arXiv:hep-ph/9610487v1 25 Oct 1996

FUB-HEP/96-16

Left-right asymmetry and polarization for inclusive hyperon production processes* †

C. Boros, Liang Zuo-tang and Meng Ta-chung

Institut für theoretische Physik,
FU Berlin, Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin Germany

It is shown that the polarization in inclusive hyperon production and the left-right asymmetries in meson and hyperon production are closely related to one other. They can be understood in terms of a picture in which the orbital motion of valence quarks of the scattering hadrons play an important role.

*Talk presented by C. Boros at the 12th *International Symposium on High Energy Spin Physics*, SPIN96, Amsterdam, September 10-14, 1996.

†Supported in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG:Me 470/7-2).

Ever since the first hyperon-polarization experiments[1] people are fascinated by the fact that hyperons produced in *unpolarized* hadron-nucleus collision processes are transversely *polarized*. Recently, the left-right asymmetries in inclusive Λ[2], $\pi^{\pm}$, π^0 and η [3] production with polarized proton beams and unpolarized proton targets has also been measured. The characteristic features observed in both kinds of experiments are strikingly similar. In fact: (1) both the polarization P and the left-right asymmetries A_N are significant, and *only* significant, in the fragmentation regions of the colliding objects; (2) P and A_N depend on the flavor quantum numbers of the produced particles (hyperons and mesons); (3) P and A_N depend on the flavor quantum numbers of the colliding objects.

The above mentioned experimental facts suggest that both phenomena are closely related to each other and that these phenomena have little to do with typical hard scattering processes. Hence, it is not surprising that the data contradict *perturbative* QCD where both A_N and P are expected to vanish. The experimental facts simply show that *soft, non-perturbative* dynamics are needed in understanding them.

In a recent paper[4], we proposed a model and pointed out the following: The existence of left-right asymmetry for pion production can be understood on the basis of the theoretical assumptions and experimental facts mentioned above: (A) There exist a correlation between the polarization of the valence quarks and their transverse momentum distribution — orbiting valence quarks. (B) Geometrical effects in hadron-hadron collisions play an important role — "surface effect". (C) Direct formation of hyperons through fusion of valence (di)quarks of the projectile and suitable sea-(di)quarks of the target are important in the fragmentation region of the projectile. (D) u-quarks (d-quarks) of a transverse polarized proton are on average polarized in the same (opposite) direction as the proton (for details see Ref.4). In this talk I want to point out that also the left-right asymmetry for Λ-production can be understood and show that this picture naturally leads to hyperon polarizations in unpolarized production processes, thus establishing a close relationship between these two spin phenomena. This talk is based on the papers given in Ref.[5] written in collaboration with Liang Zuo-tang and Meng Ta-chung.

In order to understand the left-right asymmetry for hyperon polarization we recall that there are the following three possibilities for direct formations for Λ-production: (a) A $(u_v d_v)$-valence-diquark from the projectile P picks up a s_s-sea-quark associated with the target T and forms a Λ: $(u_v d_v)^P + s_s^T \to \Lambda$. (b) A u_v-valence-quark from the projectile P picks up a $(d_s s_s)$-sea-diquark associated with the target T and forms a Λ: $u_v^P + (d_s s_s)^T \to \Lambda$. (c) A d_v-valence-quark from the projectile P picks up a $(u_s s_s)$-sea-diquark associated with the target T and forms a Λ: $d_v^P + (u_s s_s)^T \to \Lambda$. We note that according to points (A), (B) and (D), Λ produced through the direct formation process (b) should have large probabilities to go left and thus give positive contributions to A_N, while those from (c) contribute negatively to it. For the direct formation process (a), we note the following:

This direct formation process (a) should be predominately associated with the production of a meson directly formed through fusion of the u valence quark of the projectile with a suitable anti-sea-quark of the target. It follows from points (A),(B) and (D) that this meson should have a large probability to obtain an extra transverse momentum to the left. Thus, according to momentum conservation, the Λ produced through (a) should have a large probability to obtain an extra transverse momentum to the right. This implies that (a) contributes negatively to A_N, opposite to that of the associatively produced meson (π^+ or K^+ or other) and plays the dominating role in the large x_F ($x_F \geq 0.6$) region. We therefore expect that $A_N(x_F, \Lambda|s)$ is large and negative for large x_F and for $x_F \sim 0.5$ it should be slightly positive. All these qualitative features are consistent with the characteristics of the data[6,7] (see Fig.1).




Figure 1: Left-right asymmetry A_N for $p(\uparrow) + p(0) \to \Lambda + X$ at 200 GeV/c. The data are from Ref. [6] and from Ref. [7].

Now we discuss the Λ-polarization and we first look at the Λ's produced through (a). This direct formation process is mainly associated with $(u_v^a)^P + \bar{s}_s^T \to K^+$. Let us look at those Λ's which are going left. In this case, according momentum conservation the associatively produced K^+ should have a large probability to go right. This implies, according to (A), that $(u_v^a)^P$ has a large probability to be downwards polarized. Note here, that by choosing a specific side (left or right) with respect to the beam axis we select according to (A) and (B) a certain polarization (up or down) although the projectile is not polarized i.e. the prescription on which side we detect the produced K^+ acts as a polarization filter. Since K is a pseudo-scalar meson and thus a spin-zero object, $\bar{s}_s^T$ should be upwards polarized. Hence, the corresponding s_s^T should be downwards polarized, provided that the sea quark-anti-quark pair is not transversely polarized (see Ref. [5] for more details). Since the polarization of Λ is entirely determined




Figure 2: Polarization of Λ, P_Λ, as a function of x_F. Data are taken from [8]. The curve is the calculated result of the proposed model

by the s-quark, it follows that Λ *should have a large probability to be downwards polarized, i.e.* $P_\Lambda < 0$. We note that this correlation remains unity even when more pseudo-scalar mesons are created, but may be destroyed if vector mesons are associatively produced. In the following, we consider only the former case. In this sense, what we obtain is the upper limit of the expectation from the picture. We also note that, if Λ is produced through (b) [or (c)] and is going left, the valence quark u_v [or d_v] should have a large probability to be upwards polarized. But this says nothing about the polarization of Λ. It means the Λ's formed through (b) and (c) are not polarized. This is why we expect to see that P_Λ is negative and significant only in the large x_F region (see Fig.2). According to the above picture Λ's produced in diffractive processes such us $pp \to (\Lambda K^+)p$ should have an even larger polarization since here momentum conservation strictly implies that the Λ and the K^+ have opposite transverse momenta and the above mentioned correlation is also maximal.

References

[1] A. Lesnik *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 770 (1975); G. Bunce *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 1113, (1976).

[2] See K. Heller talk given at this symposium and the papers cited therein.

[3] See A. Bravar talk given at this symposium and the papers cited therein.

[4] C. Boros, Liang Zuo-tang and Meng Ta-chung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1751 (1993);

[5] C. Boros and Liang Zuo-tang, Phys. Rev. **D53**, R2279 (1996) and "Orbiting valence quarks and polarization in inclusive production processes at high energies" FU-Preprint FU-HEP/96-9.

[6] A. Bravar et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3073 (1995).

[7] A. Lesnik et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **35** (1975) 770; B. E. Bonner et al. Phys. Rev. D **38** (1988) 729;

[8] A. M. Smith *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **185B**, 209 (1987); B. Lundberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 3557 (1989); E. J. Ramberg *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **338B**, 403 (1994).