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#### Abstract

W e show that in Euclidean eld theories that have bounce solutions, the bounce w ith the least action is the globalm inim um of the action in an open space of eld con gurations. A rigorous upper bound on the $m$ inim albounce action can therefore be obtained by nite num erical $m$ ethods. $T$ his sets a low er bound on the tunneling rate which, fortunately, is often the $m$ ore interesting and usefulbound. W e introduce a notion of reduction which allow s this bound to be com puted w th less e ort by reducing com plicated eld theories to sim pler ones.
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## 1 Introduction

Vacuum tunneling in eld theories was treated sem iclassically in ref. [1] [1]. In the sm all $h$ lim it the tunneling rate per unit volum e can be expanded as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{A} \exp \frac{\mathrm{~S}[\overline{[ }]}{\mathrm{h}} \quad[1+O(\mathrm{~h})]: \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent is the Euclidean action of the saddle point con guration called the \bounce". The symbol ${ }^{-}$denotes the entire eld con guration at the bounce. The prefactor A has the dim ensions of ( $m$ ass $)^{d}$ in a d dim ensional theory and can be form ally written as a ratio of two operator determ inants. The tunneling rate is usually estim ated by replacing A by $m^{d}$, where $m$ is a characteristic $m$ ass scale of the theory. The error in $m$ aking this approxim ation is not signi cant com pared to the error com ing from the exponential term which is determ ined by num erically com puting the bounce action $S$ [ ] (a m ore accurate estim ate $m$ ay be obtained in som e cases, see ref. [īin).
$T$ he determ ination of $=V$ is im portant in extensions of the standard $m$ odel where the e ective potentialm ay have several inequivalent vacua and the realistic and viable vacuum $m$ ay tum out to be only a localm in'm um of the potential. In that case, we would be living in a false vacuum now, and ourvery existence would im ply som e upperbound on the tunneling rate. If a lower bound on the tunneling rate can be obtained by analytical or num ericalm ethods, then a com parison $w$ th the experim ental upper bound $m$ ay place strong constraints on the param eters of the theory. O ne is therefore often interested in nding an upper bound on the bounce action by analytical or num ericalm ethods.

R ecently there hasbeen a renew ed interest in considering the tunneling efects in $m$ odels
 to constrain the param eter space of the existing $m$ odels of dynam ical supersym $m$ etry
 context of the $m$ inim al supersym $m$ etric standard $m$ odel (M SSM), where charge and color breaking (CCB) vacuua exist ț్1]. W hile analytical estim ates of the bounce action can be $m$ ade in special cases $[\underline{1} 1,1,1][1]$, the realistic $m$ odels of $\operatorname{SB}$ and M SSM often fall in the intractable cases where one $m$ ust resort to num erical $m$ ethods. W hen several elds and coupling constants are involved, the num erical search for the bounce becom es long and tim e consum ing. The search also needs to be repeated $m$ any tim es to $m$ ap a signi cant region of the param eter space. N ew techniques for approxim ating the bounce action in a short tim e are therefore welcom e.

In this paper we point out the lim itations ofexisting techniques of approxim ation and indicate a direction for further sim pli cations and im provem ents. In particular we show that all nite num erical $m$ ethods are usefiul only to nd an upper bound on the bounce action. $W$ e then develop a notion of $\backslash$ reduction" that provides an intuitive and system atic approach to better techniques for obtaining this bound.

## 2 The B ounce as a LocalM inim um

To begin with, let us consider a theory w ith $m$ scalar elds in d dim ensions. T he Euclidean action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=T+V ; \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T={ }^{P} \underset{a=1}{m} \frac{1}{2}{ }^{R} d^{d} x{\frac{\Theta a}{@ x_{i}}}^{2}$ and $V={ }^{R} d^{d} x U\left({ }_{1} ; 2:: m\right)$ with $i=1 ; 2::$ d and $a=$ $1 ; 2:: m$. Suppose the potential $U\left({ }_{1} ; 2::{ }_{m}\right)$ has a localm inimum ${ }_{1}$ at $a=0$ and a globalm inim um at $a={ }_{a}^{t}$. Then a bounce solution ${ }_{a}(x)$ m ust possess the follow ing three properties: (i) it approaches the false vacuum ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ att! 1 ; (ii) it is a saddle point of the action w ith a single \direction of instability"; (iii) at the tuming point (say at $t=0$ ), all the generalized velocities $\frac{\frac{1}{0 \cdot}}{\varrho x_{i}}$ are zero.

It w ill be usefiul to w rite down the e ect of the scale transform ation ( $\mathrm{x}!\mathrm{x}$ ) on T and $V$. In d dim ensions one has: $T!T={ }^{d}{ }^{2} T$ and $V!V={ }^{d} V$. Since the bounce is an extrem um of the action, we m ust have, $\frac{d}{d} S()_{j=1}=0$, from which it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{d} \quad 2) \mathrm{T}(\bar{\prime})+(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{V} \overline{( })=0: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $(\underline{2}-\overline{3})$ one also nds that $\left.\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} S \quad \overline{( }\right) j=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 2\end{array}\right)(d \quad 3) T(\bar{\prime})+d(d \quad 1) V \overline{( }\right)<0$ when $d>2$. Therefore the direction of instability associated $w$ ith the bounce has a com ponent corresponding to the scale transform ations for $d>2$.

In [1] 10 ] it was shown that in $d>2$ dim ensional theories w ith a single real scalar eld $(a=1)$, the bounce solution $w$ th the least action is an $O(d)$ invariant eld con guration $w$ ith the boundary conditions: $\frac{d_{1}(r)}{d r} \dot{j}=0=0$ and $\overline{1}_{1}(r) \dot{j}_{1}=0$, where $r$ is the radial coordinate in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$. In this case, the task of nding the bounce action num erically is rather easy. O ne sim ply solyes the Euclidian equations ofm otion from some initialpoint ${ }_{1}(0)$ and looks at the lim ting value $1_{1}(1)$. For arbitrary values of ${ }_{1}(0)$ the value of $1_{1}(1)$ is either an \overshoot" ( $1(1)>0$ ) or an \undershoot" ( $1(1)<0)$. Since the correct

[^1]value of ${ }_{1}(0)$ (called the \escape point") m ust lie between two trial values which end in an overshoot and an undershoot, the search converges rapidly by bisections.

The task is considerably $m$ ore com plicated in the case of theories $w$ ith $m$ any scalar elds. The bracketing property of the overshoot and the undershoot, which is obviously valid only when the eld is a real scalar quantity, is lost. T herefore one can not search for the escape point by integrating the equations ofm otion. Because the bounce is not a $m$ inim um of the action, but a saddle point, a sim plem inim ization of the action would not work either. For instance, the m ethod suggested in ref. [ī1] ofm axim izing the action of a eld con guration on the lattioe w ith respect to scale transorm ations and then $m$ inim izing it w ith repect to random variations of the elds is unlikely to converge to a bounce solution because variations that are orthogonal to a scale transform ation are hard to identify in an actual lattice com putation. A rem arkable sim pli cation is how ever achieved by adopting the $m$ ethod of ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 / 1\end{array}\right]$ which consists of adding to the E uclidean action other term $s$ that have the follow ing tw o properties:
(i) they vanish at the bounce,
(ii) they rem ove the instability associated w ith scaling, i.e, the \im proved" action w ith the new term $s$ is $m$ inim ized $w$ ith respect to all variations including the scale transform ation.

W hen term s like these are added to the action, a bounce appears as a localm in im um (instead of a saddle point) of the im proved action. An exam ple of the im proved action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}\left(a_{a}\right)=S\left(a_{a}\right)+n n j j^{p_{n}} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 2\end{array}\right) T+(d) V$ and $p_{n}$ and $n$ are positive num bers for $n=1 ; 2:::$. For $d>2$ the new term $s$ added to the action have the two properties $m$ entioned above tīī].

A though the $m$ ethod of im proved action reduces the problem to a pure m inim ization, the bounce is only a localm inim um in an in nite dim ensional space. In the absence of further inform ation, it is by no $m$ eans obvious that $m$ inim izations by nite num erical $m$ ethods actually yield a useful result. Typically, the discretization involved in the nu$m$ ericalm ethods generates spurious $m$ inim a of the im proved action. It is not clear if the result of such a search yields an action that is greater or less than the bounce action. This is a draw badk since one is often interested in a rigorous upper bound on the bounce action. A though nite num erical $m$ ethods $w$ ill alw ays have som e lim tations (which we discuss later) we will now show that they can be su cient to obtain such a rigorous upper bound. The approach suggested by us will also lead to new avenues of sim pli cation and re nem ent of num erical techniques.

## 3 The SphericalBounce as a G lobal M in im um

Let us de ne a sphericalbounce as an $O$ (d) sym m etric eld con guration with properties (i) and (ii) of the bounce provided the variations are restricted to preserve the O ( d ) invariance. C learly the $O$ (d) invariance of the con guration im plies that it has property (iii) of the bounce. O ur approach rests on the follow ing two observations about the spherical bounces. ${ }^{13}$

Statem ent 1: A sphericalbounce is also a true bounce.
Statem ent 2: The spherical bounce with the least action is a globalm inim um of the action in an open space of eld con gurations whid obey the boundary conditions appropriate for a bounœ.

The proofof Statem ent 2 is simpler for $d>2$. W ew ill discuss the cases $d=1 ; 2$ later. Before we sketch the proofs, let us rst point out som e im m ediate gains that result from the know ledge of the space $C_{1}$. At the heart of our aproach is the trivial point that it is alw ays easier to bound a globalm inim um from above than a localm inim um .

There are two general lim itations associated with nite num ericalm ethods. Firstly, in $m$ ulti-scalar theories there $m$ ay be several bounce solutions. The tunneling rate is determ ined by the bounce (or bounces) w ith the least action. There is no num erical $m$ ethod that guarantees convergence to the bounce with the least action. Secondly, any $m$ ethod of discretizing the im proved action ( $\overline{2} . \overline{4}$ ) introduces spurious localm inim a which are localm inim a of the discretized im proved action with respect to a nite dim ensional space of variations, but are not localm inim a when all continuous variations of the elds are considered. These spurious $m$ inim a $m$ ay convey no inform ation about any bounce action.

These lim itations prechude the possibility of nding the least bounce action to within controllable num erical errors in multi-scalar theories. H ow ever, as we have em phasized earlier, in $m$ any cases it is usefulto nd just an upper bound on the least bounce action. $T$ he know ledge of the space $C_{1}$ allow s one to approxim ate the bounce by a eld con guration that lies exactly on the space $C_{1}$ and provides a rigorous upper bound on the least spherical bounce action (which itself bounds from above the least bounce action). As it happens the space $C_{1}$ is quite simple and it is easy to nd eld con gurations lying on it. We now present the proofs of Statem ents 1 and 2 . The latter also de nes the space $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.

[^2]Proof of Statem ent 1: This statem ent is in fact a consequence of the principle of sym $m$ etric criticality
where $i$ are the angular coordinates and $f_{i}$ are the $m$ easures corresponding to the angular gradients $\frac{d a}{d_{i}}$. The quantity $S_{2}$ is positive de nite and is $m$ in im ized on the space of ( $d$ ) invariant con gurations. Therefore a spherical bounce is an extrem um with respect to the O (d) breaking variations too and no new negative eigenvalue is added to the H essian $\frac{\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}$ by considering the O (d) breaking variations. That is, the spherical bounce is also a true bounce. Q E D .

Proof of Statem ent 2 (for $d>2$ ): Consider the space of all $O$ ( $d$ ) invariant eld con gurations that satisfy the correct boundary conditions and are extrem ized w ith respect to the scale transform ations $x!x$. Let us call this space C. A llpoints in C obey
 is the connected space containing the trivial solution a 0 where all elds assum e their values at the the false vacuum at all tim es. At the trivial solution $V=0$, and in a sm all ball around this solution $V>0$ because the false vacuum is a localm in im um of energy. On the other hand from (2-3') it is clear that for points in $C, V \quad 0 . T$ hus $C_{0}$ consists of an isolated point.

Points in $C_{1}$ have $V<0$ and $T>0$. Every nontrivial con guration satisfying ( belongs to $C_{1}$. But the the point $G$ whid is the globalm inim um ofthe action in $C_{1}$ satis es the correct boundary conditions for the bounce, is a saddle point with a single direction of instability (the direction corresponding to the generator of scale transform ations which is \orthogonal" to $C_{1}$ ) and has $\frac{\varrho a}{@ t}=0$ at $t=0$ by the $O$ ( $d$ ) invariance. Therefore, by de nition, it is the sphericalbounce w the teast action. Q E D.

C om m ent 1: It is obvious that the action of an arbitrary eld con guration in the space $C$ is necessarily bounded below by either the action of the trivial solution $C_{0}$ or the action of a nontrivial solution of the equations ofm otion lying on $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. It is a rem arkable property of the space $C$ that it is always the latter. The essential point is this. In a discrete num ericalm ethod one allow s for variations of the action over a nite dim ensional
space only. If scale transform ations are included in the variations and $O$ (d) invariance is im posed throughout the search, then one is assured that the \bounce" obtained by the num ericalm ethod lies on the space $C_{1}$ and provides the required upper bound.

C om m ent 2: The $O$ (d) symmetry is indispensible for any num erical $m$ ethod because it reduces the number of variables drastically. However it is redundant from the point of view of de ning the space $C_{1}$. A $m$ ilder sym $m$ etry like the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry $a(t ; x)=a(t ; x)$ is su cient to ensure that $G$ has property (iii) of the bounce. O ne can rede ne the spaces $C$ and $C_{1} w$ th the $O$ (d) sym $m$ etry replaced by the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry. The corresponding point $G$ is then truely the bounce with the $m$ inim um action. This is because, as one can easily show, the point G is a true bounce and, conversely, every true bounce has the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry possessed by $G$. The latter assertion follow sfrom observing that the equations of $m$ otion are invariant under $t!\quad t$ and im posing $\frac{\frac{\square-a}{@ t}(t=0) \quad 0}{}$ im plies that any solution - of the equations ofm otion also has this sym $m$ etry.

C om m ent 3: There is $m$ ore to gain from the above approach than the insight that num erical $m$ ethods are usefiul only to nd upper bounds on the bounce action. New and $m$ ore e ective techniques are suggested. This is $m$ otivated as follow $s$. U nderlying any num erical technique is a discretization of the action. For instance a straightforw ard discretization of the im proved action w ith $O$ (d) sym m etry is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{S}()=T()+V()+\mathbb{T}()+2 V()\} \\
& T()=d_{n=1 a=1}^{X^{N}} X^{n} \frac{\left[{ }_{a}^{(n+1)} \sum_{a}^{(n)}\right]^{2}}{2^{2}} \\
& V()=\quad d_{n=1}^{X^{W}} n^{3} U\left(1_{1}^{(n)} ; 2_{2}^{(n)} ;::{\underset{m}{(n)}): ~}_{n}\right. \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he radial coordinate in $R^{d}$ is discretized into $N$ points and is the solid angle in $d$ dim ensions. The purpose of the discretization is to reduce the space of eld variations to a nite dim ensional space (to a space $C_{f}$ here, which is hom om onphic to $R^{N}{ }^{m}$ ).

There is no particular reason to believe that the discretization in ( $\overline{3} . \overline{6})$ is the $m$ ost convenient way of reducing the space of allvariations to a nite dim ensionalspace. Indeed, aswe show below, tunneling in theoriesw ith many scalar elds can beextrem ely di cult to explore w th the discretization of ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{-})$. Since them ost signi cant part of the com putations is to $m$ ake the nal point lie on the space $C_{1}$ and not how the reduction to som e space $C_{f}$ is achieved, the natural question to ask is: why not im plem ent the \reduction" of allow ed variations at an early stage by reducing the com plicated eld theory to a sim pler
one? The question itself suggests new and system atic ways of im proving the num erical techniques.

## $4 \quad \mathrm{M}$ ethods of R eduction

W e will seek new m ethods of reduction. O ur search is guided by the technical di culties encountered w ith the discretization of ( $\overline{3}-\mathrm{G}$. $)$. Let us brie y describe the essential features and the lim itations of the reduction characterizing this discretization. Wewill call this $m$ ethod of reduction $m$ ethod 1 .

M ethod 1: $W$ th the discretization ( $\overline{3} . \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) one $m$ inim izes the action $w$ th the constraint ( d 2 2) $\mathrm{T}+(\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{V}=0$. The constraint can be enforoed by m aking the Lagrange multiplier large. The result is a discrete trajectory ${ }^{-}{ }_{a}(n) w$ ith $n=1 ; 2:: \mathbb{N}$, which ${ }_{h}$ can be extended to the continuous and piecew ise linear function ${ }^{-}{ }_{a}(r)$ given by ${ }^{-}{ }_{a}(r)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & r+n\end{array}\right)_{a}^{-}(n)+(r n)_{a}^{-}(n+1)$ for $n \quad r<n+1$ and $_{a}^{-}(r)={ }_{a}(N)$ for $r>N$. $W$ hen $U$ is a polynom ial in a, the functions $T$ and $V$ can be calculated to arbitrary accuracy using this function. The condition ( $\overline{2}-3)$ ) $m$ ay not be exactly satis ed by $T$ and $V$ obtained at this point. But one can always perform a scale transform ation to satisfy (2.3) exactly at the end. Thus the $m$ ethod of im proved action is successfiul in bounding the bounce action provided one perform s the necessary scale transform ation at the end.

In this case the search space for the $m$ inim ization is $N \quad m$ dim ensional, with $m$ xed by the theory. In an $N \mathrm{~m}$ dim ensional Euclidean space, if one desires to reach $w$ ithin a distance of from a m in im um by random iterative search (as suggested in ref.[[]), the num ber of steps required is about $(\underline{1})^{\mathrm{Nm}}$, where $l$ is the $m$ axim um step length in any direction. O ne can im prove this by using a multidim ensional \greedy" minim ization technique such as the $C$ on jugate $G$ radient $M$ ethod [1] $\overline{1}]$. The com putationaltim e typically grows as som e power of m (depending on the com plexity of the function). A lso, the search space has $m$ any local m inim a w ith widely varying actions. U sually, one needs several iterations to arrive at a good one. C learly the com putation becom es harder as the num ber of elds $m$ increases. Therefore a $m$ ethod of reduction where the com putational com plexity and tim e do not depend on $m$ becom es desirable for theories $w$ th $m$ any elds. $T$ he altemative $m$ ethod of reduction presented below has precisely this virtue.
$M$ ethod 2: The action is given by (2-2'). The false vauum is at a $=0$. Choose a straight line, passing through the point $a=0$, in the $m$ dim ensional Euclidean space $R^{m}$ with axes given by $1 ; 2:: m$. The elds a can be constrained to take values only
on this straight line by putting ${ }_{a}=y_{a}$ where $y_{a}$ are real num bers staisfying ${ }^{P} \quad y_{a}^{2}=1$ and $h^{\text {is }}$ the reduced scalar eld. Then the action is reduced to the reduced action $S$ [ ] = ${ }^{R} d^{d} X \quad 1=2\left(\frac{\varrho}{\left(\mathrm{ex}_{1}\right)}\right)^{2}+U()^{1}$ which is a functional of a single real scalar eld and the bounce action in this reduced theory is easily com puted by the $m$ ethod of bisections described earlier. N ote that the bounce exists if and only if the chosen straight line passes through some point ${ }_{a}^{l}$ such that $U(0)>U \quad \frac{1}{a}$. This method is an obvious reduction that intultively seem s to be a correct smpli cation. H ow ever, the relation of the \bounce" obtained in the reduced theory to the true bounce $m$ ay not be im $m$ ediately clear. But note that the action is extrem ized w ith respect to all variations that do not $m$ ove the elds ${ }_{a}(x)$ out of the chosen straight line in eld space. The crucial point is that scale transform ations are included in these variations. Therefore the solution to the equation of $m$ otion satis es $\left(\underline{2}-Z_{1}^{3}\right)$ and by Statem ent 2 its action is rigorously an upper bound on the action of the bounce w ith least action in the full theory. The search tim e scales like
 has no dependence on $m$. The problem of $m$ ultiple bounces and spurious $m$ inim a does not usually arise. A lso, the result is autom atically a point on $C_{1}$ w thout the need for adding new term $s$ to the action as in (2. A ).

In practioe one encounters polynom ial potentials in $a(x)$. It is often possible to nd the position of the true vacuum or the saddle point in the potential between the true vacuum and the false vacuum. The lines joining these points to the false vacuum $m$ ay yield fairly low values for the upper bound on the bounce action. O ne can do the search over several lines which can be judiciously chosen.


Fig. 1. C om parison of $M$ ethods 1 and 2. The region above the dashed (solid) line is ruled out by $m$ ethod 1 ( $m$ ethod 1 ).

In $F$ igure 1 we show a com parison of the perform ance of the above two $m$ ethods in
a realistic D SB m odel [15] whose vacuum stability has been studied in ref. [ī]. For our purpose it is su cient to consider the scalar elds in the so called m essenger sector of the theory which serves to communicate supersym m etry breaking to the elds in the standard $m$ odel. For a natural range of param eters, the true vacuum in the $m$ essenger sector has been show $n$ to be color breaking [ī]. The relevant part of the action consists of the com plex elds P;N;S;q and $\bar{q}$. The last two elds carry color but the color index can be suppressed in this discussion. A part from the usual kinetic energy term $s$, the action has the potential:
where g; ; 1 and are positive coupling constants, $M_{2}^{2}<0$ and ${ }^{2}$ is of the order of $10{ }^{2}$. A false but phenom enologically viable vacuum exists at $q=\bar{q}=0$ and $f j=j \mathrm{j} j$ w th $\mathrm{S} \in 0$ if ${ }_{1}<\left({ }_{1}\right)=(+)$ 恼 $]$. W e have com puted the vacuum tunneling rate using both the $m$ ethods described above. In Figure 1 we plot our results in the ; 1 plane for
$=1: 0$ and ${ }^{2}=0: 01$. The region below the dotted line is allow ed analytically, but the region above the dashed (solid) curve is ruled out by m ethod 2 ( $m$ ethod 1) because the lifetim e of the false vacuum is shorter than the age of the universe. In this case a larger region in the param eter space is ruled out by using $m$ ethod 1 , but the use of $m$ ethod 2, which is much quicker to im plem ent, can vastly reduce the region of param eter space that one $m$ ust explore $w$ th $m$ ethod 1. T here $m$ ay also be theories where $m$ ethod 1 or its variationsis can provide superior bounds than $m$ ethod 2. A simple variation of m ethod 2 is obtained by replacing the straight line in $R^{m}$ by a curve. $W$ hen several intuitive choiges for the reduction are available, it is di cult to say which $m$ ethod $w$ ill provide superior results. H ow ever $m$ ethod 2 is alw ays the quickest one and should be used to rule out as m uch of the param eter space as possible.

## $5 d=1 ; 2$

W e would like to rem ark on the cases $d=1$ and $d=2$. Statem ent 2 is in fact generically true for $d=2$. To see this consider the deform ation of the functional $T$ in $S$ to $T$ () de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T()=\frac{1}{2}^{z} d^{2} x \frac{@}{a}_{@_{x_{i}}}^{!_{2}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{4} \mathrm{~W}$ e have suggested a di erent m ethod of reduction in a related context in ref. [1] ].
where is a positive real num ber. W ith this deform ation the equation $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{z})$ is m odi ed for $(d=2)$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T()+2 V=0: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Statem ent 2 is true as approaches zero from the positive direction. Thus $G$ rem ains a saddle point in the sense that it is a $m$ axim um of the action $w$ ith respect to som e variation orthogonal to $C_{1}$. H ow ever the negative eigenvalue of the $H$ essian $\frac{\varrho^{2} S}{\varrho_{a}^{2}} m$ ay approach zero as ! 0 . But there is no underlying sym $m$ etry to $m$ ake this situation generic. In other words, the eigenvalues of the $H$ essian are likely to be of the order ofm ${ }^{2}$ where $m$ is som e $m$ ass scale in the theory. U nless there is a sym $m$ etry to protect its sm allness, an eigenvalue can not be $m$ ade zero $w$ thout ne tuning the param eters of the theory.
$T$ he case $d=1$ can be treated as follow $s$. The equations ofm otion to be satis ed by the bounce are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2} a}{@ t^{2}}=\frac{@ U}{@ a} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the $m$ otion of a particle of unit $m$ ass in the potential $U(a)$. Let us de ne the surface $D$ in the $m$ dim ensional space of the $\backslash$ elds" a as the surface where $U=0$. The space D seperates as $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \quad \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ given by the part that is connected to the point $a=0$. By de nition $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ are not connected in $D$. C onsider the space of $Z_{2}$ invariant trajectories $a(t)=a(t) w i t h a_{a}(0) 2 D_{1}$, $(1)!D_{0}$ and satisfying the constraint $U\left[a_{a}(t)\right] \quad 0$. The action of these tra jectories is positive de nite and has a globalm in $\dot{m}$ um, which, we claim, is generically a bounce.

Let us brie y substantiate the claim which can also be proven along the lines of ref. [ the point $a_{a}(0)$ out of the surface $D_{1}$. Generically, connected parts in $D_{1}$ are $m \quad 1$ dim ensional surfaces. This leaves a single variation that moves a ( 0 ) out of $D_{1}$. We need to show that there is a direction of instability associated w ith this variation. The entire tra jectory is a solution of the equations ofm otion. By conservation of the \energy" $E={ }_{a=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d a}{d t}\right)^{2} \quad U(1 ; 2::: m)$, the total energy is zero on this trajectory and the velocity is zero at the \highest point" $\left(\frac{d a}{d t}(0)=0\right)$. This implies that the generator of tim e translations $\frac{\mathrm{da}}{\mathrm{dt}}$ has a node at $\mathrm{t}=0$. By tim e translational invariance of the bounce, the eigenvalue of the $H$ essian $\frac{\Theta^{2} S}{\varrho^{2}}$ that corresponds to tim e translations is zero. Therefore there is a nodeless variation $w$ ith a negative eigenvalue and $G$ is a bounce.
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