Euclidean Solutions in Broken Phase and Electro-Weak Dynamics

Hiroyuki Kanada, Hiroto So and Shin Takeda

D epartm ent of Physics, N iigata University, Ikarashi 2-8050, N iigata 950-21, Japan.

A bstract

A Higgs-Yukawa system in a broken phase and Euclidean solutions are investigated. Although it has been believed that there are no Euclidean solutions in the broken phase in 4-dimension, we nd numerically ones in the phase due to the elect of a strong Yukawa coupling. The complex Yukawa coupling is necessary for the stability of the solution. The extension to a complex Higgs-Yukawa system is also investigated.

1. Introduction In the electro-weak (EW) theory with rich structure of the dynamics, it is an essential point that the Higgs eld has a non-zero vacuum expectation value (VEV). Its typical example is the existence of static solutions as sphaleron which is unstable and combine distinct vacua from each other in the EW theory. It is important that there is an instanton solution in the system to estimate the tunneling elect between distinct vacua sem i-classically. On the other hand, by the famous scaling argument [1,2], it is pointed out that the EW theory can not have instanton solutions because of collapsing phenomena.

To solve the above problem we know a constrained instanton, a stream line method and new valley one [2-4]. They are not exact solutions in the theory but an elective collection of con gurations in the path integral. A nother approach is to introduce some external forces against the collapse. One possibility is given by taking account of the elect of heavy fermions. One of the evidences is the fermion elect on sphaleron [5-9]. The sphaleron barrier between two vacua is more lowered by heavier fermion elects. This means the transition between distinct vacua becomes to be easier.

Now, we can see the naive scaling argument as the following: For the Higgs eld, we can give a scale transform ation with xing its VEV as

In addition to spatial coordinates, other elds are transformed like as canonical scaling. Then the scaling of the present action is given as follows;

$$S(a) = S_0 + S_4 a^4 + S_2 a^2 + S_1 a^1;$$

where S_0 is a scale invariant term such as gauge and matter kinetic ones without VEV. The coe cient S_4 is a positive number due to giving a stability of the vacuum and the coe cient S_2 is a positive number which comes from the kinetic term of the scalar eld with VEV in the Lagrangian. On the

other hand, the sign of the coe cient S $_1$ can not be de nitely determined because of the Yukawa coupling e ect.

From the above scaling argument, one can understand that the Yukawa term (S_1) plays an important role in the short distance phenomena. If the term is negative and has enough magnitude, the collapsing of the solution becomes to be impossible by the repulsing e ect.

In this article, we investigate a Higgs-Yukawa system in a broken phase and construct Euclidean solutions (instantons) numerically for a strong Yukawa coupling.

2. $sim ple H iggs-Yukawa System We shall derive equations of motion for a <math>sim ple H iggs-Yukawa system (the H iggs led is real) in a Euclidean space. Since ferm i elds and are independent of each other in the Euclidean space, the real positiveness of is not guaranteed. So, we can introduce a complex Yukawa coupling <math>g = jgje^i$, keeping a Lagrangian herm ite. Here, the Lagrangian is given as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (@)^2 + 2^2 (^2 v^2)^2 + @ + Re(g);$$
 (1)

where v is the VEV of the Higgs eld and is taken as v = 246 GeV which correspond to a standard Higgs in the EW theory. By introducing the spherical ansatz for the scalar eld, one can be applicable for simplicity as

$$(x) = (r);$$

where
$$r = p \frac{}{x^2}$$
.

The ansatz for the ferm i elds is non trivial because of taking account of freedom of spinor. Here, we adopt the following ones;

$$(x) = (f_1(r) + e^{i} f_2(r) \frac{x}{r});$$

$$(x) = (f_1(r) e^{i} f_2(r) \frac{x}{r});$$

where and denote constant spinors. The normalization is given as

Unfortunately, there are no explicit form softhe equations ofm otion for and except for the = 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ case. Thus, by substituting the above ansatz into the Lagrangian (1), one can derive the ferm ion equations of motion in term of f_1 and f_2 . So, we can write down equations of motion by , f_1 and f_2 as

$$^{\circ}$$
 + $\frac{3}{r}$ $^{\circ}$ = 8 2 (2 v^{2}) $\dot{y}\dot{y}\dot{f}(f_{1}^{2}$ $f_{2}^{2}\cos 2$); (2)

$$f_1^0 = jgj f_2 cos 2 ; (3)$$

$$f_2^0 + \frac{3}{r}f_2 = jgj f_1$$
: (4)

Here, one can see that in the = 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ case, above equations are reduced ones which are derived from equations of motion of and . Particularly, the Yukawa coupling with = 0 becomes to be real. Thus both f_1 and f_2 have realm asses and then have normal and exponential dumping behaviors. For the exponential behavior of , the Eq.(2) indicates that the fermion mass must be heavier than a half of the Higgsmass, thus the Yukawa coupling must be su ciently strong. Among present known fermions, only top quark may be satisted with this condition, so we expect that top quark plays a main role for an instanton of the Higgs-Yukawa system.

We exam in Eq.(2) - Eq.(4) num erically to nd a solution that obeys the following boundary conditions;

$$^{0}(0) = f_{2}(0) = 0;$$
 $(1) = v;$
 $f_{1}(1) = f_{2}(1) = 0:$

The rst condition is given for the sm oothness at the origin, the second one means the vacuum condition at r=1. The third one gives the normalizability condition of fermionic wave function. In the calculation, both values of f_1 (0) and (0) are free parameters. So, we treat the f_1 (0) as a variable parameter with a xed (0) to nd a solution that satisfies the above boundary conditions.

Before numerical investigation of the Higgs-Yukawa system, we exam ine a pure Higgs system analytically. In the pure Higgs system, there are two kinds of \solutions" that do not obey boundary conditions. Eq.(2) without Yukawa term is interpreted as the point particle's equation of motions with a friction in a potential of $(^2 v^2)^2$ [10]. In a rst type solution with j (0) j < v, the particle falls into the origin and oscillates dumpingly around the origin. In a second type one with j (0) j > v, the particle departs from the origin and the \solution" behaves asymptotically as $(r) / \frac{1}{a+r}$ where a is a constant. Then, we can determ ine a sign of Yukawa coupling to improve the above behavior.

Now, we try to search the numerical solution in the special three cases as = 0 (a real Yukawa coupling), $= \frac{1}{2}$ (a imaginary Yukawa coupling) and $= \frac{1}{4}$.

In the case of = 0, we can not not any solutions which obey the boundary conditions for any (0). However, we can not solutions" very similar to one in the pure Higgs system. It is pointed out that when (r); $f_1(r)$; $f_2(r) >> 0$, Eq.(2) -Eq.(4) have simple pole solutions as

(r) /
$$f_1$$
 (r) / f_2 (r) $\frac{1}{a+r}$:

The result for (r) obtained form any values of f_1 (0) are given in Fig.1.0 ne can see in this gure that all calculated (r) show a simple pole behavior in all values of f_1 (0).

Next, we try to search for a solution in the case of $=\frac{1}{2}$. We can get

m any num erical solutions which obey the boundary conditions. The typical results for (r); $f_1(r)$ and $f_2(r)$ are shown in Fig 2-Fig A, respectively. These gures show that these solutions localizes around the origin with nonzero nite size. It means that the contribution from ferm ion supports for the Higgs eld not to collapse to the trivial solution. It has already be pointed out that there is a analytic solution in this theory with v=0 by Inagaki [11]. The solution is shown with a dashed line in Fig 2-Fig A, too.

In the case of $= \frac{1}{4}$, only f_1 contributes to Higgs eld, and f_1 is linear in r. Thus, one can easily know that there are no solutions. This fact means that there exists a gap between two regions connected to = 0 and to $= \frac{1}{4}$.

We investigate numerically whether there are solutions in the present system or not, in the two cases of $0 < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{2}$. We not that there is not any solution in the case of $0 < \frac{1}{4}$. On the other hand, there are solutions for $\frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{2}$. To show these facts, the actions calculated with the obtained solution is given as a function of in Fig.5.

We can show also the relation of imaginary ferm ion masses to the calculated action in Fig.6. One can see that the mass dependency of the action is consistent with the result given by Nolte and Kunz [6].

Finally, we can point out that important one of present indings is that the solutions localize around the origin with a nonzero nite size, and the contribution from ferm ions plays an important role for the Higgs eld not to collapse to the trivial solution.

3. Com plex Higgs-Yukawa System Next, we try the extension to com plex Higgs-Yukawa system. We introduce two same ferm ions except for constant spinors normalization to avoid a linear term of x in Lagrangian with a suitable ansatz for elds. Thus, our Lagrangian is,

$$L = j \cdot 2 + 2 (j \cdot j^2 \cdot v^2)^2 + Q + L_R + R_R \cdot L_$$

In the present investigation, the ansatz for each eld is given the following,

Finally, we can obtain following equations of motion, using the above ansatz.

$$\frac{0}{R}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{3}{r} \frac{0}{R}(\mathbf{r}) = 4 \quad _{R}(\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}^{2}) \qquad (5)$$

$$+ gA (f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2} f_{3}^{2} + f_{4}^{2}) + 2igB (f_{1}f_{3} + f_{2}f_{4});$$

$$\frac{0}{I}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{3}{r} \frac{0}{I}(\mathbf{r}) = 4 \quad _{I}(\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{2} \mathbf{v}^{2}) \qquad (6)$$

$$+ igB (f_{1}^{2} + f_{2}^{2} f_{3}^{2} f_{4}^{2}) \quad 2gA (f_{1}f_{3} f_{2}f_{4});$$

$$f_{1}^{0} = g(_{R}f_{2} I_{4});$$

$$f_{2}^{0} + \frac{3}{r}f_{2} = g(_{R}f_{1} I_{5});$$

$$(8)$$

$$f_{3}^{0} = g(_{R}f_{4} + I_{5}f_{2});$$

$$f_4^0 + \frac{3}{r}f_4 = g(_R f_3 + _I f_1);$$
 (10)

Here, boundary conditions are as follows,

$$_{R}^{0}(0) = _{I}^{0}(0) = f_{2}(0) = f_{4}(0) = 0;$$
 $_{R}(1)^{2} + _{I}(1)^{2} = v^{2};$
 $f_{1}(1) = f_{2}(1) = f_{3}(1) = f_{4}(1) = 0:$

The rst condition is given by the smoothness at the origin as same as the one in simple Higgs case, the second one means vacuum at in nity and the third one denotes ferm ion normalizability. We shall exam ine equations of motion in this system in comparison with those in the previous $\sin ple\ H$ iggs-Yukawa system. Putting = R , $f_3 =$ $f_4 = 0$ and B = 0 in Eq.(5) - Eq.(10), we can obtain the same equations in the $\sin ple\ H$ iggs-Yukawa system with = 0. Then one can know that there are $\sin ple\ pole\ solutions$ in the present system. If we take as f_2 ! if g_3 , g_3 ! ig and g_3 = g_4 = g_4 = g_4 = g_5 in Eq.(5) g_5 = Eq.(10), we can get same equations in the previous system with g_5 = g_5 . In this case, we can say that there is a solution with a nonzero nite size.

Now, we are going to search for a solution with a nonzero nite size in the present system. It is important for constructing instanton solution in the EW theory to get a solution in this system.

4. Sum mary We shall sum marize this article. Due to the strong Yukawa coupling, the Euclidean solution in a broken phase is stabilized. Explicit solutions are found numerically. Ferm ields can give the eect as external sources against the collapsing instanton. Generally, external sources enough to support instantons must have suitable values or sign in the following three points; (1) magnitude, (2) spatial size and (3) sign.

It is so di cult to change the short distance behavior in the standard dynam ics except Yukawa couplings, and then we can not not the other possibility for external sources against collapse.

Changing of the Yukawa coupling from real to imaginary corresponds to the above third point and prefer repulsive e ect a to attractive ones owing to giving against collapsing forces.

Our nalaim is to construct instanton solutions in the EW theory. In the theory, there are other problems gauge elds, index theorem and ferm ion zero modes. They shall be studied in the succeeding paper.

R eferences

- [1] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3432.
- [2] I.A edk, Nucl. Phys. B 191 (1981) 429.
- [3] I. I. Balitsky and A. V. Yung, 168B (1986) 113.
- [4] H. Aoyam a, H. Kikuchi, Nucl. Phys. B 369 (1992) 219.
- [5] J. Kunz and Y. Brihaye, Phys. Lett. B 304 (1993) 141.
- [6] G.Nolte and J.Kunz, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 5905.
- [7] J.Kunz and Y.Brihaye, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1051.
- [8] G.Nolte and J.Kunz, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3061.
- [9] G.Nolte, J.Kunz and B.Kleihaus, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 3451.
- [10] S.Colem an, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2929.
- [11] H. Inagaki, Phys. Lett. 69B (1977) 448.

Figure Captions

- Fig.1 Numerical \Solutions" for the Higgs-eld in the real Yukawa system . Each line denotes one for dierent values of f_1 (0).
- Fig.2 Num erical Solutions for the H iggs-eld in the imaginary Yukawa system. A solid line and dash-dotted line correspond to solutions with the Yukawa coupling $jgj=175~{\rm G\,eV}=v$ for M $_{\rm h}=60~{\rm G\,eV}$ and $120~{\rm G\,eV}$ respectively. A dashed line denotes Inagaki's analytic solution with v=0.
- Fig.3 Numerical Solutions for the f_i in the imaginary Yukawa system. Each line corresponds to the same one in Fig.2.
- Fig.4 Numerical Solutions for the f in the imaginary Yukawa system. Each line corresponds to the same one in Fig.2.
- Fig.5 Action (S) versus Yukawa coupling phase () in the imaginary Yukawa system .
- Fig.6 Action (S) versus Yukawa coupling strength ($M_{\rm f}=jg\,jv$) in the imaginary Yukawa system .




Fig.1




Fig.2




Fig.3




Fig.4




Fig.5




Fig.6