arXiv:hep-ph/9610384v3 11 Nov 1996

Baryon Magnetic Moments and Axial Coupling Constants with Relativistic and Exchange Current Effects

K.Dannbom[1], L. Ya. Glozman [2], C. Helminen [1] and D. O. Riska[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, 00014 Finland*
[2]*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Graz, 8010 Austria*

Abstract

The large relativistic corrections to the constituent quark current operators improve the predictions for the axial couplings of the baryons, but worsen those for their magnetic moments. The exchange current corrections that are associated with flavor and spin dependent hyperfine interactions between the quarks with a form suggested by pseudoscalar meson exchange can compensate the relativistic corrections to the baryon magnetic moments. This is demonstrated by a calculation of the magnetic moments of the non-strange and strange baryons using wave functions and exchange current operators, which correspond to a recent phenomenological spin- and flavor dependent interquark interaction model with a linear confining interaction, which yields a spectrum close to the empirical one. The possibility that part of the flavor and spin dependent interaction could be due to vector and axial-vector exchange is explored.

1. Introduction

As the constituent quarks are much lighter than the nucleon, both their electromagnetic and the axial current operators have significant relativistic corrections, if the constituent quarks are treated as Dirac particles [1]. While the magnitude of these relativistic corrections depends on the average velocity of the confined quarks and thus on the model for the hyperfine interaction between the quarks [2], their effect is to reduce the magnitude of the predicted values of both the axial coupling constants and the magnetic moments of the baryons that are given by the static quark model. While this correction reduces the standard overprediction of the axial current coupling constants of the nucleons (5/3 vs 1.24) and the strange hyperons it worsens the mostly satisfactory predictions for the magnetic moments of the baryons that are obtained with the static quark model in the impulse approximation. We shall show here that the exchange current correction that is associated with spin- and flavor dependent interactions between the quarks, with the same operator structure as that of the pseudoscalar meson octet exchange interaction between the quarks can compensate for the relativistic correction in the latter case, while leaving it operative in the case of the axial coupling constants. This then suggests a way to obtain an at least qualitatively satisfactory simultaneous description of both the magnetic moments and the axial coupling constants although a quantitative description would require a completely relativistic quantum mechanical approach.

There are several different dynamical mechanisms that can give rise to flavor dependent spin-spin interactions between constituent quarks with the form [3]

$$-V(r_{ij})\vec{\lambda_i^F}\cdot\vec{\lambda_j^F}\vec{\sigma_i}\cdot\vec{\sigma_j}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the potential function $V(r_{ij})$ is positive at short range. To these belong the interaction mediated by pseudoscalar and vector flavor-octet exchanges between the quarks [3]. In both these cases the correct sign of the interaction (1.1) stems from the contact part of these interactions. This contact interaction is opposite in sign to the long-range Yukawa tail. In addition to these mechanisms there could be contributions of axial-vector flavor-octet exchange interactions. In the latter case, in contrast, the required negative

sign in (1.1) is present in the Yukawa tail and the contact term is absent. The potential function $V(r_{ij})$ and its strength can be determined phenomenologically by fitting the baryon spectrum. However there exist a number of alternative and quite different parametrizations of $V(r_{ij})$ [4, 5] which yield predictions of approximately the same quality for the baryon spectra. Hence the spectrum by itself cannot determine the relative importance of the possible mechanisms above. The coupling of the light pseudoscalar meson octet, which forms the octet of Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken approximate chiral symmetry of QCD, to the constituent quarks is probably a significant factor in the explanation of the spectra of baryons and it also resolves several of the problems with the naive quark model and the measured spin- and strangeness content of the proton [6]. For the present issue the salient point is that the flavor dependent octet boson exchange interaction, by the requirement of current conservation, implies the presence of octet vector two-body exchange magnetic moment operators. As these increase the magnitudes of the magnetic moments of the baryons that are predicted in the static quark model [7], they in principle should counteract the reduction caused by the relativistic correction. There are no corresponding pure pseudoscalar octet exchange contributions to the axial current of the baryons.

We shall here construct the pseudoscalar boson exchange current so as to be consistent with and to satisfy the continuity equation with the pseudoscalar boson exchange interaction even when this is modified phenomenologically at short range. In the calculations we employ the explicit phenomenological model for the hyperfine interaction between the quarks given in ref. [4], which yields a satisfactory description of the nucleon and Δ-spectra in combination with a static linear confining interaction. As the volume integral of that model for the hyperfine interaction does not vanish, only part of it can be interpreted as being due to pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms. The remaining part is treated phenomenologically, using an appropriate exchange current operator for an interaction with nonvanishing volume integral.

The construction of the flavor octet exchange current operator is nonrelativistic. That relativistic corrections to the exchange current operator also are important is however evident from the fact that the octet vector exchange currents, which are the quark level analogues of the two-nucleon isovector exchange currents, are inoperative in the case of the baryon de-

cuplet, the flavor states of which by definition are symmetric. In order to compensate for the large relativistic corrections to the magnetic moment of the decuplet baryons, as e.g. the Ω^-, we therefore also consider the lowest order relativistic corrections to the exchange magnetic moment operators, which have flavor symmetric terms. These are the quark level analogues of the (small) isoscalar two-nucleon exchange current operators, and arise from excitation of virtual $q\bar{q}$-pairs.

In the present calculation we employ for the proton and the neutron the 3 quark wave function obtained in ref. [4] by solving the Faddeev equations with a spin- and flavor dependent model for the hyperfine interaction between the quarks and a linear confining potential, which yields a baryon spectrum that is close to the empirical one. For the strange hyperons we use a phenomenological wave function model [3], which is fitted to the numerically exact one for the nucleons, but which contains the masses of the constituent quarks as explicit parameters and therefore permits extrapolation to the case of baryons with strange quarks. As the quark Hamiltonian is purely nonrelativistic we have to treat the required relativistic corrections to the exchange current operators in an ad hoc way, which is suggested by the relativistic corrections that appear in the single quark current operators. The present results therefore remain qualitative, but even so we find that the exchange current contributions that are implied by the quark-quark interaction model in ref. [4] are more than sufficient to compensate the reduction of the impulse approximation values for the magnetic moments, which are caused by the relativistic corrections. This is the main result of the present study. It suggests that a unified description of the baryon axial and magnetic constants can be achieved.

The exchange current contributions that are associated with the flavor and spin dependent hyperfine interaction model lead to overpredictions of most of the baryon magnetic moments. These overpredictions can be substantially reduced by the exchange current contribution that may be associated with the confining interaction, under the assumption that this can be effectively viewed as a (relativistic) scalar exchange interaction [7]. In the cases where the former exchange current contributions are too small for compensating the relativistic corrections, as e.g. the Σ^- and Ω^- hyperons, the exchange current associated with the confining interaction does, however, in-

crease the underprediction. Even so most of the calculated magnetic moment values are very close to the corresponding empirical values.

This paper is divided into 7 sections. In section 2 we discuss the relativistic corrections to the single baryon current operators. The static pseudoscalar exchange current magnetic moment operator is derived in section 3. In section 4 we derive the lowest order relativistic correction to the pseudoscalar exchange current operators, which includes flavor symmetric components. In section 5 we consider the exchange current corrections that are associated with the part of the phenomenological interaction, which cannot be interpreted as being due to pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms. The magnetic moment operator that may be associated with the confining interaction is described in section 6. A summarizing discussion is given in section 7.

2. Relativistic constituent quark currents

Under the assumption that the constituent quarks can be treated as point Dirac particles without anomalous terms, their electromagnetic and axial current operators are

$$< p'|J_\mu(0)|p >= ie\bar{u}(p')\gamma_\mu[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8]u(p), \tag{2.1a}$$

$$< p'|A_{\mu a}(0)|p >= ig_A^q\bar{u}(p')\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\lambda_a}{2}u(p). \tag{2.1b}$$

Here g_A^q is the axial current coupling of the constituent quarks, which in the large color limit is 1 [8], and with inclusion of the lowest $1/N_c$ correction is $g_A^q \simeq 0.87$ [9]. An argument for the absence of anomalous terms in the electromagnetic current (2.1a) has been given in ref. [10].

In terms of Pauli spinors these operators reduce to

$$\vec{J} = e[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8]\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}}\{[\vec{v} + i\frac{\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{q}}{2m_q}]$$
$$-\frac{\sqrt{m_q^2 + (\vec{P}+\vec{q}/2)^2} - \sqrt{m_q^2 + (\vec{P}-\vec{q}/2)^2}}{2m_q[1+\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}]}[\frac{\vec{q}}{2m_q} + i\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{v}]\}, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\vec{A}_a = -g_A^q\frac{\lambda_a}{2}\vec{\sigma}\{1 - \frac{2}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}})\}. \tag{2.2b}$$

Here the velocity operator is defined as $\vec{v} = \vec{P}/m_q$, with $\vec{P} = (\vec{p}' + \vec{p})/2$ and m_q is the mass of the constituent quark. The momentum transfer is denoted $\vec{q} = \vec{p}' - \vec{p}$. Note that in the expression for the electromagnetic current (2.2a) the limit $\vec{p} = \vec{p}'$ has been taken in all terms, where it does not affect the derivation of the magnetic moment operator $\vec{\mu} \equiv -\frac{i}{2}(\nabla\times\vec{q})_{\vec{q}=0}$. The spin term in the magnetic moment operator that corresponds to the electromagnetic current operator (2.2a) is then

$$\vec{\mu}_{spin} = \frac{e}{2m_q}[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8]\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}}\{1 - \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}})\}. \tag{2.3}$$

To lowest order in $\vec{v}^2$ the expressions for the spin magnetic moment and axial current operators are then

$$\vec{\mu}_{spin} = \frac{e}{2m_q}[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8]\vec{\sigma}(1 - \frac{2\vec{v}^2}{3}), \tag{2.4a}$$

$$\vec{A}_a = -g_A^q \frac{\lambda_a}{2}\vec{\sigma}(1 - \frac{\vec{v}^2}{3}). \tag{2.4b}$$

The relativistic $\vec{v}^2$ correction is large in the case of the constituent quarks, the masses of which are of the order 300-400 MeV. Its order of magnitude is easiest to estimate with the harmonic oscillator model for the quark confinement, in which case

$$< \vec{v}^2 >= \frac{\omega}{m_q} = \frac{1}{m_q^2 r^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where ω is the oscillator parameter and r the mean radius ($r = 1/\sqrt{m_q\omega}$). As $r \sim 0.86$ fm for the baryons, the latter expression (2.5) gives $< \vec{v}^2 >= 0.45$ if $m_q = 340$ MeV, which is an appropriate value for the nonstrange constituent quarks. This shows that the relativistic correction to the magnetic moments amounts to a large reduction of the values predicted by the static quark model. The large value of $< \vec{v}^2 >$ also shows that the v/c expansion is unreliable, and that the unapproximated expressions (2.2b) and (2.3) have to be employed. With $m_u = 340$ MeV the empirical radius 0.86 fm corresponds to $\omega = 154$ MeV in the harmonic oscillator model. This is an appropriate value for the unperturbed zero-order wave function under the assumption that the hyperfine interaction (1.1) can be treated in first order perturbation theory. When the 3-quark system is solved with a linear confining potential and with full account of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction to all orders the wave function is much more compact, with a matter *rms* radius of 0.47 fm [4]. In the oscillator model this corresponds to an oscillator frequency of ~ 540 MeV (2.5) (here this oscillator frequency effectively includes effects of both confining and hyperfine interactions). We shall here employ the known exact wave function for this model for the non-strange baryons [4] and an oscillator model wave function with this oscillator frequency for the strange hyperons, as this should provide the most appropriate extrapolation to the states with the heavier strange constituent quarks for which we use the mass 460 MeV [3].

Consider first the magnetic moments of the ground state baryons. In the impulse approximation the static quark model expressions for the magnetic

moments are linear combinations of the ratios of the nucleon to the up, down and strange constituent quark masses (Table 1). With the usual approximation that the constituent masses of the up and down quarks are equal, the two ratios to be considered are m_N/m_u and m_N/m_s. In Table 1 we have taken the relativistic correction in the expression (2.3) for the magnetic moment operator into account by the replacements [14]

$$\frac{1}{m} \to \frac{1}{m^*} = \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}}(1 - \frac{1}{3}(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\vec{v}^2}})) >, \tag{2.6}$$

in the quark masses in the impulse approximation expressions.

The results in column I in Table I show that all the measured baryon magnetic moments, with exception of the Δ^{++} are satisfactorily ($\pm 15\%$) predicted in the static impulse approximation in the absence of the relativistic correction. The calculation of the neutron and proton magnetic moments was done with the exact 3 quark wavefunction of ref. [4]. The same relativistic reduction would in the oscillator model be obtained with a wave function with an "effective" oscillator frequency of 540 MeV. In the absence of a similar exact wave function for the hyperons we have therefore used the oscillator model with $\omega_{eff} = 540$ MeV to estimate the relativistic corrections to the magnetic moments of the strange hyperons and the Δ:s. Including the relativistic correction reduces the predicted magnetic moment values by 20–30%, and notably worsens the agreement with the experimental values. We shall show in the following section that the exchange current corrections can compensate these reductions in most cases.

In Table 2 the axial vector couplings of the octet baryons are listed. With the exception of $g_A(\Xi^- \to \Sigma^0)$ the empirical values are considerably smaller in magnitude than the values predicted by the static quark model. The static quark model predictions are most conveniently given as the D, F coefficient values

$$D = 1, \quad F = \frac{2}{3}. \tag{2.7}$$

The corresponding empirical values are 0.77 and 0.45 [15], which are 23% and 32% smaller than the static quark model values respectively. The static quark model values as obtained with $g_A^q = 1$ are given in column II of Table 2. The corresponding values that are obtained with the relativistic correc-

tion in eq. (2.2b) taken into account are listed in column III. The exact wave function of ref. [4] was used for the calculation of the relativistic correction to $g_A(n \to p)$. In the case of the strange hyperons we again employed the oscillator wave function model with $\omega = 540$ MeV, which leads to the same result as the exact wave function in the case of $g_A(n \to p)$. Here we have used the average of the constituent masses of the light and strange quarks in the evaluation of the relativistic correction for strangeness changing decays ($\bar{m}_q = \sqrt{340 \cdot 460}$ MeV $= 395$ MeV). In this case the relativistic correction, which amounts to a reduction of $\sim 19\%$, leads to notably better overall agreement with the empirical values, with exception of the case of $g_A(\Xi^- \to \Sigma^0)$. In column IV we show the results obtained with $g_A^q = 0.87$ [10] and inclusion of the relativistic correction. These results are in very good agreement with the empirical values.

3. The pseudoscalar exchange magnetic moment operator

The nonrelativistic pseudoscalar octet exchange interaction between two constituent quarks has the following general expression in momentum space:

$$V(\vec{k}) = -v(\vec{k})\vec{\sigma}^1 \cdot \vec{k}\vec{\sigma}^2 \cdot \vec{k}\vec{\lambda}^1 \cdot \vec{\lambda}^2, \tag{3.1}$$

in the $SU(3)_F$ symmetric limit. Here $\vec{k}$ is the momentum transfer and $v(\vec{k})$ a scalar potential function and the superscripts refer to the quark number. The exchange current operator, which satisfies the continuity equation with this interaction, yields - to lowest order in v/c - the magnetic moment operator [3, 16]:

$$\vec{M}_{ex} = \frac{1}{2}\{(\vec{\tau}^1 \times \vec{\tau}^2)_3 + \lambda_4^1\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_5^1\lambda_4^2\}$$

$$\{v(k)\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2 - \frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial v(k)}{\partial k}\vec{k} \times (\vec{k} \times (\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2))\}, \tag{3.2}$$

to which also should be added a term proportional to the center-of-mass coordinate, but which does not contribute to S-state quarks.

For the ground state baryons, we shall only need to consider the spatial scalar components of the interaction (3.1) and exchange magnetic moment

operator (3.2), which are

$$V_S(\vec{k}) = -\frac{1}{3}v(k)k^2\vec{\sigma}^1\cdot\vec{\sigma}^2\vec{\lambda}^1\cdot\vec{\lambda}^2, \tag{3.3a}$$

$$\vec{M}_S = \frac{1}{2}\{(\vec{\tau}^1\times\vec{\tau}^2)_3 + \lambda_4^1\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_5^1\lambda_4^2\}$$
$$\{v(k) + \frac{2}{3}k\frac{\partial v(k)}{\partial k}\}\vec{\sigma}^1\times\vec{\sigma}^2. \tag{3.3b}$$

Fourier transformation to configuration space yields

$$V_S(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{3}f(r)\vec{\sigma}^1\cdot\vec{\sigma}^2\vec{\lambda}^1\cdot\vec{\lambda}^2, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\vec{M}_S = -\frac{1}{2}g(r)\{(\vec{\tau}^1\times\vec{\tau}^2)_3 + \lambda_4^1\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_5^1\lambda_4^2\}\vec{\sigma}^1\times\vec{\sigma}^2, \tag{3.4b}$$

where we have used the notation

$$f(r) = \nabla^2\tilde{v}(r), \tag{3.5a}$$

$$g(r) = \tilde{v}(r) + \frac{2}{3}\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\tilde{v}(r), \tag{3.5b}$$

and where $\tilde{v}(r)$ is the Fourier transform of the potential function $v(k)$:

$$\tilde{v}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r}\int_0^\infty dk k\sin(kr)v(k). \tag{3.6}$$

By way of illustration we note that for a bare pseudoscalar meson exchange interaction the potential function $v(k)$ is

$$v(k) = \frac{g^2}{4m_1m_2}\frac{1}{\mu^2+k^2} \tag{3.7}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the constituent masses of the two interacting quarks, μ is the meson mass and g is the meson-quark coupling constant.

Insertion of this expression in (3.5) and (3.6) yields the following expressions for the functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$:

$$f(r) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\frac{1}{4m_1m_2}\{\mu^2\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r} - 4\pi\delta(\vec{r})\} \tag{3.8a}$$

$$g(r) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\frac{\mu}{12 m_1 m_2}(2\mu r - 1)\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{\mu r}. \qquad (3.8b)$$

These bare pseudoscalar exchange operators do not take into account the spatially extended structure of the constituent quarks and the pseudoscalar mesons and should be modified accordingly at short distances prior to comparison with data.

The volume integral of the function $f(r)$ in (3.8a) vanishes. This is a consequence of the fact that the coupling of pseudoscalar mesons to quarks vanishes with momentum, as implied by the expression (3.3a), by which $V_S(\vec{k}=0) = 0$ for mesons with finite mass. As $V_S(\vec{k}=0)$ is proportional to the volume integral of $f(r)$, the latter also vanishes.

In practice the potential function $f(r)$ will be determined by fits to the baryon spectra. One then needs an algorithm for determining the function $g(r)$, given $f(r)$. This is obtained by solving (3.5a) for $\tilde{v}(r)$ and then inserting the result in (3.5b). The sought for expression for $g(r)$ is then

$$g(r) = -\frac{1}{3}\{2\int_r^\infty dr' r' f(r') - \frac{1}{r}\int_r^\infty dr' \int_{r'}^\infty dr'' r'' f(r'')\}. \qquad (3.9)$$

It is readily seen that insertion of the example function (3.8a) in this expression yields the correct answer (3.8b) for $g(r)$. If the volume integral of the phenomenologically determined model function $f(r)$ does not vanish an inconsistency in the expressions for the interaction and exchange current operators above appears, however. This implies that part of the effective spin-flavor interaction (3.4a) would not have its origin in pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms, but in exchange mechanisms of shorter range as e.g. axial vector exchange, which does not contribute to the exchange magnetic moment in lowest order. The corresponding exchange magnetic moment operator (3.4b) constructed with $g(r)$ determined by (3.9) in this case has to be renormalized down by the fraction of the phenomenological interaction that could be due to axial vector exchange, which does not give rise to any exchange moment to lowest order [16].

In ref. [4] it has been shown that a very satisfactory prediction for the spectra of the nucleon and the Δ-resonance is obtained if the confining potential between the quarks is taken to be linear (0.474 fm^{-2}r) and the function

$f(r)$ in the pseudoscalar exchange interaction (3.4a) is taken to have the form

$$f(r) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\frac{1}{4m_1m_2}\{\mu^2\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}H(r) - \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\alpha^3 e^{-\alpha^2(r-r_0)^2}\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Here $H(r)$ is a function of the form

$$H(r) = \{1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{\beta(r-r_0)}}\}^5, \tag{3.11}$$

which cuts off the Yukawa function at $r_0 = 0.43$ fm. The value of the parameter β is 20 fm^{-1}. In (3.10) the δ-function has been smeared over a range α^{-1}, where $\alpha = 2.91$ fm^{-1}, which corresponds to the radius of the constituent quarks (the interaction model of ref. [4] also contains a smaller spin dependent but flavor independent term, which will not be considered here). The π-quark coupling constant g is $g^2/4\pi = 0.67$, which corresponds to the value $g^2_{\pi NN}/4\pi = 14.2$ [3]. The volume integral of the function $f(r)$ does not vanish. The ratio of the volume integral of the negative inner and the positive outer part of $f(r)$($f(1.26$ fm$)$=0) is 10.6. This suggests that only the fraction $X = 1/10.6 \simeq 0.094$ of the short range part of the interaction should be interpreted as arising from pseudoscalar meson exchange (the outer part has the Yukawa tail, which corresponds to pion exchange). We shall therefore multiply the calculated exchange magnetic moments with this factor X. The remaining fraction $1 - X = 0.906$ of the negative part of the phenomenological interaction (3.10) could be interpreted as being due to axial vector exchange mechanisms for which there is no requirement on the volume integral, and for which exchange current corrections appear only as relativistic corrections. These will be treated in the following subsection. We shall show that when $g(r)$ is calculated from the expression (3.9), with the model function (3.10) renormalized as mentioned above (using for simplicity the unit step function $\theta(r-r_0)$ for $H(r)$), the corresponding exchange current correction to the magnetic moments of the baryons go in the right direction for compensating the relativistic corrections, except in the case of the decuplet baryons that have symmetric flavor wave functions and those octet baryons for which all the 3 quarks have equal charge as the Σ^- and Ξ^-.

In Table 3 we list the matrix elements of the flavor-spin operators $(F,S)_1$ $= (\vec{\tau}^1 \times \vec{\tau}^2)_3(\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2)$ and $(F,S)_2 = (\lambda^1_4\lambda^2_5 - \lambda^1_5\lambda^2_4)(\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$ in the exchange

magnetic moment operator (3.2) for the octet baryons and the $\Delta \to N$ transition magnetic moment. Because of the antisymmetry of the flavor parts of these operators they have no matrix element for the baryon decuplet.

The contributions to the baryon magnetic moments of the exchange current operator (3.4b) can then be expressed (in units of nuclear magnetons) as

$$\mu^{ex} = -\frac{1}{2m_N} m_N < g(r)_{g.s.}(F,S) >= -\frac{1}{2m_N} m_N < g(r) >_{g.s.} < (F,S) >, \tag{3.12}$$

where m_N is the nucleon mass, $< g(r) >_{g.s}$ is the spatial matrix element of the function $g(r)$ for the ground state and the matrix elements of the flavor-spin operator (F,S) are those given in Table 3. The first expression for μ^{ex} in eq. (3.12) should be used with the 3 quark wave function of ref. [4], while the latter expression in eq. (3.12) can be used with the oscillator model. Since $g(r)$ is dependent on the quark masses m_1 and m_2 (eqs. (3.9) and (3.10)), the appropriate masses should be taken into account when calculating $< g(r) >_{g.s.}$. The masses $m_1 = m_2 = 340$ MeV are used together with the flavor-spin matrix elements $< (F,S)_1 >$, while the masses $m_1 = 340$ MeV and $m_2 = 460$ MeV should be used with $< (F,S)_2 >$.

For the bare pion exchange potential (3.7) the matrix element $< g(r) >_{g.s.}$ is very small and positive, which leads to a small negative value for this exchange current contribution to the proton magnetic moment. The short range modification of the meson exchange potential leads to a change of sign of this contribution [7]. The pseudoscalar exchange potential with the short range modification (3.10) leads to values for the matrix element $m_N < g(r) >_{g.s.}$ in (3.12) which are strongly dependent on the parameter r_0 in the short range modification (3.10) as well as on the size of the baryon wave function. With $\omega_{eff} = 540$ MeV in the oscillator model, and using the same parameter values in the pseudoscalar potential (3.10) as in ref. [4], we obtain $m_N < g(r) >_{g.s.} = 0.056$ (after multiplication by the renormalization factor $X = 0.094$). This implies an exchange current correction to the proton magnetic moment of 0.22 n.m. With the exact wave function of ref. [4] the value of the exchange current correction is slightly larger (0.23 n.m.). As this exchange current contribution was calculated without account of relativistic corrections, it is expected to represent an overestimate.

The main relativistic correction to the pseudoscalar exchange magnetic moment operator (3.4b) can be inferred from the expansion to order $1/m_q^4$ of the general pseudoscalar exchange magnetic moment operator in ref. [16]. This correction can to a good approximation be taken into account as an overall correction factor $(1-4\vec{v}^2/3)$. As the origin of this factor is the spinor normalization factor and the energy denominator in the small components of the quark wave functions, it is most properly taken into account in unexpanded form as an overall factor $(1+4\vec{v}^2/3)$ in the denominator of the expression (3.4b) for the exchange current operator. This correction factor reduces the estimate of the pseudoscalar exchange contribution to the magnetic moment of the proton in the oscillator model by a factor 0.46 from 0.22 n.m. to the smaller value 0.10 n.m.

The exchange current corrections to the magnetic moments of the octet baryons calculated and renormalized in this way are listed in column "EXCI" in Table 4.

4. The flavor symmetric pseudoscalar exchange current

The flavor antisymmetric exchange magnetic moment operator (3.2) considered above is associated with the static nonrelativistic pseudoscalar exchange interaction (3.1). When the exchange current operator that is associated with the relativistic form of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction is expanded in powers of v/c, flavor symmetric terms appear in the order m_q^{-4}. The corresponding magnetic moment operator has been given for the case of the two-nucleon system in ref. [16]. Applying the results of ref. [16] to the case of two quarks that interact by exchange of the $SU(3)_F$ octet of pseudoscalar mesons yields an exchange magnetic moment operator that can be decomposed into flavor symmetric and flavor antisymmetric parts as

$$\vec{M}_{ex} = \vec{M}_{ex}^{F,S} + \vec{M}_{ex}^{F,A}. \tag{4.1}$$

The flavor symmetric exchange magnetic moment operator can be written as

$$\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,S} = \frac{1}{8m_1m_2} v(k)(\vec{\sigma}^1 + \vec{\sigma}^2) \cdot \vec{k}\vec{k}$$

$$[\frac{2}{3}\vec{\tau}^1\cdot\vec{\tau}^2+(\vec{\tau}^1+\vec{\tau}^2)_3+\frac{2}{3}(\lambda_4^1\lambda_4^2+\lambda_5^1\lambda_5^2)$$
$$-\frac{4}{3}(\lambda_6^1\lambda_6^2+\lambda_7^1\lambda_7^2)-\frac{2}{3}\lambda_8^1\lambda_8^2$$
$$+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1+\lambda_8^2)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2+\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2)], \tag{4.2}$$

where $v(k)$ is the pseudoscalar interaction potential defined in (3.1). The flavor antisymmetric term is correspondingly

$$\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,A}=\frac{1}{8m_1m_2}v(k)(\vec{\sigma}^1-\vec{\sigma}^2)\cdot\vec{k}\vec{k}$$
$$[(\vec{\tau}^1-\vec{\tau}^2)_3+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1-\lambda_8^2)$$
$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2-\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2)], \tag{4.3}$$

in addition to a term with the same flavor operator as the main flavor antisymmetric exchange magnetic moment operator (3.2), and which may be viewed as a relativistic correction to it. The importance of the flavor symmetric exchange magnetic moment operator (4.2) derives from the fact that it gives a nonvanishing contribution to the magnetic moments of the decuplet baryons as well as the Σ^- and Ξ^- octet hyperons, to which the flavor antisymmetric terms cannot contribute.

In the case of the ground state baryons, which have all constituent quarks in the lowest S-state, only the spatial scalar components of the spin operators in (4.2) and (4.3) contribute. By retaining only that and taking the Fourier transform yields the exchange magnetic moment operators

$$\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,S}=-\frac{1}{24m_1m_2}f(r)(\vec{\sigma}^1+\vec{\sigma}^2)_3[\frac{2}{3}\vec{\tau}^1\cdot\vec{\tau}^2+(\vec{\tau}^1+\vec{\tau}^2)_3$$
$$+\frac{2}{3}(\lambda_4^1\lambda_4^2+\lambda_5^1\lambda_5^2)$$
$$-\frac{4}{3}(\lambda_6^1\lambda_6^2+\lambda_7^1\lambda_7^2)-\frac{2}{3}\lambda_8^1\lambda_8^2$$

$$+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1+\lambda_8^2)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2+\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2)], \qquad (4.4a)$$

$$\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,A}=-\frac{1}{24m_1m_2}f(r)(\vec{\sigma}^1-\vec{\sigma}^2)_3[(\vec{\tau}^1-\vec{\tau}^2)_3$$
$$+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1-\lambda_8^2)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2-\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2)]. \qquad (4.4b)$$

Here the function $f(r)$ is the Laplacian of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction, as defined in eq. (3.5a). Note that the factor $\frac{1}{m_1m_2}$ in eqs. (4.4a) and (4.4b) is not the same in all parts of the expressions. In the calculations we will use the masses $m_1 = m_2 = 340$ MeV for the non-strange baryons and $m_1 = m_2 = 460$ MeV for the Ω^- hyperon. In all other cases we make a decomposition of the expressions for $\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,S}$ and $\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,A}$ so that terms with flavor operators containing the isospin operators $\vec{\tau}$ have $m_1 = m_2 = 340$ MeV and other terms have $m_1 = 340$ MeV, $m_2 = 460$ MeV. When using the oscillator model the calculation of $<\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,S}>$ and $<\vec{M}_{ex}^{F,A}>$ can be simplified as described below.

The fact that the radial behaviour of the exchange magnetic moment operators is directly proportional to the pseudoscalar exchange interaction implies that the corresponding magnetic moments of the ground state baryons may for the oscillator model be expressed in terms of the orbital matrix element of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction for the ground state baryons. If the whole effective interaction (3.4a) were due to pseudoscalar exchange, this matrix element would, as defined in ref. [3], be

$$P_{00}=-\frac{1}{3}<f(r)>_{g.s.}\ . \qquad (4.5)$$

In first order perturbation theory the matrix element P_{00} may be determined directly from the empirical $N-\Delta$ splitting, which in that approximation is simply $10P_{00}$, so that $P_{00}\simeq 29$ MeV [3]. With the model (3.10) for the radial shape of the fine structure interaction the matrix element calculated with the oscillator model ($\omega_{eff} = 540$ MeV) is as large as 101 MeV. Note that only the fraction $X = 0.094$ of this matrix element can - as explained above - be ascribed to pseudoscalar exchange. The relativistic correction to the proton exchange magnetic moment would then be 0.15 n.m. (0.17 n.m. with the exact wave function of ref. [4]). If as in section 3 above we include

a factor $(1 + 4\vec{v}^2/3)$ in the denominators of the expressions (4.2) and (4.3) (or alternatively in the expression (4.5)) to account for relativistic corrections from the Dirac operators this estimate for the matrix element P_{00} in the oscillator model drops to only 46 MeV. This value for P_{00} has still to be renormalized down, however, in view of the fact that the volume integral of the phenomenological potential function (3.10) does not vanish, as would be required by pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms. For the K meson exchange contribution the corresponding matrix element is calculated as in eq. (4.5), but with the average mass $\bar{m}_q = 395$ MeV. When including the factor $1/(1 + 4\vec{v}^2/3)$, also calculated with $\bar{m}_q$, one gets 41 MeV. Denoting these two matrix elements P^{π}_{00} and P^{K}_{00} and assuming that the η meson exchange contribution is $P^{\eta}_{00} = P^{K}_{00}$ one may express the orbital matrix elements of the exchange magnetic moments (4.4) as

$$< \vec{M}^{F,S}_{ex} >= \mu_N X (\frac{m_N}{4m_1m_2})(\vec{\sigma}^1 + \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$$

$$\{[\frac{2}{3}\vec{\tau}^1 \cdot \vec{\tau}^2 + (\vec{\tau}^1 + \vec{\tau}^2)_3]P^{\pi}_{00}$$

$$+[\frac{2}{3}(\lambda^1_4\lambda^2_4 + \lambda^1_5\lambda^2_5) - \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^1_6\lambda^2_6 + \lambda^1_7\lambda^2_7)]P^{K}_{00}$$

$$-[\frac{2}{3}\lambda^1_8\lambda^2_8 - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda^1_8 + \lambda^2_8) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda^1_3\lambda^2_8 + \lambda^1_8\lambda^2_3)]P^{\eta}_{00}\}, \qquad (4.6a)$$

$$< \vec{M}^{F,A}_{ex} >= \mu_N X (\frac{m_N}{4m_1m_2})(\vec{\sigma}^1 - \vec{\sigma}^2)_3.$$

$$\{(\vec{\tau}^1 - \vec{\tau}^2)_3 P^{\pi}_{00}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[\frac{2}{3}(\lambda^1_8 - \lambda^2_8) + (\lambda^1_8\lambda^2_3 - \lambda^1_3\lambda^2_8)]P^{\eta}_{00}\}. \qquad (4.6b)$$

The matrix elements of the flavor-spin operators in these two expressions are listed in Table 5. The factor X has the value 0.094.

The contributions to the baryon magnetic moments of the exchange current operators (4.6) can be expressed as $\mu^{ex}_{rel} = \mu_N(m_N/4m_1m_2)$ times the sum of the flavor-spin matrix elements in Table 5. As in eq (4.4) the masses m_1 and m_2 should be taken as $m_1 = m_2 = 340$ MeV for non-strange baryons and for strange baryons when associated with the matrix element P^{π}_{00}, as

$m_1 = m_2 = 460$ MeV for the Ω^- hyperon and otherwise as $m_1 = 340$ MeV and $m_2 = 460$ MeV. The numerical values obtained in this way for the pseudoscalar exchange magnetic moments of order m^{-4} are given in column EXCII in Table 4.

The results in Table 4 show that the typical magnitude of the contributions to the baryon magnetic moments of the relativistic corrections to the exchange current operators are somewhat smaller than that of the static exchange current operator (3.12) considered in the previous section. They also in every instance go in the right direction to improve the agreement between the quark model predictions and the empirical values for the magnetic moment operators. In the case of the decuplet baryons it is only the relativistic exchange current that gives a contribution and thus it is important for the compensation of the relativistic corrections.

5. Exchange magnetic moment for phenomenological short range interactions

As the volume integral of the phenomenological interaction model (3.10) [4] does not vanish, a fraction $(1 - X)$ of the short range part of it has to be interpreted as arising from other than pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms.

If the phenomenological quark-quark interaction is expressed in terms of the usual 5 Fermi invariants, only the tensor (T) and axial vector (A) invariants give rise to spin-spin interactions of the form (3.4a), that have non-vanishing volume integrals in lowest order in $1/m$ [20]. Since the form of these interactions and the corresponding exchange current operators are very similar, we restrict the consideration here to the axial vector invariant.

While the spin-spin interactions, which are associated with the T and A invariants, are of order m^0, the corresponding exchange magnetic moment operators are of order m^{-2} - i.e. they have the form of relativistic corrections. If the spin-spin interaction has the form (3.4a), and is assumed to arise from an interaction with the operator structure of the A invariant, the contribution

to lowest order in $1/m$ to the corresponding exchange magnetic moment is

$$\vec{M}_{ex}(A) = -\frac{(1-X)}{24m_1m_2}f(r)\{(\vec{\sigma}^1+\vec{\sigma}^2)_3[\frac{2}{3}\vec{\tau}^1\cdot\vec{\tau}^2+(\vec{\tau}^1+\vec{\tau}^2)_3$$

$$+\frac{2}{3}(\lambda_4^1\lambda_4^2+\lambda_5^1\lambda_5^2)$$

$$-\frac{4}{3}(\lambda_6^1\lambda_6^2+\lambda_7^1\lambda_7^2)-\frac{2}{3}\lambda_8^1\lambda_8^2$$

$$+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1+\lambda_8^2)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2+\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2)]$$

$$+(\vec{\sigma}^1-\vec{\sigma}^2)_3[(\vec{\tau}^1-\vec{\tau}^2)_3+\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1-\lambda_8^2)$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2-\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2)]$$

$$-2(\vec{\sigma}^1\times\vec{\sigma}^2)[(\vec{\tau}^1\times\vec{\tau}^2)_3+\lambda_4^1\lambda_5^2-\lambda_5^1\lambda_4^2]\}. \qquad (5.1)$$

From this expression a small term involving the derivative of $f(r)$ has been left out. With exception for the last term in the bracket, this operator is identical in form to the relativistic pseudoscalar exchange operators (4.4a) and (4.4b). The overall factor $1-X=0.906$ gives the fraction of the short range part of the phenomenological interaction (3.10), which could be interpreted as arising from axial vector exchange mechanisms. The expression (5.1) for the magnetic moment operator associated with the axial vector invariant was obtained by generalizing the corresponding $SU(2)$ expressions given in ref. [16] to $SU(3)$ and Fourier transforming the resulting expression.

In view of the similarity in form between this expression and the expressions (4.4), its matrix elements for the ground state can be obtained by the same method as used in going from the expressions (4.4) to the corresponding matrix element expressions (4.6). In this case the indices π, K and η on the radial integrals P_{00} (4.6) only indicate that the integrals are assumed to depend on the quark masses in the same way as the matrix elements of π, K and η exchange interactions. The contributions to the baryon magnetic moments given by the magnetic moment expressions (5.1) calculated in this way and using the same numerical values as in the expressions (4.4) are listed

in the column EXCIII in Table 4. The required matrix elements of the spin-flavor operators are given in Tables 3 and 5.

It is worth noting, that while the pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms give rise to an axial exchange current operator, which is only of order m^{-5}, axial exchange mechanisms give rise to exchange currents of order m^{-3} [19]. While the contributions of the former represent 4th order relativistic corrections, and thus should be insignificant, the latter may give rise to non-negligible contributions to the axial coupling constants of the baryons. A quantitative calculation of these would require a completely covariant framework, and is therefore not attempted here.

6. The Confinement Current

If a spin- and flavor independent confining interaction is formally viewed as the static approximation to a relativistic scalar exchange interaction, with positive instead of the conventional negative sign, an exchange current of order m^{-2} may be associated with it [7]. The form of the associated magnetic moment operator will then be

$$\vec{M}_{ex}(C) = -\frac{e}{2m_1m_2}\{[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8^1]\vec{\sigma}^1$$

$$+[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8^2]\vec{\sigma}^2\}v_C(r), \tag{6.1}$$

where $v_C(r)$ is the static confining interaction. With a wave function model, in which the spatial and the flavor-spin component factorize – as e.g. the harmonic oscillator model – in the case of quarks with equal mass this operator may be rewritten in the simpler form

$$\vec{M}_{ex}(C) = -\frac{e}{m^2}v_C(r_{12})[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8^1]\vec{\sigma}^1, \tag{6.2}$$

where $r_{12} = |\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2|$. This implies that it can be viewed as a correction to be included along with the single nucleon magnetic moment operators. For the ground state wave function with 3 s-state quarks it can then be included

by multiplication of the magnetic moment expressions by a factor F defined as

$$F \equiv 1 - 2\frac{< v_C(r_{12}) >}{m} \tag{6.3}$$

In the interaction model of ref. [4] the confining interaction is taken to be the linear potential $v_C(r_{12}) = cr_{12}$, with $c = 0.474$ fm^{-2}. With oscillator model wave functions this leads to the following explicit expressions for the factor F:

$$F = 1 - \frac{2c}{m}\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi m\omega}}. \tag{6.4}$$

The correction term in this expression gives a contribution -1.12 n.m. to the predicted magnetic moment of the proton. Introduction of the same relativistic correction factor that is assumed to arise from the Dirac spinors in a nonrelativistic reduction of the relativistic scalar ("S") invariant as was used in the exchange current expressions above, reduces this correction by a factor 0.46, and the net correction to the magnetic moment of the proton due to this model for the exchange magnetic moment associated with the confinement current is then –0.51 n.m. If this correction is subtracted from the sum of the other exchange current contributions in Table 4 one obtains the prediction $\mu_p = 2.81$ n.m., which is close to the empirical value 2.79 n.m.

In Table 4 the contributions from the exchange magnetic moment operator (6.1) are listed in the column CONF. In the numerical values the proper masses of the quark pair in the two-body matrix elements involved have been taken into account. These corrections bring the predicted magnetic moment values closer to the empirical values in most cases, the only exceptions being the Σ^-, Ξ^- and Ω^- hyperons.

7. Discussion

The results in Tables 1 and 4 show that the corrections to the baryon magnetic moments that arise from exchange current operators are large, when using the phenomenological interaction model of ref. [4], which leads to a very satisfactory baryon spectrum, and that they tend to compensate the large

relativistic corrections to the static quark model predictions for the magnetic moments of the baryons. The absence of pure pseudoscalar exchange currents of low order to the axial current of the baryons on the other hand implies that the corresponding if somewhat smaller relativistic corrections to the axial coupling constants of the baryons remain uncompensated (Table 2).

The very large exchange current contributions that were found to be associated with the phenomenological flavor-spin interaction (3.10) were found to lead to large overpredictions of the baryon magnetic moments. The relativistic corrections that arise from the Dirac spinors in the nonrelativistic reduction of the exchange current operators reduce their matrix elements by about one half. To reduce the remaining - and still considerable - overprediction of the magnetic moments the exchange current contribution that would be associated with the confining interaction, under the assumption that this can be formally viewed as a scalar exchange interaction, was invoked. This exchange current - first derived in ref. [7] - is also large, and brings about the desired cancellation of the overpredictions, and to what in the end are mostly satisfactory magnetic moment predictions. If the effective confining interaction is interpreted as a vector exchange interaction the numerical values would be very similar. In the case of the Σ^-, Ξ^- and Ω^- hyperons the magnetic moments are however underpredicted.

This last problem indicates that the flavor and spin structure of the exchange magnetic moment operator is not completely adequate. There is obviously a need for a consistent relativistic treatment as well as more information on the shorter range vector and axial exchange contributions to the phenomenological interaction. It should be stressed that the meson exchange type description of the hyperfine interaction between the constituent quarks, which leads to very satisfactory predictions for all measured baryon spectra [3, 4, 17] requires the presence of these magnetic moment operators through the continuity equation because of the flavor dependence of the interaction. On the other hand the fact that the relativistic corrections to the exchange current operator considered in section 4 are only slightly smaller than the non-relativistic static exchange current contributions considered in section 3 emphasizes the need for a fully relativistic treatment.

The treatment of the pseudoscalar octet exchange current contributions

to the magnetic moments of the baryons differs from previous work [7, 18] in that we consider the full octet exchange current operator, and in the treatment of the short range part of the pseudoscalar exchange interaction, and finally in that we also consider the lowest order relativistic corrections to the exchange current operator. In ref. [7] the exchange currents associated with the one gluon exchange interaction between the constituent quarks was also considered and played a very important role there, but this contribution is likely to be much less significant than what was suggested in ref. [7] in view of the insignificance of the one gluon exchange interaction that is indicated by the baryon spectrum [3].

Finally it should be noted that a fraction of the phenomenological interaction (3.4a) could also due to vector instead of pseudoscalar and axial exchange mechanisms. The spin-spin component of the vector exchange interaction has to have zero volume integral as does the corresponding pseudoscalar model (3.10). In the case of vector exchange mechanisms, the relation (3.5b) between the orbital part of the magnetization density should be replaced by

$$g(r) = 2\tilde{v}(r) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\tilde{v}(r). \tag{7.1}$$

If this relation were used in place of the relation (3.5b) the exchange current contributions in the column EXCI of Table 4 would be somewhat reduced.

The separation of the exchange magnetic moment operator here into a term that was associated with the pseudoscalar octet exchange mechanism, and another purely phenomenological term was motivated by the fact that the phenomenological interaction model developed in ref. [4] has a large volume integral, whereas it should vanish for a pseudoscalar exchange interaction. Given only a spin-flavor interaction of the form (1.1) does not, however, permit a unique determination of the fraction of it that may be ascribed to pseudoscalar meson exchange, nor does it indicate what the dynamical origin of the short range terms that lead to the nonvanishing volume integral is. Hence there remains a substantial uncertainty as to the proper form of the exchange current operator, as the continuity equation determines only its longitudinal part. In view of this uncertainty, we view the predicted exchange current contributions in Table 4 as no more than suggestive, and expect them to be very strongly dependent on the particular form of the phenomenological interaction employed here. As a concrete example of this, the

volume integral of the interaction (3.10) is very sensitive to the parameter r_0 in (3.10) and can be reduced by 50% by a small reduction of its value. The fact that the fraction of the phenomenological interaction used here, which can be ascribed to pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms, is very small is due to the fact that the interaction changes sign at the large radius 1.26 fm. With a smaller value of the radius where the interaction changes sign, the volume integral decreases, and hence a correspondingly larger fraction of the interaction can be ascribed to pseudoscalar exchange mechanisms. For comparison the corresponding isospin dependent spin-spin interaction component in a recently developed realistic phenomenological nucleon-nucleon interaction model [21] changes sign already at 0.6 fm.

In view of this the numerical predictions above should be viewed as suggestive rather than as definitive. The numerical values depend strongly on the particular parametrization of the potential function in (1.1) and its interpretation as well as on the very specific mechanisms for the exchange currents considered here. Equally important is that the nonrelativistic scheme considered here and use of the v/c expansions for the exchange current operators and the employment of nonrelativistic wave functions cannot be fully adequate as $v/c \simeq 1$. The main conclusion of the present work is therefore that a unified description of the axial constants and magnetic moments of the baryons appears to be possible.

Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. Varga for supplying us with the convenient parametrization of the nucleon wave function for the model of ref [4] and Professor M. Rosina for an instructive discussion. DOR thanks Professor V. Vento for valuable correspondence.

References

[1] F. Close, An Introduction to Quarks and Partons, Academic Press, London (1979)

[2] C. Hayne and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D25** (1982) 1944

[3] L.Ya. Glozman and D.O. Riska, Phys. Reports **268** (1996) 263

[4] L.Ya. Glozman, Z. Papp and W. Plessas, Phys. Lett. **B381** (1966) 311

[5] L.Ya. Glozman, Z. Papp, W. Plessas, K. Varga, R. Wagenbrunn, in preparation

[6] T.P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 2872

[7] A. Buchmann, E. Hernández and K. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. **A569** (1994) 661

[8] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 1181

[9] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 3473

[10] D.A. Dicus et al., Phys. Lett. **B284** (1992) 384

[11] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 1173

[12] A. Bosshard et al., Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 1962

[13] N.B. Wallace et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 3732

[14] L. Brekke, Ann. Phys. **240** (1995) 400

[15] I.M. Gaillard and G. Sauvage, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **34** (1984) 351

[16] K. Tsushima, D.O. Riska and P.G. Blunden, Nucl. Phys. **A559** (1993) 543

[17] L.Ya. Glozman and D.O. Riska, Nucl.Phys. **A603** (1966) 326

[18] D. Robson, Nucl. Phys. **A560** (1993) 389

[19] K. Tsushima and D.O. Riska, Nucl. Phys. **A549** (1992) 313

[20] P.G. Blunden and D.O. Riska, Nucl. Phys. **A536** (1992) 697

[21] R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J.Stoks and R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. **C 51** (1995) 38

Table 1

Magnetic moments of the ground state baryon octet and the Δ^{++} and Ω^- (in nuclear magnetons). Column IA contains the quark model impulse approximation expressions with the relativistic corrections. Columns I and II contain the impulse approximation values without and with the relativistic correction. The empirical values are from ref. [11-13].

	IA	exp	I	II
p	$\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}$	+2.79	+2.76	1.80
n	$-\frac{2}{3}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}$	–1.91	–1.84	–1.20
Λ	$-\frac{1}{3}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	–0.61	–0.67	–0.48
Σ^+	$\frac{8}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}+\frac{1}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	+2.46	+2.68	1.76
Σ^0	$\frac{2}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}+\frac{1}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	?	+0.84	0.56
$\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}$	|1.61|	–1.59	–1.04
Σ^-	$-\frac{4}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}+\frac{1}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	–1.16	–1.00	–0.64
Ξ^0	$-\frac{2}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}-\frac{4}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	–1.25	–1.51	–1.04
Ξ^-	$\frac{1}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}-\frac{4}{9}\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	–0.65	–0.59	–0.44
Δ^{++}	$2\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}$	4.52	5.52	3.60
$\Delta^+ \to p$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\frac{m_N}{m_u^*}$	3.1	2.6	1.70
Ω^-	$-\frac{m_N}{m_s^*}$	–2.019	–2.01	–1.44

Table 2

The axial coupling constants of the baryon octet. Column I gives the expressions in terms of the F and D coefficients and column II the static quark model prediction (with $g_A^q = 1$). Column III gives the predicted values with inclusion of the relativistic correction with $g_A^q = 1$ and column IV the predictions with $g_A^q = 0.87$. The empirical values are taken from refs. [11, 15].

	I	exp	II	III	IV
$n \to p$	$F + D$	1.26	1.67	1.35	1.17
$\Sigma^{\pm} \to \Lambda$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}D$	0.62	0.81	0.66	0.57
$\Sigma^{-} \to \Sigma^0$	$\sqrt{2}F$	0.67	0.94	0.76	0.66
$\Lambda \to p$	$-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(F + \frac{D}{3})$	0.88	1.22	1.01	0.88
$\Sigma^{-} \to n$	$-(F - D)$	0.34	0.33	0.28	0.24
$\Xi^{-} \to \Lambda$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(F - \frac{D}{3})$	0.31	0.40	0.34	0.30
$\Xi^{-} \to \Sigma^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(F + D)$	1.36	1.18	0.97	0.84
$\Xi^0 \to \Sigma^{+}$	$F + D$	?	1.67	1.38	1.20
$\Xi^{-} \to \Xi^0$	$F - D$	–0.28	–0.33	–0.27	–0.23

Table 3

The matrix elements of the flavor-spin operators $(F,S)_1 = (\vec{\tau}^1 \times \vec{\tau}^2)_3(\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$ and $(F,S)_2 = (\lambda_4^1\lambda_5^2 - \lambda_5^1\lambda_4^2)(\vec{\sigma}^1 \times \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$ for the ground state baryons.

	$< (F,S)_1 >$	$< (F,S)_2 >$
p	–4	0
n	4	0
Λ	0	2
Σ^+	0	–4
Σ^0	0	–2
$\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda$	$\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$
Σ^-	0	0
Ξ^0	0	4
Ξ^-	0	0
$\Delta^+ \to p$	$-4\sqrt{2}$	0

Table 4

The magnetic moments of the ground state baryon octet and the Δ^{++} and the Ω^- (in nuclear magnetons). In column I+R the impulse approximation values that include the relativistic corrections are given. In column EXCI the contributions from the nonrelativistic pseudoscalar exchange current operator (3.3b) are given. Column EXCII contains the contribution from the relativistic correction to the pseudoscalar exchange current operator (4.6). Column EXCIII contains the contribution from the short range exchange current operator (5.1). The column CONF contains the correction from the exchange current associated with the confining interaction. The sums of the exchange current and impulse approximation results are listed in column Total.

	I+R	EXCI	EXCII	EXCIII	CONF	Total	exp
p	1.80	0.10	0.07	1.35	–0.51	2.81	2.79
n	–1.20	–0.10	–0.04	–1.06	0.34	–2.06	–1.91
Λ	–0.48	–0.05	–0.01	–0.35	0.12	–0.77	–0.61
Σ^+	1.76	0.09	0.06	0.99	–0.44	2.46	2.46
Σ^0	0.56	0.05	0.02	0.37	–0.14	0.86	?
$\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda$	–1.04	–0.08	–0.03	–0.80	0.26	–1.69	$\|1.61\|$
Σ^-	–0.64	0	–0.03	–0.25	0.16	–0.76	–1.16
Ξ^0	–1.04	–0.09	–0.03	–0.69	0.23	–1.62	–1.25
Ξ^-	–0.44	0	–0.02	–0.20	0.10	–0.56	–0.65
Δ^{++}	3.60	0	0.18	1.76	–1.02	4.52	4.52
$\Delta^+ \to p$	1.70	0.14	0.06	1.50	–0.48	2.92	3.1
Ω^-	–1.44	0	–0.05	–0.44	0.28	–1.65	–2.019

Table 5

The matrix elements of the combinations of symmetric and antisymmetric flavor and spin operators defined in eqs. (4.6) for the ground state baryons. The spin and flavor operators are defined as follows: $S_1 = (\vec{\sigma}^1 + \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$, $S_2 = (\vec{\sigma}^1 - \vec{\sigma}^2)_3$, $F_1^S = \frac{2}{3}\vec{\tau}^1 \cdot \vec{\tau}^2 + (\vec{\tau}^1 + \vec{\tau}^2)_3$, $F_2^S = \frac{2}{3}(\lambda_4^1\lambda_4^2 + \lambda_5^1\lambda_5^2) - \frac{4}{3}(\lambda_6^1\lambda_6^2 + \lambda_7^1\lambda_7^2)$, $F_3^S = -[\frac{2}{3}\lambda_8^1\lambda_8^2 - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_8^1 + \lambda_8^2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2 + \lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2)]$, $F_1^A = (\vec{\tau}^1 - \vec{\tau}^2)_3$ and $F_2^A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}[\frac{2}{3}(\lambda_8^1 - \lambda_8^2) + (\lambda_8^1\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3^1\lambda_8^2)]$.

	$S_1F_1^SP_{00}^\pi$	$S_1F_2^SP_{00}^K$	$S_1F_3^SP_{00}^\eta$	$S_2F_1^AP_{00}^\pi$	$S_2F_2^AP_{00}^\eta$
p	$4P_{00}^\pi$	0	$\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\eta$	$4P_{00}^\pi$	$-\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
n	$-\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\pi$	0	$-\frac{4}{9}P_{00}^\eta$	$-4P_{00}^\pi$	$\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
Λ	0	$-\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^K$	$\frac{4}{9}P_{00}^\eta$	0	$-\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
Σ^+	$\frac{32}{9}P_{00}^\pi$	$\frac{8}{9}P_{00}^K$	$\frac{8}{9}P_{00}^\eta$	0	$\frac{8}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
Σ^0	$\frac{8}{9}P_{00}^\pi$	$-\frac{4}{9}P_{00}^K$	$\frac{4}{9}P_{00}^\eta$	0	$\frac{4}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
$\Sigma^0 \to \Lambda$	0	$-\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}P_{00}^K$	$\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}P_{00}^\eta$	$-\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}P_{00}^\pi$	0
Σ^-	$-\frac{16}{9}P_{00}^\pi$	$-\frac{16}{9}P_{00}^K$	0	0	0
Ξ^0	0	$\frac{8}{9}P_{00}^K$	$-\frac{8}{3}P_{00}^\eta$	0	$-\frac{8}{3}P_{00}^\eta$
Ξ^-	0	$-\frac{16}{9}P_{00}^K$	$-\frac{16}{9}P_{00}^\eta$	0	0
Δ^{++}	$16P_{00}^\pi$	0	$\frac{16}{3}P_{00}^\eta$	0	0
$\Delta^+ \to p$	$\frac{8}{3\sqrt{2}}P_{00}^\pi$	0	$\frac{8}{9\sqrt{2}}P_{00}^\eta$	$\frac{8}{\sqrt{2}}P_{00}^\pi$	$-\frac{8}{3\sqrt{2}}P_{00}^\eta$
Ω^-	0	0	$-\frac{32}{3}P_{00}^\eta$	0	0