_{0}^{0}$ (dashed lines), and ttings with 3-sigm a deviation to the standard ${ }_{0}^{0}$ corresponding to $r_{c}=2.6$ and $3.35 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$ (dotted lines).

The properties of $f_{0}(980)$ have already been obtained in I by analyzing system atically the standard $0_{0}^{0}$, elasticity, and $!K \bar{K}$ phase shift together in the range of $m=600 \quad 1300 \mathrm{MeV}$, w ith the 2 -channel (, $\mathrm{K} \overline{\mathrm{K}}$ ) three resonance ( $, f_{0}(980), f_{0}(1370)$ ) formula in the IA $m$ ethod. The obtained values are $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}=99326.5_{\mathrm{st}} \quad 6.9_{\mathrm{Sys}} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{f}_{0}}=1680 \quad 91 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which are used as xed param eters in the follow ing analysis. ' ')'"

Fig 2 (a) show s the result of the best $t$ (solid line) to standard ${ }_{0}^{0}$ by the form ula (2) given above, which includes the sum of three contributions: , $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ (980), and в : $:$ :T he best- tted values of param eters are $\mathrm{M}=585 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{g}=3.6 \mathrm{GeV}$ (corrsponding
${ }^{\text {' }}$ T he $f_{0}$ (980) does not play essential role in the relevant $m$ ass region since of its sm all coupling (w idth).

Table I. Obtained values of param eters and their errors. $g^{r} \quad g F^{2}=2\left(s=M_{R}^{2}\right)$. As for the de nitions of ${ }^{(p)}$ and ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$, see the text.

|  | standard : ${ }_{0}^{0}$ (i-iv) | upper bound (v) | low er bound (vi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 58520 M eV | 535 M eV | 650 M eV |
| $\mathrm{g}^{\text {r }}$ | 3600350 M eV | 3670 M eV | 3465 M eV |
| (p) | 38570 M eV | 430 M eV | 335 M eV |
| (d) | 34045 M eV | 370 M eV | 315 M eV |
| $r_{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.03 \quad 0.35 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}^{1} \\ 0.60 \quad 0.07 \mathrm{fm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.03(\mathrm{xed}) \\ (0.6 \mathrm{fm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.03(\mathrm{xed}) \\ (0.6 \mathrm{fm}) \end{gathered}$ |

to decay w idth of 340 M eV$)$, and $\left.r_{C}=3.03 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}(0.60 \mathrm{fm})^{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{w}$ ith ${ }^{2}=23.6$ for 30 degrees of freedom ( 34 data points w ith 4 param eters ${ }^{\prime}-1_{1}^{\prime}$ ). N ote that the best- tted core radius is nearly the sam e as the \structural size" (charge radius) of pion 0.7 fin.

In order to justify the preciseness of these values and to estim ate their errors it is apparently necessary to take into account of the correlation betw een $M, g$ and $r_{C}$. Several ts are perform ed for various xed values of $r_{C}$ betw een 0 and $4.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$. In $F$ ig 2 (b) ${ }^{2}, M$ and $g$ are plotted as functions of $r_{C}$, where $M$ and $g$ decrease as $r_{C}$ becom es larger. The ${ }^{2}$ show $s$ deep parabolic shape, and gives its $m$ inim um at $3.03 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$, where we obtain the above m entioned \best- $\mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}$ values. $N$ ote that the $t$ in the case of $r_{C}=0$, which corresponds to the results of the conventional analyses w thout BG thus farm ade, gives ${ }^{2}=163.4$, about 140 worse than the best $t$. In the rst row of $T a b l e 1$ the errors of relevant param eters are quoted w hich correspond to three sigm a deviation in the ${ }^{2}$ behavior in $F$ ig 2 (b). In $F$ ig 2 (c) the ts $w$ th $r_{C}=2.6$ and $3.35 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$ corresponding to the 3 -sigm a deviations are show $n$. $T$ he sm allbum $p$ around 750 M eV of the standard ${ }_{0}^{0}$ is not reproduced w ith sm all $r_{c}$ (corresp ondingly w ith large $g$-w idth). To estim ate the e ect due to the am biguities of experim ental ${ }_{0}^{0}$ data $m$ entioned above, data ( $v$ ) and (vi) are analyzed $w$ ith xed $r_{C}=3.03 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$. The results are also show $n$ in F ig 2 (c) and the obtained values of param eters are given in the second and the third row s of Table 1.

From all of these studies, we m ay conclude that the $M$ is in the range of 535650 M eV .
x4. Supplem entary discussions
W e would add som e com $m$ ents on the results of our analysis:
i) F irst a possible in uence of the tail of $f_{0}(1370)$ even below $\mathrm{m}=980 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ should

[^1]Table II. Pole positions on sheet II in present one-channel analysis. The errors corresponds to the 3 -sigm a deviation from the best $t$ to standard $0_{0}^{0}$.

| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {pole }}=\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ | $\bar{s}_{\text {pole }}=\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{\text {pole }}=\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0.324 0.020) | (0.602 0.026) | (0 2710.015 ) |
| -i(0.236 0.044) | -i(0.196 0.027) | -i(0.109 0.014) |

be $m$ entioned. 'i')" W ould $f_{0}(1370)$ have a large $w i d t h$, the value $r_{C_{1}}=3.03 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{1}$ in Table 1 should be regarded as including the $f_{0}(1370)$ contribution. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime}$ H ow ever, we $m$ ay expect that it does a ect very little the values of $M$ and $g$, since these are determ ined $m$ ainly by the ne structure of the standard ${ }_{0}^{0}$ around 750 M eV .
ii) In our treatm ent the S-m atrix is param etrized directly in term $s$ of physically $m$ eaningfiulquantities, the $m$ asses and -coupling constants of resonances. So it is not necessary to argue about pole positions on analytically continued com plex R ie$m$ ann shets. H ow ever, for convenience to com pare w ith other works, we give these values for the resonance in Table 2 . O ur S $m$ atrix has the form of product of respective resonance-S-m atrices. Each BW resonance (in our form of Eq. (5)) produces three poles, a virtual state pole, a BW pole and its com plex con jugate pole. W e are $a b l e$ to derive the approxim ate relations. $R e^{P} \overline{S_{p o l e}} \quad M_{R}$ and $I^{P} \overline{S_{p o l e}} \quad 1=2 \quad(p)$. $T$ his situation corresponds to the case of one-channel ( ). In the two-channel case ( and $\mathrm{K} K$ ), one BW resonance induces four poles (except for virtual state pole), $s_{p}^{I I I}$, its complex conjugate $s_{p}^{\text {III }}$, and the two in sheet II or IV. In $I$, $g_{k} \bar{K} 0$ is obtained. This case leads to the four poles $w$ ith the sam e $s$-values $s_{p}$ and $s_{p}$ on sheets II and III.

H ere the decay w idth ${ }^{(d)}$ should be discrim inated from the peak width (p). $T$ he form er is de ned by the form ula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }^{(d)}=N^{1} \quad \text { ds } \quad(s)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(S \quad M^{2}\right.
\end{array}\right)^{2}+s \quad(s)^{2}\right] ; \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \left.N=d s \quad 1=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(s & M^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+s \quad(s)^{2}\right]:
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) In our analysis we have introduced a param eter $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ in Eq.(9). T he obtained value of it is ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}_{0}=365 \mathrm{MeV}$, which corresponds to the scattering length $\mathrm{a}_{0}^{0}=0.23$ in $\mathrm{m}^{1}$ unit. W e have introduced no ad hoc prescriptions for A dler-zero condition. H ow ever, we have found that our resultant am plitude has a zero at $s=1: 0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.
iv) In the $I=2$ system, there is no know n/expected resonance, and accordingly it is expected that the repulsive core willshow up itselfdirectly. In Fig 2 the experim en-

[^2]

Fig. 2. $I=2$ scattering phase shift. Fitting by hard core form ula is also show $n$.
 to $\mathrm{m} \quad 1400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, which is apparently negative, and is tted well also by the hard core form ula ${ }_{0}^{2}=r_{c}^{(2)} \dot{p}_{1} j w$ th the core radius of $r_{c}^{(2)}=0: 87 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}(0: 17 \mathrm{fm})$. The core radius is sm aller by a factor of 3 than that in the $I=0$ system, whidh suggests the im portance of quark-pair-annihilation force in the system (see Renin) for possible explanation in the fram ew ork of the linear model).

## x5. C oncluding rem arks

In this work and in I we have shown the strong possibility of existence of particle w ith light mass ( 580 M eV ) and com paratively narrrow w idth ( 350 M eV ). The value of $M$ is close to $M \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ being constituent quark m ass) expected in the $N$ JL type ofm odels. Recently one of the present authors has argued ${ }^{1101)}$ that our obtained values')' ofm and are consistent w ith the relation predicted in the linear m odel ( $\mathrm{L} M$ ). This fact seem s to us to show that our \observed" -particle is really a chiral partner of $m$ eson as a $N$ am butG old istone boson.

H ere we also note an interesting argum ent $\left.1_{-1}^{1} 0_{1} ; 1\right]_{1}$ that the origin of repulsive core corresponds (,at least in the low energy region where the structure of com posite hadrons is negligible,) to the \com pensating" , ${ }^{4},{ }_{-}^{4}$,foptact interaction, which is


[^3]H istorically the existence of -particle, although it was anticipated from various
 wasthat (i) the hasbeen $m$ issing in the phase, shift analyses, ${ }^{1211)}$ and the other was due to (ii) the negative results of applications in) of $L M$ to the low energy scattering and to the $K_{14}$ decay form factors.

C onceming to (i), the present work may give a possible and clear solution.
 existence. A lso the problem (ii) should be reexam inedin) , under the light of these recent progress of phenom enological search for $\mathrm{r}_{-}$-particle. '. . F inally we should like to note that recently a rather strong evidencel ${ }^{44}$ for direct production has been obtained in the centralpp-collision. A s a m atter of fact, it w as the m otivation of our investigation.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ H ow ever in the latest edition, ${ }^{(6)}$, the $f_{0}$ (98Q) and $f_{0}(1370)$, a very broad $f_{0}(400 \quad 1200)$ or . See also the analyses suggesting the
    

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {) }}$ T he signi cant di erence of the value ofM from that (553:3 $0: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ ) in $I$ is due to inchding a consideration on the correlation betw een $M$ and $r_{c}$ in the present work, and also, regrettably, due to $m$ is-reading of the errors of som e data points of (ii) in I.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ In (i), one point at $\mathrm{M}=910 \mathrm{MeV}$ seem s to have a too sm all error and to disturb the continuity to adjacent data points. In fact, this point tumed out to occupy large fraction of total ${ }^{2}$. The values in the text are obtained w ithout this point, while $\mathrm{M}=600 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{r}}=3750 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, $r_{C}=2.75 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$ ( 0.54 fm ), and $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{S}}=475 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{with}{ }^{2}=35.9$ for 31 degrees of freedom, in the case of including this point.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\prime} f_{0}(1370)$ is know $n$ to couple strongly w ith channel and thus to give 180 contribution to 0
    0.
    
     not usually take $a_{1}$ exchange into account, which $m$ ight a ect ${ }_{0}^{0}$ in the $m$ ass region above $m \quad 1$ GeV. A lso the width of $f_{0}(1370)$ is not actually known (see PD G 96- ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ ). Exact estim ation seem $s$ to be di cult at th is stage.

[^3]:     why $-m$ eson existence has been overlooked for $m$ any years.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\prime}$ Reféli3') argues that the L M describes successfully both of the $m$ entioned processes.

