Preprint KOBE-FHD-96-01 October, 1996

## A nom alous Q uark C hrom om agnetic M om ent Induced by $\operatorname{In}\operatorname{stantons}^1$

## N .I. K ochelev

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

## A bstract

It is shown that the quark{gluon interaction induced by instantons leads to the quark anom alous chrom om agnetic m om ent. In the instanton-liquid m odel for the QCD vacuum , the chrom om agnetic m om ent is  $_a = \frac{f}{2\ s}$ , where f is the packing fraction of instantons in the vacuum .

 $<sup>^1\,</sup>$  Talk presented at the X III International Sem inar on H igh E nergy P hysics P roblem s, 2-7 September, D ubna, R ussia

A possible existence of the large quark anom alous chrom om agneticm om ent (QACHM) is widely under discussion in these days [1]{ [5]. In [1], an assumption on the existence of the QACHM was made to explain the observed anomalies in the cross section for reactions with polarized particles. In [2], the QACHM was introduced to improve the description of the spectroscopy of hadrons including heavy quarks. It was shown in [3] that the QACHM allows us to explain the large value of the cross section for the productions near threshold and R<sub>c</sub>, R<sub>b</sub> ratio in the Z  $^{0}$  peak. A very interesting explanation [5], based on the QACHM, for the excess of the jet cross sections at high E  $_{?}$  observed by CDF [4] was proposed.

It should be mentioned that, in perturbative QCD, the appearence of the QACHM is related with higher order  $_{\rm S}$  corrections, and, therefore, its value needs to be small.

In this paper, the quark anom alous chrom om agnetic m om ent is calculated in non-perturbative QCD by using the liquid instanton model for the QCD vacuum [6].

The e ective Lagrangian induced by instantons has the following form  $[7]^2$ 

$$L_{eff} = \sum_{q}^{Z} (m_{q} 2^{2} g_{R} (1 + \frac{i}{4} U_{aa^{0}} a^{0}) q_{L})$$

$$= \exp^{\frac{2^{2}}{g} U_{bb^{0}} b^{0}} \frac{d}{5} d_{0} () d\hat{0} + R ! L; \qquad (1)$$

where is the instanton size, <sup>a</sup> are the matrices of the SU  $(2)_c$  subgroup of the SU  $(3)_c$  colour group,  $d_0$  () is the density of the instantons, dô stands for integration over the instanton orientation in colour space,  $d\hat{o} = 1$ , U is the orientation matrix of the instanton, <sub>a</sub> is the numerical tH ooft symbol and = [; ]=2.

The e ective quark (gluon vertex can be obtained by expanding (1) in the set of the powers of the gluon strength and by integrating over  $d\hat{\sigma}^3$ . In the set order of G b, we found out that

$$L_{A} = \frac{X_{q}}{q} d \frac{n_{eff}()^{4} d}{m_{q}g} iq t^{a} q G^{a};$$
 (2)

where  $n_{\rm eff}$  ( ) =  $d_0$  ( )  $_{\rm q}^{\rm Q}$  (m  $_{\rm q}$  )=  $^5$  is the elective instanton density in the QCD vacuum,  $m_{\rm q}$  =  $m_{\rm q}$  2  $^2$   $^2$  < 0 jqq j0 > =3 is the elective quark mass [6] and  $t^a$  =  $^a$ =2 are SU (3) $_c$  matrices.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ The Lagrangian (1) has been obtained for the case when the scale of the gluon-eld uctuations was signicantly larger than the size of the instanton, i.e., for q < < 1= , where q is the gluon virtiality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>To integrate over dô, one can use the m ethod of [8].

It should be mentioned that the Lagrangian (2) was derived as a result of factorization of the Lagrangian (1). The factorization procedure is consisted with the contraction of some quark legs from the instanton vertex through the quark condensate (see [9] and the rst reference in [6]).

In the instanton liquid model for the QCD vacuum, the e ective density is

$$n_{eff}() = n_{c}();$$
 (3)

where  $_{c}$  is the average size of the instanton and  $n_{c}$  is determined by the value of the gluon condensate  $n_{c}=<0$  j  $_{s}G^{a}$  G  $^{a}$  j 0 > =16 .

Using (3) we obtain

$$L_A = i \frac{f}{2_s(c)}^X \frac{g}{2m_a} q t^a q G^a;$$
 (4)

where f =  $n_{\rm c}$   $^2$   $^4$  is the so-called packing fraction of instantons in the vacuum .

The de nition for the anom alous chrom om agnetic m om ent is (see [3])

$$L_A = i_a \frac{X}{q} \frac{g}{2m_q} q \quad t^a q G^a : \qquad (5)$$

Therefore, the QACHM is given by the formula

$$a = \frac{f}{2 s(s)}$$
 (6)

It should be stressed that the nal result for the QACHM includes the value of the quark {gluon coupling constant in the denom inator. Therefore, it is impossible to get the similar result from perturbative QCD.

For the num erical calculation, we use the NLO approximation for the strong coupling constant

$$_{s}() = \frac{2}{_{1}t}(1 + \frac{2 _{2} \log t}{_{1}t});$$
 (7)

w here

$$_{1} = \frac{33 \quad 2N_{f}}{6}; \quad _{2} = \frac{153 \quad 19N_{f}}{12}$$
 (8)

and

$$t = \log(\frac{1}{2} + 1)$$
: (9)

In Equation (9), the parameter  $1=\frac{2}{c}$  provides a smooth interpolation of the value of  $_s$  ( ) from the perturbative ( ! 0) to the nonperturbative region ( ! 1 ) [10].

For N  $_{\rm f}$  = 3,  $\,$  = 230M eV ,  $_{\rm c}$  = 1:6G eV  $^{\rm 1}$  , by using the standard value for the gluon condensate

$$<0 j - {}^{s}G^{a}G^{a}J^{0}> = 0.012G \text{ eV}^{4};$$
 (10)

we have the estim ate

$$_{a} = 0.2 \text{ for }_{c} = 1.6 \text{G eV}^{-1}$$
: (11)

Unfortunately, the average size of instantons is not well xed in the model [6]. For example, if one use the same model to calculate the masses of the pseudoscalar nonet mesons based on QCD sum rules method (see [6]), the more suitable value for average size of the instantons will be  $_{\rm c}$  = 2G eV  $^{\rm 1}$ . In this case, the value of the QACHM will be larger

$$_{a} = 0.4 \text{ for }_{c} = 2G \text{ eV}^{-1}$$
: (12)

In any case, the instanton contribution to the QACHM is quite large.

From the above observation, we can say that the quark {gluon interaction through the QCD vacuum leads to the rise of the quark anom alous chrom om agnetic mom ent.

The applications of this result to the hadron spectroscopy and to the high-energy lepton {hadron and hadron {hadron scattering are in progress.

The author is sincerely thankful to M. Anselm ino, A.M. Baldin, A.E. Dorokhov, J.Ellis, R.N. Faustov, S.B. Gerasim ov, E. Leader, P. Mulders, M. Oka, P. Ratclie, and E.V. Shuryak for useful discussions, to T. Morii for warm hospitality at Kobe University, where the part of the work was done, and to the JSPS and the Heisenberg { Landau program for nancial support.

## R eferences

- [1] BAArbuzov, EEBoss, ONKravchenko, and SSKurennoi, Proc. SPIN'89 Conference, (September, 1986, Protvino, Russia).
- [2] D Ebert, R N Faustov, V D Galkin, A P M artynenko, and V A Saleev, HUP-EP-96/25, hep-ph/9607314.
- [3] D Atwood, A Aeppli, and A Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. D 69 2754 (1992); D Atwood, A Kagan, and T G Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. D 52 6254 (1995);

- T G Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. D 53 2326 (1996); P Haberl, O Nachtmann, and A Wilch, HD-THEP-95925 (1995), hep-ph/9505409.
- [4] CDF Collaboration, FAbe et al., FERM LAB-PUB-96-020-E, hep-ex/9601008.
- [5] D Silverm an, SLAC-PUB-7166, hep-ph/9605318.
- [6] E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rep. 115 151 (1984);D. J.D yakonov and V. Yu. Petrov, Nucl. Phys. B 272 457 (1986).
- [7] C.G. Callan, R.D. ashen, and D.J.G. ross, Phys. Rev.D 17 2717 (1978); C.G. Callan, R.D. ashen, and D.J.G. ross, Phys. Rev.D 19 1826 (1979); V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, V.I.Vainstein, and V.I.Zakharov, Sov. Phys. U.sp. 25 195 (1982).
- [8] M. A. Shifman, V. I.Vainstein, and V. I.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 163 46 (1980).
- [9] N.J.Kochelev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 41 291 (1985).
- [10] M A Shifman, V I.Vainstein, and V I.Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B 76 471 (1978).