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A bstract
The N N and N N coupling constants are studied based on the tw o point functions betw een the vacuum and a onem eson state in the soft m eson lim it. In term s of a suitably constructed interpolating eld for the nucleon and resonances, we have found that the N N couplings vanish, while $N \mathrm{~N}$ couplings rem ain nite. This result explains a relatively suppressed coupling of $\mathrm{N} N$ (1535) as com pared w ith others. W e com pare the present result $w$ th predictions of low energy e ective $m$ odels.

PACS: 11.55 Hx 12.38 .t $13.75 \mathrm{G} \times 1420 \mathrm{Gk} 14.40 \mathrm{Aq}$

[^0]B aryon resonances provide a good testing ground ofe ective models for QCD at low energy. $N$ ot only the resonance $m$ asses but also transition $m$ atrix elem ents are sub ject to recent research interest. $N$ ew data for these quantities $w$ ill soon becom e available from facilities such as TJNL (form er CEBAF), ELSA, MAM I and possibly Spring8 tirind. In particular, transition $m$ atrix elem ents are very sensitive to details of resonance $w$ ave functions, and therefore, they will provide strong constraints on the low energy e ective m odels.

Am ong various baryon resonances, negative parity resonances $N$ have particularly interesting properties. For exam ple, N (1535) has relatively large branching ratio for the decay N (1535) ! N which is com parative to that of N (1535) ! N . C onsidering the di erence in the available phase spaces, this leads to a relatively large coupling constant for $N N$ (1535). O ne $m$ ay also look at the problem in the follow ing way. U sing the experim ental decay widths of the resonance, we obtain $g_{\mathrm{NN}} \quad 0: 7$ and $g_{\mathrm{NN}} \quad 2$. These values are in fact much sm aller than those in the N N sector: $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n} N} 13$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{NN}}$ 5. Furthem ore, the pion couples weaker than the eta in the NN sector, as opposed to the $\mathrm{N} N$ sector. Thus, one $m$ ay ask why the coupling $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N} N}$ is suppressed so much as com pared with other couplings. We will look at the problem of the couplings from this point of view in this paper.

P reviously, Jido, K odam a and $O$ ka $[$ [G] have studied $m$ asses of negative parity octet
 a correlation function has been form ulated. The resulting $m$ asses are generally in good agreem ent w ith data. O ne of the $m$ otivations in the previous work was to study chiral properties of the negative parity baryons. The behavior of the $m$ asses as functions of the quark condensate show sthat the negative parity baryons $m$ ay be regarded as chiral partners of the ground state baryons. It is then very interesting to study the coupling constants in the sam e fram ew ork.

O ur starting point is the observation that an interpolating eld can couple to both positive and negative parity baryons [ig]. The general interpolating eld w ithout derivatives for the nucleon $J_{N}$ is given by a superposition of two independent term $s[\underline{\bar{I}}]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}(x ; t)=\quad \operatorname{mabc}\left[\left(u_{a}(x) C d_{b}(x)\right){ }_{5} u_{c}(x)+t\left(u_{a}(x) C \quad{ }_{5} d_{b}(x)\right) u_{c}(x)\right] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are color indioes, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{o}$ (in the standard notation) is the charge conjugation $m$ atrix, and $t$ is the $m$ ixing param eter of the two independent interpolating elds. $J_{N}(x ; t=1)$, which is called the $\backslash I o e^{\prime} s$ interpolating eld" $[\overrightarrow{B r}$, is com $m$ only used for the study of the ground state nucleon in the Q CD sum rule since it is alm ost optim ized for the low est-lying nucleon [ī], For the study of $N$ we found in ref. [i] that the eld $J_{N}(x ; t=0: 8)$ couples strongly to the negative-parity states.
$T$ he correlation fiunction constructed from $J_{N}$, therefore, contains in form ation ofboth parity baryons. In ref. $\left.\hat{W}_{1}^{-1}\right]$, a $m$ ethod to separate the term of either positive or negative parity state from the other exclusively has been developed. Since the correlation function consists of two term swith opposite chirality, their sum and di erence have a de nite parity.

In order to calculate the $m$ eson-baryon coupling constants, we follow the $m$ ethod used by Shiom iand H atsuda [9]. l . They studied the $\mathrm{N} N$ coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}$ by using the two point function between the vacuum and a one $m$ eson state in the soft $m$ eson lim it (q ! 0). The relevant correlation function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{m}(p) & =i^{z} d^{4} x e^{i p} x_{h 0} j J_{N}(x) J_{N}(0) \text { gn }(q=0) i \\
& =i_{5}\left({ }_{0}^{m}\left(p^{2}\right)+{ }_{1}^{m}\left(p^{2}\right) F\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $J_{N}$ is de ned in (ī), and $m$ denotes either or. The param eter $t w i l l$ be chosen suitably depending on whether $J_{N}$ should be coupled strongly to positive or negative parity baryons.

Let us rst look at the phenom enological side of the correlation function to see how inform ation of the negative parity nucleon can be extracted. We shall see this for the N N coupling ( $m=$ ). The phenom enological $N \mathrm{~N}$ interaction lagrangian is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N N}=g_{N N} N \quad{ }^{i} i_{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ and $N$ are the eld operators for the positive and negative parity nucleons, ${ }^{i}$ is the pion eld, and ${ }^{i}(i=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ are the Paulim atrices for isospin. From the Lagrangian $\left(\begin{array}{l}-\overline{-1})\end{array}\right.$, the N N contribution in the (p) is given in the soft pion lim it by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N N} \quad \text { N } \quad \frac{p^{2}+m_{N} m_{N}}{\left(p^{2} m_{N}^{2}\right)\left(p^{2} m_{N}^{2}\right)}+\frac{p\left(m_{N}+m_{N}\right)}{\left(p^{2} m_{N}^{2}\right)\left(p^{2} m_{N}^{2}\right)} i_{5} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{N}$ and ${ }_{N}$ are de ned by h0 $j_{N} \mathcal{N} i={ }_{N} u_{N}$ and h0 $j_{N} \mathcal{N} \quad i=i_{5} \quad u_{N}$, respectively, $w$ ith $u_{N}$ and $u_{N}$ being the $D$ irac spinor for $N$ and $N$. W e note that there appear two term $s$ in ( $\overline{4} \underline{4}^{\prime}$ ); one proportional to 5 and the other proportional to $\rho 5$. In contrast, the N N contribution has only one term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{NN}} \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{p}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{i}_{5}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}}} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as is derived from the $\mathrm{N} N$ interaction lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N N}=g_{N N} N_{5}{ }^{i}{ }^{i} N_{N}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e note that ( $\left(\overline{5} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ is also obtained by replacing $M_{N}$ by $M_{N}$ in ( $\left(\overline{4} \underline{1}^{1}\right)$.
In the softpion lim it, Shiom iand $H$ atsuda studied the sum ruleusing the non-van ishing term of ( $\underline{( }_{1} 1$ ) and found that the resulting $\mathrm{N} N$ coupling constant satis es the G oldbergerTreim an relation $w$ ith $g_{A}=1\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{9} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. $R$ ecently, $B$ irse and $K$ rippa also studied the coupling constant $g_{\mathrm{NN}}$ at a nonzero pion m om entum [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. In our case for the $\mathrm{N} N$ coupling constant, we study the term proportional to $\beta_{5}$, since that term exclusively contains transitions of N ! N. There is a problem, how ever, that it contains not only the transitions from negative parity resonances but also those from positive parity resonances, the low est of which is $N$ (1440). Such a term is, how ever, proportional to the m ass di erence, e.g. $M_{N}(1440) \quad M_{N}$, unlike the sum as in the second term of ( $\overline{4}$ ( $)$. $T$ hus the contribution from positive parity resonances is expected to be relatively suppressed as com pared w ith that of negative parity resonances, at least in the low m ass region. M oreover, by choosing the $m$ ixing param eter $t \quad 0: 8$, the interpolating eld (īI) is $m$ ade to couple strongly to negative parity states, and the contribution from positive parity resonances is expected to be further suppressed.

The sum rule for the N N coupling is sim ilarly constructed by replacing the isospin $m$ atrices in the $N N$ coupling by the unit $m$ atrix. For exam ple, the interaction lagrangian for the N N coupling is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N_{N}}=g_{N N} N_{N} \quad N_{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here ${ }^{0}=1$ 。
The correlation function is now com puted by the operator product expansion (OPE) perturbatively in the deep Euclidean region. T he result for the term $s$ of if 5 takes the
follow ing form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& { }^{O P E}(p)=i d^{4} x e^{i p} x h 0\left\lceil J_{N}(x ; s) J_{N}(0 ; t)\right. \text { in i } \\
& \text { if } 5 C_{4} \ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{6} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}+\mathrm{C}_{8} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{4}}+\quad+i_{5} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{p}^{2} \ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)+\quad{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

(8)
where we allow to use the di erent $m$ ixing param eters for the intenpolating elds such that $J_{N}(0 ; t \quad 0: 8)$ couples dom inantly to the $N$ state, $w h i l e J_{N}(x ; s=1)$ to the $N$ state. N ote that the term $s$ of if 5 are of even dim ension. The correlation function ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) has been calculated up to dim ension 8, ignoring higher order term s in $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ and s . The results are

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{6}=\frac{s \quad t}{4} \text { hddih0jui }{ }_{5} u \text { inn it huuih0 jdi }{ }_{5} d i n i  \tag{10}\\
& C_{8}=\frac{s \quad t}{144} 25\left(\text { hdgG dih0jui }{ }_{5} u \text { inn } i+\text { hugG uihOdi }{ }_{5}\right. \text { din i) } \\
& 7 \text { (hddih0jui }{ }_{5} g G \quad u \text { ugn i+ huuihOgi }{ }_{5} \text { gG dig i) } \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where hqqi $=h 0$ jqqj0i and we assum e the vacuum saturation for four-quark $m$ atrix ele$m$ ents. For the calculation of the $m$ atrix elem ent of the operators betw een the vacuum and one $m$ eson state, we use the soft $m$ eson theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0-0(0) \dot{m}^{i}(q) i^{q!}!^{0} \quad \frac{1}{\overline{2} f_{m}} \quad d^{3} x h 0 j\left[i J_{50}^{i}(x) ; 0(0)\right] j 0 i ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{5}^{i}(x)=q(x) \quad{ }_{5}\left({ }^{i}=2\right) q(x)$ and $f_{m}$ is the decay constant of the $m$ eson $m$. W e apply this form ula to both the pion and the eta, obtaining the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { h0jui }{ }_{5} \text { ujin i } & =\frac{m}{f_{m}} \text { huui; }  \tag{13}\\
\text { h0jdi }{ }_{5} \text { dini } & =\frac{m}{f_{m}} \text { hddi; }  \tag{14}\\
\text { h0jui }{ }_{5} G \text { ujn i } & =\frac{m}{f_{m}} \text { huG ui; }  \tag{15}\\
\text { h0jdi }{ }_{5} G \text { din i } & =\frac{m}{f_{m}} \text { hdG di; } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=1=\frac{p}{2}$ and $=1={ }^{p} \overline{6}$. $N$ ote that the sign change in ( $\left.1 \overline{1} \overline{4}\right)$ and $[\overline{1} \overline{\underline{G}})$ is the only source for the di erence betw een the pion and eta $m$ atrix elem ents. This originates from the di erent isospin structure: $0 \quad \frac{1}{2}$ (uu dd), while $\quad 8 \quad \frac{1}{\overline{6}}$ (uu + dd 2 ss) by neglecting sm all mixing angle e ects. W e note that the ss com ponent in is irrelevant up to dim ension 8 if s corrections are ignored, since the interpolating eld (1̆) does not contain strange quarks. From $\left(\frac{(\overline{1})}{\mathbf{1}}\right)\{(\overline{1} \overline{\underline{G}})$, we nd that the correlation function for the N N coupling vanishes in the chiral lim it $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ! 0 , and therefore $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}=0$. It is also found that this result rem ains unchanged when $s$ corrections are included. In contrast, the correlation function for the $\mathrm{N} N$ N coupling does not vanish, and so the coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ rem ains nite.

Vanishing of the $\mathcal{F} 5$ term in the correlation function for $N N$ is, in fact, a general consequence of chiral sym $m$ etry. W e $m$ ight have applied the soft $m$ eson theorem to the correlation function $\overline{2}_{2}$ ) from the beginning. U sing the transform ation property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{5}^{a} ; J_{N}\right]=i_{5}^{a} J_{N} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& { }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p})=\lim _{\mathrm{q}!} \mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ipx}} \mathrm{~h} 0 j \Gamma J_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{N}}(0) j^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{i} \\
& \left.={\frac{i}{2} f_{z}^{Z}}^{Z} d^{4} x e^{i p x} h 0 j Q{ }_{5}^{a} ; T J_{N}(x) J_{N}(0)\right] j D i \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \tilde{2}^{2} d^{4} x e^{i p x} f_{5}{ }^{a} ; h 0 j \Gamma J_{N}(x) J_{N}(0) j 0 i g: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

D ue to the Lorentz structure ho $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{N}}(0) \mathrm{jDi} \mathrm{A} F+\mathrm{B} 1$, the F 5 term disappears in (1] $\overline{-1})$.

The result obtained from the com $m$ utation relation (19) is $m$ ore general than that in the OPE, since the mom entum $p$ in (1-q) can be arbitrary, while that in OPE ( $\bar{\alpha})$ is in the deep Euclidean region. Therefore, Eq. (1]) im plies that all transitions of N ! N vanish, if $N$ are the states that couple to $J_{N}$ satisfying $\left(\frac{1}{1} \overline{T_{1}}\right)$. In the real w orld, there are nite quark $m$ ass corrections, but as far as the pion sector is concemed, one $m$ ay expect such transitions are strongly suppressed. Indeed, such a suppression seem s to be realized phenom enologically except for a few cases, i.e. $N$ (1440) and $N$ (1650) $\overline{\text { Zn}}]$.

To sum $m$ arize shortly, our $m$ ain conclusion here is that the resonance states which couple to the interpolating eld $J_{N}$ of ( in the chiral lim it, if $J_{N}$ satis es the com $m$ utation relation (1]_극). Since $J_{N}$ couples strongly to $N$ (1535) when t $0: 8$ [3] , the present observation is applied to this state. For the eta case, we do not nd a relation sim ilar to ( $\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{8})$, because the interpolating eld $J_{N}$ is not a good eigen state of the $U$ (1) axial charge. Thus we nd a non-vanishing contribution to the correlation function, which in tum is used in the sum rule analysis to extract a nite coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}}$.

N ow, we com pare the present results with those of various low energy m odels. We brie $y$ discuss the nonrelativistic quark $m$ odel, the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{m}$ ethod and e ective chiral lagrangian approach.

In the nonrelativistic quark $m$ odel, the negative parity nucleon is form ed by exciting one of the valence quarks into the $p(1=1)$ orbit $[1]=1]$. Then there are two independent states for $J^{P}=1=2: j i=\left[1=1 ; S=1=2 J^{J=1=2}\right.$ and $2 i=\left[1=1 ; S=3=2 J^{J=1=2}\right.$, where $S$ is the total intrinsic spin of the three quarks. The physical state for $N$ (1535) is a linear com bination of these two states. The coupling constants are the $m$ atrix elem ents of the operators, $O={\underset{i=1}{3} \sim(i) ~}_{r}^{(i)}$ for the $N N$ and $O={ }_{i=1}^{3} \sim(i) \tilde{r}(i)$ for the $N N$. The relative phase of the two states jli and Zi are then determ ined by the sign of the tensor force. In the Isgur $K$ arl $m$ odel, it is brought by the one gluon exchange potential, while in a $m$ ore sophisticated $m$ odel, there is a signi cant contribution from the one pion exchange potential also [ 1 acts destructively for $\mathrm{N} N$ while constructively for $\mathrm{N} N$. This explains the relatively suppressed $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{n}$.

The suppression of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n} N}$ is also observed in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ lim it. A ssum ing that the low est baryon state develops the hedgehog intrinsic state with $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{J}+\mathrm{I}=0$ (here the hedgehog has negative parity as $J^{P}=1=2$ ), it is possible to show that them atrix elem ent
 hN to iN i. This $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ counting is in fact an example of the $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ rule for large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$


O ne m ay wonder if such a suppression of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N} N}$ could be in some way related to sym $m$ etry properties. There have been several attem pts to treat positive and negative parity baryons as chiral partners of spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breaking. D eTar and $K$ unihiro considered the parity doublet nucleons in the linear sigm a m odel of SU (2)

SU (2) [14i]. In addition to the standard chiral invariant interaction term s , they introduced a chiral invariant $m$ ass term between the positive and negative parity baryons. The strength $m$ for the non-standard $m$ ass term reduces to the $m$ ass of the would-be chiral doublet nucleons when the chiral sym $m$ etry restores. In the spontaneously broken phase, the $m$ ass splitting is proportional to the non-zero expectation value of the sigm a eld. In this $m$ odel, it has been show $n$ that $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ is proportional to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{O}}$ to the leading order in $m_{0}$. In the previous QCD sum rule study $\sqrt{-3} 1$, the $m$ asses of $N$ and $N$ seem to get degenerate and decrease as the quark condensate hqqi is decreased. This im plies a sm all $m_{0} \quad 0$, which could be a possible explanation why the coupling constant $g_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ vanishes in the $Q C D$ sum rule.

E arlier, C hristos investigated an e ective $m$ odel for $m$ esons and baryons by identifying the interpolating eld $B^{1} \quad(q C \quad 5 q) q$ with the positive parity nucleon and $B^{2} \quad(q C q) q$ $w$ th the negative parity nucleon [19]. U sing the transform ation properties of the $B^{1}$ and $B^{2}$ eld, he w rote dow n a chiral invariant e ective Lagrangian, which leads to the relation $g_{\mathrm{n} N}=0$. H is lagrangian, how ever, does not contain the $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ term of the m odelofD eT ar and K unihiro, which is the reason for the identically vanishing $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N} N}$.

In summary, we have studied the NN and NN coupling constants using two point correlation functions in the soft $m$ eson lim it. In the OPE, the correlation function for $\mathrm{N} N$ was calculated up to dim ension eight and was show n to be the quantity of order O ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ). Thus the N N coupling constant is strongly suppressed. This suppression tums out to be a general consequence of chiral sym $m$ etry when the interpolating eld has the suitable transform ation property. The present results are consistent with the predictions of various low energy e ective approaches. It is interesting that such a suppression of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{NN}}$ is supported by the chirale ective theory w ith parity doublet assum ption.
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