

FUB-HEP/96-15

Spin-effects and dissociation of space-like photons^y

Institut für theoretische Physik, FU Berlin,
Alte Akademie 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Talk presented by Meng Ta-chung at the 12th International Symposium on High Energy Spin Physics, SPIN 96, Amsterdam, September 10-14, 1996.

^ySupported in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG Me 470/7-2).

By using the characteristic spin-effects observed in high-energy hadron-hadron collisions as indicators, hadronic dissociation of space-like photons can be directly probed by performing measurements in the fragmentation region of transversely polarized and unpolarized proton beams, for example, at HERA. This is what my collaborators, and myself proposed in a recent paper [1]. This is also what I would like to report in this talk.

It is known already for a long time that hadronic dissociation of space-like photons may play a significant role in deep-inelastic lepton-hadron scattering — especially in diffractive processes [2,3]. People seem to agree that, viewed from the hadron-or nucleus-target, not only real, but also space-like photons ($Q^2 = q^2 > 0$, where q is the four-momentum of such a photon) may dissociate into hadronic states. But, as far as the following question is concerned, different theoretical models (see e.g. Refs. 2-5 and the papers cited therein) seem to give different answers. How do such hadronic dissociation processes depend on the standard kinematic variables of deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering, Q^2 and x_B ($q^2 = (2pq)$, where p is the four-momentum of the struck nucleon)? Can virtual photons always be treated as hadrons in the small x_B and large Q^2 region?

Viewed from the rest frame of the struck nucleon mentioned above, the lifetime of the virtual hadronic system is of the order $2 = Q^2 = 1/(M x_B)$, where ω is the photon-energy and M is the proton-mass. This means, the corresponding formation/coherence length can be much larger than the proton's radius. Furthermore, we note that the above-mentioned lifetime is a function of x_B , independent of Q^2 . Does it imply that the hadronic dissociation of a photon always takes place — independent of its virtuality Q^2 ? Some of the dynamical models based on such a photon-dissociation picture (See e.g.[4]) have been used to describe the proton structure function $F_2^p(x_B; Q^2)$ in the small x_B region and the obtained results are in reasonable agreement with the existing data [6,7]. Can we, on the basis of this agreement, say: "Experiments show that the interaction between the proton and the space-like photon is always hadronic — independent of the virtuality (Q^2) of the latter?" Is it correct and/or appropriate to say that, in such reactions, the question whether we are dealing with hadronic interactions depends on the choice of reference frames?

A large number of inelastic lepton-nucleus experiments have been performed in which the hadronic properties of the space-like photons have been studied. But, probably due to the complicated nuclear structure, the data can be reproduced by different models based on different physical pictures [4,5]. Can hadronic dissociation of space-like photons be probed without using nuclear targets?

Having the present and the future experimental possibilities at HERA in mind, we think it would be useful to consider the characteristic spin-effects observed — and only observed — in the fragmentation regions of hadron-hadron collisions at comparable energies and use them as indicators to probe the space-like photons at given values of Q^2 and x_B . To be more precise, we propose to measure the left-right asymmetry A_N of produced charged mesons in the fragmentation region

of the transversely polarized proton p^\perp , to measure the \perp -polarization P^\perp of the unpolarized protons p at HERA in the small- x_B region for different Q^2 -values, and to compare the obtained results with those obtained in the corresponding hadron-hadron collisions.

In order to demonstrate in a quantitative manner how the Q^2 -dependence of such dissociation processes may manifest itself, we examine the $F_2^p(x_B; Q^2)$ -data [6,7] in the small- x_B region. In Fig.1, we separate the well-known vector-dominance contribution (See e.g. [4,5]) from "the rest," and we consider the following two extreme possibilities which correspond to two very much different physical pictures: (i) The hadronic dissociation of virtual space-like ($Q^2 > 0$) photons take place for all possible Q^2 -values. In other words, in this picture (Q^2) should always be considered as a hadronic system independent of Q^2 . (ii) The hadronic dissociation of such photons depends very much on Q^2 . In terms of a two-component picture (See e.g. [2-5]) the virtual photon (Q^2) is considered to be either in the "bare photon" state or in a hadronically dissociated state ("hadronic cloud") described by the vector-dominance model. In other words, only the latter can be considered hadronic; and the probability for (Q^2) to be in this state is the ratio between the values shown by the dashed and the full lines.

Let us first look at the left-right asymmetry data [8] for \perp -production in $p^\perp + p$ and see what we may obtain by replacing the unpolarized proton-target p by a photon with given Q^2 , (Q^2). It is clear that the corresponding asymmetry which we denote by $A_N(x_F, Q^2)$ will have the following properties: If scenario (i) is correct, we shall see no change in $A_N(x_F, Q^2)$ by varying Q^2 . If scenario (ii) is true, there will be a significant Q^2 -dependence. The results are shown in Fig.2a. Similar effects are expected also for K^+ -mesons. In order to emphasize the model-independence of this test, the curve which goes through the existing proton-proton data points [8] should be considered as an empirical fit, although such an asymmetry-data can be described by a relativistic quark model [9].

We next consider the \perp -polarization $P^\perp(x_F; Q^2)$ in the process $p + (Q^2) \rightarrow X$ in which unpolarized proton beam is used [10]. Also here, we expect to see no Q^2 -dependence for scenario (i) but a significant Q^2 -dependence for scenario (ii). This is shown in Fig.2b.




Figure 1: Structure function $F_2^p(x_B; Q^2)$ as a function of Q^2 . The data-points are taken from [6,7]; and they are parametrized (shown as solid line) in order to carry out the quantitative calculation mentioned in the text. The dashed line is the contribution from the vector meson dominance. The difference, which is called "the rest", is shown as dotted line.




Figure 2: (a) Left-right asymmetry for pion-production in $p + p \rightarrow \pi + X$ as function of x_F at different values of Q^2 . The data are for $p + p \rightarrow \pi + X$ and are from [8]. (b) Polarization for π -production in $p + p \rightarrow \pi + X$ as function of x_F at different Q^2 . The data for the indicated proton-proton and proton-nucleus collisions are from [10].

- [1] C. Boros, Z. Liang and T. Meng, preprint FUB-HEP/95-21 (revised version).
- [2] H. T. Nieh, Phys. Rev. D 1, 3161 (1970); Phys. Rev. D 7, 3401 (1973).
- [3] T. Bauer, R. Spital, D. Yennie and F. Pipkin, Rev Mod Phys. 50, 261 (1978).
- [4] See e.g. G. Piller, W. Ratzka and W. Weise, Z. f. Phys. A 352, 427 (1995).
- [5] See e.g. M. Amado, Phys. Rep. 240, 301 (1994).
- [6] NM C Coll, P. Amundrud et al, Phys. Lett. 295B, 3 (1992).
- [7] Fermilab E 665 Coll, A. V. Kotwal, in Proc. of the Fermilab Conf 94/251-E (1994).
- [8] FNAL E 581/704 Collaboration, see e.g. A. Bravar et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3073 (1995) and the papers cited therein.
- [9] C. Boros, Z. Liang and T. Meng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1751 (1993), Phys Rev D 51, 4867 (1995); Phys Rev D (in press).
- [10] K. Heller, in Proc. of this Symposium and the papers cited therein.