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#### Abstract

W e investigate azim uthal correlations in deep inelastic di ractive scat-  angle between the lepton plane and some direction in the hadronic nal state can be written in a simple form ; its $m$ easurem ent can be used to constrain the cross section for longitudinally polarised photons. U sing the $m$ odelofnonperturbative tw o-ghon exchange ofLandsho and Nachtm ann we calculate the distribution of the azim uthal jet angle in di ractive dijet production and nd that usefulbounds on the longitudinal cross section for such events $m$ ight be obtained from its $m$ easurem ent. W e then discuss the predictions of this $m$ odel for the dependence of the ep cross section on the azm uthal angle of the proton rem nant p, which contains inform ation about the helicily content of the pom eron.
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## 1 Introduction

O ur know ledge of di ractive physics in deep inelastic electron-proton scattering is greatly increasing as di ractive events are being studied in $m$ ore and $m$ ore detail at HERA [in]. The phenom enology of these events has $m$ any aspects, and
 D espite various successes of these $m$ odels we are yet far from a clear theoretical picture of what pom eron physics is in term s of QCD. D etailed studies of the characteristics of the nal state $m$ ight help to further our understanding of the underlying $m$ echanism $s$ and to distinguish betw een various $m$ odels.

Them easurem ent oftw $o$ di erent kinds of azim uthal angles has recently been proposed: the azim uthal angle of the scattered proton [G], $\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{q}]$ or, equivalently, of the di ractive system as a whole, and the azim uthal angle of the jets in events $w$ ith only two jets of large transverse $m$ om entum in the di ractive nal state [ $\left.11 i_{1}^{1}\right]$. The present paper w illbe concemed w ith both issues and has tw o purposes: to discuss som e general aspects of azim uthal distributions in di raction and to present in detailpredictions for such distributions in them odelofnonperturbative two-ghon exchange of Landsho and $N$ achtm ann [1-2, 1, .

The structure of this paper is as follow s. In sec.i" we generalise the form alism of $\left.{ }_{[-1}^{9}\right]$ for azim uthaldistributions in di raction and show which constraints on the p cross section for longitudinal photons theirm easurem ent can provide. A corresponding fram ew ork has long been used in various processes in non-di ractive
 jets in di ractive dijet production. Som e features of its distribution are quite characteristic for two-ghon exchange and $m$ ight o er a way to test the two-ghon approxim ation in this type ofevents as was already pointed out in tīi]. W e calculate the angular dependence in the Landsho N achtm ann m odel and show which bounds on the longitudinal cross sections could be obtained from its m easure$m$ ent. W e also show how this $m$ ethod can be generalised to nal states that do not necessarily have tw o-jet topology. In sec.'ī' we generalise the calculation to nonzero $t$ and obtain the corrections this gives for the $p$ cross sections and for the distribution of the azim uthal jet angle. U sing this calculation we investigate a genuine nite-t e ect in sec. $\underline{b}_{\text {ber }}$ the correlation between the azim uthal angles of the scattered lepton and proton. In $\left.\underline{ధ}_{\underline{9}}^{1}\right]$ it was shown that this observable contains in form ation about the helicity structure of the pom eron and argued that it m ight provide a sensitive test of various theoretical ideas about the underlying dynam ics. $W$ e conclude w ith a sum $m$ ary in sec.'I'q.

## 2 A zim uthal angle dependence in di raction

W e begin by extending the form alism of $[\underline{9}]$ to a large class of azim uthal angles in di ractive electron-proton or positron-proton collisions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(k)+p(p)!e\left(k^{0}\right)+P(p)+X\left(p_{x}\right) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the proton rem nant $p$ can be a proton or a di ractive excitation of a proton and where fourm om enta are indicated in parentheses. We w ill use the conventional kinem atic quantities $Q^{2} ; W^{2} ; x ; y ; s ; t$ for deep inelastic scattering, $M_{X}$ for the invariant $m$ ass of the di ractive system $X$, and the variables $=$ $Q^{2}=\left(Q^{2}+M_{x}^{2} \quad t\right)$ and $=\left(Q^{2}+M_{X}^{2} \quad t\right)=\left(W^{2}+Q^{2} \quad m_{p}^{2}\right)$.

W orking in the p rest fram e one can w rite the azim uthal dependence of the ep cross section in a sim ple way by $m$ aking use of the factorisation of $(\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ into em ission by the electron or positron and a di ractive photon-proton collision

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)+p(p)!X\left(p_{x}\right)+p(p): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To achieve this it is essential that the selection of di ractive events, e.g. the de nition of a rapidity gap between $X$ and $\beta$, is una ected by a com $m$ on rotation about the $p$ axis of the $m$ om enta in the hadronic nalstate $X p, w$ th the lepton m om enta k and $\mathrm{k}^{0}$ being kept xed. This is guaranteed if the selection criteria only involve quantities of the $p$ reaction, i.e. if they do not refer to the lepton m om enta k and $\mathrm{k}^{0}$. E xam ples for such criteria have been given in [9] 1 .
$W$ e de ne an azim uthalangle w ith respect to a direction in the hadronic nal state $\mathrm{X} P$. To this end we introduce a four-vectorh which depends only on particle $m$ om enta in the $p$ reaction $\left(\underline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$, i.e. on $p, q$ and the $m$ om enta of the nalstate hadrons. U sing a right-handed Cartesian coordinate system w ith the z axis in the direction of the photon $m$ om entum $q$ and som $e$ xed $x$ and $y$ axes we de ne ' as the azim uthal angle betw een the lepton $m$ om entum $k$ and the vector $h$, i.e. as the azim uthal angle of $k m$ inus the azim uthal angle of $h$.

W e also use h to introduce polarisation vectors for the virtual photon:
$"_{0}=\frac{1}{Q^{q} \frac{1}{1+m_{p}^{2} Q^{2}=\binom{p}{q}} q+\frac{Q^{2}}{p} \underset{q}{p} ; \quad "_{1}=q \frac{h_{T}}{h_{1}^{2}} ; \quad "_{2}=p \frac{n}{n^{2}} ; ~ ; ~}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{T}=h \frac{(p \quad q)(p h){ }_{p}^{2}(\mathrm{pq} h)}{(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} Q^{2}} \mathrm{q} \frac{\left.(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q})(\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{~h})++^{2} \mathrm{~h}\right)}{(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} Q^{2}} \mathrm{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the transverse part of $h$ with respect to $p$ and $q$, and $n=" p q h$ is norm al to $p, q$ and $h$. Polarisationsfor positive or negative photon helicity are as usual given by " = ("1 in $)=\overline{2}$.

The contractions of " , "0, "+ w ith the appropriate $m$ atrix elem ent of the hadronic electrom agnetic current eJ give the am plifudes eM $m$ for subreaction (2-2') $w$ ith photon helicity $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eM m }=\text { hX p out jeJ ( } 0 \text { ) jpi m" ; } \quad m=; 0 \text {; }+ \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding di erential cross sections d mm are obtained by $\mathrm{m} u l t i p l y i n g$ $M_{m} M_{m} W$ ith the phase space elem ent of the hadronic nal state $X p$ and $w$ ith a nom alisation factor, sum $m$ ing over the states $X p$ allowed by our selection criteria for the di ractive reaction and averaging over the initial proton spin. O ur norm alisation factor corresponds to $H$ and's convention $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ for the photon ux. From $M_{m} M_{n}$ w ith $m n$ we de ne in an analogous $m$ anner di erential interference term $s d_{m n}$ between photons $w$ ith helicities $m$ and $n$. It is easy to see that the $m$ atrix $d_{m n}$ is hem itian, $d_{m n}=d_{n m}$.
$W$ th the requirem ent on the selection cuts form ulated above the $d_{m} n$ are invariant under a com $m$ on rotation of the $m$ om enta in the hadronic nal state $\mathrm{X} \beta$ about the p axis. This is because our transverse photon polarisations are not xed but vary w ith the nal state as they depend on $h$. O ne can show that the cross sections $d m$ are the sam $e$ for di erent choioes of this vector, whereas the interference term s are not. In the follow ing sections we will put extra labels on the angle' and the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{n}$ to distinguish di erent choioes of $h$, though for the diagonals $\mathrm{dmm}_{\mathrm{m}}$ this would not be necessary.

Integrating over the phase space of the hadronic nalstate we obtain a m atrix m n . W e will also consider p cross sections and interference term s that are di erential in som e kinem atical variables of the nalstate, such as them om entum of the proton rem nant or intemal variables of the system X.Wewill only use variables that can be de ned as Lorentz invariant functions of the fourfm om enta in the $p$ reaction. P rovided that the selection criteria for our reaction do not refer to any particular fram $e$, the di erential cross sections and interference term $s$ are then Lorentz invariant and as a consequence depend only on $W^{2}, Q^{2}$ and the variables in which they are di erential. $D$ ue to the rotation invariance property just $m$ entioned they are independent of the azim uthal angle of $h$ in our xed coordinate system and hence also of' . An im portant property follow ing from angular $m$ om entum conservation is that interference term $s w h i d h$ are di erential in the direction of $h$ vanish when $h$ becom es collinear $w$ th $q$ and $p$, in which case the azim uthal angle' is unde ned $\stackrel{\overline{9}}{\boldsymbol{q}}$.

T he ep cross section can now be written as $\frac{1 \overline{9}, 1]}{}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \quad(e p!e p X)}{d x d Q^{2} d^{\prime}}=\frac{2^{\sim}}{2} \frac{1}{2}(+++)+" 00 \\
& " \cos \left(2^{\prime}\right) R e_{+}+" \sin \left(2^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Im}+ \\
& \text { q } \overline{"(1+")} \cos ^{\prime} \operatorname{Re}(+0 \quad 0) \\
& { }^{q} \overline{n(1+")} \sin ^{\prime} \operatorname{Im}(+0+\quad 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+r_{L}^{q} \overline{"(1} \quad "\right) \sin \quad \operatorname{Im}(+0 \quad 0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have integrated over a trivial overall angle, nam ely the azim uthalangle of the scattered lepton in the ep fram $\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the helicity of the incom ing lepton, which is approxim ated to be $m$ assless, " $=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & y\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y+ \\ y\end{array}=2\right)$ is the usual ratio of longitudinal and transverse photon ux and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\sim}=\frac{e m}{Q^{2}} \frac{1}{x} 1 \quad y+y^{2}=2 ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the expressions of " and $2^{\sim}$ we have neglected term sof order $x^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}$. Equation ( $\overline{2} . \bar{G})$ rem ains valid if its lh.s. and the $\mathrm{m} n$ on its rh.s. are $m$ ade di erential in additional variables as described above. Since the p cross sections and interference term $s$ are independent of 'the dependence of the ep cross section on this angle is explicitly given by the trigonom etric functions in (2. 2 . G ).

Let us have a closer look at those com binations of the $m \mathrm{n}$ that are $m$ ultiplied w ith the lepton helicity $r_{\mathrm{L}}$ in (2.- ${ }^{(1)}$ ). Tom ake their rolem ore apparent we introduce di erential cross sections and interference term $s d{ }_{k l} w$ th $k ; 1=0 ; 1 ; 2$ analogous
 of " , " 0 , "+ in the helicity basis. $W$ e have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{++}+d \quad\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{11}+d_{22}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Red}+=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{11} \quad \mathrm{~d}_{22}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Im} \mathrm{~d}_{+} & =\mathrm{Red}_{\mathrm{P}}^{12} \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{+0} \mathrm{~d}\right. & 0)
\end{aligned}=\mathrm{p}_{-} \mathrm{Red}_{10} . \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{d}_{+0}+\mathrm{d} 0\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{Red}}^{20} 10 \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{++} \mathrm{d}\right)=\quad \operatorname{lm} \mathrm{d}_{12} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Re}\left(d_{+0}+d_{0}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{2}^{2} \operatorname{Im} d_{20} \\
\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{+0} d_{0}\right)=\sum_{2} \operatorname{Im} d_{10}:
\end{array} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The term $s$ that depend on the lepton helicity in the ep cross section are seen to be the im aginary parts of $p$ interference term $s$ for linearly polarised photons. $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}$ is given by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{multi}$ lied w ith a phase space elem ent and real factors, summed over the appropriate nal states and averaged over the initial proton spin, where we de ne the amplifude eM ${ }_{k}$ for the reaction $p!X p w$ ith photon polarisation $"_{k}$ in analogy to (2.-5). The im aginary parts of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k} 1}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{l}$ are obviously zero if the phase of this amplitude does not depend on the photon polarisation. N ote that any (convention dependent) phase of jX $\beta$ outi and jpi drops out in $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k} 1}$. A lso there are no phases com ing from $"_{k}$, " ${ }_{1}$ because for linearly polarised photons the polarisation vectors are purely real; circular polarisation introduces extra phases in the $p$ interference term $s$.

W e em phasise that in order for $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kl}}$ to vanish the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$ do not have to be real. The absence of nal state interactions gives vanishing interference term s if
 but this is a su cient condition, not a necessary one. H ere we are concemed w ith di raction and the phases of our am plitudes are certainly nonzero. H ow ever, for pure pom eron exchange they are given by the signature factor and thus independent of the polarisation. It is beyond the scope of this paper to investigate whether for exam ple the supenposition of pom eron exchange with exchange of secondary trajectories or w th m ultiple exchanges could lead to polarisation dependent phases that $m$ ight be tested $w$ th longitudinally polarised lepton beam s.

G oing badk to the $m \mathrm{n}$ for photons $w$ ith de nite helicity, we now $m$ ake use of the parity invariance of strong interactions. It relates $m \mathrm{n}$ for di erent $\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}$, provided that the selection criteria are parity invariant and that $h$ is a vector,
 conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
m n\left(\mathbb{W}^{2} ; Q^{2}\right)=(1)^{m+n} \quad m ; n\left(\mathbb{W}^{2} ; Q^{2}\right): \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this and the hem iticity of m n one obtains the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
++=; \quad+\quad+=+; \quad+0=0 \text {; } \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the expression (2.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{ep} \mathrm{!} \mathrm{epX})}{\mathrm{dxddQ}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}=\frac{2^{\sim}}{2}+++{ }^{\sim} 00 \quad " \cos \left(2^{\prime}\right)+ \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For linear photon polarisations the relations corresponding to (2.d) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
20=02=21=12=0 \text {; } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. transverse photons w ith polarisation penpendicular to $h_{T}$ of ( $\left.\overline{2} . \overline{4}\right)$ do not interfere when the nalstatem om enta are integrated over. Expressions analogous
 term s , provided that they depend only on parity even variables, i.e. that one sum $s$ the $d_{m n}$ over a parity invariant set of nal states.

### 2.1 B ounds on the cross section for longitudinal photons

W e now show how the $m$ easurem ent of the '-dependence in the ep cross section (2.1ב1') can be used to constrain the p cross section for longitudinal photons as was pointed out in $\left.{ }_{[9,1}^{9}\right] . \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{n}$ is a positive sem ide nite m atrix, which w th the


$$
\begin{equation*}
++++0 ; \quad 00(++\quad+) 2 j_{+0}{ }^{\jmath}: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From them easurem ent of the - dependence in (2.1.1) one can extract the w eighted sum $"=++$ " 00 oftransverse and longitudinal pcross sections aswellas the interference term $s+, R e+0$ and $\mathrm{Im}+0$. For $\mathrm{Im}+0$ one needs longitudinally polarised electron or positron beam $s$. W ith unpolarised beam s one can use the weaker constraints obtained by replacing $j+0 j w$ th $R e+0$ in ( follow ing.

Substituting " " oo for + + in $\left(\underline{1} \mathbf{1}^{3}\right)$ the rst condition gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
00 \frac{{ }^{++}+}{"} \text {; } \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the second becom es a quadratic inequality in 00 which leads to

$N$ ote that the constraints in $\left(\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are the better the larger $j+0 j$ is com pared $w$ th " + . This is what one would intuitively expect: a large interference term between longitudinal and transverse polarisations im plies that neither 00 nor ++ can be very $s m$ all, i.e. oo can be netther very sm all nor very large com pared $w$ th $\quad$. For $j+0 j \quad$ " the bounds (2, di er only by term $s$ of relative order $j+0 \jmath^{2}=(n+)^{2}$ from the weaker (but sim pler) conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 j+0 j^{j}}{"+} \quad 00 \quad n+ \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

 all bounds are decreasing w th " if the cross sections + + , 00 and interference term s + , + o are kept xed, so that the lower bound is better for sm aller ", i.e. larger y , whereas the opposite holds for the upper bounds. N otice how ever that at xed $s$ a change in $y=\left(\mathbb{W}^{2}+Q^{2} \quad m_{p}^{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}s & m_{p}^{2}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{m}$ eans a change in $W^{2}+Q^{2}$ and $w$ ill also change the $m n$. If their dependence on $W^{2}+Q^{2}$ is only through a com $m$ on global factor then this factor drops out in the ratios betw een the bounds on 00 and 00 itself.

Bounds of the form $\overline{(2)}-14)$ to $(\overline{2}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$ can also be derived for di erential $p$ cross sections and interference term $s$ if they satisfy parity constraints analogous to (2..9'), i.e. if they depend only on parity invariant variables. Thus one can
 for di erential cross sections and then integrating them.

The usefiulness of the bounds derived here depends of course on how large the interference term s are. They will in generalbe better in som e parts of phase space than in others, a point we w ill illustrate in section is

An im portant point is that this $m$ ethod allow $s$ to constrain the longinudinal cross section for xed ", i.e. xed $y$. A s is well known, a m easurem ent of the longitudinal cross section requires a variation of $y$, which $m$ eans that one $m$ ust either $m$ easure the ep cross section at di erent $c m$. energies ${ }^{p} \bar{s}$, or, if $s$ is kept xed, have inform ation on how the transverse and longinudinal pcross sections depend on $W^{2}+Q^{2}$.

We nally rem ark that up to now we have not used the requirem ent of a fast outgoing proton or a rapidity gap between $X$ and the proton rem nant $\beta$ in reaction (2].1"). The analysis developed here, and in particular the possibility to constrain the longitudinal cross section, is directly applicable to ordinary deep inelastic scattering. In fact, there has been much work on azim uthal correlations in exchusive or sem i-inclusive hadron production and in sem i-inclusive jet production [1]i], w th the vector $h$ de ning the azim uthal angle' taken as the $m$ om entum of the hadron or jet, resp. The' -dependence of the ep cross section
 appropriately de ned for the the process and angle under consideration.

## 3 A zim uthal dependence of dijet production

### 3.1 K inem atics

O ur rst exam ple of an azim uthal angle in di raction concems events where the proton is scattered elastically and the di ractive nal state X consists of a quark-antiquark pair at parton level,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(k)+p(p)!e\left(k^{0}\right)+p(\beta)+q\left(P_{q}\right)+q\left(P_{q}\right) ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which hadronises into two jets. We allow for a nite mass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ of the quark and antiquark. For the vector de ning a direction in the dijet system we choose

$$
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{P}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}} \tag{32}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and work in a reference frame where the incom ing $p$ is collinear $w$ th the the photon m om entum de ning the z axis, and where the total m om entum of the qq-pair along this axis is zero. It is related to the p cm . by a boost in $z$ direction, so that azim uthal angles are the sam e in both fram es. W e introduce the azim uthal angle ' qq between the electron $m$ om entum $k$ and $P$ as in sec. ' ${ }^{2}$ ',' and the azim uthalangle between $P$ and ,where $=p \quad P$ is the $m$ om entum transfer from the proton. $W$ ew illintegrate over in the present section. A nother useful variable is the longitudinal com ponent $P_{L}$ of $P$, and thus $\circ{ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}$, along the photon direction. Its range is from $P_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{m} a x}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax w ith $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{max}}=9 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}=4 \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}$, and thus independent of . This is in contrast to the length of the transverse
part $P_{\text {t }}$ of $P$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{T}^{2}=\frac{M_{X}^{2}=4}{} \quad m_{q}^{2} \quad P_{L}^{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$O n l y$ for $t=0$ do the transverse $m$ om enta of $q$ and $q$ balance; then $P_{T}^{2}$ is just the squared transverse jet $m$ om entum. $P_{\text {L }}$ is parity invariant, which will allow us to
 of the ep cross section.

Experim entally it is di cult to establish which of the two jets originated in the quark $q$ and which in the antiquark $q$. It is therefore useful to sum over nal states w here the $m$ om enta of $q$ and $q$ are interchanged, in other words over $P$ and
P. O ne can show that after this sym $m$ etrisation the transverse and longitudinal
p cross sections and the transverse-transverse interference term s are even in $P_{L}$, whereas the transverse-longitudinal interference term $s$ are odd in $P_{L}$ and vanish at $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}=0$.

To de ne an azim uthal angle after sum $m$ ing over $P$ and $P$ one can distinguish the tw o jets kinem atically, e.g. according to which one points in the forw ard direction $w$ th respect to the photon. Let $P_{F}$ be the fourm om entum of the forward and $P_{B}$ that of the backw ard jet, and choose for the direction $h$ instead of (3ิ)

$$
h=P_{F B}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P_{F} & P_{B} \tag{3.4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

w th the corresponding relative azim uthal angle ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ between $k$ and $P_{F B}$. The longitudinal com ponent of $P_{F B}$ is $f_{L} j$. $W$ riting $P_{F B}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(P_{L}\right) \quad P$ we se that $P_{F B}$ is a polar vector so that we can again use ( (2. $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for the ep cross section.

In the case $P_{L}=0$, i.e. when the jet $m$ om enta are penpendicular to the $p$ axis, eq. ( $\overline{3}-\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) leaves the sign of $h$ unde ned, as the attribution of $P_{F}$ and $P_{B}$ to the jets is am biguous. This leads to an am biguity between azim uthal angles ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ and ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}+$ and hence a to sign ambiguity for $C O S^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ and $\sin { }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ but not for $\cos \left(2^{\prime}{ }_{F B}\right)$ and $\sin \left(2^{\prime}{ }_{F B}\right)$. As m entioned above the transverse-longitudinal interference term $s$ vanish at $P_{L}=0$ when sum $m$ ed over $P$ and $P$, so that no am biguity rem ains in the ep cross section.

The sum $m$ ation over $P$ and $P$ is in fact trivialunder the assum ption that the di ractive exchange has de nite charge conjugation parity, which is of course the case for pom eron or tw o-ghon exchange. A pplying charge con jugation invariance of the strong interactions to the photon dissociation part of the p subreaction it then follow s that the di erential cross section for ( exchange $q$ and $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \quad\left(e \mathrm{p}!e p+q\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{d} \quad\left(\mathrm{ep}!\quad \mathrm{ep}+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)\right): \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To sum over $P$ and $P$ and change from the variable $P$ to $P_{F B}$ we thus only need to multiply the cross section w th 2 and replace ' ${ }_{q q} w$ th ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ and $P_{L} w$ th $f_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}$.

### 3.2 The dijet cross section at $t=0$ in the Landsho -

 N achtm ann modelIn this subsection we give the di erential cross section for reaction ( $(\overline{1} .1$.$) at t=0$ in the model of Landsho and $N$ achtm ann (LN) 12 longitudinal $p$ cross sections have been com puted in $\left.{ }_{[-1}\right]$, and the calculation of the interference term s goes along the sam e lines. W e therefore only recall the essentials of the model and give the nal results.

The LN m odelwas developed to give a sim ple QCD based description of the soft pom eron. It approxim ates pom eron exchange by the exchange of two gluons which are taken as nonperturbative, i.e. they have a nonperturbative propagator
$g D\left(1^{2}\right)$ instead of $g=l^{2}$ in Feynm an gauge. T he nonperturbative propagatorD ( $\left(^{2}\right.$ ) is a di cult quantity to com pute and indeed there is no consensus in the literature about its behaviour at sm all $l^{2}$ [1] this issue here. We w ill instead follow the rather model independent approach of considered process can be approxim ated in such a way that it depends on D ( $1^{2}$ ) only via certain sim ple integrals, so that it is not necessary to know the detailed functional form ofD ( $1^{2}$ ). In the present paper we will need the tw o $m$ om ents

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{dl}^{2}\left[{ }_{s}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Z})\right]^{2}=\frac{9{ }_{0}^{2}}{4} ;  \tag{3.6}\\
& \mathrm{z}_{1}{ }_{0} \mathrm{dl}^{2}\left[{ }_{s}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Z})\right]^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{l}=\frac{9_{0}^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}}{8} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \quad 2: 0 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$ and $0 \quad 1: 1 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}$ have been estim ated from data' From the ratio of ( $\overline{3},-\overline{1})$ and ( $\overline{3} . \overline{\mathrm{G}}){ }_{0}^{2}$ appears as the scale characteristic for the behaviour of $D$ on $\overline{2}^{2}$. Follow ing the nonperturbative region which dom inates the $1^{2}$-integrations in $(\overline{3}-\bar{d}),(\overline{3} . \overline{1})$.

In this model the reaction $p!q q p$ is described by the exchange of two gluons between a quark in the proton and the qq-pair into which the virtual photon splits. In the high-energy lim it its am plitude is purely im aginary and thus can be calculated by cutting the corresponding Feynm an diagram $s$ in the s-channel. In each diagram there is then exactly one o-shell quark, nam ely one of the quarks into which the photon dissociates. Its typical virtuality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}{1}=\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \frac{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}{M_{X}^{2}} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be seen as the relevant scale of hardness of the process [4, in ${ }_{-1}$ ]. We obtain the ep cross section from the $m$ aster equation $\left(\overline{2}-1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ as
$d \underset{+0}{q q}=\left(\mathrm{dP}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{dt}\right)$ is purely real in our approxim ation since the p am plitude is purely im aginary, so that its phase is independent of the photon polarisations, see our discussion in sec.i'2 . For the di erential p cross sections and interference termswe nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \underset{m n}{q q}}{d P_{L} d d t}=\frac{8}{3} \text { em } e_{q}^{2}{\frac{s}{s}\left(^{2}\right)}_{s_{s}^{(0)}}^{2(1} \quad{ }^{(0)}(0) \frac{1}{M_{X}\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}} S_{m n}^{q q} ; \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{q}$ is the electric charge of the produced quark in units of the positron charge and $p(t)=1++t_{\text {w ith }} 0: 085$ and ${ }^{0} \quad 0: 25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ the soft pom eron trajectory. The reduced cross sections

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{++}^{\text {qq }}=1 \quad 2 \frac{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}{M_{X}^{2}} \frac{P_{T}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}\left(M_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} L_{1}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{m_{q}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} L_{2}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right)^{2} ; \\
& S_{00}^{q q}=4 \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{x}^{2}} \frac{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}{M_{X}^{2}}\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} L_{2}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right)^{2} ; \\
& S_{+}^{q q}=2 \frac{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}{M_{X}^{2}} \frac{P_{T}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}\left(M_{x}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} L_{1}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right)^{2} ; \\
& S_{+0}^{q q}=2_{2}^{p} 2 \frac{Q}{M_{X}} \frac{P_{L} P_{T} j}{M_{X}^{2}}\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} L_{1}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right) L_{2}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; W\right) ; \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

whose norm alisation has been chosen for later convenience, involve loop integrals
over the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(v ; w)=1 \quad \frac{1}{2}^{4} 1 \quad \frac{v+1}{} \frac{2 \mathrm{w}}{(\mathrm{v}+1} \begin{array}{lll}
2 \mathrm{w})^{2}+4 \mathrm{w}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right)^{5}
\end{array} ; \\
& \left.f_{2}(\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{w})=1 \frac{1}{(\mathrm{v}+1} \frac{2 \mathrm{w})^{2}+4 \mathrm{w}(1}{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}\right) \mathrm{l} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

of the dim ensionless variablesin

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{2} ; \quad \mathrm{w}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{2}=\left(1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}:\right. \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing that due to the squared ghon propagator the dom inant values of $l_{1}^{2}$ in the loop integrals $L_{i}$ are sm all com pared with ${ }^{2}$ we can Taylor expand $f_{i}(\mathrm{v}$;w) at $\mathrm{v}=0$ and approxim ate

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(v ; w) \quad v{\frac{@ f_{i}(v ; w)}{@ v}}_{v=0} ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]so that the rem aining integral is given by ( $\left(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~T}} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. The integral $(\overline{3}, \overline{\mathrm{~B}})$ does not appear because both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ vanish at $v=0$. In this approxim ation (3) becom es
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{M_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{ }_{2}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{w})_{\text {; }}^{=} ; \\
& \mathrm{S}_{00}^{\mathrm{qq}}=\frac{9_{0}^{2} 0_{0}^{!_{2}}}{8} \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{w})^{2} ; ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& S_{+}^{q q}=\frac{9_{0}^{2} 2_{0}^{!}}{8}{ }^{q_{2}} \frac{M_{X}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}} \frac{P_{T}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}(1 \quad w)^{2} \text {; } \\
& S_{+0}^{q q}=\frac{9_{0}^{2} 2_{0}^{!}}{8}{ }^{2} P_{4} \frac{Q}{M_{X}} \frac{M_{X}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}} \frac{P_{L}-P_{T} j}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & w
\end{array}\right)(1 \quad 2 w): \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

As a benchm ark we have com pared the integrals $L_{i}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; w\right)$ in the approxim ation ( $\left.\overline{3} \cdot 1)^{-1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith their exact values for the m odel ghon propagator used in [1] 1 - $]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Z}) / \mathrm{l} 1+{\frac{\mathrm{l}^{2}}{(\mathrm{n} 1)_{0}^{2}}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 4 \text {; } \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the proportionality constant can easily be obtained from $\left\{\frac{13}{(1-6)}\right.$. Forn! 1 this becom es $D(\underline{i}) / \exp \left(\hat{i}={ }_{0}^{2}\right)$. We nd that the value of $n$ has little in uence on the $L_{i}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} ; \mathrm{w}\right)$, and that the approxim ations $\left(\overline{3} . \overline{1} \mathbf{I}_{1}\right)$ are in general rather good, except how ever for som e regions of param eter space. In particular the approxim ation of $L_{1}$ becom es bad for $w$ close to 1 and for small $P_{T}^{2}$. On the other hand $L_{2}$ becom es zero and changes its sign for some $P_{T}^{2}$ if $w>1=2$ because the function $f_{2}(v)$ changes sign at $v=w \quad{ }_{T}^{2} \equiv P_{T}^{2}=2(2 w \quad 1)$. The param eters $P_{T}^{2}$; $w$ for which $L_{2}$ vanishes are not always well reproduced by the approxim ation; it can be seen that for given $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ the value $\mathrm{w}=1=2$ obtained
 overestim ated

A $n$ im proved approxim ation, also leading to the $m$ om ent $\left(\overline{3} . \overline{T_{1}}\right)$, is achieved by replacing (

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(v ; w) \quad v \frac{f_{i}\left(v_{0} ; w\right)}{v_{0}} ; \quad v_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{l}_{0}^{2}}{2} ; \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we take $I_{0}^{2}={ }_{0}^{2}$. W th this approxim ation the values $P_{T}^{2}$; w where $L_{2}$ vanishes are reproduced $m$ uch better, and the errors on $L_{1}$ are in the region of a few percent even if $w=0: 9$ and $P_{T}^{2}$ as $s m$ all as $2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

## 3．3 D iscussion of the results

 sign ofthe $p$ interference term $s$ ．The transverse－transverse interference is alw ays
 In［ī⿱一兀⿴囗⿱一一儿1］it was pointed out that this is the opposite sign than the one obtained for qq－production in photon－gluon fusion．T he sign of the longitudinal－transverse interference depends on the loop integral $\mathrm{L}_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} ; \mathrm{w}\right)$ and thus on the value of w ．
 and depends on $P_{T}^{2}$ as $m$ entioned at the end of the previous subsection．This characteristic change of sign has also been observed in［ilī1］．A s a general rem ark we can say that the distribution of the ep cross section in＇$q q$ we obtain is very sim ilar to the one in the perturbative two－gluon approach of overall norm alisation which com es out di erent in the two m odels，cf． $\left.\bar{R} \overline{2} \bar{O}_{1}\right]$ ．The $m$ ain characteristics of the nom alised azim uthal distribution are determ ined by the two－ghon exchange picture．

W e now tum to the dependence on the transverse jet m om entum．From
 longitudinal interference is suppressed by a factor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{T}} \ddagger \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ，the transverse－ transverse interference by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ and the longitudinal cross section by $\left(P_{T}^{2}+m{ }_{q}^{2}\right)=M{ }_{x}^{2}$ ．This $m$ eans that the interferences（and the longitudinal cross section）are less im portant if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ is m all com pared w ith $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ ，i．e．if the jets are close to the $p$ axis in the reference fram e we are working in．N ote that for light quarks the suppression of the transverse－longitudinal interference is weaker than the one for the longitudinal cross section．This suggests a way to experi－ $m$ entally look for the zero in the longitudinal $p$ amplitude，which is due to the behaviour of the integral $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} ; \mathrm{w}\right)$ and can be view ed as a characteristic feature of the two－ghon exchange $m$ echanism in this reaction：The zero $m$ ight be seen through the change of sign of the $\mathrm{cos}^{\prime}$ qq－term in the angular dependence as w or is varied，whereas it should be di cult to observe it from a dip in the＇${ }^{\prime}{ }^{-}$ integrated spectra，given that the longitudinal $p$ cross section is much sm aller than the transverse one where the zero occurs．We nally note that for heavy
 not the longitudinal cross section）vanish in this lim it where $h$ is collinear $w$ ith $p$ and $q$ as required by angular $m$ om entum conservation．

For a num erical study we change variables from＇$q$ and $P_{L}$ to ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ and $P_{\mathrm{L}} j$ as explained at the end of sec．＇İ．$\overline{1}$＇and integrate over $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{L}} j$ ．To ensure that we have jets and that the scale ${ }^{2}$ of $\left(\overline{3} \cdot \bar{B}_{1}\right)$ rem ains large we im pose a low er cut $P{ }_{\text {T cut }}^{2}$ on $P_{T}^{2}$ ，which at $t=0$ corresponds to an $m_{q}^{2}$－dependent upper cut $P_{\text {L cut }}$ on $P_{L} j$ see（ $\overline{3}=\mathrm{J})$ ．The＇${ }_{\mathrm{FB}}$－dependence of the ep cross section for the quark avours $u ; d ; s ; c$ at the HERA cm．energy of ${ }^{P} \bar{s}=296 \mathrm{GeV}$ is shown in g．吾，where we plot d（ep！epqq）$=\left(d^{\prime}{ }_{F B} d x d Q^{2} d d t\right)$ as a function of ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ for di erent values of the other kinem atical variables．W e note that in the exam ples where charm ed
jets can be produced kinem atically their fraction in the p cross section is not negligible; for cases (a) and (b) of the table in g.'i', it is about $1=3$, and for case (e) about 1=5.

The exam ples in g. 'ī1 illustrate how a sm aller minim um $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\mathrm{M} \underset{\mathrm{X}}{2}$ leads to a atter dependence on ' ${ }_{F B}$ as discussed above, while increasing the overall rate. Thee ect of on the sign of the cos ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {FB }}$-term in the cross section can clearly be seen. A lso show $n$ in the plots is the di erence betw een the approxim ations (3


$F$ inally we investigate what bounds on the longitudinal p cross section one could obtain from $m$ easuring the ${ }_{F B}$-dependence shown in g.'I.'. For convenience we introduce the quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{++}^{\mathrm{FB}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \underset{++}{\mathrm{FB}}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dt}} ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}=\mathrm{M} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~dB}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dt}} ; \quad \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}=\mathrm{F}_{++}^{\mathrm{FB}}+\mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}} ; \\
& F_{+}^{F B}=\frac{d_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dt}} ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}=2^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}(1+\mathrm{m})} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dt}} ; \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

whose factors are chosen such that

Up to a global factor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ are therefore the Fourier coe cients for the ' ${ }_{F B}$-dependence of the ep cross section, and $F_{00}^{F B}$ is the contribution of
 which correspond to the plots in g. ${ }^{1}$, the longitudinal contributions $F_{00}^{F B}$, and the lower and upper bounds $F_{\text {bow }}^{F B}, F_{\text {upp }}^{\overline{F B}}$ on $F_{00}^{F B}$ one can obtain from the ${ }_{F B}$ dependence using the di erential analogues of ( $2,1 \overline{1}^{\prime}$ ). T The upper bound ( not useful since the transverse-transverse interference $\mathrm{d}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}=(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{dt})$ is positive.

W hen them in im um $P_{T}^{2}=M_{x}^{2}$ is rather sm all, i.e. in cases (b) and (e), the lower and upper bounds are rather far apart from each other and in this sense not very stringent, due to the suppression of the transverse-longitudinal interference by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}} \dot{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{M}$ com pared w th the transverse cross section. From $\left.\overline{2}=16\right)$ we see that the low er bound is then suppressed by $P_{T}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$. On the other hand the longitudinal cross section itself has a suppression factor $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$, and as a result the low er bound we obtain is quite close to the actual value of $\mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}$.

### 3.4 Jet angle for m ore general nal states

W enow generalise the jet angle used so farto di ractive nalstates $X$ that do not necessarily have a tw o-jet topology. A sbefore we w onk in a reference fram ew here the incom ing photon and proton are collinear and where the totalm om entum of

Table 1: Fourier coe cients corresponding to the angular distributions show $n$ in g. 'ill and lower and upper bounds $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{low}}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{\text {upp }}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ on the longitudinal contribution $\mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ one can obtain from them. For the de nition of $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ etc. cf. ( $y=0: 5, "=0: 8,{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=296 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{t}=0$.

|  |  | M ${ }_{\text {x }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T} \text { cut }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bw }}^{\text {FB }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {upp }}^{\text {FB }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{GeV}{ }^{2}$ | ...............nb $=\mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) | $1 / 3$ | 80 | 16 | -2.9 | -0.75 | 4.6 | 0.45 | 022 | 0.72 |
| (b) | $1 / 3$ | 80 | 4 | -11 | -7.1 | 43 | 1.3 | 0.50 | 28 |
| (c) | $2 / 3$ | 20 | 4 | -8.3 | 3.5 | 15 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| (d) | $1 / 3$ | 20 | 4 | -54 | -14 | 86 | 72 | 4.1 | 14 |
| (e) | $2 / 3$ | 20 | 1 | -30 | 49 | 130 | 18 | 8.4 | 80 |

X along this axis is zero. Let be the thrust axis of X in this fram e . It can be oriented by requiring that it points in the direction of the photon m om entum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\operatorname{sgn}(q \quad): \tag{321}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides a direction in the hadronic nal state, which we can also write as a four-vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=(0 ;): \tag{322}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( a two-jet nal state and in the lim it $t=0$ it becom es proportional to the vector $P_{F B}$ de ned in ( $\left.3 . \mathbf{H}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. A nother possibility would be to de ne $h$ from the thrust
 to boost $h$ to the $p$ fram e. If $X$ is a dijet this is then proportional to $P_{F B}$ even at nitet.

The vector $h$ de ned in one of these ways, or a vector obtained from another suitable shape variable of the system $X$, can be used for the de nition of the azim uthal angle' and of $p$ cross sections and interference term $s$. It is not restricted to events w ith only two jets in X, and it does not require to have jets $w$ ith a transverse $m$ om entum large enough for a jet algorithm to be applicable. This could allow for a signi cant gain in statistics. The m easurem ent of the '-dependence could in particular be used to constrain the cross section for longitudinal photons. The discussion in the previous subsection and the num erical exam ple w ith $P_{T}^{2} \quad 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ in table' 1 useful low er bound even for low $P_{T}^{2}$, provided the ratio $P_{T}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ is not very sm all. Too sm all values of ${\underset{T}{T}}_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ill presum ably also present experim ental problem s, since then the polar angle of $h$ is close to zero and the resolution on its azim uth w illbecom e poor.

W hen the thrust axis is penpendicular to the p direction the requirem ent ( $\left.{ }^{3}{ }^{2} \overline{1}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ does not $x$ its orientation, so that the angle' is only de ned up to an ambiguity between' and ${ }^{\prime}+$. This is just as in the case $P_{L}=0$ for the tw 0 -jet nal state which w as discussed at the end of sec. 1 as there one can show that the transverse-longitudinal interference term $s$ vanish when the thrust axis is penpendicular to $q$ so that no am biguity appears in the ep cross section.

## 4 D ijet production at $n$ ite $t$

### 4.1 C oupling of the two gluons to the proton

W ew ill now investigate di ractive production of a jet pair ( LN m odel. Throughout our calculation we take the high energy lim it, dropping term $s$ that are suppressed by factors of. In this approxim ation $t={ }_{T}^{2}$ where m is the transverse part of $w$ th respect to $p$ and $q$. A characteristic property of the LN m odel is that the two gluons couple to the sam e quark in a hadron [1]2 2 ]. The coupling of the gluons to the proton is then given by the isoscalar vector current of the nucleon, and the squared am plitude for the process is proportional to $\operatorname{lol}_{\underline{1}}^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{2}(t)=F_{1}^{2}(t) \quad \frac{t}{4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} F_{2}^{2}(t): \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(t)$ and $F_{2}(t)$ are the isoscalar $D$ irac and $P$ auliform factors of the nucleon, respectively, i.e. the sum of the $D$ irac ( P auli) form factors of the proton and the neutron. Att $=0$ one has $F_{1}(0)=1$ and $F_{2}(0) \quad 0: 12, f_{1}^{\prime}[9]$, and in the region $\mathrm{Jj}_{\mathrm{j}}<1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ we are interested in $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ is dom inating this expression.

O ne nds that at high energy the relevant kinem atics in the Feynm an dia-
 variables of the ! qq transition, but not on them om entum of the quark $w$ thin the proton. A lldependence of the am plitude on the nucleon structure thus com es from the form factor $G(t)$; there is no further dependence on tranverse or longitudinalm om entum distributions of quarks, even at nite $T$.

The polarisation vectors for the ghons coupling to the proton both com e out proportional to the initialproton $m$ om entum p. For the com plete am plitude they are contracted w th the propagators of the gluons, and the result is contracted w ith a tensor corresponding to the ghons coupling to the produced quark and antiquark. W ew ish to rem ark that one need not take a Feynm an-like gauge for the ghons, i.e. a propagator $g \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$ where k is the gluon m om entum. In fact one has some freedom to choose a gauge in our model without changing the structure of the result: there is no contribution to the am plitude from the tensor $k \mathrm{k}$ in a general covariant gauge, nor from $k \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{nk}$ with some xed four-vector $n$, which appears in non-covariant gauges. The reason is that in the
approxim ation of our calculation the exchanged ghons couple directly to quarks, not to gluons, and thus to a conserved vector current. N ote however that for term s in the propagator which involve n n (appearing in radiative corrections to the bare propagator in non-covariant gauges) one would have to investigate in detail whether the extra tensors contribute to the leading energy behaviour of the am plitude.

The approxim ation oftw o noninteracting ghons in the LN m odelis certainly a very crude one. To go beyond it one could replace the direct coupling of the gluons to a quark in the proton by the cut am plitude for the em ission by the proton of two gluons, in other words by the cut am plitude for $g \mathrm{p}!\mathrm{g} p$. Including the ghoon propagators the latter $m$ ight be approxim ated by the ghon distribution in
 the e ects of nite $t$, since in the ghon distribution the fourm om enta of the two gluons are by de nition equal, in particular they do not transfer any transverse m om entum T .

Som e features of the LN m odelare also found in this m ore general fram ew ork if one $m$ akes the assum ption that the squared $c m$. energy of the $g \mathrm{p}!\mathrm{gp}$ am plitude is sm all com pared to $\mathrm{W}^{2}$ in the region of phase space which dom inates the amplitude for $p!$ qqp. $T$ his is for instance the case in the m ultiperipheral approxim ation. Then one can show that the polarisation of the gluons is again proportionalto p, and that the relevant kinem atics in the Feynm an diagram s are as in the LN m odel calculation. M oreover, both statem ents rem ain valid if the proton dissociates and one integrates over the particle $m$ om enta in the proton rem nant $w$ ith $p$ being held $x e d$, provided that $p^{2} \quad W^{2}$.
$W$ hat is how ever particular to our $m$ odel is the dependence of the am plitude for the em ission of two ghons by the proton and their propagation on $t$ and, yet $m$ ore im portantly, on the gluon virtualities, the latter being given by the nonperturbative ghon propagators. $W$ e shall see that precisely these tw o points $w$ ill have the $m$ ain $e$ ect on the $t$-dependence of the cross section for our proœess.

### 4.2 Loop integration

Having contracted the tensor for the two ghons coupling to the proton with the one for their coupling to the ! qq transition we must perform a loop integration. W e label the loop $m$ om entum $l$ in such a way that the rst ghon em itted from the proton carries $m$ om entum $1+=2$ and the second ghon $1+=2$. Their respective virtualities come out as $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & =2\end{array}\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}l_{T}\end{array}\right)^{2}$ and $(l+=2)^{2}=\left(l_{~}+T\right)^{2}$, where $l_{Y}$ is the transverse part of $l w$ ith respect to $p$ and q. U sing the cutting rules we are left w th a two-dim ensional loop integral of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[f]={ }^{Z} \underline{d^{2} Y_{T}}\left[{ }_{s}^{(0)}\right]^{2} D{ }^{h} \quad\left(\neq \quad{ }_{T}=2\right)^{2^{i}} D^{h} \quad\left(\neq{ }_{T}=2\right)^{2^{i}} \quad f \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith som e com plicated function $f$ depending on $l_{I}, ~ T$ and the other kinem atical variables $P_{T}, m_{q}, M_{x}, Q^{2}$. In particular $f$ contains quark propagators whose denom inators depend on $l_{F} \quad T$ and $l_{F} \quad P$ so that unlike in the case $t=0$ we cannot now perform the integration over the angle of $l_{r}$ w ithout specifying a m odelfor the ghon propagatorD. To obtain a m ore transparent representation of the $m$ odel dependence and to avoid num erical integrations already at am plitude level we expand those quark propagators up to second order in ( $l_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{T}}=2$ ), assum ing that both $]_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{j}$ and j m jare su ciently m all. The expansion requires

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
I_{T}^{2} & 2 ; & ]_{T} & P j & 2 ; & { }_{T}^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{array} \quad 2 \text {; }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\frac{M_{X}^{2}=4 \quad \mathrm{t}=4 \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}}{1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a generalisation to nite tof the scale ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}} . \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. N ote that the rst condition is what we used in the approxim ation ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{- 1 5}$ ) in sec. 'ī2' 2 , which was a Taylor expansion around $l_{\mathrm{l}}^{2}={ }^{2}=0$. Our calculation w ill not give an expression analogous to ( $\left.\overline{3}-\overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}^{\prime}\right)$ that does not require the ghon virtuality to be sm all com pared w th the virtuality of the o -shellquark.

To obtain tractable expressions we also expand denom inators in those term s which depend on the angle between $P_{T}$ and ${ }_{T}$. The cross section is then a polynom ial in cos and sin and can easily be integrated over. The sm all param eter for these expansions is again $j$ $j$, more precisely they are valid if

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { T Pj } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+{\underset{\mathrm{T}}{2}=4: ~}_{\text {it }} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3 Integrals over the gluon propagators at $n$ ite $t$

A fter the expansions just described we are left with a lim ited num ber of sim ple
 $i_{i} j=1 ; 2$ The corresponding integrands depend only on $l_{T}$ and $T$ so that the integrals are just functions oft. They willtum out to be crucialquantities in the


The integralw ith $f=\frac{l_{1}^{i}}{i}$ is zero because its integrand is odd in $h_{F}$, whereas the tensor integral with $f=\frac{l_{1}^{i}}{T} \frac{j}{j}$ is related by rotation invariance to integrals over the scalars $f=l_{T}^{2}$ and $f=\left(l_{T}\right)^{2}$. W e therefore have three linearly independent integrals to evaluate and choose the com binations

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{0}(t)=L h_{h}^{[1]} i^{\prime} \\
& I_{1}(t)=L I_{T}^{2} ; \\
& I_{2}(t)=L 2\left(I_{T} \quad{ }_{T}\right)^{2}={ }_{T}^{2} \quad Z^{i}=L^{h} I_{T}^{2} \cos (2)^{i} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the angle between $\ddagger$ and $T$. Att $=0$ we have $I_{0}(0)=9{ }_{0}^{2}=(4)$ and


The ratio of $I_{1}(0)$ and $I_{0}(0)$ involves the scale ${ }_{0}^{2} \quad 12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, which is also the characteristic scale for the t-dependence of the integrals in ( $\overline{\underline{L}} . \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ ) since it is the typical scale for the $m$ om entum dependence ofD $\left(I^{2}\right)$. W ew ill therefore have two kinds of corrections to the cross sections and interference term $s$ at zero $t$ :

1. corrections in powers of fjdivided by som e kinem atical variable of the $p$ reaction, such as $Q^{2}, M_{x}^{2}$, or ${ }^{2}$ of ( $\left.\overline{4} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. By assum ption these kinem atical variables are all large com pared w ith 夫j cf. (
2. corrections which depend on $t$ via $t={ }_{0}^{2}$, where ${ }_{0}^{2}$ com es from the ratio of the integrals in ( $\overline{4} . \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) or from their variation $w$ ith $t$. It is im portant that ${ }_{0}^{2}$ is not large com pared to typical values of $\mathrm{Jj}<1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

We have calculated the $p$ cross sections and interference term s keeping the corrections in point'in' up to order $t=Q^{2}$, whereas no expansion wasm ade in $t={ }_{0}^{2}$, having in $m$ ind that we can have $t={ }_{0}^{2}=0(1)$.

O ne can $m$ ake a $m$ ore detailed statem ent about the sm all-t behaviour of the integrals ( behaved to be Taylor expanded. In ( ghon propagators around $l_{T}^{2}$. Tem $S$ in this expansion that are odd in $l_{T} \quad T$ vanish after integration over the angle, so that the integrals have a power expansion in ${ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\mathrm{Jj}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}(t)=\frac{9_{0}^{2}}{4} \dot{\boldsymbol{j} \dot{J}_{2}^{(0)}} 1+c_{2}^{(1)} \frac{\mathrm{Jj}}{2_{0}^{2}}+::: \quad: \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

O $n$ one hand ( $(\overline{4} . \overline{1})$ ) show s that the deviation of these integrals from their values at $t=0$ is proportional to $t$ and not to - $t$. $M$ oreover one $m$ ay get a reasonable description of their t-dependence over a wider range keeping a few term sof this expansion.

W e have evaluated the integrals $I_{0}(t), I_{1}(t), I_{2}(t)$ w the the odel $\left(\overline{3}-\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ of the ghon propagator. For n = 4 we obtain good quadratic ts of the integrals in the range Jj $=0$ to $1: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ th

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}^{(1)}=0: 5 ; \quad \varepsilon^{(2)}=0: 12 ; \quad c_{1}^{(1)}=0: 38 ; \quad c^{(2)}=0: 09 ; \\
& c_{2}^{(0)}=0: 027 ; \quad c_{2}^{(1)}=0: 31 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and all other coe cients being zero. For $n=1$ we have an exponential propa-

$i=0 ; 1 ; 2$. Comparing the integrals for $n=4$ and $n=1$ we nd that they are alm ost equal for $I_{0}$ and that $I_{1}$ is smaller for $n=1$ than for $n=4$, the coe cient $c_{1}^{(1)}$ for $n=1$ being $0: 5$ instead of $0: 38$. I vanishes at all $t$ for the exponential propagator, for $n=4$ it is still very sm all com pared with Jj oI

### 4.4 R esults

W e now present our results for the $p$ cross sections and interference term $s$ of quark-antiquark production at nite $t$, the ep cross section is obtained from eq. (3). $\mathbf{3})$. We rst give analytical expressions including the corrections in $t={ }_{0}^{2}$, but w ithout the corrections of order $t=Q^{2}$ which are rather lengthy. The latter w ill be included in the num erical discussion below .

To zeroth order in $t=Q^{2}$ only two linear combinations of the integrals ( $\overline{4} . \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) appear, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \text { 土jЂ }(\mathrm{t})=4 ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \text { 土jђ }(\mathrm{t})=4: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\left.\overline{4} . \bar{H}_{1}\right)$ we that the leading term in the expansion of $K_{1}(t)$ in $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ is constant, whereas the leading term for $K_{2}$ is proportional to $t={ }_{0}^{2}$. W e introduce the abbreviations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{M_{X}^{2}=4 \quad t=4}{M_{x}^{2} t} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}!\frac{P_{T}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}} ; \\
& b=\frac{M_{X}^{2}=4}{M_{x}^{2}=4} \quad m_{q}^{2} \quad P_{L}^{2} \quad P_{L}^{2} t!0 \frac{P_{T}^{2}}{P_{T}^{2}+m_{q}^{2}} ; \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

whose lim its fort! 0 are given for easy com parison $w$ ith our results in sec. ' $\overline{3} \bar{z}_{1}^{\prime}$, and the variablew $=(1 \quad)$ b as a generalisation to nite tofw de ned in $T$ he result then reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d_{m ~}^{q q}}{d P_{L} d d t}= \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{++}^{q q}=\frac{K_{1}^{2} h}{a^{2}} 4(1 \quad 2 a) b\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & w^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{+(1} \quad \text { b) }\left(1 \quad 2 w^{2}\right)^{i}+ \\
& {\frac{K_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}}^{h} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 a) b(1
\end{array} \quad 2 w+2 w^{2}\right)+2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right) w^{2^{i}}+O\left(t=Q^{2}\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{S}_{00}^{\mathrm{qq}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}} \mathrm{ta}_{\mathrm{f}}^{1} \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~K}_{1}^{2}(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{w})^{2}+8 \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2} \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{+}^{\mathrm{qq}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{n}} 8 \mathrm{~K}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{w})^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{bw}(1 & \mathrm{w})^{\circ}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) \text {; } ; ~ ; ~
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{+0}^{q q}=\sum_{n}^{p} \frac{Q}{M_{x}^{2}} \frac{P_{L}}{q \frac{M_{x}^{2}=4}{M_{q}^{2}}} \begin{array}{l}
M_{x}^{2} \\
t \\
a^{2} \\
0
\end{array} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$


Let us now give som e num erical exam ples, obtained w ith the param etrisation ( $\overline{4}, \bar{d})$ ) of the integrals $I_{i}(t)$ which corresponds to the $m$ odel ghon propagator
 and ${ }^{F B}$ and integrate over $f_{L} j$. We im pose an upper cuto on $f_{I} j$ and sum over the three light quark avours u;d;s. In g. '2i-we plot the t-dependence of the quantities $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{00}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ introduced in ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}_{-1} \overline{1}^{\prime}$ ), but taking out the squared proton form factor $\mathcal{G}^{2}(\mathrm{t})$ and the t -dependent part ${ }^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ of the Regge power, both of which give a rather strong suppression of the cross section at $t$ aw ay from zero. A s a result of the di erent t-behaviour of $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ the ' ${ }^{\mathrm{FB}}$-dependence of the ep cross section $w$ ill change $w$ ith $t$.

In order to see to what extent these results depend on the speci c form of the ghon propagator we plot in g. Whil the sam e quantities as in g. $\underline{L}_{1}^{-1}$ now w th the sim plest ansatz for the integrals we can $m$ ake:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(t)=\frac{90_{0}^{2}}{4} ; \quad I_{1}(t)=\frac{90_{0}^{2}}{4} \frac{{ }_{0}^{2}}{2} ; \quad I_{2}(t)=\frac{90_{0}^{2}}{4} \dot{J}_{j_{2}^{(0)}}^{(0)} ; \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

keeping only the low est order in $t$ of the expansions ( $\left.\overline{4} . \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. T The leading coe cient $C_{2}^{(0)}$ in $I_{2}$ is not determ ined from phenom enology as is the case for $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$, and we take three di erent values $0,0: 5$ and $0: 5 \mathrm{~W}$ W ese how the variation of $\varepsilon^{(0)}$ m odi es the behaviour of the Fourier coe cients at $m$ oderate and large values of Jjquite drastically; it can for instance lead to a change of sign in the interference term $s$ at xed $t$. O ne would how ever have to see whether such large variations of $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(0)}$ can be obtained w ith realistic ghon propagators.

C om paring the plot for $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(0)}=0$ and the corresponding one obtained w th our special ansatz for the ghuon propagator for which $c_{2}^{(0)} \quad 0$ we see that the e ect of approxim ating the $t$-dependence of the integrals $I_{i}$ by the leading term $s$ in the expansions $(\overline{4} . \overline{1}, \overline{1})$ is by no $m$ eans $s m$ all. $T$ his is not surprising as the leading order approxim ation is only expected to be good for $f={ }_{0}^{2} \quad 1$. N otice also that the rst order coe cients $C_{0}^{(1)}$ and $c_{1}^{(1)}$ in ( $\left.\overline{4} . \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are rather large.
$W$ e have com pared the results which include corrections up to order $t=Q^{2}$ w ith the expressions given in ( 4 - 12$)$ where only the $t$-dependence through $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ in the integrals $K_{i}(t)$ is kept. In $m$ ost of param eter space the latter give a very good approxim ation, and even for rather $m$ all $Q^{2}, M_{x}^{2}$ or rather low $m$ inim um $P_{T}^{2}$ the form ulae ( ( $\left.\overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ give the correct qualitative features. A part of course from the squared elastic form factor $G(t)^{2}$ and the $t$-dependent pom eron trajectory the $m$ ain e ect in the t-dependence of the $p$ cross sections and interference term $s$
thus tums out to be from the integrals $I_{i}(t)$, i.e. from the fact that at $t \in 0$ the two exchanged ghons have di erent virtualities. On one hand this $m$ eans that corrections in $t=Q^{2}$ are less im portant in the kinem atical region we are investigating. On the other hand the results depend on the details of the nonperturbative ghion propagator encapsulated in the $I_{i}(t)$, and the phenom enologicalconstraints ( $\overline{3} . \overline{\mathrm{G}}), ~\left(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~B}} . \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ are not su cient to predict the t-dependence quantitatively, they only provide the right order ofm agnitude and the characteristic scale ${ }_{0}^{2}$.

## 5 The azim uthal angle of the scattered proton or proton rem nant

In this section we tum our attention to another azim uthal angle in di ractive processes $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{-1})$, choosing for the vector $h$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

' x is the azm uthal angle betw een the lepton and the di ractive system X , i.e. ' $\mathrm{x}+$ is the azim uthal angle betw een the lepton and the scattered proton or proton rem nant. This angle was introduced and discussed in $\left.{ }_{\underline{1}}^{\overline{9}}\right]$. N ote that the
$p$ cross sections and interference term $s \underset{m n}{(x)}$ introduced there are integrated over the intemalm om enta of the system $X$ but not over $P$. In the notation used in this paper they read

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{x}})_{\text {ref: }[9]}=\frac{1}{-}-\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{mn}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{dt}} \text { this paper }} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p_{x}$ becom es collinear $w$ ith $q$ and $p$ when tjtakes its $m$ inim um value, which is zero in the high energy lim it. As we rem arked in sec. 'ī1 the $p$ interference term $s m$ ust then vanish for $t$ ! 0 because of angular $m$ om entum conservation, and the crucial question we will be concemed with in the follow ing is how fast they do. To quantify this we norm alise the interference term $\mathrm{s} w$ ith respect to the p cross sections and consider the ratios

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{+}=\frac{d_{+}^{\mathrm{x}}=(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{dt})}{\mathrm{d}_{++}^{\mathrm{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dt}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{d}_{00}^{\mathrm{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dt}
\end{array}\right)} ; \\
& R_{+0}=\frac{d_{+0}^{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & d t
\end{array}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{++}^{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dt}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{d}_{00}^{\mathrm{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dt}
\end{array}\right)}: \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If they behave like a (possibly fractional) power of fj for t! 0 then the scale that com pensates $t$ in these dim ensionless quantities determ ines how large they are at nitet.

It was argued in $[\underset{1}{-1}]$ and con $m$ ed by an explicit calculation in $[\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$ that in the phenom enological pom eron $m$ odel ofD onnachie and Landsho one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{+} \quad \frac{\text { 夫j }}{Q^{2}} ; \quad R_{+0} \quad \frac{q \overline{\text { むj }}}{Q} ; \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ could be replaced by $M_{x}$ or som e combination of $M_{x}$ and $Q$, the $\mathrm{m}_{-}$ portant point is that the scale dividing $t$ is a kinem atical quantity of the $p$ subreaction, and therefore rather large com pared with $t$ for the typical values of $t, M_{X}^{2}$ and $Q^{2}$ in di ractive D IS.
 or in $m$ odels where the $Q C D$ vacuum plays an im portant role $[\underline{[ } \overline{4}]$, one can expect a di erent behaviour ${ }_{\underline{Q}-1]}$. In these $m$ odels there is som e scale characteristic of soft physics which could take the place of Q in the expressions of (5.4). This would lead to larger interference term $s$ and thus to a m ore pronounced ' x -dependence of the ep cross section. In the LN $m$ odel the di ractive $m$ echanism is described by soft gluon exchange; we will see in sec. 15 0 replaces $Q$ in (5.4). W e rem ark that the powers of jjwhich give the sm all-t behaviour of $R_{+}$and $R_{+0} m$ ay in generalbe di erent from those in ( $\left(\underline{5} . \mathbf{I n}_{1}\right)$.

### 5.1 C alculation in the LN m odel

W e now tum to the predictions of the $L N \mathrm{~m}$ odel for the dependence of the ep cross section on the proton angle. W e rst have to replace the general di ractive nal state X w ith a quark-antiquark pair. T his is the low est order approxim ation of X at parton level and should give a reasonable description, except in the region of sm all , or large di ractive $m$ ass $M_{x}$, where additional ghon em ission is know $n$ to be im portant.

The calculation of the p cross sections and interference term $s$ for the process
 in the expressions of the photon polarisation vectors (2.-3'). W e integrate again over the relative azim uthalangle betw een $P$ and $p_{x}$, but now the azim uthalangle betw een $k$ and $p_{x}$ is kept xed, not the one between $k$ and $P$.

W e have to m ake an additional restriction on the di ractive nal state, because we need that ${ }^{2}$ ofeq. ( $\left.\overline{3}, \bar{d}\right)$ ), ( $\left.\overline{4}-\overline{4}^{\prime}\right)$ is large for our approxim ations described
 this $m$ eans that we $m$ ust im pose a lower cut on their transverse $m$ om entum .

In [9] ${ }_{9}^{-1}$ ] it $w$ as show $n$ that the $p$ cross sections and interferences have a physical interpretation in term sof the helicity of the pom eron ifonew orks in the rest fram e of the di ractive system $X$, provided that the selection cuts on the hadronic nal state are invariant when the particle $m$ om enta in the system $X$ are rotated around the photon $m$ om entum in this fram $e$ while all other $m$ om enta are kept xed. N onzero interference term s between photons with de nite helicities in
this fram e im ply that di erent am ounts of angular mom entum along the photon direction are transferred from the proton．In pom eron language this $m$ eans that the pom eron can carry di erent helicities．

To satisfy the above criterion of rotation invariance we im pose a cut on the transverse quark $m$ om entum in the $X$ rest fram $e$ and not in the $p$ system．To do this we have to transform the kinem atical quantities introduced in sec．${ }^{1} \mathbf{1}$ to the cm ．of $\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{W}$ e denote threem om enta with an asterisk there and use a right－handed coordinate system $w$ th the $z$ axis along the photon $m$ om entum $q$ ． B oth the transverse and the longitudinalm om enta of the qq－pair are opposite to each other，not only the longitudinal ones as in the fram e we used in sec．＇ئ⿳亠二口刂土 ． Thus $P=\left(P_{q} P_{q}\right)=2$ is equal to the threem om entum of the quark jet．Instead of and $P_{L}$ of sec．i＇̃． 1 I＇we use in the X rest system the relative azim uthal angle
between $P$ and $P$ ，and the longitudinal $m$ om entum $P_{L}$ of $P=P_{q}$ ．Its kinem atical lim its are the sam e as for $P_{L}$ ．For the transverse com ponent $P_{T}$ of $P$ in this system we have $P_{T}{ }^{2}=M{ }_{x}^{2}=4 \quad m_{q}^{2} \quad P_{L}{ }^{2}$ ，com pared w ith（ relation between the longitudinal and transverse com ponents ofP in both fram es is as follow s：

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{L}=q \frac{1}{1+4^{2} t=Q^{2}} q \frac{M_{x}}{M_{x}^{2}} t P_{L} \quad P_{T} j 00 s \quad\left(1 \quad 2^{p}\right) \quad t=M_{x} \quad ; \\
& \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}} j 00 \mathrm{~s}=\frac{1}{1+4^{2} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}} j 00 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad\left(1 \quad 2^{\mathrm{p}}\right) \quad \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}} \quad \text {; } \\
& \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}} j \sin =\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}} j \sin \quad: \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating over we obtain the ep cross section di erential in＇$x, x, Q^{2}, t$ ， and $P_{L} \cdot N$ ote that $P_{L}$ and are de ned in the $X$ rest fram $e$ ，but＇$x$ in the $p$ system．

## 5．2 R esults

From ourm aster form ula $\left(\underline{2}-11^{\prime}\right)$ the ＇$x$－dependence of the ep cross section is given
 and $d_{m n}^{q q}!d_{m n}^{X}$ ．The transverse－longitudinal interferences are real for the sam e reason as in the case of the jet angle．A s in sec．＇Ā．$\overline{1}$＇in we have calculated the
$p$ cross sections and interference term $s$ up to order $t=Q^{2}$ ，treating $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ as of order 1．A gain we will not give the analytic expressions of the $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)$ term s ， but use them in our num erical discussion．We nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d_{m n}^{x}}{d P_{L} d d t}= \\
& \quad \frac{8}{3} \text { em } e_{q}^{2} \frac{s_{s}\left({ }^{2}\right)}{S_{s}^{(0)}} G^{2}(t)^{2(1} \quad{ }^{\text {P (t) })} \frac{1}{M_{X}\left(M_{X}^{2}+Q^{2} \quad t\right)^{2}} S_{m n}^{X} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{++}^{X}=\frac{K_{1}^{2} h}{a^{2}} 4(1 \quad 2 a) b\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & w
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { b) }(1 & 2 \mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right)^{2^{i}}+ \\
& \left.{\frac{K_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}}^{h} \quad 2 \mathrm{a}\right) \mathrm{b}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{w}+2 \mathrm{w}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{w}^{2^{i}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{S}_{00}^{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}} \operatorname{ta}^{\mathrm{n}} 4 \mathrm{~K}_{1}^{2}(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{w})^{2}+8 \mathrm{~K}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) ; \\
& S_{+}^{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{8 \mathrm{~K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~b}(1 \quad \mathrm{w})^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +4 \mathrm{a}(1 \mathrm{w})\left(18 \mathrm{w}+10 \mathrm{w}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +2\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 a
\end{array}\right)(1 \quad)\left(1 \quad \text { b) }\left(1 \quad 6 w+6 w^{2}\right)^{i}\right. \\
& +\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{w})\left(1 \quad 11 \mathrm{w}+16 \mathrm{w}^{2}\right)=2 \\
& +2 \mathrm{a}(1 \quad \mathrm{w})\left(1 \quad 12 \mathrm{w}+20 \mathrm{w}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { b) }(1 & 10 w+12 w^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{i} \\
& \left.+K_{2}^{2}{ }_{w}^{h} \quad\left(2 \quad 9 w+8 w^{2}\right)=2 \text { 4aw (1 } 5 \mathrm{w}+\underset{\text { io }}{5 w^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& 2(1 \quad 4 a)(1 \quad)\left(1 \quad \text { b)w }\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 w
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& +0 \quad\left(t=Q^{2}\right)^{3=2} \quad \text { : } \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we have used the integrals $\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{K}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ introduced in ( $\left.\overline{4} . \overline{-} . \bar{d}\right)$, and the abbreviations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{b}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}} ; & \mathrm{w}=(1 \quad) \mathrm{b} ; \\
\mathrm{a}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}} ; & 2=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}{1}: \tag{5.8}
\end{array}
$$

A rem ark is in order on the appearance of the square root ${ }^{p}$ - $t$ in the expression of the transverse-longitudinal interference term s . O ne might suspect that there is a contradiction w ith the analyticity properties of scattering am plitudes, but this is not so. The point is that this interference term is multiplied w ith cos' $x$ in the ep cross section, and that the expression of cos' $x$ in term $s$ P fM andelstam invariants also involves square roots, so that the appearance of
$t$ is a consequence of the kinem atical variables we phoose. P ut in a di erent way, the interference term s can have a dependence on - $t$ through the polarisation vectors $(\underline{2}=\underline{1})$, which contain square roots. A corresponding rem ark can be
$m$ ade for the appearance of $P_{L}$ in the transverse-longitudinal interference term


A s discussed in sections 'A An' 3 and 14 we have in the lim it of sm all $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{t}!!\frac{9_{0}^{2}}{4} \frac{{ }_{0}^{2}}{2} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{t}!!\frac{9_{0}^{2}}{4} \text { jj } \mathrm{c}_{2}^{(0)} \quad 1=4 \text {; } \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}^{(0)}$ is not known from phenom enology and has to be obtained using a speci c ansatz for the nonperturbative ghon propagator. W ith ( $\left(5.7 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ we nd for the sm all-t behaviour of the interference term $s$ norm alised to the $p$ cross sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{+} \quad C_{2}^{(0)} \quad 1=4 \frac{\text { Jj }}{2} ; \quad R_{+0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{j} j}}{Q}: \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the behaviour ofS $S_{+}^{x}$ in the lim itt! 0 is determ ined by the coe cient $c_{2}^{(0)}$, in contrast to the case of the jet angle investigated in sec. 14.41 . 1 , where we could $m$ ake a param eter-free prediction for this lim it. In particular the sign of the transverse-transverse interference depends on the details of the gluon propagator. If $C_{2}^{(0)}$ is close to $1=4$ one even nds that the $O\left(t=Q^{2}\right)$ term $s$ are dom inant and $R_{+} \quad J=Q^{2}$. A part from this caveat the result $\left(\overline{5} \cdot \mathcal{I N}^{-1}\right)$ is how ever independent of the detailed properties of the ghon propagator. The scale ${ }_{0}^{2}$ com es into play in this $m$ odel via the nonperturbative dynam ics of the exchanged gluons. Since it only appears squared in the calculation, Cf . $\left(\overline{4} . \bar{U}_{1}\right)$, it is clear that it can not be the scale dividing $t$ in $R_{+0}$; there we have the large kinem atical variable $Q$ as in ( 5.4 . ${ }^{\prime}$ ).

The situation is how ever $m$ ore com plicated for $R_{+}$than discussed so far. We w ill not nd ratios $R_{+}$of order one as suggested by ( (5.10) in our num erical exam ples. The reason is that $S_{+}^{X}$ (but not $S_{+0}^{X}$ ) has an additional suppression com pared w th the cross section term $S_{+}^{\mathrm{X}}$ by a factor of a $b=P_{T}{ }^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$, which can be rather sm all for the $P_{T}{ }^{2}{ }_{M}{ }_{X}^{2}$ where we cut in the case of light quarks, and even goes dow $n$ to zero for charm production. A fter integration over $P_{T}{ }^{2}$ the rst relation of ( $5.1 . \overline{1}$ ) is m ore precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{+} \quad \mathrm{c}_{2}^{(0)} \quad 1=4 \frac{\text { むj }}{2} \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for light quarks w ith a $m$ om entum cuto and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{+} \quad \mathrm{c}_{2}^{(0)} \quad 1=4 \frac{\text { 升 }}{{\underset{0}{2}}^{m_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for heavy quarks and integration dow $n$ to $P_{T}{ }^{2}=0$. In ( $\left.\overline{5} \cdot 1 \overline{1}\right) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}$ appears since it is the typical scale of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$ in the integration if the quark m ass is large.
$T$ he question arises what one can expect for $R_{+}$when there is no low er cut on $P_{T}{ }^{2}$ in the case of light quarks. A fter all the $m$ ain contribution to $d{ }_{m}{ }_{n}=(d t d)$
summed over all avours and the full phase space is from light quarks at low transverse $m$ om enta. Because of the approxim ations of our calculation we cannot extrapolate $(\overline{5}: \overline{-1})$ to this region, but we want to give an educated guess. W e have argued in $\left[\operatorname{\sigma in}^{-1}, \bar{\prime} \bar{\prime}=1\right]$ that $w$ th som e caveats the LN m odel can still be applied to
 of the jet angle dependence at $t=0$ we observe that the suppression of $S_{+}^{\text {qq }} w$ th respect to $S_{++}^{q q}$ is by a factor $P_{T}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ even in this lim it. Taking this as a guidance for the interference term in our present problem we expect that a suppression by $P_{T}{ }^{2}=M_{X}^{2}$ of the di erential interference term $m$ ay persist for very $s m$ all $P_{T}{ }^{2}+m_{q}^{2}$, so that $P_{T}{ }^{2}$ cut in ( 5 . $\left.1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is to be replaced $w$ ith som e average $P_{T}{ }^{2}$ if we integrate over the fiull phase space. Exam ining the loop integrals $L_{i}\left(P_{T}^{2} ; w\right)$ of ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} z_{1}\right)$ one further nds that the typical scale for the $P_{T}^{2}$-dependence of the cross section is ${ }_{0}^{2}$ in the case where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}$ is sm all and not too close to 1 . This leads us to the guess

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{+} \quad C_{2}^{(0)} \quad 1=4 \frac{\text { むj }}{2} \frac{{ }_{0}^{2}}{M_{x}^{2}} \quad \frac{\text { むj }}{Q^{2}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the interference term w thout a cut on $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$, which is the quantity originally discussed in [9్p]. N otice that the $O\left(t=Q^{2}\right)$ term $s$ in $S_{+}^{X}$ now also contribute to the leading term of $R_{+}$. The scale ${ }_{0}^{2}$ has cancelled and we nd the same behaviour forboth $R_{+}$and $R_{+0}$ as in the $D$ onnachie Landsho $m$ odel ( $\left.5 . \mathbf{L}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Let us how ever rem ark that there one has $R_{+} \quad \dot{=j} Q^{2}$ even w ith a large cuto on
 by no $m$ eans identical.

C om ing back to what we were able to calculate in the LN m odelwe now give som e num erical ilhustrations of our results. In analogy to sec.'i' iti' we integrate over $P_{L}$ and plot the Fourier coe cients $F{ }_{"}^{X}, F_{+}^{X}, F_{+0}^{X}$ w ith a global factor $G^{2}(t) \quad 2{ }^{\circ} t$ taken out. They are de ned like $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{FB}}, \mathrm{F}_{+0}^{\mathrm{FB}}$ in ( $\overline{3}$. 10 d$)$ w th the superscript FB replaced by $X$ and thus appear in $d$ (ep ! epqq) $=\left(d^{\prime}{ }_{x} d x d Q^{2} d d t\right.$ in a $m$ anner analogous to ( $\overline{3}-\overline{2} \overline{0})$. $W$ e either sum over the three light avours $u ; d ; s$ for the produced quarks, with a minim um $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$ so that our calculation is valid, or we consider produced charm quarks for which we can integrate over the full $k$ inem atical range of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

The t-dependence of the Fourier coe cients for the $m$ odel propagator ( 3 . 10 ) $w$ ith $n=4$ is show $n$ in $g$.'i' fordi erent values of the free kinem aticalparam eters. W e observe that the transverse-transverse interference is usually larger than the transverse-longitudinal one. Fig. '5.1 show s an exam ple of the ' $x$-dependence of the ep cross section at two di erent values of $t$. In case (b) the distribution is clearly not at, although the ect is not very large, whereas in case (a) alm ost no ' x -dependence can be seen. This ilhustrates how the transverse-transverse interference is a ected by the param eter $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$ cut $=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$, which is $1=20$ in case (a) and $1=5$ in case (b).

To assess them odeldependence ofour prediction we also evaluated the Fourier
coe cients taking the sim ple ansatz (4.13)' for the integrals over the gluon propagators, with di erent values for the coe cient $c_{2}^{(0)}$. They are shown in g. . ${ }_{1}$ '人) for the case of light quarks, the e ects for charm are sim ilar. A s in sec. 'A. A' the results, especially at large tj; change considerably with $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(0)}$. In particular the sign of the transverse-transverse interference term is di erent for $\mathrm{c}_{2}^{(0)}$ below or above $1=4$, and for $\mathrm{c}_{2}^{(0)}=1=4$ this term is very sm all, as discussed above. We repeat that one would have to see whether realistic gluon propagators give values of $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(0)}$ as far aw ay from zero as the ones taken in g . 'G.

We nd that the leading order expressions of $S_{++}^{X}, S_{00}^{X}$ and $S_{+}^{X}$ in $\left.(5 \overline{-1})_{1}^{-1}\right)$ give a rather good approxim ation of what is obtained by including term sof ${ }^{-1}\left(t=Q^{2}\right)$, except ofcourse for $S_{+}^{x} \quad$ ifc $_{2}^{(0)}=1=4$. A s in sec. 1 ' 1 . ${ }^{1}$ th ism eans that them ain e ect com es from term $s$ depending on $t={ }_{0}^{2}$, whereas corrections in $t=Q^{2}$ are relatively sm all. Term s in $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ are also essential to describe the $t$-dependence of $\mathrm{S}_{+0}^{\mathrm{x}}$. A though its order of $m$ agnitude at $s m$ all $t$ is given by $t=Q$, a $m$ ere square
 not a good approxim ation unless $t$ is very sm all.

To conclude this section we rem ark on the possibility to constrain the cross section for longitudinal photons from the $m$ easurem ent of the ' $x$-dependence using the $m$ ethod described in sec. 'ind. W ith the results we obtain in the LN $m$ odel the bounds on $d{ }_{00}=(d t d)$ would not be stringent at all, and be far aw ay from its actualvalue. This isbecause we nd the interferenced $+0=(d t d)$, whose size is crucial to obtain good constraints, to be of order $\bar{f}=\mathrm{Q}$. From ( $\left.\overline{2} \mathbf{I}^{-1} \overline{1}\right)$ we can see that the low er bound on $d 0_{00}=(d t d)$ then vanishes like $f=Q^{2}$ for sm allt, $w$ hereas $\mathrm{d}_{00}=(\mathrm{dtd})$ itself does not becom e sm all in this lim it. This is di erent from the situation we found for the jet angle in sec. 1 inj. O ne w ould expect better bounds if the ratio of the longitudinal-transverse interference and the p cross sections at sm all $t$ w ere dom inated by a hadronic scale instead of or $_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{x}}$. This $m$ ight happen in other $m$ odels of di raction where soft dynam ics is im portant.

## 6 Sum m ary

In this paper we investigated correlations between azim uthal angles in deep inelastic ep di raction, using the one-photon approxim ation. W e rst derived the general expression for the dependence of the ep cross section on a suitably dened azim uthal angle betw een the lepton plane and a direction in the hadronic nal state in term $s$ of cross sections and interference term $s$ of the $p$ collision for di erent photon helicities. This was a direct generalisation of the work in $\left.{ }_{0} \bar{\eta}\right]$. W e showed that those term $s$ in the cross section that depend on the helicity of the lepton beam are sensitive to a polarisation dependence of the phases in the
p am plitudes for linearly polarised photons. From the angular dependence of the ep cross section one can obtain bounds on the di erential or integrated cross
section for longitudinalphotons, w ithout having to vary $y$ as it is needed for its direct $m$ easurem ent. H ow stringent these bounds are depends on the size of the interference term betw een longitudinal and transverse polarisations and thus on the choige of azim uthal angle and on the region of phase space considered.

W e have investigated the dependence on the azim uthal jet angle predicted by the LN m odel for the parton level reaction ep ! ep + qq at large transverse m om entum of the qq-pair, which at hadron level describes a pair of jets that carries the entire fourm om entum of the di ractive nal state. The size of the interference term $s$ is found to be controlled by the quantity $P_{T}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$. T he sign of the transverse-longitudinal interference depends on . Since this interference is less strongly suppressed than the longitudinal cross section, it $m$ ay o er an opportunity to observe the zero of the longitudinal am plitude at certain values of ${\underset{T}{T}}_{2}$ and which is characteristic of the two-ghon exchange m echanism. The bounds on the longitudinal cross section obtained from the azim uthaldependence $m$ ight be quite usefiul, at $s m$ all $P_{T}^{2}=M_{x}^{2}$ at least the low er bound com es out quite close to its actual value which is also sm all in this kinem atical region. W e suggest that the use of an azim uthal angle de ned from an event shape variable like the thnust axis in the di ractive nal state would allow to extend this m ethod to a w ider class of nal states, in particular it would allow to go to sm aller values of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$ than those needed for jet algorithm s and thus to increase the total rate in the analysis.

The cross section for ep ! ep + qq was then calculated at nite $t w$ ith the
 Its region of validity is therefore the production of jets or heavy avours where
2 is su ciently large. T he result then involves three t-dependent integrals (4-. $\overline{6})^{\prime}$ $w$ th two ghon propagators at di erent virtualities. The relevant scale for the t-behaviour of these integrals is ${ }_{0}^{2} \quad 1: 2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The lim itt! 0 for two of them is known from phenom enology, for the rest one has to resort to speci cmodel propagators.

Applying this calculation to the dependence on the azim uthal jet angle we nd that apart from the dom inating e ect of the proton form factor $G(t)$ and the pom eron trajectory $p(t)$ the $t$-dependence of the $p$ cross sections and interference term $s$ is controlled by corrections in $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ com ing from the ghon propagators, corrections in tdivided by a large kinem atical scale of the transition
! qq are much sm aller. As a consequence the quantitative features of the results depend on the choice of gluon propagator. U sing the m odel propagator $\left(\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ we typically nd that the sum of the transverse and longitudinal cross sections decreases by a factor around 2 betw een fj $=0$ and $1: 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ when the strong suppression from $G(t)$ and the pom eron trajectory is taken out. The absolute size of the interference term $s$ tends to decrease w ith Jjand one can even have a change of their signs.

A nother im portant azim uthal angle is that of the scattered proton or proton rem nant. It was shown in $\left[\frac{1}{9}\right]$ that its $m$ easurem ent can give inform ation on the
helicity structure of the pom eron. W e have investigated its distribution in the LN m odel, but due to our approxim ations had to restrict ourselves to a qq nal state with large transverse quark $m$ om entum $P_{T}$ in the qq rest fram $e$, or $w$ th a large quark $m$ ass. Like for the jet angle we nd that the $t$-dependence of the cross sections and interference term $s$ is controlled by the scale ${ }_{0}^{2}$, and that the results depend rather strongly on the integrals over the ghon propagators. The order ofm agnitude of the transverse-longitudinal interference is given by ${ }^{P}$ - $=Q$. The ratio between the interference of the two transverse polarisations and the transverse cross section goes like $t={ }_{0}^{2}$ which can be large, but it is suppressed by an additional factor $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}$ so that this interference is sm all at low $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$. Unfortunately we cannot take the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$ ! 0 for light quarks in our calculation but our guess is that the $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$-integrated transverse-transverse interference w ill be suppressed by $t=Q^{2}$ com pared w th the transverse cross section, which would lead to a rather at angular dependence in the ep spectrum. A ccording to the discussion in $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$ the helicity of the LN pom eron is then dom inated by one value in the inclusive di ractive process, whereas several helicities are im portant when there is a high transverse $m$ om entum orm ass scale in the di ractive nal state. $N$ otice that a system oftwo gluons we use to $m$ odel the pom eron can in principle transfer any integer value of angular $m$ om entum through its orbitalm otion.

O ur nding that nite-t e ects are rather sensitive to the nonperturbative ghon dynam ics in the LN m odel suggests that they m ay com e out quite di erent in otherm odels ofdi raction and could thus be a usefiulprobe of the $m$ echanism $s$ at work in di ractive physics.
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$d \sigma_{e p} /\left(d \varphi_{F B} d x d Q^{2} d \beta d t\right)$
$\left[\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{GeV}^{4}\right]$
[ $\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{GeV}^{4}$ ]





|  | $Q^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ | $\beta$ | $M_{X}^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\text {Teut }}^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(a)$ | 40 | $1 / 3$ | 80 | 16 |
| $(b)$ | 40 | $1 / 3$ | 80 | 4 |
| $(c)$ | 40 | $2 / 3$ | 20 | 4 |
| $(d)$ | 10 | $1 / 3$ | 20 | 4 |
| $(e)$ | 40 | $2 / 3$ | 20 | 1 |

Figure 1: D ependence on ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{F B}$ of $d$ (ep ! epqq) $=\left(d^{\prime}{ }_{F B} d x d Q^{2} d d t\right.$ ), summed for $u ; d ; s$ and $c$ quarks. Values of the free kinem atical variables are ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=$ $296 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{y}=0: 5, "=0: 8, \mathrm{t}=0$ and those given in the table. A cut 0:05 has been im posed. Full lines correspond to the im proved approxim ation ( $\overline{3}$. 1 id
 $m$ ation ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.


Figure 2: Fourier coe cients $F{ }^{F}{ }^{F B}, F_{+}^{F B}, F_{+0}^{F B}$ in the ep cross section and the
 $T$ hey are sum $m$ ed for $u$; $d$; $s$ quarks and a global factor $G^{2}(t) \quad{ }^{2} t$ is taken out in the plot. The results here are obtained with the $m$ odel gluon propagator $\left(\overline{3} \bar{N}_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ forn $=4 . \mathrm{K}$ inem atical variables are (a) $:{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{S}}=296 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{y}=0: 5, \mathrm{Q}^{2}=40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, $=1=3, P_{L} j \quad 4 \mathrm{GeV}$. (b) $:^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}$ and y as before and $Q^{2}=40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=2=3$, $P_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j} \quad 1 \mathrm{GeV}$.


Figure 3: A s g. $\underline{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ (a) but w ith the ansatz ( $\overline{4} 1$ propagators w th di erent values of $C_{2}^{(0)}$. Rem em ber that a factor $G^{2}(t){ }^{2}{ }^{\circ} t$ is taken out in the plot; the di erential cross section does not rise with tj.


Figure 4: Fourier coe cients $F{ }_{n}^{X}, F_{+}^{X}, F_{+0}^{X}$ in the ep cross section, de ned in analogy to ( $\left(\frac{1}{1}=1\right)$, w ith a global factor $G^{2}(t) \quad{ }^{2} t$ taken out. N ote that $F_{"}^{x}$ is scaled down by a factor 10. (a) and (b) are sum $m$ ed for u;d;s quarks with a lower cuto $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2} 4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, (c) and (d) are for charm quarks w thout a cut on $P_{T}{ }^{2}$. K inem atical variables are $\bar{S}=296 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{Y}=0: 5$ in all cases and (a): $Q^{2}=40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=1=3$. (b) : $Q^{2}=40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=2=3$. (c) $: Q^{2}=25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$,
$=1=3$. (d): $Q^{2}=6: 25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},=1=3$. The curves are obtained $w$ th the



Figure 5: (a): D ependence on ' $x$ ofd (ep! epqq)=( $\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx} d \mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{~d} d t$ ), obtained from the Fourier coe cients in $9 . \underline{4}_{1}^{-}(a)$ for $\dagger j=0.2 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{Jj}=0: 8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. (b) : $T$ he sam $e$ for the Fourier coe cients from $g .4_{i}^{-1}(b)$
(a)
(b)


(c)

$$
c_{2}^{(0)}=-0.5
$$

$\mathrm{nb} / \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

$$
c_{2}^{(0)}=0.25
$$



 propagators $w$ th di erent values of $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(0)}$.
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